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## Preface

Many of the problems facing physicists, engineers, and applied mathematicians involve such difficulties as nonlinear governing equations, variable coefficients, and nonlinear boundary conditions at complex known or unknown boundaries that preclude solving them exactly. Consequently, solutions are approximated using numerical techniques, analytic techniques, and combinations of both. Foremost among the analytic techniques are the systematic methods of perturbations (asymptotic expansions) in terms of a small or a large parameter or coordinate. This book is concerned only with these perturbation techniques.
The author's book Perturbation Methods presents in a unified way an account of most of the perturbation techniques, pointing out their similarities, differences, and advantages, as well as their limitations. Although the techniques are described by means of examples that start with simple ordinary equations that can be solved exactly and progress toward complex partial-differential equations, the material is concise and advanced and therefore is intended for researchers and advanced graduate students only. The purpose of this book, however, is to present the material in an elementary way that makes it easily accessible to advanced undergraduates and first-year graduate students in a wide variety of scientific and engineering fields. As a result of teaching perturbation methods for eight years to first-year and advanced graduate students at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, I have selected a limited number of techniques and amplified their description considerably. Also I have attempted to answer the questions most frequently raised by my students. The techniques are described by means of simple examples that consist mainly of algebraic and ordinary-differential equations.

The material in Chapters 3 and 15 and Appendices A and B cannot be found in Perturbation Methods. Chapter 3 discusses asymptotic expansions of integrals. Chapter 15 is devoted to the determination of the adjoints of homogeneous linear equations (algebraic, ordinary-differential, partial-differential, and integral equations) and the solvability conditions of linear inhomogeneous problems. Appendix A summarizes trigonometric identitics, and Appendix B summarizes the properties of linear ordinary-differential equations and describes the symbolic method of determining the solutions of homogeneous and inhomogeneous ordinary-differential equations with constant coefficients.

## viii PREFACE

The reader should have a background in calculus and elementary ordinarydifferential equations.
Each chapter contains a number of exercises. For more exercises, the reader is ielened to l'erturbation Mcthods by Nayleh and Nontinear Oscillations by Nayfeh and Mook. Since this book is elementary, only a list of the pertinent books is included in the bibliography without any attempt of citing them in the text.
I am indebted to K. R. Asfar and D. T. Mook for reading the whole manuscript and to L. Watson, M. Williams, C. Prather, S. A. Ragab, I. Wickman, A. Yen, Y. Liu, H. Reed, J. Dederer, Y. Ma, and W. S. Saric for reading parts of the manuscript. Many of the figures were drawn by T. H. Nayfeh, K. R. Asfar, I. Wickman, T. Dunyak, and T. McCawly; and I wish to express my appreciation to them. Finally, I wish to thank Patty Belcher, Janet Bryant, and Sharon Larkins for typing the manuscript.

Blacksburg, Virginia
April 1980
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

### 1.1. Dimensional Analysis

Exact solutions are rare in many branches of fluid mechanics, solid mechanics, motion, and physics because of nonlinearities, inhomogeneities, and general boundary conditions. Hence, engineers, physicists, and applied mathematicians are forced to determine approximate solutions of the problems they are facing. These approximations may be purely numerical, purely analytical, or a combination of numerical and analytical techniques. In this book, we concentrate on the purely analytical techniques, which, when combined with a numerical technique such as a finite-difference or a finite-element technique, yield very powerful and versatile techniques.
The key to solving modern problems is mathematical modeling. This process involves keeping certain elements, neglecting some, and approximating yet others. To accomplish this important step, one needs to decide the order of magnitude (i.e., smallness or largeness) of the different elements of the system by comparing them with each other as well as with the basic elements of the system. This process is called nondimensionalization or making the variables dimensionless. Consequently, one should always introduce dimensionless variables before attempting to make any approximations. For example, if an element has a length of one centimeter, would this element be large or small? One cannot answer this question without knowing the problem being considered. If the problem involves the motion of a satellite in an orbit around the earth, then one centimeter is very very small. On the other hand, if the problem involves intermolecular distances, then one centimeter is very very large. As a second example, is one gram small or large? Again one gram is very very small compared with the mass of a satellite but it is very very large compared with the mass of an electron. Therefore, expressing the equations in dimensionless form brings out the important dimensionless parameters that govern the behavior of the system. Even if one is not interested in approximations, it is recommended that one perform this important step before analyzing the system or presenting
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experimental data. Next, we give a few examples illustrating the process of nondimensionalization.

1. XAMIII: I

We consider the motion of a particle of mass $m$ restrained by a linear spring having the constant $k$ and a viscous damper having the coefficient $\mu$, as shown in Figure 1-1. Using Newton's second law of motion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+\mu \frac{d u}{d t}+k u=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the displacement of the particle and $t$ is time. Let us assume that the particle was released from rest from the position $u_{0}$ so that the initial conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u_{0} \quad \frac{d u}{d t}(0)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $u$ is the dependent variable and $t$ is the independent variable. They need to be made dimensionless by using a characteristic distance and a characteristic time of the system. The displacement $u$ can be made dimensionless by using the initial displacement $u_{0}$ as a characteristic distance, whereas the time $t$ can be made dimensionless by using the inverse of the system's natural frequency $\omega_{0}=$ $\sqrt{k / m}$. Thus, we put

$$
u^{*}=\frac{u}{u_{0}} \quad t^{*}=\omega_{0} t
$$

where the asterisk denotes dimensionless quantities. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d u}{d t} & =\frac{d\left(u_{0} u^{*}\right)}{d t^{*}} \cdot \frac{d t^{*}}{d t}=\omega_{0} u_{0} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}} \\
\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}} & =\omega_{0}^{2} u_{0} \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (1.1) becomes


Figure 1-1. A mass restrained by a spring and a viscous damper.

$$
m \omega_{0}^{2} u_{0} \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+\mu \omega_{0} u_{0} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+k u_{0} u^{*}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\mu^{*} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+\frac{k}{m \omega_{0}^{2}} u^{*}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\mu^{*} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+u^{*}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}=\frac{\mu}{m \omega_{0}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the above dimensionless quantities, (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}(0)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the solution to the present problem depends only on the single parameter $\mu^{*}$, which represents the ratio of the damping force to the inertia force or the restoring force of the spring. If this ratio is small, then one can use the dimensionless quantity $\mu^{*}$ as the small parameter in obtaining an approximate solution of the problem, and we speak of a lightly damped system. We should note that the system cannot be considered lightly damped just because $\mu$ is small; $\mu^{*}=\mu / m \omega_{0}=\mu / \sqrt{k m}$ must be small.

## EXAMPLE 2

Let us assume that the spring force is a nonlinear function of $u$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\text {spring }}=k u+k_{2} u^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ and $k_{2}$ are constants. Then, (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+\mu \frac{d u}{d t}+k u+k_{2} u^{2}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, using the same dimensionless quantities as in the preceding example, we have

$$
m u_{0} \omega_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\mu u_{0} \omega_{0} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+k u_{0} u^{*}+k_{2} u_{0}^{2} u^{* 2}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\mu^{*} \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+u^{*}+\epsilon u^{* 2}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}=\frac{\mu}{m \omega_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon=\frac{k_{2} u_{0}}{k} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial conditions transform as in (1.5). Thus, the present problem is a function of the two dimensionless parameters $\mu^{*}$ and $\epsilon$. As before, $\mu^{*}$ represents the ratio of the damping force to the inertia force or the linear restoring force. The parameter $\epsilon$ represents the ratio of the nonlinear and linear restoring forces of the spring.
When we speak of a weakly nonlinear system, we mean that $k_{2} u_{0} / k$ is small. Even if $k_{2}$ is small compared with $k$, the nonlinearity will not be small if $u_{0}$ is large compared with $k / k_{2}$. Thus, $\epsilon$ is the parameter that characterizes the nonlinearity.

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider the motion of a spaceship of mass $m$ that is moving in the gravitational field of two fixed mass-centers whose masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are much much bigger than $m$. With respect to the Cartesian coordinate system shown in Figure 1-2, the equations of motion are

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}=-\frac{m m_{1} G x}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m m_{2} G(x-L)}{\left[(x-L)^{2}+y^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}  \tag{1.10}\\
& m \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}=-\frac{m m_{1} G y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m m_{2} G y}{\left[(x-L)^{2}+y^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t$ is the time, $G$ is the gravitational constant, and $L$ is the distance between $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$.

In this case, the dependent variables are $x$ and $y$ and the independent variable is $t$. Clearly, a characteristic length of the problem is $L$, the distance between the two mass centers. A characteristic time of the problem is not as obvious. Since


Figure 1-2. A satellite in the gravitational ficld of two fixed mass centers.
the motions of the masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are assumed to be independent of that of the spaceship, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ move about their center of mass in ellipses. The period of oscillation is

$$
T=\frac{2 \pi L^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{G\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}}
$$

so that the frequency of oscillation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=L^{-3 / 2} \sqrt{G\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we use the inverse of $\omega_{0}$ as a characteristic time. Then, we introduce dimensionless quantities defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}=\frac{x}{L} \quad y^{*}=\frac{y}{L} \quad t^{*}=\omega_{0} t \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{d\left(x^{*} L\right)}{d t^{*}} \frac{d t^{*}}{d t}=L \omega_{0} \frac{d x^{*}}{d t^{*}} \quad \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}=L \omega_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}} \\
& \frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{d\left(y^{*} L\right)}{d t^{*}} \frac{d t^{*}}{d t}=L \omega_{0} \frac{d y^{*}}{d t^{*}} \quad \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}=L \omega_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (1.10) and (1.11) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m L \omega_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{m m_{1} G L x^{*}}{\left[L^{2}\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)\right]^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m m_{2} G L\left(x^{*}-1\right)}{\left[L^{2}\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+L^{2} y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \\
& m L \omega_{0}^{2} \frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{m m_{1} G L y^{*}}{\left[L^{2}\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)\right]^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m m_{2} G L y^{*}}{\left[L^{2}\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+L^{2} y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{m_{1} G}{L^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{x^{*}}{\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m_{2} G}{L^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{\left(x^{*}-1\right)}{\left[\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}}  \tag{1.14}\\
& \frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{m_{1} G}{L^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{y^{*}}{\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{m_{2} G}{L^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{y^{*}}{\left[\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1.12), we have

$$
\frac{m_{1} G}{L_{1}^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}}=\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \quad m_{2} G=\frac{m_{2}}{L^{3} \omega_{0}^{2}}=m_{1}+m_{2}
$$

Hence, if we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}=\epsilon \quad \text { then } \quad \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}=1-\epsilon \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and (1.14) and (1.15) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{(1-\epsilon) x^{*}}{\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{\epsilon\left(x^{*}-1\right)}{\left[\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}}  \tag{1.17}\\
& \frac{d^{2} y^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}=-\frac{(1-\epsilon) y^{*}}{\left(x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{\epsilon y^{*}}{\left[\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}+y^{* 2}\right]^{3 / 2}} \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the problem depends only on the parameter $\epsilon$, which is usually called the reduced mass. If $m_{1}$ represents the mass of the earth and $m_{2}$ the mass of the moon, then

$$
\epsilon \approx \frac{\frac{1}{80}}{1+\frac{1}{80}}=\frac{1}{81}
$$

which is small and can be used as a perturbation parameter in determining an approximate solution to the motion of a spacecraft in the gravitational field of the earth and the moon.

## EXAMPLE 4

As a fourth example, we consider the vibration of a clamped circular plate of radius $a$ under the influence of a uniform radial load. If $w$ is the transverse displacement of the plate, then the linear vibrations of the plate are governed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \nabla^{4} w-P \nabla^{2} w-\rho \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the time, $D$ is the plate rigidity, $P$ is the uniform radial load, and $\rho$ is the plate density per unit area. The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w=0 & \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}=0 & \text { at } r=a \\
w<\infty & & \text { at } r=0 \tag{1.20}
\end{array}
$$

In this case, $w$ is the dependent variable and $t$ and $r$ are the independent variables. Clearly, $a$ is a characteristic length of the problem. The characteristic time is assumed to be $T$ and it is specified below. Then, we define dimensionless variables according to

$$
w^{*}=\frac{w}{a} \quad r^{*}=\frac{r}{a} \quad t^{*}=\frac{t}{T}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}=\frac{\partial\left(a w^{*}\right)}{\partial r^{*}} \frac{d r^{*}}{d r}=\frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial 0}=\frac{\partial\left(a w^{*}\right)}{\partial \theta}=a \frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial 0} \\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial\left(a w^{*}\right)}{\partial t^{*}} \frac{d t^{*}}{d t}=\frac{a}{T} \frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}
$$

(1.19) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{D}{a^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{* 2}}+\frac{1}{r^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{*}}+\frac{1}{r^{* 2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)^{2} w^{*}-\frac{p}{a}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{* 2}}+\frac{1}{r^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{*}}+\frac{1}{r^{* 2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right) w^{*} \\
-\frac{\rho a}{T^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w^{*}}{\partial t^{* 2}}=0
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D}{a^{2} P} \nabla^{*^{4}} w^{*}-\nabla^{* 2} w^{*}-\frac{\rho a^{2}}{P T^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w^{*}}{\partial t^{* 2}}=0 \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can choose $T$ to make the coefficient of $\partial^{2} w^{*} / \partial t^{* 2}$ equal to 1 , that is, $T=a \sqrt{\rho / P}$. Then, (1.21) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \nabla^{* 4} w^{*}-\nabla^{* 2} w^{*}-\frac{\partial^{2} w^{*}}{\partial t^{* 2}}=0 \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{D}{a^{2} P} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of dimensionless quantities, the boundary conditions (1.20) become

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w^{*}=\frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial r^{*}}=0 & \text { at } & r^{*}=1  \tag{1.24}\\
w^{*}<\infty & \text { at } & r^{*}=0
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, the problem depends on the single dimensionless parameter $\epsilon$. If the radial load is large compared with $D / a^{2}$, then $\epsilon$ is small and can be used as a perturbation parameter.
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## EXAMPLE 5

As a final example, we consider steady incompressible flow past a flat plate. The problem is governed by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0  \tag{1.25}\\
\rho\left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right)  \tag{1.26}\\
\rho\left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}+\mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}\right)  \tag{1.27}\\
u=v=0 \quad  \tag{1.28}\\
u \rightarrow U_{\infty}, v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the velocity components in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively, $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ is the density, and $\mu$ is the coefficient of viscosity.

In this case, $u, v$, and $p$ are the dependent variables and $x$ and $y$ are the independent variables. To make the equations dimensionless, we use $L$ as a characteristic length, where $L$ is the distance from the leading edge to a specified point on the plate as shown in Figure 1-3, and use $U_{\infty}$ as a characteristic velocity. We take $\rho U_{\infty}^{2}$ as a characteristic pressure. Thus, we define dimensionless quantities according to

$$
u^{*}=\frac{u}{U_{\infty}} \quad v^{*}=\frac{v}{U_{\infty}} \quad p^{*}=\frac{p}{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}} \quad x^{*}=\frac{x}{L} \quad y^{*}=\frac{y}{L}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & =\frac{\partial\left(U_{\infty} u^{*}\right)}{\partial x^{*}} \frac{d x^{*}}{d x}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} & =\frac{U_{\infty}}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial y^{* 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1-3. Flow past a flat plate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}=\frac{U_{\infty}}{L^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial y^{* 2}} \\
& \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} \frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}=\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} \frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (1.25) through (1.28) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{U_{\infty}}{L} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=0  \tag{1.29}\\
\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} u^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} v^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=-\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} \frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{\mu U_{\infty}}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial x^{*^{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial y^{* 2}}\right)  \tag{1.30}\\
\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} u^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} v^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=-\frac{\rho U_{\infty}^{2}}{L} \frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+\frac{\mu U_{\infty}}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial y^{* 2}}\right)  \tag{1.31}\\
u^{*}=v^{*}=0
\end{gather*} \quad \text { at } y^{*}=0.0 \begin{array}{ll}
U_{\infty} u^{*} \rightarrow U_{\infty}, v^{*} \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } x^{*} \rightarrow-\infty
\end{array}
$$

Equations (1.29) through (1.32) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=0  \tag{1.33}\\
u^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=-\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u^{*}}{\partial y^{* 2}}\right)  \tag{1.34}\\
u^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}=-\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v^{*}}{\partial y^{*^{2}}}\right)  \tag{1.35}\\
u^{*}=v^{*}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y^{*}=0  \tag{1.36}\\
u^{*} \rightarrow 1 \quad v^{*} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad x^{*} \rightarrow-\infty \tag{1.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\rho U_{\infty} L}{\mu} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Reynolds number.
Equations (1.33) through (1.37) show that the problem depends only on the dimensionless parameter $R$. For the case of small viscosity, namely $\mu$ small compared with $\rho U_{\infty} L, R$ is large and its inverse can be used as a perturbation parameter to determine an approximate solution of the present problem. This process leads to the widely used boundary-layer equations of fluid mechanics. When the flow is slow, namely $\rho U_{\infty} L$ is small compared with $\mu, R$ is small and it can be
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used as a perturbation parameter to construct an approximate solution of the present problem. This process leads to the Stokes-Oseen flow.

### 1.2 Expansions

In determining approximate solutions of algebraic, differential, and integral equations or evaluating integrals, we need to expand quantities in power series of a parameter or a variable. These power series expansions are usually obtained either as binomial expansions or Taylor series. These are explained next.

## BINOMIAL THEOREM

Using straight multiplication, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a+b)^{2}=a^{2}+2 a b+b^{2} \\
& (a+b)^{3}=a^{3}+3 a^{2} b+3 a b^{2}+b^{3} \\
& (a+b)^{4}=a^{4}+4 a^{3} b+6 a^{2} b^{2}+4 a b^{3}+b^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The process can be generalized for general $n$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{n}=a^{n}+n a^{n-1} b+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} a^{n-2} b^{2}+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} a^{n-3} b^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.39a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} a^{n-m} b^{m} \tag{1.39b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n}{ }^{n} C_{m} a^{n-m} b^{m} \quad \text { where }{ }^{n} C_{m}=\frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} \tag{1.39c}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that (1.39a) terminates and hence it is valid when $n$ is a positive integer. If it does not terminate, it is valid for any positive or negative number $n$ provided that $|b / a|$ is less than 1 ; otherwise, the series diverges because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \text { th term }}{(m-1) \text { th term }} & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m-1)!n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(n-m+1) a^{n-m} b^{m}}{m!n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(n-m+2) a^{n-m+1} b^{m-1}} \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-m+1) b}{m a}=-\frac{b}{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{5} & =\sum_{m=0}^{5} \frac{5!}{m!(5-m)!} a^{5-m} b^{m} \\
& =a^{5}+5 a^{4} b+10 a^{3} b^{2}+10 a^{2} b^{3}+5 a b^{4}+b^{5} \\
(a+b)^{6} & =\sum_{m=0}^{6} \frac{6!}{m!(6-m)!} a^{6-m} b^{m} \\
& =a^{6}+6 a^{5} b+15 a^{4} b^{2}+20 a^{3} b^{3}+15 a^{2} b^{4}+6 a b^{5}+b^{6} \\
(a+b)^{1 / 2} & =a^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{-1 / 2} b+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} a^{-3 / 2} b^{2}+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{3!} a^{-5 / 2} b^{3}+\cdots \\
& =a^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{-1 / 2} b-\frac{1}{8} a^{-3 / 2} b^{2}+\frac{1}{16} a^{-5 / 2} b^{3}+\cdots \\
(a+b)^{-1} & =a^{-1}-a^{-2} b+\frac{(-1)(-2)}{2!} a^{-3} b^{2}+\frac{(-1)(-2)(-3)}{3!} a^{-4} b^{3}+\cdots \\
& =a^{-1}-a^{-2} b+a^{-3} b^{2}-a^{-4} b^{3}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the first two series corresponding to $n=5$ and 6 terminate. The last two series corresponding to $n=\frac{1}{2}$ and -1 do not terminate, and hence, they are valid only when $|b|<|a|$.

## TAYLOR SERIES EXPANSIONS

If a function $f(x)$ is infinitely differentiable at $x=x_{0}$, we express it in a power series of $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+a_{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+a_{3}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}+\cdots \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{1.41}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are constants related to $f$ and its derivatives at $x=x_{0}$. Putting $x=$ $x_{0}$ in (1.41), we find that $a_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Differentiating (1.41) with respect to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=a_{1}+2 a_{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+3 a_{3}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+4 a_{4}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon putting $x=x_{0}$, yields $a_{1}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Differentiating (1.42) with respect to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=2!a_{2}+3!a_{3}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+4 \cdot 3 a_{4}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\cdots \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon putting $x=x_{0}$, yields $a_{2}=(1 / 2!) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Differentiating (1.43) with respect to $x$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=3!a_{3}+4!a_{4}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon putting $x=x_{0}$, yields $a_{3}=(1 / 3!) f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Continuing the process, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}\left(x_{0}\right) \quad f^{(n)}=\frac{d^{n} f}{d x^{n}} \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f^{(0)}=f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Therefore, (1.41) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}\left(x_{0}\right)}{n!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the Taylor series expansion of $f(x)$ about $x=x_{0}$.
Since

$$
\frac{d}{d x}(\sin x)=\cos x \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d}{d x}(\cos x)=-\sin x
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin x=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\frac{x^{7}}{7!}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!}  \tag{1.47}\\
& \cos x=1-\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}-\frac{x^{6}}{6!}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \tag{1.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{x}\right)=e^{x} \\
e^{x_{n}=1}+\frac{x}{1!}+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d x}[\ln (1+x)] & =(1+x)^{-1} \quad \frac{d}{d x}(1+x)^{-n}=-n(1+x)^{-n-1} \\
\ln (1+x) & =x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}+\cdots=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x^{n}}{n} \tag{1.50}
\end{align*}
$$

The above Taylor series expansions are frequently used in subsequent chapters.

### 1.3. Gauge Functions

In this book, we are interested in the limit of functions such as $f(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to zero, denoted by $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This limit might depend on whether $\epsilon$ tends to
zero from below, denoted by $\epsilon \mathfrak{f}$, or from above, denoted by $\epsilon_{0}^{!}$. For example,

$$
\lim _{\substack{\epsilon \downarrow \\ 0}} e^{-1 / \epsilon}=0 \quad \lim _{\substack{0 \\ \epsilon}} e^{-1 / \epsilon}=\infty
$$

In what follows, we assume that the parameters have been normalized so that $\epsilon \geqslant 0$. If the limit of $f(\epsilon)$ exists (i.e., it does not have an essential singularity at $\epsilon=0$ such as $\sin \epsilon^{-1}$ ), then there are three possibilities

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0  \tag{1.51}\\
f(\epsilon) \rightarrow A \\
f(\epsilon) \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad 0<A<\infty
$$

Most often, the above classification is not very useful because there are infinitely many functions that tend to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sin \epsilon=0 \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}(1-\cos \epsilon)=0 \\
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}(\epsilon-\sin \epsilon)=0 \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}[\ln (1+\epsilon)]^{4}=0  \tag{1.52}\\
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{-1 / \epsilon}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Also, there are infinitely many functions that tend to $\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sin \epsilon}=\infty \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\cos \epsilon}=\infty  \tag{1.53}\\
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{1 / \epsilon} \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, to narrow down the above classification, we subdivide each class according to the rate at which they tend to zero or infinity. To accomplish this, we compare the rate at which these functions tend to zero and infinity with the rate at which known functions tend to zero and infinity. These comparison functions are called gauge functions. The simplest and most useful of these are the powers of $\epsilon$

$$
1, \epsilon, \epsilon^{2}, \epsilon^{3}, \cdots
$$

and the inverse powers of $\epsilon$

$$
\epsilon^{-1}, \epsilon^{-2}, \epsilon^{-3}, \epsilon^{-4}, \cdots
$$

For small $\epsilon$, we know that

$$
1>\epsilon>\epsilon^{2}>\epsilon^{3}>\epsilon^{4}>\cdots
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{-1}<\epsilon^{-2}<\epsilon^{-3}<\epsilon^{-4}<\cdots & \varepsilon<1 \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon}>1 \\
\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\prime}<\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}<\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

## 14 INTRODUCTION

Let us determine the rate at which the preceding functions tend to zero or infinity. Using the Taylor series expansion (1.47), we have

$$
\sin e-c \frac{e^{3}}{3!}+\frac{e^{5}}{5!}-\frac{e^{7}}{7!}+\cdots
$$

so that $\sin \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin \epsilon}{\epsilon}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{3!}+\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{4!}+\cdots\right)=1
$$

Using (1.48), we have

$$
1-\cos \epsilon=\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2!}-\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{4!}+\cdots
$$

so that $1-\cos \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon^{2} \rightarrow 0$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1-\cos \epsilon}{\epsilon^{2}}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{2!}-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4!}+\cdots\right)=\frac{1}{2!}
$$

Using (1.47), we have

$$
\epsilon-\sin \epsilon=\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{3!}-\frac{\epsilon^{5}}{5!}+\cdots
$$

so that $\epsilon-\sin \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon^{3} \rightarrow 0$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon-\sin \epsilon}{\epsilon^{3} \cdot}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{3!}-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{5!}+\cdots\right)=\frac{1}{3!}
$$

Using (1.50), we have

$$
[\ln (1+\epsilon)]^{4}=\left(\epsilon-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2}+\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{3}+\cdots\right)^{4}
$$

so that $[\ln (1+\epsilon)]^{4} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon^{4} \rightarrow 0$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\ln (1+\epsilon)]^{4}}{\epsilon^{4}}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{3}+\cdots\right)^{4}=1
$$

To determine the rate at which $\exp (-1 / \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we attempt to expand it in a Taylor series for small $\epsilon$. To accomplish this, we need the derivatives of $\epsilon$ at $\epsilon=0$. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\epsilon)=\frac{d\left(e^{-1 / \epsilon}\right)}{d \epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} e^{-1 / \epsilon} \tag{1.54a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, at $\epsilon=0$, gives 0 over 0 . Hence, we need to use l'Hospital's rule to determine its limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f^{\prime}(\epsilon)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1 / \epsilon}}{\epsilon^{2}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{2} e^{-x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{2}}{e^{x}}
$$

which, upon differentiating the numerator and denominator twice with respect to $x$, gives

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f^{\prime}(\epsilon)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{e^{x}}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{1.54b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (1.54a) with respect to $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(\epsilon)=\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}-\frac{2}{\epsilon^{3}}\right) e^{-1 / \epsilon} \tag{1.55a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0
$$

because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}-\frac{2}{\epsilon^{3}}\right) e^{-1 / \epsilon}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{4}-2 x^{3}}{e^{x}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4!}{e^{x}}=0
$$

according to l'Hospital's rule. Differentiating (1.55a) with respect to $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\epsilon)=\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}-\frac{6}{\epsilon^{5}}+\frac{6}{\epsilon^{4}}\right) e^{-1 / \epsilon} \tag{1.56a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0 \tag{1.56b}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}-\frac{6}{\epsilon^{5}}+\frac{6}{\epsilon^{4}}\right) e^{-1 / \epsilon} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{6}-6 x^{5}+6 x^{4}}{e^{x}} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{6!}{e^{x}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

according to l'Hospital's rule. Continuing the process, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(n)}(0)=0 \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$. Therefore, it follows from (1.46) that

$$
e^{-1 / \epsilon}=0+0+0+0+\cdots
$$

which is certainly not true. The function $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$ cannot be represented by a power series in $\epsilon$. In fact, it tends to zero faster than any power of $\epsilon$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1 / \epsilon}}{\epsilon^{n}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{n}}{e^{x}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{e^{x}}=0
$$

according to l'Hospital's rule. Therefore, the powers of $\epsilon$ are not complete and must be supplemented by $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$.
Next, we consider the rates at which the functions in (1.53) tend to $\infty$. Using (1.47), we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sin \epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon-\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{3!}+\cdots}=\frac{1}{\epsilon\left(1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{3!}+\cdots\right)}
$$

so that $(\sin \epsilon)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\epsilon^{-1}$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 / \sin \epsilon}{1 / \epsilon}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\sin \epsilon}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{3!}+\cdots}=1
$$

Using (1.48), we have

$$
\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\cos \epsilon}=\frac{1}{-\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{4!}+\frac{\epsilon^{6}}{6!}+\cdots}
$$

so that $\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\cos \epsilon\right)^{-1} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $-\epsilon^{-4}$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\cos \epsilon} \div \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\right) & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon^{4}}{-\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{4!}+\frac{\epsilon^{6}}{6!}+\cdots} \\
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-4!}{1-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{30}+\cdots} & =-4!
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$ tends to zero faster than any power of $\epsilon, \exp (1 / \epsilon)$ tends to infinity faster than any inverse power of $\epsilon$ because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{1 / \epsilon}}{1 / \epsilon^{n}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{x}}{x^{n}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{x}}{n!}=\infty
$$

according to l'Hospital's rule. Therefore, we need to supplement the gauge functions by $\exp (1 / \epsilon)$. The function $\ln (1 / \epsilon)$ tends to infinity as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ more slowly than any power of $\epsilon^{-1}$, that is, $\epsilon^{-\alpha}$, no matter how small $\alpha$ is because

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln (1 / \epsilon)}{\epsilon^{-\alpha}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{\alpha}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x \cdot \alpha x^{\alpha-1}}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}=0
$$

Therefore, we need to supplement the gauge functions by $\ln (1 / \epsilon)$.
Similarly, we need to supplement the gauge functions by $[\ln (1 / \epsilon)]^{-1}$ to represent the functions that tend to zero more slowly than any power of $\epsilon$, that is $\epsilon^{\alpha}$, no matter how small $\alpha$ is as long as it is positive. The above discuission shows that, to obtain a complete set of gauge functions, the powers of $\epsilon$ must be supplemented by logarithms, exponentials and

$$
e^{e^{1 / \epsilon}}, e^{-e^{1 / \epsilon}}, \ln \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right), \ln \ln \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right),\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{n},\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-n}, \text { etc. }
$$

### 1.4. Order Symbols

Instead of saying that $\sin \epsilon$ tends to zero at the same rate that $\epsilon$ tends to zero, we say $\sin \epsilon$ is order $\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ or $\sin \epsilon$ is big "oh" of $\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and write it as

$$
\sin \epsilon=O(\epsilon) \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

In general, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\epsilon)=O[g(\epsilon)] \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\epsilon)}{g(\epsilon)}=A \quad 0<|A|<\infty \tag{1.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \epsilon & =O(1) & \cos \epsilon-1 & =O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
\sinh \epsilon & =O(\epsilon) & \tan \epsilon & =O(\epsilon) \\
\operatorname{cosec} \epsilon & =O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right) & \sec \epsilon & =O(1) \\
\cot \epsilon & =O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right) & \frac{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}{\sin \epsilon} & =O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\sinh \frac{1}{\epsilon} & =O\left(e^{1 / \epsilon}\right) & \operatorname{sech} \frac{1}{\epsilon} & =O\left(e^{-1 / \epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be noted that the above mathematical order expressed by the symbol $O$ is formally distinct from the physical order of magnitude because no account is taken of the numerical value of $A$, that is, the constant of proportionality. Thus, $A \epsilon=O(\epsilon)$ even if $A$ is a hundred thousand. However, one has the mystical hope that they are somehow related. In other words, one has the hope that the
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constants of proportionality are $O(1)$ so that the numerical value is not very much different from that given by the order symbol.
In many instances, the information available about a given function may be incomplete to determine the rate at which it tends to its limit but sufficient to determine whether the rate is faster or slower than that of a given gauge function. In such instances, we use the order symbol $o$ (little oh) defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\epsilon)=o[g(\epsilon)] \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\epsilon)}{g(\epsilon)}=0
$$

Thus, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\sin \epsilon & =o(1) & \sin \epsilon & =o\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\cos \epsilon & =o\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right) & \cos \epsilon & =o\left(\epsilon^{-1 / 3}\right) \\
e^{-1 / \epsilon} & =o\left(\epsilon^{-10^{-8}}\right) & \epsilon^{-10^{10}}=o\left(e^{1 / \epsilon}\right) \\
\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} & =o\left(\epsilon^{-0.00001}\right) & \ln \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} & =o\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \\
e^{2 / \epsilon} & =o\left(e^{\left(e^{1 / \epsilon}\right.}\right) & \left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{2} & =o\left(\epsilon^{-0.00001}\right)
\end{array}
$$

### 1.5. Asymptotic Series

We consider the value of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega e^{-x}}{\omega+x} d x \tag{1.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $\omega$. One method of determining an approximation to $f(\omega)$ is Laplace's method, which is discussed in Section 3.3. It consists of expanding the coefficient of $\exp (-x)$ in powers of $x$ and then integrating the resulting series term by term. Using the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{\omega+x}=\frac{1}{1+\omega^{-1} x}=1-\frac{x}{\omega}+\frac{x^{2}}{\omega^{2}}-\frac{x^{3}}{\omega^{3}}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n}}{\omega^{n}} \tag{1.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges for $x<\omega$. The basic idea underlying Laplace's method is that $\exp (-x)$ tends to zero faster than any power of $x$ tends to infinity for large $x$.

Hence, only the immediate neighborhood of the origin contributes to the integral when $\omega$ is large. Substituting (1.63) into (1.62), we have

$$
f(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n} e^{-x}}{\omega^{n}} d x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{\omega^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x} d x
$$

But,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x} d x=n!
$$

when $n$ is an integer. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{\omega^{n}} \tag{1.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio test in (1.64), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!\omega^{n-1}}{\omega^{n}(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n}{\omega}=-\infty
$$

Hence, the series (1.64) diverges for all values of $\omega$. To investigate whether (1.64) is of any value for computing $f(\omega)$, we determine the remainder if we truncate the series after $N$ terms. To do this, we note that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n}}{\omega^{n}}
$$

is a geometric series whose sum is

$$
\frac{1-\left(\frac{-x}{\omega}\right)^{N+1}}{1+\frac{x}{\omega}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\omega}{\omega+x}=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n}}{\omega^{n}}+\hat{R}_{N}(x, \omega)
$$

where

$$
\hat{R}_{N}=\frac{\omega}{\omega+x}-\frac{1-\left(\frac{-x}{\omega}\right)^{N+1}}{1+\frac{x}{\omega}}=\frac{\left(\frac{-x}{\omega}\right)^{N+1}}{1+\frac{x}{\omega}}=\frac{(-x)^{N+1}}{\omega^{N}(\omega+x)}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{\omega+x}=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n}}{\omega^{n}}+\frac{(-x)^{N+1}}{\omega^{N}(\omega+x)} \tag{1.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.65) with $\exp (-x)$ and integrating the result from $x=0$ to $x=\infty$, we obtain

$$
f(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega e^{-x}}{\omega+x} d x=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{\omega^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x} d x+R_{N}(\omega)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{\omega^{n}}+R_{N}(\omega) \tag{1.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}(\omega)=\frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{\omega^{N}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{N+1} e^{-x}}{\omega+x} d x \tag{1.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the remainder is a function of both $N$ and $\omega$.
Instead of using the ratio test, one can check the behavior of $R_{N}(\omega)$ for fixed $\omega$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In order for the series to converge, $\lim _{N+\infty} R_{N}$ must be zero. This is not true in our example; in fact, $R_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ so that the series diverges for all values of $\omega$ in agreement with the result of the ratio test. Thus, if the series (1.66) is to be useful, $N$ must be fixed. Hence, let us investigate the behavior of $R_{N}(\omega)$ for fixed $N$. To accomplish this, we need to estimate $R_{N}(\omega)$.

Since $\omega$ and $x$ are positive

$$
\frac{1}{\omega+x}<\frac{1}{x}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{N}(\omega)\right|=\frac{1}{\omega^{N}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{N+1} e^{-x}}{\omega+x} d x<\frac{1}{\omega^{N}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{N} e^{-x} d x=\frac{N!}{\omega^{N}} \tag{1.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the crror committed in truncating the series after $N$ terms is numerically less than the first neglected term, namely the $(N+1)$ th term. Moreover, as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ with $N$ fixed, $R_{N} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, although the series (1.64) diverges, for a fixed $N$ the first $N$ terms in the series can represent $f(\omega)$ with an error that can be made arbitrarily small by taking $\omega$ sufficiently large. Such a series is called an asymptotic series of the Poincare type and is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{\omega^{n}} \tag{1.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, given a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} / \omega^{n}\right)$, where $a_{n}$ is independent of $\omega$, we say that the series is an asymptotic series and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{\omega^{n}} \quad \text { as } \quad \omega \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{a_{n}}{\omega^{n}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{N}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \omega \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.71) that

$$
f(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{a_{n}}{\omega^{n}}+\frac{a_{N}}{\omega^{N}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{N}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \omega \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence the condition (1.71) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{a_{n}}{\omega^{n}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{N}}\right) \tag{1.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should note that the utility of an asymptotic series lies in the fact that the error committed in truncating the series is by definition the order of the first neglected term, and hence, it tends rapidly to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. In applications, one usually fixes $\omega$ at a large value and attempts to reduce the error by adding more terms. But if the series is divergent, a point is reached beyond which adding terms increases rather than decreases the error, as illustrated in Figure 1-4. Therefore, for a given $\omega$ there is an optimum value of $N$, which yields the


Figure 1-4. Behavior of terms in a divergent asymptotic series.
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smallest error. In practice, one rarely determines more than one or two terms in the expansion, and hence, one need not worry about the divergence of the series. In the cases in which many terms can be calculated, they are usually obtained by performing the algebraic manipulations on a digital computer. The series is then examined to reveal its analytic structure, and then, it is transformed appropriately using rational fractions, natural coordinates, or the Euler transformation. Improvement of divergent series is not discussed in this book and we refer the reader to van Dyke (1975) and his references.

### 1.6. Asymptotic Expansions and Sequences

As shown in Section 1.3, there are many functions whose behavior cannot be represented in terms of a power series of the small parameter. Moreover, we found that the powers $\epsilon$ must be supplemented by its logarithm, exponential, logarithm of its logarithm, and so on. Thus, to determine an asymptotic representation of a given function, we may be unable to use powers of $\epsilon$ alone. Instead, we can use a general sequence of functions $\delta_{n}(\epsilon)$ as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}(\epsilon)=o\left[\delta_{n-1}(\epsilon)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a sequence is called an asymptotic sequence. Examples of such asymptotic sequences are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{n} \quad \epsilon^{n / 3} \quad(\ln \epsilon)^{-n} \quad(\sin \epsilon)^{n} \quad(\cot \epsilon)^{-n} \tag{1.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of asymptotic sequences, we can define asymptotic expansions as follows. Given $\Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \delta_{n}(\epsilon)$, where the $a_{n}$ are independent of $\epsilon$ and $\delta_{n}(\epsilon)$ is an asymptotic sequence, we say that this expansion is an asymptotic expansion and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\epsilon) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \delta_{n}(\epsilon) \quad \text { as } \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, an asymptotic series is a special case of an asymptotic expansion.
We note that an asymptotic representation of a given $f(\epsilon)$ is not unique. In fact, $f(\epsilon)$ can be represented by an infinite number of asymptotic expansions because there exists an infinite number of asymptotic sequences that can be used in the representation. However, given an asymptotic sequence $\delta_{n}(\epsilon)$, the representation of $f(\epsilon)$ in terms of this sequence is unique as shown below. Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\epsilon) \sim a_{0} \delta_{0}(\epsilon)+a_{1} \delta_{1}(\epsilon)+a_{2} \delta_{2}(\epsilon)+\cdots \tag{1.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (1.77) by $\delta_{0}(\epsilon)$, we have

$$
\frac{f(\epsilon)}{\delta_{0}(\epsilon)} \sim a_{0}+a_{1} \frac{\delta_{1}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{0}(\epsilon)}+a_{2} \frac{\delta_{2}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{0}(\epsilon)}+\cdots
$$

which, upon letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, yields

$$
a_{0}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\epsilon)}{\delta_{0}(\epsilon)} \quad \text { because } \quad \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_{n}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{0}(\epsilon)}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

Moving $a_{0} \delta_{0}(\epsilon)$ to the left and dividing the resulting equation by $\delta_{1}(\epsilon)$, we have

$$
\frac{f(\epsilon)-a_{0} \delta_{0}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{1}(\epsilon)} \sim a_{1}+a_{2} \frac{\delta_{2}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{1}(\epsilon)}+\cdots
$$

which, upon letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, yields

$$
a_{1}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\epsilon)-a_{0} \delta_{0}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{1}(\epsilon)}
$$

Continuing the process, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\epsilon)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} a_{m} \delta_{m}(\epsilon)}{\delta_{n}(\epsilon)} \tag{1.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.7. Convergent Versus Asymptotic Series

In Chapter 13, we determine the two different representations

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{0}(x)=1-\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}+\frac{x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}-\frac{x^{6}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2}}+\cdots  \tag{1.80}\\
J_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[u \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+v \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.81}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=1-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2}}{4^{2} \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 2!x^{2}}+\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2}}{4^{4} \cdot 2^{4} \cdot 4!x^{4}}+\cdots  \tag{1.82}\\
& v(x)=\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 x}-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2}}{4^{3} \cdot 2^{3} \cdot 3!x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{1.83}
\end{align*}
$$

of Bessel's function of order zero. The series (1.80) is uniformly and absolutely convergent for all values of $x$, whereas the series $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, and hence, (1.81) diverge for all values of $x$. However, the representation (1.81) is asymptotic because the error committed by truncating the series is the order of the first neglected term.
For small $x$, the first few terms in (1.80) give fairly accurate results. In fact, the first nine terms give a value of $J_{0}(2)$ correct to 11 significant figures. However, as $x$ increases, the number of terms needed to yield the same accuracy increases rapidly. At $x=4$, eight terms are needed to give an accuracy of three
significant figures, whereas the first term of the asymptotic expansion (1.81) yields the same accuracy. As $x$ increases further, an accurate result is obtained with far less labor by using the asymptotic divergent series (1.81). In fact, for very large values of $x$, the convergent series is useless from the computational point of view, owing to the finite word length of modern computers. Thus, any attempt to evaluate $J_{0}(x)$ for large $x$ using the convergent series (1.80) fails beyond a given value of $x$; to be sure, this value depends on the skill of the programmer.

### 1.8. Elementary Operations on Asymptotic Expansions

To determine approximate solutions of algebraic, differential, and integral equations and to evaluate integrals, we assume expansions, substitute them into the equations, and perform on them elementary operations such as addition, subtraction, multiplication, exponentiation, integration, and differentiation. Some of these operations are not justified. When they are not justified, they lead to singularities and nonuniformities. For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{x+\epsilon} & =\sqrt{x}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{x}\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{x}\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{x}+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{x}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right] \\
& =\sqrt{x}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{2 x}-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8 x^{2}}+\cdots\right) \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.84}
\end{align*}
$$

is not justified when $\epsilon / x=O(1)$ because the second term becomes the order of the first term and the third term becomes the order of the first term. Thus, the error committed by truncating the series after $N$ terms is not $O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)$, the order of the first neglected term if $x=O(\epsilon)$, and we speak of a nonuniform expansion. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\epsilon x}=1-\epsilon x+\epsilon^{2} x^{2}-\epsilon^{3} x^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not justified when $\epsilon x=O(1)$, because the error committed in truncating the series after $N$ terms is not $O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)$, the order of the first neglected term. Consequently, one needs always to check whether the obtained expansions are uniform or not. This is the major objective of perturbation methods.

## Exercises

1.1. For small $\epsilon$, determine three terms in the expansions of
(a) $\left(1-\frac{3}{8} a^{2} \epsilon+\frac{51}{256} a^{4} \epsilon^{2}\right)^{-1}$
(b) $\cos (\sqrt{1-\epsilon} t)$
(c) $\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon+2 \epsilon^{2}}$
(d) $\sin \left(1+\epsilon-\epsilon^{2}\right)$
1.2. Expand each of the following expressions for small $\epsilon$ and keep three terms:
(a) $\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t}$
(b) $(1+\epsilon \cos f)^{-1}$
(c) $\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}\right)^{-2}$
(d) $\sin \left(s+\epsilon \omega_{1} s+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2} s\right)$
(e) $\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}\right)$
(f) $\ln \frac{1+2 \epsilon-\epsilon^{2}}{\sqrt[3]{1+2 \epsilon}}$
1.3. Let $\mu=\mu_{0}+e \mu_{1}+e^{2} \mu_{2}$ in $h=\frac{3}{2}[1-\sqrt{1-3 \mu(1-\mu)}]$, expand for small $e$, and keep three terms.
1.4. For small $\epsilon$, determine the order of the functions

$$
\sinh \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \ln (1+\sin \epsilon) \quad \ln (2+\sin \epsilon) \quad e^{\ln (1-\epsilon)}
$$

1.5. Determine the order of the following expressions as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \quad 4 \pi^{2} \epsilon \quad 1000 \epsilon^{1 / 2} \quad \ln (1+\epsilon) \quad \frac{1-\cos \epsilon}{1+\cos \epsilon} \frac{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}{1-\cos \epsilon} \\
\operatorname{sech}^{-1} \epsilon \quad e^{\tan \epsilon} \ln \left[1+\frac{\ln (1+2 \epsilon)}{\epsilon(1-2 \epsilon)}\right] \ln \left[1+\frac{\ln \frac{1+2 \epsilon}{\epsilon}}{1-2 \epsilon}\right] \\
e^{-\cosh (1 / \epsilon)} \quad \int_{0}^{\epsilon} e^{-s^{2}} d s
\end{gathered}
$$

1.6. Determine the order of the following expressions as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln (1+5 \epsilon) \quad \sin ^{-1} \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}} \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sin \epsilon} \quad 1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\cos \epsilon \\
& \ln \left(\sinh \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

1.7. Determine the order of the following as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\ln (\cot \epsilon) \quad \sinh \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \frac{\epsilon^{3 / 4}}{1-\cos \epsilon} \ln \left[1+\ln \frac{1+2 \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right]
$$

1.8. Arrange the following in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{2} \quad \epsilon^{1 / 2} \quad \ln \left(\ln \epsilon^{-1}\right) \quad 1 & \epsilon^{1 / 2} \ln \epsilon^{-1} \quad \epsilon \ln \epsilon^{-1} \quad c^{-1 / \epsilon} \quad \ln \epsilon^{-1} \\
\epsilon^{3 / 2} & \epsilon \quad \epsilon^{2} \ln \epsilon^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

1.9. Arrange the following in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \epsilon^{-1 / 100} \quad \cot (\epsilon) \quad \sinh \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

1.10. Arrange the following in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln (1+\epsilon) \quad \cot (\epsilon) \quad \tanh \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \frac{\sin (\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{3 / 4}} \\
& \epsilon \ln (\epsilon) \quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \sinh \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.11. Arrange the following terms in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
e^{-1 / \epsilon} & \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) & \frac{1}{\epsilon} & \epsilon^{1 / 2} & \left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{2} & \epsilon^{1 / 2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \\
e^{1 / \epsilon} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}} & 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon^{3 / 2} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}} \quad e^{e^{1 / \epsilon}} e^{-e^{1 / \epsilon}} \ln \left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \\
\epsilon^{0.0001} & \epsilon^{-0.0001} & \epsilon^{0.0001} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) & 5^{1 / \epsilon} 5^{-1 / \epsilon}
\end{array}
$$

1.12. Arrange the following terms in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon^{\nu} \quad \epsilon^{-\nu} \quad \epsilon^{\mu} \quad \epsilon^{-\mu} \quad 1 \quad \epsilon^{3 / 2} \quad \epsilon^{-3 / 2} \quad \exp \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \ln \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right] \\
{\left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{2} \quad \epsilon \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \epsilon / \ln \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \epsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\nu=10^{-100}$ and $\mu=10^{100}$.
1.13. Arrange the following in descending order for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ln (1+\epsilon) & \operatorname{sech}^{-1}(\epsilon) & \frac{1-\cos \epsilon}{1+\cos \epsilon} \sqrt{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}
\end{array} e^{-\cosh (1 / \epsilon)}
$$

1.14. Which of the following expansions is nonuniformly valid and what are its regions of nonuniformity?
(a) $\sqrt{2} t=\frac{2}{3} x^{3 / 2}+\epsilon\left(\frac{2}{3} x^{3 / 2}+\sqrt{x}-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{1-\sqrt{x}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{1-x}\right)$
(b) $\eta=\epsilon \cos x+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} \frac{1+\gamma^{2}}{1-2 \gamma^{2}} \cos 2 x+\frac{3}{16} \epsilon^{3} \frac{2 \gamma^{4}+7 \gamma^{2}+2}{\left(1-2 \gamma^{2}\right)\left(1-3 \gamma^{2}\right)} \cos 3 x$

$$
+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)
$$

(c) $\sigma=\sqrt{k^{2}-1}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} k^{2}}{\sqrt{k^{2}-1}}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$
(d) $f=1-\epsilon x+\epsilon^{2} x^{2}-\epsilon^{3} x^{3}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)$
(e) $u=a \cos \left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}\right) t+\frac{\epsilon a^{3}}{32} \cos 3\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}\right) t+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$
(f) $u=a \cos t+\frac{\epsilon a^{3}}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \cos 3 t-3 t \sin t\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$
(g) $c=1+\frac{2 \epsilon}{\tau-1}+\frac{3 \epsilon^{2}}{(\tau-1)^{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$
(h) $y \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{x^{2}(1-x)}} \cos \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{x(1-x)} d x\right] \quad$ as $\quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$
(i) $f=\sin x+\epsilon \cos x-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} \sin x-\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{3} \cos x+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)$

## CHAPTER 2

## Algebraic Equations

In this chapter, we discuss approximate solutions of algebraic equations that depend on a small parameter. The solution is represented as an asymptotic expansion in terms of the small parameter. Such expansions are called parameter perturbations. To describe the method, we begin by applying it to quadratic equations because their exact solutions are easily obtained for comparison. We consider cubic equations in Section 2.2, higher-order equations in Section 2.3, and transcendental equations in Section 2.4.

### 2.1. Quadratic Equations

We begin with quadratic equations because their exact solutions are available for comparison. We consider three examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

As a first example, we determine the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-(3+2 \epsilon) x+2+\epsilon=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\epsilon$. When $\epsilon=0$, (2.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-3 x+2=(x-2)(x-1)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose roots are $x=1$ and 2 . Equation (2.1) is called the perturbed equation, whereas (2.2) is called the unperturbed or reduced equation. When $\epsilon$ is small but finite, we expect the roots to deviate slightly from 1 and 2 . The first step in determining an approximate solution is to assume the form of the expansion. In this case, we assume that the roots have expansions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ellipses stand for all terms with powers of $\epsilon^{n}$ for which $n \geqslant 3$. In most applications, one calculates only one or two terms in the expansion because the algebra needed to calculate the higher-order terms is so involved. The algebra is
relegated to a digital computer when possible. We should note that in many physical problems, especially nonlinear problems, determination of higher-order terms is not straightforward even if the routine algebra is relegated to a digital computer. In this book, we are concerned only with the first few terms in the expansion. Usually, one refers to the first term $x_{0}$ as the zeroth-order term, the second term $\epsilon x_{1}$ as the first-order term, and the third term $\epsilon^{2} x_{2}$ as the secondorder term. In other words, the order of a term is decided by the gauge function rather than its numerical order.

The second step involves substituting the assumed expansion (2.3) into the governing equation (2.1). The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2}-(3+2 \epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)+2+\epsilon=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third step involves carrying out elementary operations such as addition, subtraction, multiplication, exponentiation, and so on, and then, collecting coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$. Using the binomial theorem to expand the first term, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} & =x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0}\left(\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)+\left(\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& =x_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}+2 \epsilon^{2} x_{0} x_{2}+\epsilon^{2} x_{1}^{2}+2 \epsilon^{3} x_{1} x_{2}+\epsilon^{4} x_{2}^{2}+ \\
& =x_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where only terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ have been retained, consistent with the assumed expansion (2.3). Had we sought an expansion of $O\left(\epsilon^{n}\right)$, where $n \geqslant 3$, we would have included terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{n}\right)$ in (2.5). Multiplying the factors in the second term in (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(3+2 \epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right) & =3 x_{0}+3 \epsilon x_{1}+3 \epsilon^{2} x_{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0}+2 \epsilon^{2} x_{1} \\
+2 \epsilon^{3} x_{2} & =3 x_{0}+\epsilon\left(3 x_{1}+2 x_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left(3 x_{2}+2 x_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here again, only terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ have been retained, consistent with the assumed expansion. Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)-3 x_{0}-\epsilon\left(3 x_{1}\right. & \left.+2 x_{0}\right) \\
& -\epsilon^{2}\left(3 x_{2}+2 x_{1}\right)+2+\epsilon+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{0}+2\right)+\epsilon\left(2 x_{0} x_{1}-3 x_{1}-2 x_{0}+1\right) & +\epsilon^{2}\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}\right)+\cdots=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The fourth step involves equating the coefficient of each power of $\epsilon$ to zero. To justify this step, we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7). The result is
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$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{0}+2=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.7) becomes

$$
c\left(2 x_{0} x_{1} \quad 3 x_{1} \quad 2 x_{0}+1\right)+e^{2}\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Dividing by $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{0} x_{1}-3 x_{1}-2 x_{0}+1+\epsilon\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}\right)+\cdots=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{0} x_{1}-3 x_{1}-2 x_{0}+1=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (2.9) becomes

$$
\epsilon\left(2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

which, when divided by $\epsilon$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}+O(\epsilon)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{0} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{1}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (2.8), (2.10), and (2.12) can be obtained directly from (2.7) by equating the coefficient of each power of $\epsilon$ to zero.

The fifth step involves solving the simplified equations (2.8), (2.10), and (2.12) in succession. Equation (2.8) is the same as the reduced equation (2.2), and hence, its solutions are

$$
x_{0}=1,2
$$

With $x_{0}$ known, we can solve (2.10) for $x_{1}$. We note that (2.10) is linear in $x_{1}$. In most problems, all perturbation equations are linear, except perhaps the first. When $x_{0}=1,(2.10)$ becomes

$$
x_{1}+1=0 \quad \text { or } \quad x_{1}=-1
$$

With $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ known, we can solve (2.12) for $x_{2}$. When $x_{0}=1, x_{1}=-1$ and (2.12) becomes

$$
x_{2}-3=0 \quad \text { or } \quad x_{2}=3
$$

When $x_{0}=2,(2.10)$ becomes

$$
x_{1}-3=0 \quad \text { or } \quad x_{1}=3
$$

Then, (2.12) becomes

$$
x_{2}+3=0 \quad \text { or } \quad x_{2}=-3
$$

The last step involves substituting the values obtained for $x_{0}, x_{1}$, and $x_{2}$ into
the assumed expansion (2.3). When $x_{1}=1, x_{1}=-1$ and $x_{2}=3$; therefore, it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1-\epsilon+3 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{0}=2, x_{1}=3$ and $x_{2}=-3$; therefore, it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2+3 \epsilon-3 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.13) and (2.14) provide approximations for the two roots of (2.1). To determine how good these approximations are, we compare them with the exact solution

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[3+2 \epsilon \mp \sqrt{(3+2 \epsilon)^{2}-4(2+\epsilon)}\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[3+2 \epsilon \mp \sqrt{1+8 \epsilon+4 \epsilon^{2}}\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+8 \epsilon+4 \epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & =1+\frac{1}{2}\left(8 \epsilon+4 \epsilon^{2}\right)+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!}\left(8 \epsilon+4 \epsilon^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots \\
& =1+4 \epsilon+2 \epsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{8}\left(64 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right)=1+4 \epsilon-6 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

which, when substituted into (2.15), gives

$$
x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left(3+2 \epsilon+1+4 \epsilon-6 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(3+2 \epsilon-1-4 \epsilon+6 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or

$$
x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2+3 \epsilon-3 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots  \tag{2.16}\\
1-\epsilon+3 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots
\end{array}\right.
$$

in agreement with (2.13) and (2.14).

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider an equation whose roots may involve fractional rather than integral powers of $\epsilon$. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-1)(x-\tau)=-\epsilon x \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon=0,(2.17)$ reduces to

$$
(x-1)(x-\tau)=0
$$

whose roots are $x=1$ and $\tau$. This suggests that we seek approximations to the roots of (2.17) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again, we are stopping at $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. Hence, the resulting expansion is called a second-order expansion. Substituting (2.18) into (2.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(x_{0}-1+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)\left(x_{0}-\tau+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right) \\
&=-\epsilon\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, upon expanding, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0}-1\right)\left(x_{0}-\tau\right)+\epsilon\left(x_{0}-1\right) x_{1}+ & \epsilon^{2}\left(x_{0}-1\right) x_{2}+\epsilon\left(x_{0}-\tau\right) x_{1} \\
& +\epsilon^{2} x_{1}^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\left(x_{0}-\tau\right) x_{2}+\epsilon x_{0}+\epsilon^{2} x_{1}+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(x_{0}-1\right)\left(x_{0}-\tau\right)+\epsilon\left[\left(2 x_{0}-1-\tau\right) x_{1}+x_{0}\right] \\
&+\epsilon^{2}\left[\left(2 x_{0}-1-\tau\right) x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}\right]+\cdots=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, only terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ have been retained, consistent with the assumed expansion. Equating the coefficient of each power of $\epsilon$ in (2.19) to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(x_{0}-1\right)\left(x_{0}-\tau\right)=0 \\
\left(2 x_{0}-1-\tau\right) x_{1}+x_{0}=0 \\
\left(2 x_{0}-1-\tau\right) x_{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{array}
$$

which can be solved in succession for $x_{0}, x_{1}$, and $x_{2}$.
The solutions of (2.20) are

$$
x_{0}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad \tau
$$

When $x_{0}=1,(2.21)$ becomes

$$
(1-\tau) x_{1}+1=0 \quad \text { so that } \quad x_{1}=-\frac{1}{1-\tau}
$$

Then, (2.22) becomes

$$
(1-\tau) x_{2}=-\frac{1}{(1-\tau)^{2}}+\frac{1}{1-\tau}=-\frac{\tau}{(1-\tau)^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
x_{2}=-\frac{\tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}
$$

Hence, one of the roots is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\tau}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{0}=\tau$, (2.21) becomes

$$
(\tau-1) x_{1}+\tau=0 \quad \text { so that } \quad x_{1}=\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}
$$

Then, (2.22) becomes

$$
(\tau-1) x_{2}=-\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}-\frac{\tau^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{2}}=-\frac{\tau}{(1-\tau)^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
x_{2}=\frac{\tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}
$$

Hence, the second root is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\tau+\frac{\epsilon \tau}{1-\tau}+\frac{\epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.23) and (2.24) show that the above expansions break down (i.e., are nonuniform) as $\tau \rightarrow 1$ because the "corrections" to the solution of the reduced equation tend to $\infty$. In fact, $\tau$ need not be exactly equal to 1 for the above expansions to break down. The expansions break down whenever the first-order term, second-order term, and so on are the order of the zeroth-order term, because the corrections to the zeroth-order term will not be small, contrary to the assumption underlying the method. To determine the order of $\tau-1$ for which the above expansions break down (i.e., region of nonuniformity), we determine the conditions under which successive terms are the same order. It follows from (2.23) that the zeroth-and first-order terms are the same order when

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{1-\tau}=O(1) \quad \text { or } \quad 1-\tau=O(\epsilon)
$$

whereas the first-and second-order terms are the same order when

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{1-\tau}=O\left[\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{3}}\right] \quad \text { or } \quad(1-\tau)^{2}=O(\epsilon)
$$

or

$$
1-\tau=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Since for small $\epsilon, \epsilon^{1 / 2}$ is bigger than $\epsilon$, the region of nonuniformity is $1-\tau=$ $O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$, the larger of the above two regions.
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As discussed in Chapter 1, nonuniformities in the expansions arise whenever an elementary operation used in obtaining the expansions is not justified. To determine this operation, we investigate the exact solution. To this end, we rewrite (2.17) as

$$
x^{2}-x-\tau x+\tau+\epsilon x=0
$$

or

$$
x^{2}-(1+\tau-\epsilon) x+\tau=0
$$

whose roots are given by

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\tau-\epsilon \mp \sqrt{(1+\tau-\epsilon)^{2}-4 \tau}\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\tau-\epsilon \mp \sqrt{(1-\tau)^{2}-2 \epsilon(1+\tau)+\epsilon^{2}}\right] \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we expand (2.25) for small $\epsilon$ and compare the result with (2.23) and (2.24). Using the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(1-\tau)^{2}-2 \epsilon(1+\tau)+\epsilon^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=(1-\tau)\left[1-\frac{2 \epsilon(1+\tau)-\epsilon^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \quad=(1-\tau)\left\{1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \epsilon(1+\tau)-\epsilon^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{2}}+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} \frac{\left[2 \epsilon(1+\tau)-\epsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{4}}+\cdots\right\} \\
& \quad=(1-\tau)\left[1-\frac{\epsilon(1+\tau)}{(1-\tau)^{2}}+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2(1-\tau)^{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{4 \epsilon^{2}(1+\tau)^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{4}}+\cdots\right] \\
& \quad=(1-\tau)\left[1-\frac{\epsilon(1+\tau)}{(1-\tau)^{2}}-\frac{2 \epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{4}}+\cdots\right] \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where again only terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ have been retained, consistent with the assumed expansion. Putting (2.26) into (2.25) with the positive sign gives one of the roots as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\tau-\epsilon+1-\tau-\frac{\epsilon(1+\tau)}{1-\tau}-\frac{2 \epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots\right] \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
x=1-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\tau}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots
$$

in agreement with (2.23). Putting (2.26) into (2.25) with the negative sign gives the second root as

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\tau-\epsilon-1+\tau+\frac{\epsilon(1+\tau)}{1-\tau}+\frac{2 \epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\tau+\frac{\epsilon \tau}{1-\tau}+\frac{\epsilon^{2} \tau}{(1-\tau)^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (2.24).
In arriving at (2.27) and (2.28) from the exact solution, we performed only the exponentiation operation in (2.26) and the addition and subtraction operations in (2.27) and (2.28). The subtraction and addition operations are usually justified, and hence, the exponentiation operation is the suspect operation. In approximating

$$
(1-u)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { by } \quad 1-\frac{1}{2} u+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} u^{2}+\cdots
$$

we made the implicit assumption that $|u|<1$. In the present example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{2 \epsilon(1+\tau)-\epsilon^{2}}{(1-\tau)^{2}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose magnitude is small compared with 1 only when $r$ is away from 1 . In fact, at $\tau=1, u=\infty$, irrespective of how small $\varepsilon$ is as long as it is different from zero. It follows from (2.29) that the binomial expansion is not justified when $u=$ $O(1)$ or $(1-\tau)^{2}=O(\varepsilon)$ or $1-\tau=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$.

Therefore, to obtain a uniform expansion when $1-\tau=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$, we need to modify the above procedure by taking this fact into account. This can be formalized by introducing a so-called detuning parameter $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\tau=\epsilon^{1 / 2} \sigma \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is independent of $\epsilon$. Putting (2.30) in (2.17) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-1)\left(x-1+\epsilon^{1 / 2} \sigma\right)=-\epsilon x \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon=0,(2.31)$ reduces to

$$
(x-1)^{2}=0
$$

which yields the double root $x=1$. This fact and the presence of $\epsilon^{1 / 2}$ in (2.31) suggest trying an expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1+\epsilon^{1 / 2} x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We stop at $O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ because obtaining the higher-order terms is straightforward. -Substituting the first.two terms in (2.32) into (2.31) gives
or

$$
\epsilon x_{1}^{2}+\epsilon \sigma x_{1}+\epsilon+\cdots=0
$$

Hence,

$$
x_{1}^{2}+\sigma x_{1}+1=0
$$

whose roots are

$$
x_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\sigma \mp \sqrt{\sigma^{2}-4}\right)
$$

Therefore, the roots of (2.17) in this case are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\sigma+\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-4}\right)+\cdots \\
& x=1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\sigma-\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-4}\right)+\cdots \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which are regular at $\sigma=0$ or $\tau=1$.

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon x^{2}+x+1=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the small parameter multiplies the highest power of $x$. Since (2.34) is a quadratic equation, it has two roots. However, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, (2.34) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+1=0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of first order, and hence, it has only one solution. Thus, $x$ is discontinuous at $\epsilon=0$. Such perturbation problems are called singular perturbation problems.
Equation (2.35) suggests trying the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for one of the roots. To minimize the algebra, we determine only first-order expansions in the remainder of this chapter. Putting (2.36) in (2.34), we have

$$
\epsilon\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{2}+x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots+1=0
$$

or

$$
\epsilon\left(x_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}\right)+x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+1+\cdots=0
$$

or

$$
x_{0}+1+c\left(x_{1}+x_{0}^{2}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}+1 & =0 \\
x_{1}+x_{0}^{2} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be solved successively for $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$. Hence, $x_{0}=-1$ and $x_{1}=-x_{0}^{2}=$ -1 so that one of the roots is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=-1-\epsilon+\cdots \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as expected, the above procedure yielded only one root. To devise a modified procedure for determining the other root, we investigate the exact solution, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}(-1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 \epsilon}) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{1-4 \epsilon} & =1-2 \epsilon+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!}(-4 \epsilon)^{2}+\cdots \\
& =1-2 \epsilon-2 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.39) into (2.38) with the positive sign yields one of the roots as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{-1+1-2 \epsilon-2 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots}{2 \epsilon}=-1-\epsilon+\cdots \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (2.37). Substituting (2.39) into (2.38) with the negative sign yields the other root as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{-1-1+2 \epsilon+2 \epsilon^{2}+\cdots}{2 \epsilon}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1+\epsilon+\cdots \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, both of the roots go in powers of $\epsilon$ but one starts with $\epsilon^{-1}$. Hence, it is not surprising that the assumed form (2.36) of the expansion failed to produce the root (2.41). Consequently, one cannot determine the second root by a perturbation technique unless its form is known. However, for a general problem whose exact solution is not known, the form of the roots is not known a priori and must be determined as part of the solution. In those cases, we recognize that, if the order of the equation is not to be reduced, the other roots tend to $\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and hence, assume that the leading term has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{y}{\epsilon^{\nu}}+\cdots \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ must be greater than zero and needs to be determined in the course of analysis. Substituting (2.42) into (2.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} y^{2}+\epsilon^{-\nu} y+1+\cdots=0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we extract the dominant terms in (2.43). To recover the second root, we must keep the first term $\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} y^{2}$; otherwise, we will end up where we started.
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Since $\nu>0$, the second term is much bigger than 1 . Hence, the dominant part of (2.43) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} y^{2}+\epsilon^{-\nu} y=0 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which demands the powers of $\epsilon$ be the same. That is,

$$
1-2 \nu=-\nu \quad \text { or } \quad \nu=1
$$

for $y$ to be different from zero. Then, it follows from (2.44) that

$$
y=0 \quad \text { or } \quad-1
$$

The first value $y=0$ corresponds to the first root (2.37) since in the region $O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$ it appears to be zero, whereas $y=-1$ corresponds to the second root. Thus, it follows from (2.42) that to the first approximation the second root is given by

$$
x=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\cdots
$$

in agreement with (2.41). To determine more terms in the expansion of the second root, we try

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+x_{0}+\cdots \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.45) into (2.34) yields

$$
\epsilon\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+x_{0}+\cdots\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+x_{0}+\cdots+1=0
$$

or

$$
\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}-\frac{2 x_{0}}{\epsilon}+x_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right)-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+x_{0}+1+\cdots=0
$$

or

$$
-2 x_{0}+x_{0}+1+O(\epsilon)=0
$$

Hence, $x_{0}=1$ and (2.45) becomes

$$
x=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1+\cdots
$$

in agreement with (2.41).
Alternatively, once $\nu$ has been determined, we view (2.42) as a transformation from $x$ to $y$. Then, putting $x=y / \epsilon$ in (2.34) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+y+\epsilon=0 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved to determine both roots because $\epsilon$ does not multiply the highest order.

### 2.2. Cubic Equations

In this section, we also consider three examples. The roots of the first example can be expressed in powers of the small parameter $\epsilon$, the roots of the second example need to be expressed in fractional powers of $\epsilon$, and some of the roots of the third start with inverse powers of $\epsilon$.

## EXAMPLE 1

We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-(6+\epsilon) x^{2}+(11+2 \epsilon) x-6+\epsilon^{2}=0 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We try an expansion in powers of $\epsilon$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\varepsilon x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.48) into (2.47) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}-(6+\epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{2}+(11+2 \epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right) \\
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
-6+\epsilon^{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
x_{0}^{3}+3 \epsilon x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-(6+\epsilon)\left(x_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}\right)+(11+2 \epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}\right)-6+\epsilon^{2}+\cdots=0
$$

or

$$
x_{0}^{3}+3 \epsilon x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-6 x_{0}^{2}-12 \epsilon x_{0} x_{1}-\epsilon x_{0}^{2}+11 x_{0}+11 \epsilon x_{1}+2 \epsilon x_{0}-6+\cdots=0
$$

Collecting coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$ gives

$$
x_{0}^{3}-6 x_{0}^{2}+11 x_{0}-6+\epsilon\left(3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-12 x_{0} x_{1}+11 x_{1}-x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

where terms up to $O(\epsilon)$ have been retained, consistent with the order of the assumed expansion. Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{0}^{3}-6 x_{0}^{2}+11 x_{0}-6=0 \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-12 x_{0} x_{1}+11 x_{1}-x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0}=0 \tag{2.50}
\end{array}
$$

Equation (2.49) can be rewritten in factored form as

$$
\left(x_{0}-1\right)\left(x_{0}-2\right)\left(x_{0}-3\right)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
x_{0}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \quad \text { or } \quad 3
$$

It follows from (2.50) that

$$
\left(3 x_{0}^{2}-12 x_{0}+11\right) x_{1}=x_{0}^{2}-2 x_{0}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\frac{x_{0}^{2}-2 x_{0}}{3 x_{0}^{2}-12 x_{0}+11} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{0}=1$, it follows from (2.51) that $x_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, one of the roots is given by

$$
x=1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon+\cdots
$$

When $x_{0}=2$, it follows from (2.51) that $x_{1}=0$. Hence, a second root is given by

$$
x=2+(0) \epsilon+\cdots
$$

When $x_{0}=3$, it follows from (2.51) that $x_{1}=\frac{3}{2}$. Hence, the third root is given by

$$
x=3+\frac{3}{2} \epsilon+\cdots
$$

Thus, in this case all roots go in powers of $\epsilon$.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-(4+\epsilon) x^{2}+(5-2 \epsilon) x-2+\epsilon^{2}=0 \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, let us try an expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.53) into (2.52) gives

$$
\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}-(4+\epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{2}+(5-2 \epsilon)\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)
$$

$$
-2+\epsilon^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
x_{0}^{3}-4 x_{0}^{2}+5 x_{0}-2+\epsilon\left(3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-8 x_{0} x_{1}-x_{0}^{2}+5 x_{1}-2 x_{0}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{0}^{3}-4 x_{0}^{2}+5 x_{0}-2=0 \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-8 x_{0} x_{1}-x_{0}^{2}+5 x_{1}-2 x_{0}=0 \tag{2.55}
\end{array}
$$

To solve (2.54), we factor its left-hand side and obtain

$$
\left(x_{0}-1\right)^{2}\left(x_{0}-2\right)=0
$$

which yields

$$
x_{0}=1, \quad 1, \quad \text { and } \quad 2
$$

To solve (2.55) for $x_{1}$, we first rewrite it as

$$
\left(3 x_{0}^{2}-8 x_{0}+5\right) x_{1}=x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\frac{x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0}}{3 x_{0}^{2}-8 x_{0}+5} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{0}=2$, it follows from (2.56) that $x_{1}=8$. Hence, one of the roots is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2+8 \epsilon+\cdots \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x_{0}=1$, it follows from (2.56) that $x_{1}=\infty$, indicating that the assumed form of the expansion is wrong.
To determine a valid expansion when $x_{0}=1$, we change the form of the expansion (2.53) to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1+\epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}+\cdots \quad \nu>0 \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and determine $\nu$ in the course of analysis. Putting (2.58) in (2.52), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1+\epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{3}-(4+\epsilon)\left(1+\epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
&+(5-2 \epsilon)\left(1+\epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}+\cdots\right)-2+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+3 \epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+3 \epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}+3 \epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{1}^{2}-4-8 \epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}-8 \epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}-4 \epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{1}^{2} \\
&-\epsilon-2 \epsilon^{1+\nu} x_{1}+5+5 \epsilon^{\nu} x_{1}+5 \epsilon^{2 \nu} x_{2}-2 \epsilon-2 \epsilon^{1+\nu} x_{1}-2+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x_{1}^{2} \epsilon^{2 \nu}-3 \epsilon+\cdots=0 \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order that the dominant terms in (2.59) balance each other, $2 v$ must be equal to 1 or $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$, and $x_{1}= \pm \sqrt{3} i$. Hence, it follows from (2.58) that the second and third roots are given by

$$
x=1 \pm \epsilon^{1 / 2} \sqrt{3} i+\cdots
$$

This example illustrates the fact that difficulties arise whenever the assumed form of the expansion is not correct. But once the form is corrected, a consistent solution is obtained. This is typical of perturbation problems.

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon x^{3}+x+2+\epsilon=0 \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the small parameter multiplies the highest power of $x$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0,(2.60)$ reduces to

$$
x+2=0
$$

and hence, we assume that one of the roots has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.61) into (2.60) yields

$$
\epsilon\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}+x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots+2+\epsilon=0
$$

or

$$
x_{0}+2+\epsilon\left(x_{1}+x_{0}^{3}+1\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{0}+2=0 \\
x_{1}+x_{0}^{3}+1=0
\end{array}
$$

Hence, $x_{0}=-2$ and $x_{1}=7$. Therefore, one of the roots is given by

$$
x=-2+7 \epsilon+\cdots
$$

To determine the other roots, we note that they tend to $\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ because $\epsilon$ multiplies the highest order. Hence, to determine expansions for these roots, we assume that their leading terms have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{y}{\epsilon^{\nu}}+\cdots \quad \nu>0 \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.62) into (2.60), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-3 \nu} y^{3}+\epsilon^{-\nu} y+2+\cdots=0 \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order that the dominant parts in (2.63) balance each other,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-3 \nu & =-\nu \quad \text { or } \quad \nu=\frac{1}{2} \\
y^{3}+y & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
y=0 \quad i \quad-i
$$

The case $y=0$ corresponds to the first root, and hence, it is discarded here.
To determine an improved approximation to the second and third roots, we use the above information and seek expansions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{y}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}+x_{0}+\cdots \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=i$ or $-i$. Substituting (2.64) into (2.60) gives

$$
\epsilon\left(\frac{y^{3}}{\epsilon^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3 y^{2} x_{0}}{\epsilon}+\cdots\right)+\frac{y}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}+x_{0}+\cdots+2+\epsilon=0
$$

or

$$
\epsilon^{-1 / 2}\left(y^{3}+y\right)+3 y^{2} x_{0}+x_{0}+2+\cdots=0
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{3}+y=0 \\
3 y^{2} x_{0}+x_{0}+2=0
\end{array}
$$

Hence, as before, $y= \pm i$ and

$$
x_{0}=-\frac{2}{3 y^{2}+1}=1
$$

Therefore, the second and third roots are given by

$$
x= \pm \frac{i}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}+1+\cdots
$$

### 2.3. Higher-Order Equations

In this section, we consider higher-order equations and concentrate on the case in which the small parameter multiplies the highest power of the unknown. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon x^{n}=x^{m}+a_{m-1} x^{m-1}+a_{m-2} x^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{s}$ are independent of $\epsilon$ and $x, n$ and $m$ are integers, and $n>m$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0,(2.65)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{m}+a_{m-1} x^{m-1}+a_{m-2} x^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0 \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the roots $\alpha_{s}$, where $s=1,2,3, \ldots, m$. To improve upon these roots, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (2.65) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{n}=\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{m}+a_{m-1}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{m-1} \\
&+a_{m-2}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}+\cdots\right)+a_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}^{m} & +a_{m-1} x_{0}^{m-1}+a_{m-2} x_{0}^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x_{0}+a_{0}+\epsilon\left[m x_{0}^{m-1}\right. \\
& \left.+(m-1) a_{m-1} x_{0}^{m-2}+(m-2) a_{m-2} x_{0}^{m-3}+\cdots+a_{1}\right] x_{1}-\epsilon x_{0}^{n}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0}^{m}+a_{m-1} x_{0}^{m-1}+a_{m-2} x_{0}^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x_{0}+a_{0}=0  \tag{2.68}\\
{\left[m x_{0}^{m-1}+(m-1) a_{m-1} x_{0}^{m-2}+(m-2) a_{m-2} x_{0}^{m-3}+\cdots+a_{1}\right] x_{1}=x_{0}^{n}} \tag{2.69}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.68) is the same as (2.66), and hence, has the roots $x_{0}=\alpha_{s}$, where $s=1,2,3, \ldots, m$. Then, it follows from (2.69) that

$$
x_{1}=\alpha_{s}^{n}\left[m \alpha_{s}^{m-1}+(m-1) a_{m-1} \alpha_{s}^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\right]^{-1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\alpha_{s}+\epsilon \alpha_{s}^{n}\left[m \alpha_{s}^{m-1}+(m-1) a_{m-1} \alpha_{s}^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\right]^{-1}+\cdots \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should note that (2.70) breaks down whenever the term inside the brackets vanishes. This corresponds to a multiple root of (2.68). In this case, the expansion goes in fractional powers of $\epsilon$ and one needs to follow the procedure used in Example 2 of the preceding section.
To determine the remaining $n-m$ roots, we note that they tend to $\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ because $\epsilon$ multiplies the highest power of $x$. Then, we assume expansions for them in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{y}{\epsilon^{\nu}}+x_{0}+\cdots \quad \nu>0 \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.71) into (2.65), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon\left(\frac{y^{n}}{\epsilon^{n \nu}}+\frac{n y^{n-1} x_{0}}{\epsilon^{(n-1) \nu}}+\cdots\right)=\frac{y^{m}}{\epsilon^{m \nu}} & +\frac{m y^{m-1} x_{0}}{\epsilon^{(m-1) \nu}}+\cdots+\frac{a_{m-1} y^{m-1}}{\epsilon^{(m-1) \nu}} \\
& +\frac{(m-1) a_{m-1} y^{m-2} x_{0}}{\epsilon^{(m-2) \nu}}+\cdots \tag{2.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Extracting the dominant terms, we have

$$
\epsilon^{(1-n \nu)} y^{n}=\epsilon^{-m \nu} y^{m}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-n \nu) & =-m \nu \quad \text { so that } \quad \nu=\frac{1}{n-m}  \tag{2.73}\\
y^{n} & =y^{m} \tag{2.74}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.74) has 0 as a root with a multiplicity of $m$ and

$$
y^{n-m}=1=e^{2 i r \pi}
$$

where $r=1,2,3, \ldots,(n-m)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\omega, \omega^{2}, \ldots, \omega^{k} \quad \omega=\exp \left(\frac{2 i \pi}{n-m}\right) \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=n-m$. We discard the root $y=0$ because it corresponds to the first $s$ roots.

Using (2.73) and (2.74), we rewrite (2.72) as

$$
n y^{n-1} x_{0} \epsilon^{\nu}=m y^{m-1} x_{0} \epsilon^{\nu}+a_{m-1} y^{m-1} \epsilon^{\nu}+\cdots
$$

Hence, equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{\nu}$ on both sides yields

$$
n y^{n-1} x_{0}=m y^{m-1} x_{0}+a_{m-1} y^{m-1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\frac{a_{m-1} y^{m-1}}{n y^{n-1}-m y^{m-1}}=\frac{a_{m-1}}{n y^{n-m}-m}=\frac{a_{m-1}}{n-m} \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the last $n-m$ roots are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\omega^{r}}{\epsilon^{\nu}}+\frac{a_{m-1}}{n-m}+\cdots \quad r=1,2, \ldots, n-m \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ and $\omega$ are defined by (2.73) and (2.75).

### 2.4. Transcendental Equations

We consider the roots of Bessel's function $J_{0}(x)$ for large $x$, that is, we consider the roots of the transcendental equation

$$
J_{0}(x)=0
$$

when $x$ is large. In Chapter 13, we determine an expansion for $J_{0}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (13.141) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[u \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+v \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are defined in (13.129) and (13.130) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=1-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2}}{4^{2} \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 2!x^{2}}+\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2}}{4^{4} \cdot 2^{4} \cdot 4!x^{4}}+\cdots  \tag{2.79}\\
& v(x)=\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 x}-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2}}{4^{3} \cdot 2^{3} \cdot 3!x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.80}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $J_{0}(x)=0$ in (2.78), we have

$$
u \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=-v \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)
$$

"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=-\frac{v}{u} \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.79) and (2.80) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{v}{u} & =\left(\frac{1}{8 x}-\frac{75}{1024 x^{3}}+\cdots\right)\left(1-\frac{9}{128 x^{2}}+\cdots\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{8 x}-\frac{75}{1024 x^{3}}+\cdots\right)\left(1+\frac{9}{128 x^{2}}+\cdots\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{8 x}-\frac{33}{512 x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.82}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the binomial theorem. Putting (2.82) into (2.81), we find that the large roots of $J_{0}(x)$ are governed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=-\frac{1}{8 x}+\frac{33}{512 x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x$ is large, the right-hand side of (2.83) can be neglected for the first approximation. The result is

$$
\cot \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\frac{1}{4} \pi=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \quad \text { or } \quad x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer, which must be large in order that $x$ be large. As shown below, even $n=0$ yields an incredibly accurate result.

To determine an improved approximation to $x$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\frac{1}{4} \pi=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi+\delta \quad \text { or } \quad x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi+\delta \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (2.83) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi+\delta\right]=-\frac{1}{2 \pi(4 n+3)+8 \delta}+\frac{33}{[2 \pi(4 n+3)+8 \delta]^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities, we rewrite the left-hand side as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cot \left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi+\delta\right] & =\frac{\cot \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \cot \delta-1}{\cot \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi+\cot \delta}=\frac{-1}{\cot \delta} \\
& =-\tan \delta=-\left(\delta+\frac{1}{3} \delta^{3}+\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (2.86) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\frac{1}{3} \delta^{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi(4 n+3)+8 \delta}-\frac{33}{[2 \pi(4 n+3)+8 \delta]^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an algebraic equation for $\delta$.
We take $[2 \pi(4 n+3)]^{-1}=\epsilon$ as a small parameter and rewrite (2.87) as

$$
\delta+\frac{1}{3} \delta^{3}=\frac{\epsilon}{1+8 \epsilon \delta}-\frac{33 \epsilon^{3}}{(1+8 \epsilon \delta)^{3}}+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\frac{1}{3} \delta^{3}=\epsilon(1-8 \epsilon \delta)-33 \epsilon^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we try the following expansion for $\delta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\epsilon \delta_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \delta_{2}+\epsilon^{3} \delta_{3}+\cdots \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.89) into (2.88), we have

$$
\epsilon \delta_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \delta_{2}+\epsilon^{3} \delta_{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{3} \delta_{1}^{3}=\epsilon-8 \epsilon^{3} \delta_{1}-33 \epsilon^{3}+\cdots
$$

where only terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ have been retained. Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\delta_{1}=1 \quad \delta_{2}=0 \quad \delta_{3}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{1}^{3}=-8 \delta_{1}-33
$$

Hence, $\delta_{3}=-41 \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore,

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi+\epsilon-41 \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{3}+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi+\frac{1}{2 \pi(4 n+3)}-\frac{31}{6 \pi^{3}(4 n+3)^{3}}+\cdots \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2-1 compares the approximate solution (2.90) with the tabulated roots of $J_{0}(x)$. The agreement is incredible even for the lowest root, which is approximately 2.40482 , a not very large number. The disagreement in the lowest mode is in the fourth significant figure and the error is approximately $0.07 \%$. The accuracy improves as the root number increases. For the fourth root, the perturbation expansion agrees with the tabulated value to seven significant figures.

TABLE 2-1. Comparison of Approximate and Tabulated Roots of Bessel's Function of Order Zero

| Root No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perturbation | 2.40308 | 5.52004 | 8.65372 | 11.79153 | 14.93092 | 18.07106 | 21.21164 |
| Tabulated | 2.40482 | 5.52008 | 8.65373 | 11.79153 | 14.93092 | 18.07106 | 21.21164 |

## Exercises

2.1. For small $\epsilon$, determine two terms in the expansion of each root of the following equations:
(a) $x^{3}-(2+\epsilon) x^{2}-(1-\epsilon) x+2+3 \epsilon=0$
(b) $x^{3}-(3+\epsilon) x-2+\epsilon=0$
(c) $x^{3}+(3-2 \epsilon) x^{2}+(3+\epsilon) x+1-2 \epsilon=0$
(d) $x^{4}+(2-3 \epsilon) x^{3}-(2-\epsilon) x-1+4 \epsilon=0$
(e) $x^{4}+(4-\epsilon) x^{3}+(6+2 \epsilon) x^{2}+(4+\epsilon) x+1-\epsilon^{2}=0$
2.2. For small $\epsilon$, determine two terms in the expansion of each root of the following equations:
(a) $\epsilon\left(u^{3}+u^{2}\right)+4 u^{2}-3 u-1=0$
(b) $\epsilon u^{3}+u-2=0$
(c) $\epsilon u^{3}+(u-2)^{2}=0$
(d) $u^{2}-u-2+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(u^{3}+2 u+3\right)=0$
(e) $\epsilon u^{4}+u^{3}-2 u^{2}-u+2=0$
(f) $\epsilon u^{4}-u^{3}+3 u-2=0$
(g) $\epsilon u^{4}-u^{2}+3 u-2=0$
(h) $\epsilon u^{4}+u^{2}-3 u+2=0$
(i) $\epsilon u^{4}-u^{2}+2 u-1=0$
(j) $\varepsilon u^{4}+u^{2}-2 u+1=0$
(k) $\epsilon\left(u^{4}+u^{3}\right)-u^{2}+3 u-2=0$
(l) $\epsilon\left(u^{5}+u^{4}-2 u^{3}\right)+2 u^{2}-3 u+1=0$
(m) $\epsilon\left(u^{5}+u^{4}-2 u^{3}\right)-4 u^{2}+4 u-1=0$
(n) $\epsilon^{2} u^{6}-\epsilon u^{4}-u^{3}+2 u^{2}+u-2=0$
2.3. For small $\epsilon$, determine two-term expansions for the solutions of
(a) $s-\frac{\epsilon}{3 s^{2}}-\frac{3 \epsilon^{2}}{10 s^{4}}=0$
(b) $1-\frac{\epsilon}{3 \sqrt{s}}+\frac{21 \epsilon^{2}}{5 \sqrt{s}}=0$
2.4. Determine two-term expansions for the large roots of
(a) $x \tan x=1$
(b) $x \cot x=1$
2.5. Differentiate (2.78) and use (2.79) and (2.80) to show that the large roots of $J_{0}^{\prime}(x)=0$ are approximately given by

$$
\tan \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=-\frac{3}{8 x}+\cdots
$$

Then, show that

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi-\frac{3}{2 \pi(4 n+1)}+\cdots
$$

Compare this result with those tabulated for the first seven roots.
2.6. The asymptotic expansion of Bessel's function of second kind of order zero is

$$
Y_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[\sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)-\frac{1}{8 x} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Show that the roots of $Y_{0}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi+\frac{1}{2 \pi(4 n+1)}+\cdots
$$

Compare this result with those tabulated for the first seven roots.
2.7. Using the asymptotic expansion of $Y_{0}(x)$ in the preceding exercise, show that the roots of $Y_{0}^{\prime}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi-\frac{3}{2 \pi(4 n+3)}+\cdots
$$

Compare this result with those tabulated for the first seven roots.
2.8. The asymptotic expansion of $J_{\nu}(x)$ is
$J_{\nu}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[\cos \left(x-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)-\frac{4 \nu^{2}-1}{8 x} \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right] \quad$ as $\quad x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) Show that the roots of $J_{\nu}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} v\right) \pi-\frac{4 v^{2}-1}{2 \pi(4 n+3+2 v)}+\cdots
$$

(b) Show that the roots of $J_{\nu}^{\prime}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu\right) \pi-\frac{3+4 \nu^{2}}{2 \pi(4 n+1+2 \nu)}+\cdots
$$

(c) Compare these results with those obtained in Exercise 2.5 and Section 2.4 for $\nu=0$.
(d) Compare these results with those tabulated for the first seven roots when $\nu=1$.
2.9. The asymptotic expansion of $Y_{\nu}(x)$ is
$Y_{\nu}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[\sin \left(x-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+\frac{4 \nu^{2}-1}{8 x} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right]$
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(a) Show that the roots of $Y_{\nu}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu\right)^{\pi}-\frac{4 \nu^{2}-1}{2 \pi(4 n+1+2 \nu)}+\cdots
$$

Compare this result with that for $Y_{0}$ in Exercise 2.6.
(b) Show that the roots of $Y_{\nu}^{\prime}(x)=0$ are given by

$$
x=\left(n+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \nu\right) \pi-\frac{3+4 \nu^{2}}{2 \pi(4 n+3+2 \nu)}+\cdots
$$

Compare this result with that for $Y_{0}$ in Exercise 2.7.
(c) Compare these results with those tabulated for the first seven roots when $\nu=1$.

## CHAPTER 3

## Integrals

There are many differential and difference equations whose solutions cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions but can be expressed in the form of integrals. Among the many methods that can be used to represent the solutions of differential equations as integrals, we mention the Laplace and Fourier transforms. Before we discuss methods of determining approximations of integrals, we show how to represent the solution of a simple differential equation as an integral. Other examples are given in Section 13.5 and Exercises 13.17 and 13.18.

We consider the general solution of the following first-order linear ordinarydifferential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}+y=\frac{1}{x} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.1) by the integrating factor $\exp (x)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime} e^{x}+y e^{x}=\frac{e^{x}}{x} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(y e^{x}\right)=\frac{e^{x}}{x} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y e^{x}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau+c \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is a dummy variable of integration, $x_{0}$ is an arbitrary limit of integration, and $c$ is a constant. If $y(1)=a$, then

$$
a e=\int_{x_{0}}^{1} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau+c
$$

or

$$
c=a e-\int_{x_{0}}^{1} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau
$$

Suostituting for $c$ in (3.4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
y e^{x} & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau+a e-\int_{x_{0}}^{1} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau \\
& =a e+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau+\int_{1}^{x_{0}} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau \\
& =a e+\int_{1}^{x} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a e^{1-x}+e^{-x} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau} d \tau \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this chapter, we discuss a number of methods for determining approximations to integrals such as the one in (3.5). These methods include expansions of the integrands, integration by parts, Laplace's method, the method of stationary phase, and the method of steepest descent. These methods are described by applying them to specific examples.

### 3.1. Expansion of Integrands

In this section, we consider four examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

As a first example, we consider the value of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\epsilon)=\int_{0}^{1} \sin \epsilon x^{2} d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\epsilon$. Expanding the integrand in a Taylor series gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \epsilon x^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left(\epsilon x^{2}\right)^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\epsilon x^{2}-\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{3} x^{6}+\frac{1}{120} \epsilon^{5} x^{10}+O\left(\epsilon^{7}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio test in (3.7) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left(\epsilon x^{2}\right)^{2 n-1}(2 n-3)!}{(2 n-1)!(-1)^{n}\left(\epsilon x^{2}\right)^{2 n-3}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\left(\epsilon x^{2}\right)^{2}}{(2 n-1)(2 n-2)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the series (3.7) converges for all values of $\epsilon x^{2}$. Since $|x| \leqslant 1$ and $\epsilon$ is small, the remainder term in (3.7) is $O\left(\epsilon^{7}\right)$ for all values of $x$. Substituting (3.7) into (3.6) and integrating term by term, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\epsilon) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \epsilon^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} \int_{0}^{1} x^{4 n-2} d x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \epsilon^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!(4 n-1)} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \epsilon-\frac{1}{42} \epsilon^{3}+\frac{1}{1320} \epsilon^{5}+O\left(\epsilon^{7}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider the complete elliptic integral of the first kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(m)=\int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $m$. Using the binomial theorem, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-m \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2}= & 1+\frac{1}{2} m \sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2!}\left(-m \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right)}{3!}\left(-m \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{3}+\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{7}{2}\right)}{4!} \\
& \times\left(-m \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{4}+O\left(m^{5}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the ratio test yields
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{n+\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{2 n-3}{2}\right)\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{2 n-5}{2}\right)(n-1)!\left(-m \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{n-1}}{(n-2} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2 n-3) m \sin ^{2} \theta}{2(n-1)}=m \sin ^{2} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$
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TABLE 3-1. Variation of $I_{a} / I_{e}$ with $m$

| $m$ | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{4} I_{c}$ | 1 | 1.0000 | 0.99917 | 0.99720 | 0.99216 | 0.98043 | 0.95382 |

Hence, expansion (3.10) converges for all values of $\theta$ such that $m \sin ^{2} \theta<1$. Since $\sin ^{2} \theta \leqslant 1$ and $m$ is small, the remainder in (3.10) is $O\left(m^{5}\right)$ for all values of $\theta$.
Substituting (3.10) into (3.9) and integrating term by term, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I(m)= & \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} d \theta+\frac{1}{2} m \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sin ^{2} \theta d \theta+\frac{3}{8} m^{2} \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sin ^{4} \theta d \theta \\
& +\frac{5}{16} m^{3} \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sin ^{6} \theta d \theta+\frac{35}{128} m^{4} \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sin ^{8} \theta d \theta+O\left(m^{5}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sin ^{2 n} \theta d \theta=\frac{(2 n)!\pi}{(n!)^{2} 2^{2 n+1}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (3.9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(m)=\frac{1}{2} \pi\left[1+\frac{1}{4} m+\frac{9}{64} m^{2}+\frac{25}{256} m^{3}+\frac{1225}{16384} m^{4}+O\left(m^{5}\right)\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3-1 shows the ratio $I_{a} / I_{e}$ as a function of $m$, where $I_{a}$ is the approximate expression (3.13) and $I_{e}$ is the exact value of $I$ as tabulated on page 608 of Abramowitz and Stegun (1964). As $m \rightarrow 0, I_{a} / I_{e} \rightarrow 1$. When $m \leqslant 0.5$, the error incurred in representing $I_{e}$ by $I_{a}$ is less than $0.28 \%$. For $m=0.7$, the error incurred in representing $I_{e}$ by $I_{a}$ is less than $2 \%$. Thus, $I_{a}$ is a good approximation of $I_{e}$ for small values of $m$.

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{x} t^{-3 / 4} e^{-t} d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $x$. We expand the exponential in a Taylor series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{n}}{n!}=1-t+\frac{1}{2} t^{2}-\frac{1}{6} t^{3}+\frac{1}{24} t^{4}+O\left(t^{5}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio test for the series in ( 3.15 ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{n}(n-1)!}{n!(-1)^{n-1} t^{n-1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{t}{n}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$. Hence, the series (3.15) converges for all values of $t$. Moreover, since $t$ is small, the order of error in (3.15) is uniform.

Substituting (3.15) into (3.14) and integrating term by term, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \int_{0}^{x} t^{n-(3 / 4)} d t=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{n+(1 / 4)}}{n!\left(n+\frac{1}{4}\right)} \\
& =4 x^{1 / 4}-\frac{4}{5} x^{5 / 4}+\frac{2}{9} x^{9 / 4}-\frac{2}{39} x^{13 / 4}+O\left(x^{17 / 4}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 4

As a last example, we consider the error integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} d t \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $x$. Expanding the integrand in a Taylor series, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{2 n}}{n!} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges for all values of $t$ as shown in the preceding example. However, no finite number of terms can represent $\exp \left(-t^{2}\right)$ for all $t$ as shown in Figure 5-2. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{2 n}}{n!}+O\left(t^{2 N}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $t$. Hence, we represent (3.18) in the following alternate form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{3}} d t-\int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} d t \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the first integral, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}} d u=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} d v \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}} d u\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2}} d v\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)} d u d v \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We change the integration variables from the Cartesian coordinates $u$ and $v$ to the polar coordinates $r$ and $\theta$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u d v=r d r d \theta \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the limit of integration on $\theta$ goes from 0 to $\frac{1}{2} \pi$ and that on $r$ goes from 0 to $\infty$. Hence, (3.23) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} r e^{-r^{2}} d r d \theta=\left(\int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} d \theta\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-r^{2}} d r\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{2} \pi\left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{-r^{2}}\right)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=\frac{1}{4} \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.19) into the second integral in (3.21), integrating term by term, and using (3.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \int_{0}^{x} t^{2 n} d t=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{2 n+1}}{n!(2 n+1)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}-x+\frac{1}{3} x^{3}-\frac{1}{10} x^{5}+\frac{1}{42} x^{7}+O\left(x^{9}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Integration by Parts

We explain this approach by applying it to five examples. The last example brings out a shortcoming of this approach and leads into Laplace's method and the method of stationary phase.

## EXAMPLE 1

As a first example, we consider the incomplete factorial function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $x$.
The method of integration by parts is based on the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(u v)=u d v+v d u \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u d v=d(u v)-v d u \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ and $v$ are functions of $t$, then integrating both sides of (3.29) from $t=t_{1}$ to $t=t_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} u d v=\left.u v\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}}-\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{2}} v d u \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply (3.30), we need to express the quantity under the integral sign in (3.27) as $u d v$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=u d v \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Usually, $u$ and $d v$ are chosen such that the resulting expression for $d v$ is integrable. Moreover, they are chosen such that the successive terms in the expansion of $I(x)$ decrease in order. To illustrate these points, we try two choices. First, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{-t} \quad d v=\frac{d t}{t^{2}} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-e^{-t} d t \quad v=-\frac{1}{t} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.32) and (3.33) into (3.30) yields

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\int_{x}^{\infty} u d v=-\left.\frac{e^{-t}}{t}\right|_{x} ^{\infty}-\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x}-\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue the process, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{-t} \quad d v=\frac{d t}{t} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-e^{-t} d t \quad v=\ln t \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t=\int_{x}^{\infty} u d v=\left.e^{-t} \ln t\right|_{x} ^{\infty}+\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t d t \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \ln t=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln t}{e^{t}}=\lim _{t+\infty} \frac{1}{t e^{t}}=0
$$

according to l'Hospital's rule, (3.37) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t=-e^{-x} \ln x+\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t d t \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.38) into (3.34) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x}+e^{-x} \ln x-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t d t \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the second term on the right-hand side of (3.39) is much bigger than the first term as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the above choices (3.32) and (3.35) do not yield an asymptotic expansion.

Second, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \quad d v=e^{-t} d t \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-\frac{2}{t^{3}} d t \quad v=-e^{-t} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.40) and (3.41) into (3.30) yields

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\int_{x}^{\infty} u d v=-\left.\frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}}\right|_{x} ^{\infty}-2 \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{3}} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x^{2}}-2 \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{3}} d t \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue the process further, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{t^{3}} \quad d v=e^{-t} d t \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-\frac{3}{t^{4}} d t \quad v=-e^{-t} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{3}} d t=-\left.\frac{e^{-t}}{t^{3}}\right|_{x} ^{\infty}-3 \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{4}} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{3}} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x^{3}}-3 \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{4}} d t \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.45) into (3.42) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 e^{-x}}{x^{3}}+3!\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{4}} d t \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{2}} d t= & \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{2}}-\frac{2!e^{-x}}{x^{3}}+\frac{3!e^{-x}}{x^{4}}-\frac{4!e^{-x}}{x^{5}}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{n-1} n!e^{-x}}{x^{n+1}} \\
& +(-1)^{n}(n+1)!\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n+2}} d t \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $t^{n+2} \geqslant x^{n+2}$ when $x \leqslant t<\infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{t^{n+2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{x^{n+2}}
$$

and

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n+2}} d t<\frac{1}{x^{n+2}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} d t=\frac{e^{-x}}{x^{n+2}}
$$

Then, (3.47) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=e^{-x} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n!}{x^{n+1}}+e^{-x} O\left(\frac{1}{x^{N+2}}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, it is an asymptotic expansion. We note that the series in (3.48) diverges because

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \text { th term }}{(m-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} m!x^{m}}{x^{m+1}(-1)^{m-2}(m-1)!}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-m}{x}=-\infty
$$

However, for a fixed $N$, the error can be made arbitrarily small by increasing $x$.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{x+t} d t \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $x$. As in the preceding example, the choice

$$
u=e^{-t} \quad d v=(x+t)^{-1} d t
$$

does not lead to an asymptotic expansion. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(x+t)^{-1} \quad d v=e^{-t} d t \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-(x+t)^{-2} d t \quad v=-e^{-t} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.50) and (3.51) into (3.30) yields

$$
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{x+t} d t=-\left.\frac{e^{-t}}{x+t}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{2}} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{2}} d t \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue the process, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(x+t)^{-2} \quad d v=t^{-t} d t \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=-2(x+t)^{-3} d t \quad v=-e^{-t} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.53) and (3.54) into (3.30) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{2}} d t=-\left.\frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{2}}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{3}} d t=\frac{1}{x^{2}}-2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{3}} d t \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (3.52) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}+2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{3}} d t \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x)= & \frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{2!}{x^{3}}-\frac{3!}{x^{4}}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!}{x^{n}} \\
& +(-1)^{n} n!\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{n+1}} d t \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x$ and $t$ are positive,

$$
\frac{1}{(x+t)^{n+1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{x^{n+1}}
$$

and hence,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{(x+t)^{n+1}} d t<\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} d t=\frac{1}{x^{n+1}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!}{x^{n}}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{N+1}}\right) \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, it is an asymptotic expansion. We note that the series in (3.58) diverges because

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \text { th term }}{(m-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}(m-1)!x^{m-1}}{x^{m}(-1)^{m-2}(m-2)!}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-(m-1)}{x}=-\infty
$$

However, for a fixed $N$, the remainder can be made arbitrarily small by increasing $x$.

## examples 3

As a third example, we consider the Laplace integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f(t) d t \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for large positive $x$ when $f(t)$ is analytic (i.e., all its derivatives exist in the interval of interest) and the integral exists. Such integrals occur in the solution of differential equations by using the Laplace transform. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f(t) \quad d v==e^{-x t} d t \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=f^{\prime}(t) d t \quad v=-\frac{e^{-x t}}{x} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Had we chosen $u=\exp (-x t)$ and $d v=f(t) d t$, we would have found the resulting expression not to be an asymptotic expansion. Substituting (3.60) and (3.61) into (3.30) yields

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f(t) d t=-\left.\frac{e^{-x t}}{x} f(t)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{f(0)}{x}+\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f^{\prime}(t) \quad d v=e^{-x t} d t \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=f^{\prime \prime}(t) d t \quad v=-\frac{e^{-x t}}{x} \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.63) and (3.64) into (3.30) and then into (3.62) gives

$$
I(x)=\frac{f(0)}{x}-\left.\frac{e^{-x t}}{x^{2}} f^{\prime}(t)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f^{\prime \prime}(t) d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{f(0)}{x}+\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f^{\prime \prime}(t) d t \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we obtain

$$
I(x)=\frac{f(0)}{x}+\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{x^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{x^{3}}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)}{x^{4}}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{x^{n+1}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} f^{(n+1)}(t) d t \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{x^{n+1}}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{N+2}}\right) \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, it is an asymptotic expansion.

## EXAMPLE 4

As a fourth example, we consider the Fourier integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha t} f(t) d t \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $\alpha$ when $f(t)$ is an analytic function of $t$. It is assumed that the function $f(t)$ decays properly at $\infty$ so that the integral (3.68) exists. Such integrals occur in the solutions of differential equations by using the Fourier transform. To integrate (3.68) by parts, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f(t) \quad d v=e^{i \alpha t} d t \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=f^{\prime}(t) d t \quad v=\frac{e^{i \alpha t}}{i \alpha} \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.69) and (3.70) into (3.30) gives

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha t} f(t) d t=\left.\frac{e^{i \alpha t}}{i \alpha} f(t)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}-\frac{1}{i \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha t} f^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=-\frac{f(0)}{i \alpha}-\frac{1}{i \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha t} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process as in the preceding example, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{(-i \alpha)^{n+1}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{N+2}}\right) \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an asymptotic expansion.

## EXAMPLE 5

As a last example, we consider the generalized Laplace integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} e^{x h(t)} f(t) d t \quad b>a \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $x$ when $h(t)$ and $f(t)$ are differentiable functions of $t$. To integrate (3.73) by parts, we need to express the quantity under the integral sign in (3.73) as $u d v$. In this case, we cannot put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f(t) \quad d v=e^{x h(t)} d t \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the last expression is not integrable in simple terms. To obtain an integrable expression, we modify (3.74) and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)} \quad d v=e^{x h(t)} h^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u=\left[\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} d t \quad v=\frac{e^{x h(t)}}{x} \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.75) and (3.76) into (3.30) yields

$$
\int_{a}^{b} e^{x h(t)} f(t) d t=\left.\frac{e^{x h(t)} f(t)}{x h^{\prime}(t)}\right|_{a} ^{b}-\frac{1}{x} \int_{a}^{b} e^{x h(t)}\left[\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{e^{x h(b)} f(b)}{x h^{\prime}(b)}-\frac{e^{x h(a)} f(a)}{x h^{\prime}(a)}-\frac{1}{x} \int_{a}^{b} e^{x h(t)}\left[\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} d t \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \frac{e^{x h(b)} f(b)}{x h^{\prime}(b)}-\frac{e^{x h(a)} f(a)}{x h^{\prime}(a)} \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

In writing (3.77) and (3.78), we assumed that $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are differentiable and that $h^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ in $[a, b]$. If $h(t)$ is stationary (i.e., $h^{\prime}=0$ ) at any point in $[a, b]$, the above process breaks down because the integral in (3.77) does not exist. If $h^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, (3.78) shows that only the immediate neighborhoods of the end points contribute to the integral. Moreover, if $h(a) \neq h(b)$, only the immediate neighborhood of the end point with the larger value of $h$ contributes to the asymptotic development of the integral. This suggests that only the immediate neighborhood of the point $t=c$ in $[a, b]$ corresponding to the maximum value of $h(t)$ contributes to the asymptotic expansion of the integral, irrespective of
whether $t=c$ is an interior or an end point. This is the central idea of Laplace's method. If the maximum value of $h(t)$ occurs at the boundary, say $t=a$, and if it is nonstationary, then one can replace the upper limit in (3.73) with $c$ provided that $h(t)$ has no stationary values in [ $a, c$ ], integrate the result by parts, and obtain the asymptotic development of $I(x)$. If the maximum value of $h(t)$ corresponds to a stationary point, the method of integration by parts fails. In the next section, we discuss Laplace's method for determining the asymptotic expansions of integrals such as (3.73) without restricting $f(t)$ to be differentiable and the maximum value of $h(t)$ to occur at a nonstationary point.

### 3.3. Laplace's Method

In this section, we consider integrals of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} e^{x h(t)} f(t) d t \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

for real $h(t)$ and large positive $x$. We assume that the integral in (3.79) exists (i.e., it has a finite value). As discussed in the preceding section, according to Laplace, only the immediate neighborhood of the point corresponding to the maximum value of $h(t)$ in $[a, b]$ contributes to the asymptotic expansion of $I(x)$. Laplace devised a general method for determining the asymptotic development of integrals having the form (3.79). In this section, we explain Laplace's method using six examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

As a first example, we consider the special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{10} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+t} d t \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine an asymptotic development for $I(x)$ for large $x$, we expand ( $1+$ $t)^{-1}$ in powers of $t$, integrate the resulting expansion term by term, and replace the upper limit by $\infty$. This is justified below.
It follows from the binomial theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+t)^{-1}=1-t+t^{2}-t^{3}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} t^{n} \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio test, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} t^{n}}{(-1)^{n-1} t^{n-1}}=\lim _{n+\infty}(-t)=-t
$$
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Hence, the series (3.81) converges only for $|t|<1$. Consequently, substituting (3.81) into (3.80) and integrating the result term by term from $t=0$ to $t=10$ appears to be unjustified because (3.81) is valid only for $|t|<1$. To circumvent this difficulty, we break the interval of integration into the two intervals $[0,8]$ and $[\delta, 10]$, where $\delta$ is a small positive number. Thus, we write ( 3.80 ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+t} d t+\int_{\delta}^{10} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+t} d t \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that the second integral in (3.82) is exponentially small for large positive $x$. To this end, we note that $1>(1+t)^{-1}$ for positive values of $t$. Hence,

$$
\int_{\delta}^{10} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+t} d t<\int_{\delta}^{10} e^{-x t} d t=\left.\frac{e^{-x t}}{-x}\right|_{\delta} ^{10}=-\frac{1}{x}\left(e^{-10 x}-e^{-\delta x}\right)
$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty, \exp (-10 x)$ tends to zero much faster than any power of $x^{-1}$. Moreover, for finite values of $\delta$ and as $x \rightarrow \infty, \exp (-\delta x)$ tends to zero much faster than any power of $x^{-1}$. Therefore, the second integral in (3.82) tends exponentially to zero and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+t} d t+\text { exponentially small terms } \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, only the immediate neighborhood of $t=0$ contributes to the asymptotic development of $(3.80)$.

Substituting (3.81) into (3.83) and integrating term by term, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-x t}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} t^{n}\right) d t=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \int_{0}^{\delta} t^{n} e^{-x t} d t \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the last integral in (3.84), we introduce the transformation $\tau=x t$ so that $d t=d \tau / x$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} t^{n} e^{-x t} d t=\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\delta x} \tau^{n} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

A repeated integration by parts of the last integral in (3.85) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\delta} t^{n} e^{-x t} d t= & \frac{1}{x^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{\delta x} \tau^{n} e^{-\tau} d \tau=-\frac{1}{x^{n+1}}\left[\tau^{n}+n \tau^{n-1}+n(n-1) \tau^{n-2}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\frac{1}{2} n!\tau^{2}+n!\tau+n!\right]\left.e^{-\tau}\right|_{0} ^{\delta x}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} t^{n} e^{-x t} d t= & \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}-e^{-\delta x}\left[\frac{\delta^{n}}{x}+\frac{n \delta^{n-1}}{x^{2}}+\frac{n(n-1) \delta^{n-2}}{x^{3}}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\frac{n!\delta^{2}}{2 x^{n-1}}+\frac{n!\delta}{x^{n}}+\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}\right] \tag{3.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\exp (-\delta x)$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ much faster than any power of $x^{-1}$, the term multiplying $\exp (-\delta x)$ in $(3.86)$ is much smaller than any power of $x^{-1}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} t^{n} e^{-x t} d t=\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}+\mathrm{EST} \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EST stands for exponentially small terms. This result is independent of the value of $\delta$ including $\infty$. In fact,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \cdot t^{n} e^{-x t} d t=\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}
$$

This completes justification of the above stated procedure.
Substituting (3.87) into (3.84) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{x^{n+1}} \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponentially small terms have been omitted. Using the ratio test, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!x^{n}}{x^{n+1}(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n}{x}=-\infty
$$

Hence, the series ( 3.88 ) diverges. Therefore, the equality sign cannot be used and it must be replaced with an asymptotic sign. Thus, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{x^{n+1}} \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result could have been obtained by integration by parts.
The procedure stated above is usually referred to as Watson's lemma, which gives the full asymptotic development of integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{b} f(t) e^{-x t} d t \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is continuous on the interval $[0, b]$, has the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} t^{m \beta-1} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.91a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta$ being positive for the integral to converge, and if $b=+\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)<K e^{\alpha t} \tag{3.91b}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K$ and $\alpha$ being positive numbers independent of $t$. Then, Watson's lemma states that the full asymptotic expansion of $I(x)$ is obtained by substituting (3.91a) into (3.90), integrating the result term by term, and replacing the upper limit with $\infty$, that is,

$$
I(x) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m \beta-1} e^{-x t} d t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{m} a_{m} \Gamma(m \beta) / x^{m \beta} \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2

In the preceding example, $h(t)=-t$ has its maximum at the lower limit (i.e., $t=0$ ). Since $h^{\prime}(0)=-1$, this maximum is not a relative maximum. As a second example, we consider a case in which $h(t)$ has a relative maximum at $t=0$. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{0}^{5} \frac{e^{-x t^{2}}}{1+t} d t \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the method of integration by parts would fail as discussed in Example 5 of the preceding section. For large positive $x$, only the immediate neighborhood of $t=0$ contributes to the asymptotic development of $I(x)$ because $h(t)=-t^{2}$ has its maximum there. Using Watson's lemma, we determine an asymptotic expansion for $I(x)$ by substituting the expansion (3.81) of $(1+t)^{-1}$ into (3.93), integrating the result term by term, and replacing the upper limit by ${ }^{\infty}$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n} e^{-x t^{2}} d t \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the integrais in (3.94) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n} e^{-x t^{2}} d t \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start with

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t^{2}} d t \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\sqrt{x} t=\tau$, then $d t=d \tau / \sqrt{x}$ and (3.96) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau \tag{3.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.25), we rewrite (3.97) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{x}} \tag{3.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.98) with respect to $x$, we have

$$
-\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 x^{3 / 2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.99) with respect to $x$, we have

$$
-\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{4} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=-\frac{3 \sqrt{\pi}}{8 x^{5 / 2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{4} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=\frac{3 \sqrt{\pi}}{8 x^{5 / 2}} \tag{3.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 m}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}(-1)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}}\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{3.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the $I_{n}$ for odd $n$, we start with

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t e^{-x t^{2}} d t \tag{3.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

We lot $x t^{2}=\tau$ so that $2 x t d t=d \tau$. I lence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{2 x} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau} d \tau=-\left.\frac{1}{2 x} e^{-\tau}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=\frac{1}{2 x} \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.103) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
-\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{3} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=-\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{3} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=\frac{1}{2 x^{2}} \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.104) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
-\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{5} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=-\frac{1}{x^{3}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{5}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{5} e^{-x t^{2}} d t=\frac{1}{x^{3}} \tag{3.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 m+1}=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}}\left(x^{-1}\right) \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.101) and (3.106), we rewrite (3.94) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}}\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}}\left(x^{-1}\right) \\
&=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 x^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{2 x}+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 x^{3 / 2}}-\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}+\frac{3 \sqrt{\pi}}{8 x^{5 / 2}}-\frac{1}{x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{3.107}
\end{align*}
$$

## THE GAMMA FUNCTION

Alternatively, we can express (3.95) in terms of the gamma function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{z-1} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ must be greater than zero; otherwise, the integral does not exist. To relate (3.95) to the gamma function, we introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=x t^{2} \quad d \tau=2 x t d t \tag{3.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (3.95) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\frac{1}{2} x^{-(n+1) / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{(n-1) / 2} e^{-\tau} d \tau=\frac{1}{2} x^{-(n+1) / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \tag{3.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.110) into (3.94) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{2 x^{(n+1) / 2}} \tag{3.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that (3.111) is the same as (3.107), we use the following recursion formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=(z-1) \Gamma(z-1) \tag{3.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>1$. To prove this formula, we use the method of integration by parts and let

$$
u=\tau^{z-1} \quad d v=e^{-\tau} d \tau
$$

so that

$$
d u=(z-1) \tau^{z-2} d \tau \quad v=-e^{-\tau}
$$

Then, it follows from (3.30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=-\left.\tau^{z-1} e^{-\tau}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+(z-1) \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{z-2} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (3.113) exists and equals $\Gamma(z-1)$ only for $z>1$. Then, (3.112) follows from (3.113). If $\Gamma(z)$ is tabulated for $0 \leqslant z<1$ only, the recursion formula (3.112) can be used to determine $\Gamma(z)$ for $z>1$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(5.3) & =4.3 \Gamma(4.3)=(4.3)(3.3) \Gamma(3.3)=(4.3)(3.3)(2.3) \Gamma(2.3) \\
& =(4.3)(3.3)(2.3)(1.3) \Gamma(1.3)=(4.3)(3.3)(2.3)(1.3)(.3) \Gamma(.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral defining the gamma function can be integrated analytically whenever $z$ is a positive integer or a multiple of $\frac{1}{2}$. When $z$ is a positive integer, the recursion formula (3.112) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(z) & =(z-1) \Gamma(z-1)=(z-1)(z-2) \Gamma(z-2) \\
& =(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) \cdots(3)(2)(1) \Gamma(1) \tag{3.114}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $z=1$ in (3.108), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(1)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau} d \tau=-\left.e^{-\tau}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=1 \tag{3.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (3.114) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=(z-1)! \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $z$ is a positive integer. Therefore, if $n=2 m+1$ where $m$ is a positive integer

$$
\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(\frac{2 m+1+1}{2}\right)=\Gamma(m+1)=m!
$$

Then, it follows from (3.110) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 m+1}=\frac{1}{2} m!x^{-(m+1)} \tag{3.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (3.106).
If $z=m+\frac{1}{2}$ where $m$ is a positive integer, it follows from (3.112) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) & =\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $z=\frac{1}{2}$ in (3.108), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{-1 / 2} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the substitution $\tau=y^{2},(3.119)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2}} d y \tag{3.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the integral in (3.120) is $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}$ according to (3.25); hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (3.118) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m$ is a positive integer. Consequently, if $n=2 m$ where $m$ is an integer,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) & =\Gamma\left(\frac{2 m+1}{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.123}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it follows from (3.110) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2 m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{5}{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi} x^{-|m+(1 / 2)|} \tag{3.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (3.101).

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider the following integral, which is slightly more general than the preceding example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} f(t) e^{x h(t)} d t \quad b>a \tag{3.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\prime}(a) & =0 \quad h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0  \tag{3.126}\\
f(t) & =f_{0}(t-a)^{\lambda} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow a \tag{3.127}
\end{align*}
$$

and $f_{0}$ is a constant. The conditions (3.126) imply that $h(t)$ has a relative maximum at $t=a$. We assume that this maximum is also an absolute maximum as shown in Figure 3-1. The constant $\lambda$ must be greater than -1 for the integral (3.125) to exist. Only the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ contributes to the asymptotic development of $I(x)$ because $h(t)$ has its maximum there. Thus, the upper limit can be replaced with $a+\delta$, where $\delta$ is a small positive number. The result is


Figure 3-1. A function with a relative and absolute maximum at $t=a$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \int_{a}^{a+\delta} f(t) e^{x h(t)} d t \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the principal part of $I(x)$, we expand $h(t)$ in a Taylor series about $t=a$, that is,

$$
h(t)=h(a)+h^{\prime}(a)(t-a)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=h(a)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h^{\prime}(a)=0$. Substituting (3.127) and (3.129) into (3.128), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \int_{a}^{a+\delta}(t-a)^{\lambda} e^{(1 / 2) x h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}} d t \tag{3.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0, \delta$ can be replaced with $\infty$ because only the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ contributes to the asymptotic development of $I(x)$. Thus, we rewrite (3.130) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \int_{a}^{\infty}(t-a)^{\lambda} e^{(1 / 2) x h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}} d t \tag{3.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (3.131) can be expressed in terms of the gamma function. To this end, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} x h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}=\tau \tag{3.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{-x h^{\prime \prime}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 2} f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{(\lambda-1) / 2} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{-x h^{\prime \prime}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 2} f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (3.108).
To determine the higher-order terms in the development of $I(x)$, we need to keep the higher-order terms in $f(t)$ and the higher-order terms in $h(t)$.

## EXAMPLE 4

As a fourth example, we consider the preceding example with $h(t)$ having an inflection point at $t=a$ as shown in Figure 3-2. Thus,


Figure 3-2. A function with an infiection and absolute maximum at $t=a$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(a)=h^{\prime \prime}(a)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)<0 \tag{3.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since only the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ contributes to the asymptotic development of (3.125) for large $x$, the upper limit can be replaced with $a+\delta$, where $\delta$ is a small positive number, as in (3.128).

To determine the principal part of (3.128), we expand $h(t)$ in a Taylor series about $t=a$, that is,

$$
h(t)=h(a)+h^{\prime}(a)(t-a)+\frac{1}{2!} h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{3}+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=h(a)+\frac{1}{6} h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{3}+\cdots \tag{3.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (3.135). Substituting (3.127) and (3.136) into (3.128) and replacing $\delta$ with ${ }^{\infty}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \int_{a}^{\infty}(t-a)^{\lambda} e^{(1 / 6) x h "(a)(t-a)^{3}} d t \tag{3.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (3.137) can be expressed in terms of the gamma function. To accomplish this, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{6} x h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{3}=\tau \tag{3.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{6}{-x h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 3} f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{(\lambda-2) / 3} e^{-\tau} d \tau \tag{3.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{6}{-x h^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 3} f_{0} e^{x h(a)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{3}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (3.108).

## EXAMPLE 5

In all the preceding examples, $h(t)$ has its maximum at an end point. Here, we consider a case in which $h(t)$ has its maximum at a point inside the interval of integration as shown in Figure 3-3. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} f(t) e^{x h(t)} d t \quad b>a \tag{3.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is large

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h^{\prime}(c) & =0 \quad h^{\prime \prime}(c)<0 & a<c<b \\
f(t) \sim f_{0}(t-c)^{\lambda} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow c \tag{3.143}
\end{array}
$$

and $\lambda>-1$ for the integral to exist. To determine the principal part of $I(x)$ for large $x$, we expand $h(t)$ in a Taylor series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=h(c)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(c)(t-c)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h^{\prime}(c)=0$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0} e^{x h(c)} \int_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta}(t-c)^{\lambda} e^{(1 / 2) x h^{\prime \prime}(c)(t-c)^{2}} d t \tag{3.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a positive number. Since only the immediate neighborhood of $t=c$ contributes to $I(x), \delta$ can be replaced with $\infty$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0} e^{x h(c)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(t-c)^{\lambda} e^{(1 / 2) x h "(c)(t-c)^{2}} d t \tag{3.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the transformation


Figure 3-3. A function with an absolute maximum at an interior point.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} x h^{\prime \prime}(c)(t-c)^{2}=\tau^{2} \tag{3.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

we express (3.146) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0}\left[\frac{-2}{x h^{\prime \prime}(c)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 2} e^{x h(c)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^{\lambda} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda$ is an odd integer, the integral in (3.148) vanishes, and one needs to determine the next term in the asymptotic development. If $\lambda$ is an even integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^{\lambda} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \tau^{\lambda} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau \tag{3.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the transformation $\tau^{2}=\theta$, (3.149) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^{\lambda} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau=\int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{(\lambda-1) / 2} e^{-\theta} d \theta=\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right) \tag{3.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (3.108). Hence, (3.148) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim f_{0}\left[\frac{-2}{x h^{\prime \prime}(c)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / 2} e^{x h(c)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 6

In all preceding examples,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \sim f_{0}(t-c)^{\lambda} \quad \lambda>-1 \tag{3.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the location of the maximum of $h(t)$. As a last example, we consider a case in which $f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow c$ faster than any power of $t-c$ so that it cannot be represented as in (3.152). Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} e^{-1 /(t-a)} e^{-x(t-a)^{2}} d t \quad a<b \tag{3.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $x$. Since $f(t)=\exp \left[-(t-a)^{-1}\right]$ tends to zero much faster than any power of $(t-a)$, the contribution to the integral from the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ is exponentially small. Consequently, application of Watson's lemma directly to (3.153) does not yield its asymptotic development.
To determine the principal part of the asymptotic development of $I(x)$, we cannot scparate $\exp \left[-(t-a)^{-1}\right]$ from $\exp \left[\cdot x(t \cdot a)^{2}\right]$. Rather, we should combine them and rewrite (3.153) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\int_{a}^{b} e^{h(x, t)} d t \tag{3.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, t)=-(t-a)^{-1}-x(t-a)^{2} \tag{3.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary values of $h(x, t)$ are located where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h}{d t}=0=\frac{1}{(t-a)^{2}}-2 x(t-a) \tag{3.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.156) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=a+(2 x)^{-1 / 3} \tag{3.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the location of the maximum of $h(x, t)$. This location is a function of $x$, in contrast with the preceding examples. Thus, to determine the asymptotic expansion of the integral, we first need to transform the variable of integration so that the maximum of the exponent is independent of $x$. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
t-a=x^{-1 / 3} s \tag{3.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite (3.153) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{1}{x^{1 / 3}} \int_{0}^{(b-a) x^{1 / 3}} e^{-x^{1 / 3}\left[s^{2}+(1 / s) \mid\right.} d s \tag{3.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum value of $h(s)=-\left(s^{2}+s^{-1}\right)$ in (3.159) occurs at $s=2^{-1 / 3}$. Hence, only the immediate neighborhood of this point contributes to the asymptotic expansion of $I(x)$ if $b$ is greater than the location of the maximum of $h$. Thus, we expand $h(s)$ in a Taylor series about this point and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=h\left(2^{-1 / 3}\right)-3\left(s-2^{-1 / 3}\right)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x^{1 / 3}\left(s-2^{-1 / 3}\right)^{2}=\tau^{2} \tag{3.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (3.159) and replace the upper and lower limits by $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, respectively. The result is

$$
I(x) \sim \frac{e^{-(3 / 2)(2 x)^{1 / 3}}}{\sqrt{3 x}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x) \sim\left(\frac{\pi}{3 x}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-(3 / 2)(2 x)^{1 / 3}} \tag{3.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (3.25).

### 3.4. The Method of Stationary Phase

In this section, we consider the generalized Fourier integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\int_{a}^{b} f(t) e^{(\alpha x h(t)} d t \quad b>a \tag{3.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $\alpha$ when $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are real and the integral exists. The integrand is a complex number expressed in polar form with $f(t)$ and $\alpha h(t)$ being its amplitude and argument (phase). If $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are continuously differentiable, one may be tempted to use the method of integration by parts by letting

$$
u=\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)} \quad d v=e^{i \alpha h(t)} h^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

so that

$$
d u=\left[\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} d t \quad v=\frac{1}{i \alpha} e^{i \alpha h(t)}
$$

Then, it follows from (3.163) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\left.\frac{f(t) e^{i \alpha h(t)}}{i \alpha h^{\prime}(t)}\right|_{a} ^{b}-\frac{1}{i \alpha} \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{f(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} e^{i \alpha h(t)} d t \tag{3.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuing the process further, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\frac{i}{\alpha}\left[\frac{f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)}}{h^{\prime}(a)}-\frac{f(b) e^{i \alpha h(b)}}{h^{\prime}(b)}\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \tag{3.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the generalized Laplace integral (Example 5 of Section 3.2), the method of integration by parts fails if $h^{\prime}(t)$ vanishes at any point in the interval $[a, b]$. If $h^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ in $[a, b],(3.165)$ shows that only the immediate neighborhoods of the end points contribute to the asymptotic development of $I(\alpha)$. The rapid oscillations of $\exp [i \alpha h(t)]$ tend to cancel the contributions to the integral except in the neighborhoods of the end points, as shown in Figure 3.4. Moreover, both ends contribute to the asymptotic expansion, in contrast with the generalized Laplace integral in which only the end with the larger value of $h$ contributes to the asymptotic expansion. If $h^{\prime}(t)$ vanishes in the interval (i.e., the phase has stationary points), the contribution to the asymptotic expansion of the integral arises from the immediate neighborhoods of the end and stationary points, with the major contribution arising from the neighborhoods of the stationary points, as evident from Figure 3-5. The rapid oscillations of $\exp [i \alpha h(t)]$ shown in Figure 3-5 tend to cancel contributions to the integral except in the neighborhoods of the end and stationary points. Figure $3-5$ shows


Figure 3-4. A function with rapid oscillations.
clearly that there is less cancellation from the neighborhood of a stationary point than from the neighborhood of an end point. Hence, the leading terms to the asymptotic expansion of Fourier integrals arise from the neighborhoods of stationary points. In the absence of stationary points, the method of integration by parts yields a good approximation to the integral. As in (3.165), the principal contribution is $O\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$. In the presence of stationary points, Stokes developed the so-called method of stationary phase to determine the contribution of the neighborhood of a stationary point $t=c$ to the asymptotic development of the integral by expanding $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ in powers of $t-c$. As shown below, the principal contribution from the neighborhood of a stationary point is $O\left(\alpha^{-1 / 2}\right)$, and hence, only the stationary points contribute to the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $I(\alpha)$. Next, we describe the method of stationary phase by applying it to four examples.


Figure 3-5. A function with a stationary point.

## EXAMPLE 1

We begin with a case in which $h(t)$ has a stationary point at $t=a$ corresponding to a maximum or a minimum and it has no other stationary points. Moreover, we assume that $f(a)$ is finite. According to the Stokes method of stationary phase, only the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ contributes to the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $I(\alpha)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \int_{a}^{a+\delta} f(t) e^{i \alpha h(t)} d t \tag{3.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a small positive number. Thus, to the first approximation, $f(t)$ can be replaced by $f(a)$ and $h(t)$ can be expanded in a Taylor series as

$$
h(t)=h(a)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}+\cdots
$$

because $h^{\prime}(a)=0$. Then, (3.166) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{a}^{a+\delta} e^{(1 / 2) i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)(t-a)^{2}} d t \tag{3.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since only the immediate neighborhood of $t=a$ contributes to the integral, $\delta$ can be replaced with $\infty$. Letting $t-a=z$, we rewrite (3.167) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) i \alpha h "(a) z^{2}} d z \tag{3.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the integral in (3.168), we appeal to Cauchy's theorem, which states that if the derivative of a function $F(z)$ of a complex variable $z$ exists and is continuous inside and on a closed curve $C$ (i.e., $F$ is analytic), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C} F(z) d z=0 \tag{3.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is carried around the clesed curve $C$. The basic idea is to choose $C$ in such a way that the original Fourier integral is transformed into a Laplace integral, that is, the dominant part of the integrand is a real decaying exponential at $\infty$. In the case under consideration

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) z^{2}\right] \tag{3.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its derivative exists for all values of $z$. Hence, Cauchy's theorem applies and we have (3.169). To apply Cauchy's theorem, we take the closed curve C to consist of the real axis $x$, a line making $45^{\circ}$ to the real axis, and an eighth of a circle with the radius $R$, as shown in Figure 3-6. Hence,

(a) $h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0$

(b) $h^{\prime \prime}(a)>0$

Figure 3-6. Deformation of the contour of integration.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{1}} F(z) d z+\int_{C_{2}} F(z) d z+\int_{C_{3}} F(z) d z=0 \tag{3.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

But on $\boldsymbol{C}_{2}$

$$
z=x+i y=R \cos \theta+i R \sin \theta=R e^{i \theta}
$$

so that

$$
z^{2}=R^{2} e^{2 i \theta}=R^{2} \cos 2 \theta+i R^{2} \sin 2 \theta
$$

Hence, in order that the contribution of $C_{2}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ vanishes, we must choose $\theta$ to be positive or negative depending on whether $h^{\prime \prime}(a)$ is positive or negative, respectively. Moreover, in order that the integral be converted into a Laplace integral, the angle of rotation must be $\frac{1}{4} \pi$ or $-\frac{1}{4} \pi$ depending on whether $h^{\prime \prime}(a)$ is positive or negative, respectively. Hence, it follows from Figure 3.6b that when $h^{\prime \prime}(a)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{2}} F(z) d z=i R \int_{0}^{(1 / 4) \pi} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \sin 2 \theta+(1 / 2) i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \cos 2 \theta+i \theta} d \theta \tag{3.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{C_{2}} F(z) d z\right| \leqslant R \int_{0}^{(1 / 4) \pi} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \sin 2 \theta} d \theta \\
& \quad=R \int_{0}^{\epsilon} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \sin 2 \theta} d \theta+R \int_{\epsilon}^{(1 / 4) \pi} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \sin 2 \theta} d \theta \tag{3.173}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small positive number. Since $h^{\prime \prime}(a)>0$ and $\sin 2 \theta>0$ in the interval [ $\epsilon, \frac{1}{4} \pi$ ], the integrand tends to zero uniformly, and hence, the last integral in (3.173) tends to zero there as $R \rightarrow \infty$. To estimate the integral over the interval $[0, \epsilon]$, we approximate $\sin 2 \theta$ by $2 \theta$ and obtain
$R \int_{0}^{e} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \sin 2 \theta} d \theta \approx R \int_{0}^{e} e^{-\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \theta} d \theta$

$$
=\frac{1-e^{-\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) R^{2} \epsilon}}{\alpha R h^{\prime \prime}(a)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore, the integral over the contour $C_{2}$ vanishes and it follows from (3.171) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{1}} F(z) d z=-\int_{C_{3}} F(z) d z \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Along the curve $C_{1}, z=x$ so that $z^{2}=x^{2}$, whereas along the curve $C_{3}, z=r$ $\exp \left(\frac{1}{4} i \pi\right)$ so that $z^{2}=r^{2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} i \pi\right)=i r^{2}$, where $r$ is the distance from the origin to any point on $C_{3}$. Then, substituting (3.170) into (3.174) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) x^{2}} d x & =-e^{(1 / 4) i \pi} \int_{\infty}^{0} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) r^{2}} d r \\
& =e^{(1 / 4) i \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) r^{2}} d r \tag{3.175}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.175) shows that the Fourier integral in the neighborhood of a stationary point has been converted into a Laplace integral by rotating the contour of integration from the real $x$ axis by the angle $\frac{1}{4} \pi$. Making the substitution

$$
\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)} r=\tau
$$

in (3.175), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) x^{2}} d x=\frac{\sqrt{2} e^{(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau=\frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)}} \tag{3.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (3.25). Using (3.176) in (3.168) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)+(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)}} \tag{3.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned above, the contribution from the neighborhood of a stationary point is $O\left(\alpha^{-1 / 2}\right)$ compared with the $O\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$ contribution from an end point.
When $h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0$, the contour of integration needs to be rotated by the angle $-\frac{1}{4} \pi$ so that

$$
z=r e^{-(1 / 4) i \pi} \quad z^{2}=r^{2} e^{-(1 / 2) i \pi}=-i r^{2}
$$

Then, (3.168) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)-(1 / 4) i \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) r^{2}} d r \tag{3.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a Laplace integral because $h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0$. Making the substitution

$$
\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)} r=\tau
$$

and using (3.25), we obtain from (3.178) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)-(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{-2 \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a)}} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, one needs to rotate the real axis by an angle $\theta$ so that $i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) z^{2}$ in (3.168) becomes a negative real number. Since

$$
z=r e^{i \theta} \quad z^{2}=r^{2} e^{2 i \theta}=r^{2} \cos 2 \theta+i r^{2} \sin 2 \theta
$$

it follows that $\cos 2 \theta=0$ or $\theta= \pm \frac{1}{4} \pi$. Then, $z^{2}=i r^{2} \sin 2 \theta$ and

$$
i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(a) z^{2}=-\alpha r^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(a) \sin 2 \theta
$$

Consequently, when $h^{\prime \prime}(a)>0$, one should take $\theta=\frac{1}{4} \pi$, whereas when $h^{\prime \prime}(a)<0$, one should take $\theta=-\frac{1}{4} \pi$ so that the integral in (3.168) becomes a Laplace integral.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider a case in which $h(t)$ has a stationary point at $t=c$ where $a<c<b$. We assume that $h(t)$ has no other stationary points and that $f(c)$ is finite. Hence, according to Stokes' method of stationary phase, the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of (3.163) arises from the immediate neighborhood of $t=c$ and we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \int_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta} f(t) e^{i \alpha h(t)} d t \tag{3.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a positive small number. Hence, $f(t)$ can be replaced with $f(c)$. Moreover, expanding $h(t)$ in a Taylor series around $t=c$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=h(c)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(c)(t-c)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h^{\prime}(c)=0$. Substituting (3.181) into (3.180), replacing $f(t)$ with $f(c)$ and $t-c$ with $z$, and replacing $\delta$ with $\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(c) e^{i \alpha h(c)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) i \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c) z^{2}} d z \tag{3.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the integral in (3.182) when $h^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$, we rotate the contour of integration by the angle $\frac{1}{4} \pi$, so that $z=r \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} i \pi\right)$. The result is

$$
I(\alpha) \sim f^{\prime}(c) e^{i \alpha h(c)+(1 / 4) i \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c) r^{2}} d r
$$

We make the substitution

$$
\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c)} r=\tau
$$

and obtain

$$
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2} f(c) e^{i \alpha h(c)+(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} f(c) e^{i \alpha h(c)+(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c)}} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $h^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$, we rotate the contour of integration by the angle $-\frac{1}{4} \pi$, make the substitution

$$
\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c)} r=\tau
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} f(c) e^{i \alpha h(c)-(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{-\alpha h^{\prime \prime}(c)}} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider a case in which $h(t)$ has a stationary point at $t=a$, no other stationary points, and

$$
h^{\prime}(a)=h^{\prime \prime}(a)=\cdots=h^{(n-1)}(a)=0
$$

but $h^{(n)}(a) \neq 0$. We assume that $f(a)$ is finite. We expand $h(t)$ in a Taylor series and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=h(a)+\frac{1}{n!} h^{(n)}(a)(t-a)^{n}+\cdots \tag{3.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 86 INTEGRALS

Then, it follows from (3.163) that to the first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha h^{(n)}(a)(t-a)^{n} / n t} d t \tag{3.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t-a=z$, we rewrite (3.186) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha h^{(n)}(a) z^{n} / n!} d z \tag{3.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the integral in (3.187), we convert it into a Laplace integral by rotating the contour of integration by the angle $\pi / 2 n$ when $h^{(n)}(a)>0$ so that $z=r$ $\exp (i \pi / 2 n)$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f(a) e^{i \alpha h(a)+i \pi / 2 n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a) r^{n} / n!} d r \tag{3.188}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (3.188) can be expressed in terms of the gamma function by making the substitution

$$
\frac{\alpha h^{(n)}(a) r^{n}}{n!}=s
$$

and obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a) r^{n} / n!} d r & =\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{1 / n} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{(1 / n)-1} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{1 / n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{n} \tag{3.189}
\end{align*}
$$

according to (3.108). Hence, (3.188) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\alpha) \sim\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{1 / n f(a) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \frac{n}{n} e^{i \alpha h(a)+i \pi / 2 n} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $h^{(n)}(a)<0$, the contour of integration needs to be rotated from the real axis by the angle $-\pi / 2 n$. Then following steps similar to those above, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim\left[\frac{n!}{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{1 / n f(a) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \frac{n}{n} e^{i \alpha h(a)-i \pi / 2 n} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note from (3.190) that the leading term in the contribution of a neighborhood of a stationary point to the asymptotic expansion of (3.163) is $O\left(\alpha^{-1 / n}\right)$, where $n$ corresponds to the order of the lowest derivative of $h$ that does not vanish at the stationary point. Therefore, if $h(t)$ has many stationary points in $[a, b]$, the leading term to the asymptotic expansion of (3.163) arises from the neighborhood of the stationary point corresponding to the largest value of $n$. If more than one stationary point corresponds to the largest value of $n$, the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of (3.163) can be obtained as the summation of the leading contributions from the neighborhoods of these points.

## EXAMPLE 4

In all preceding examples, $f(t)$ is finite at the stationary point. In this example, we consider the preceding example when

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \sim f_{0}(t-a)^{\lambda} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow a \tag{3.192}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ must be greater than -1 for the integral (3.163) to exist. Substituting for $h(t)$ and $f(t)$ from (3.185) and (3.192) into (3.163) and replacing $b$ with $\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f_{0} e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{a}^{\infty}(t-a)^{\lambda} e^{i \alpha h^{(n)}(a)(t-a)^{n} / n!} d t \tag{3.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is assumed to be less than $n-1$ for (3.193) to exist. Letting $t-a=z$, we rewrite (3.193) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f_{0} e^{i \alpha h(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{\lambda} e^{i \alpha h^{(n)}(a) z^{n} / n!} d z \tag{3.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, when $h^{(n)}(a)>0$, we transform (3.194) into a Laplace integral by rotating the contour of integration from the real axis by the angle $\pi / 2 n$ so that $z=r \exp (i \pi / 2 n)$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim f_{0} e^{i \alpha h(a)+i(\lambda+1) \pi / 2 n} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{\lambda} e^{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a) r^{n} / n!} d r \tag{3.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (3.195) can be expressed in terms of the gamma function by making the substitution

$$
\frac{\alpha_{i}^{z_{i}^{(n)}}(a) r^{n}}{n!}=s
$$

and obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{\lambda} e^{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a) r^{n} / n!} d r & =\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / n} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-1+(\lambda+1) / n} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{n}\right)}{n} \tag{3.196}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.196) into (3.195) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim\left[\frac{n!}{\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / n} \frac{f_{0} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{n}\right)}{n} e^{i \alpha h(a)+i(\lambda+1) \pi / 2 n} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $h^{(n)}(a)<0$, rotating the contour of integration by the angle $-\pi / 2 n$ and following steps similar to those above, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim\left[\frac{n!}{-\alpha h^{(n)}(a)}\right]^{(\lambda+1) / n} \frac{f_{0} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{n}\right)}{n} e^{i \alpha h(a)-i(\lambda+1) \pi / 2 n} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.198}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (3.197) and (3.198) tend to (3.190) and (3.191) as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We note from (3.198) that if $\lambda+1>n$, then the leading contribution to the asymptotic expansion of the integral arises from the end point $t=b$.

### 3.5. The Method of Steepest Descent

So far, we considered only integrals in which the arguments of the exponents in the integrands are either purely real (Laplace integrals) or purely imaginary (Fourier integrals). In this section, we consider integrals in which the arguments of the exponents in the integrands are complex. Thus, we consider integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\int_{C} f(z) e^{\alpha h(z)} d z \tag{3.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a large real positive number, $C$ is a contour of integration in the complex $z$ plane, and $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic functions of $z$, regular in a region of the $z$ plane that contains the contour of integration. A function $h(z)$ is called analytic in the domain $D$ of the $z$ plane if it is defined and has a derivative at every point in the domain $D$. A function $h(z)$ that is analytic in a region $D$, ex-
cept for a finite number of points, is said to be meromorphic in $D$. The exceptional points are called the singularities of the function $h(z)$.
A function $h(z)$ of a complex variable $z=x+i y$ is differentiable at the point $z_{0}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{h\left(z_{0}+\Delta z\right)-h\left(z_{0}\right)}{\Delta z} \tag{3.200}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is independent of the choice of $\Delta z$. The limit is called the derivative of $h(z)$ at $z_{0}$ and it is usually denoted by $h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ or $d h\left(z_{0}\right) / d z$. Putting $z=x+i y$ in $h(z)$, one can usually separate the result into real and imaginary parts and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=h(x+i y)=\phi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y) \tag{3.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.201) into (3.200) and taking $\Delta z=\Delta x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d h}{d z}\left(z_{0}\right)= & \lim _{\Delta x+0} \frac{\phi\left(x_{0}+\Delta x, y_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}{\Delta x} \\
& +i \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}+\Delta x, y_{0}\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}{\Delta x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h}{d z}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \quad \text { at } \quad z=z_{0} \tag{3.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.201) into (3.200) and taking $\Delta z=i \Delta y$, we have

$$
\frac{d h}{d z}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{\Delta y+0} \frac{\phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}+\Delta y\right)-\phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}{i \Delta y}+\lim _{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}+\Delta y\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}{\Delta y}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h}{d z}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \quad \text { at } \quad z=z_{0} \tag{3.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the derivative must be independent of the choice of $\Delta z$ for a function $h(z)$ to be differentiable, the expressions in (3.202) and (3.203) must be the same. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \tag{3.204}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (3.204) yields the socalled Cauchy. Riemann equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \tag{3.205a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \tag{3.205b}
\end{equation*}
$$

I:liminating $\psi$ from (3.205) by cross differentiation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{3.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas eliminating $\phi$ from (3.205) by cross differentiation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{3.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the asymptotic development of $I(\alpha)$, we use the analyticity of the integrand and appeal to Cauchy's theorem (3.169) to deform the contour of integration $C$ into a new contour $C^{\prime}$ on which either $\psi$ or $\phi$ is constant, thereby transforming the integral into either a Fourier or a Laplace integral. Then, the asymptotic development can be determined by using either the method of stationary phase or Laplace's method. It is preferable to transform the integral into a Laplace integral (i.e., constant $\psi$ ) because the full asymptotic development of a Laplace integral arises only from the immediate neighborhood of the point where $\phi$ is the largest on $C^{\prime}$. In contrast, the full asymptotic development of a Fourier integral depends, in general, on the end points as well as all stationary points of $\psi$ on $C^{\prime}$.
We note that constant-phase contours are steepest descent and ascent contours. To show this, we appeal to the concept of gradient in elementary calculus. The gradient of $\phi(x, y)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \phi=\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right) \tag{3.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the derivative of $\phi$ in the direction defined by the unit vector $n$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d n}=\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{n} \tag{3.209}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the maximum value of $d \phi / d n$ occurs when $n=\nabla \phi /|\nabla \phi|$. Thus, the steepest ascent contours are parallel to $\nabla \phi$, whereas the steepest descent contours are parallel to $-\nabla \phi$. It follows from the Cauchy-Riemann equations (3.205) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi=0 \tag{3.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\nabla \phi$ is perpendicular to $\nabla \psi$ and the derivative of $\psi$ in the direction $\nabla \phi$ is zero. Thus, $\psi$ is constant on contours whose tangents are parallel to $\nabla \phi$, showing that constant-phase contours correspond to steepest ascent and descent contours.

We should note that $\phi(x, y)$ can never have a true maximum or minimum except at a singularity because if, for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}<0 \quad \text { then } \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}>0 \tag{3.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (3.206). However, the surface $\phi(x, y)$ may possess a flat spot at which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=0 \tag{3.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a spot is called a saddle point because the surface $\phi(x, y)$ resembles a saddle or a mountain pass or a col, as shown in Figure 3-7. By the Cauchy-Riemann equations (3.205), we see that (3.212) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}=0 \tag{3.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, a saddle point of $\phi(x, y)$ is also a saddle point of $\psi(x, y)$ as well as a point where $h^{\prime}(z)=0$. If $z=z_{0}$ is a saddle point and if $h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\cdots=h^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, we call $z=z_{0}$ a saddle point of order $m+1$.

Through a saddle point $z_{0}$, there are two or more level curves (curves of constant $\phi$ ), separating the neighborhood of the saddle point into sectors. Moreover, through a saddle point, there are two or more constant-phase contours (curves of


Figure 3-7. Topography of the surface $\phi=\operatorname{Re} h(z)$ near the saddle point $z_{0}$, for a typical function $h(z)$. The heavy solid curves follow the centers of the ridges and valleys from the saddle point, and the dashed curves follow level contours, $\phi=\phi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=$ constant. The curve $A A^{\prime}$ is the path of steepest descent.
constant $\psi$ ), which are the steepest paths through the saddle point. Some of these steepest paths descend, whereas the others ascend.
Although the forms of the constant-level and -phase paths passing through a saddle may be complicated in the whole $z$ plane, it is easy to find their forms near a saddle point. If the saddle point $z=z_{0}$ is of order $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \approx h\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{m!} h^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{m} \tag{3.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m!} h^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)=K e^{i X} \quad z-z_{0}=r e^{i \theta} \tag{3.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
h(z) \approx h\left(z_{0}\right)+K r^{m} e^{i(x+m \theta)}=\phi+i \psi
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\phi_{0}+K r^{m} \cos (\chi+m \theta) \quad \psi=\psi_{0}+K r^{m} \sin (\chi+m \theta) \tag{3.216}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}$ are the values of $\phi$ and $\psi$ at the saddle point. Thus, the level curves $\phi=\phi_{0}$ passing through the saddle point are approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\chi+m \theta)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \chi+m \theta=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \tag{3.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1,2,3, \ldots, 2 m$. Equation (3.217) provides the $2 m$ constant level curves $\theta=\left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi-\chi\right] / m$ that divide the neighborhood of $z_{0}$ into $m$ hills and valleys. It follows from (3.216) that the constant-phase (steepest) contours $\psi=$ $\psi_{0}$ are approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (\chi+m \theta)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \chi+m \theta=n \pi \tag{3.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1,2,3, \ldots, 2 m$. Equation (3.218) provides the $2 m$ steepest contours $\theta=(n \pi-\chi) / m, m$ contours of steepest descent, and $m$ contours of steepest ascent.
In the simplest case, the saddle point is of order 2 so that there are two steepest descent contours and two steepest ascent contours, as shown in Figure 3-8. Moreover, there are four constant level curves, separating the neighborhood of the saddle point into two hills and two valleys, as shown in Figure 3-8. For a saddle point of order 3, there are six constant-level contours, separating the neighborhood of the saddle point into three hills and three valleys. Moreover, there are three steepest descent contours in the valleys and three steepest ascent contours in the hills, as shown in Figure 3-9.
The above discussion shows that an effective method of determining the asymptotic development of integrals whose end points lie in two different


Figure 3-8. Topography of the surface $\phi=\operatorname{Reh}(z)$ near a saddle point of order 2 when $\mathrm{x}=$ 0 . The valleys are shaded, the steepest curves dotted, the solid lines are the level curves, and the arrows indicate the direction in which $\phi$ decreases.
valleys is the method of steepest descent developed by Riemann and Debye. It consists of deforming the contour of integration $C$ to a new contour $C^{\prime}$ such that

1. the contour of integration passes through a zero of $h^{\prime}(z)$;
2. the imaginary part $\psi$ of $h(z)$ is constant on the contour;
3. the contour is that of steepest descent.

If the restrictions on the deformed contour are such that it passes through more than one saddle point, each will make its contribution to the integral with the main contribution arising from the one corresponding to the largest $\phi$. If $h^{\prime}(z)$ does not vanish, the contour of integration is chosen to satisfy the second and


Figure 3-9. Topography of the surface $\phi=\operatorname{Reh}(z)$ near a saddle point of order 3 when $x^{=}$ 0 . The valleys are shaded, the steepest curves dotted, the solid lines are the level curves, and the arrows indicate the direction in which $\phi$ decreases.
third conditions only. Next, the method of steepest descent is described by its application to three examples.

EXAMPLE 1
As a first example, we consider the following Integral representation of Bessel's function of the first kind and zeroth order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{e^{i \alpha z}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} d z \quad \text { for large } \alpha \tag{3.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts fails in this case because the choice

$$
u=e^{i \alpha z} \quad d v=\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d z
$$

leads to a nonasymptotic expansion, whereas the choice

$$
u=\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \quad d v=e^{i \alpha z} d z
$$

leads to singular expressions at $z= \pm 1$.
To determine an approximate expression for $J_{0}(\alpha)$ for large $\alpha$ by using the method of steepest descent, we deform the contour of integration into a con-stant-phase contour. We note that $h(z)=i z$ so that the phase $\psi$ at $z=1$ is 1 , whereas the phase at $z=-1$ is -1 . Thus, the contour cannot be continuously deformed into a single contour along which the phase is constant. However, we can deform the contour into one that consists of three line segments: $C_{1}$, which runs up from -1 to $-1+i Y$ along a straight line parallel to the $y$ axis; $C_{2}$, which runs parallel to the $x$ axis from $-1+i Y$ to $1+i Y$; and $C_{3}$, which runs down from $1+i Y$ to 1 along a straight line parallel to the $y$ axis, as shown in Figure $3-10$. By Cauchy's theorem (3.169),


Figure 3-10. Contour deformation for (3.219).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3}} \frac{e^{i \alpha z}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} d z=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{e^{i \alpha z}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} d z \tag{3.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

Strictly speaking, the contour of integration must be deformed as shown by the dotted lines to avoid the so-called branch singularities at $x= \pm 1$. However, in the limit as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ the integral tends to that given in (3.220). Hence, we will not worry about such border branch points in what follows. As $Y \rightarrow \infty$, the integral along $C_{2}$ vanishes because the integrand vanishes uniformly there. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{-1+i \infty} \frac{e^{i \alpha z}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} d z+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1+i \infty}^{1} \frac{e^{i \alpha z}}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} d z \tag{3.221}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making the substitution $z=-1+i y$ in the first integral and $z=1+i y$ in the second integral, we rewrite (3.221) as

$$
J_{0}(\alpha)=\frac{i e^{-i \alpha}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha y}}{\sqrt{2 i y+y^{2}}} d y+\frac{i e^{i \alpha}}{\pi} \int_{\infty}^{0} \frac{e^{-\alpha y} d y}{\sqrt{-2 i y+y^{2}}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}(\alpha)= & \frac{i e^{-i \alpha-(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha y} y^{-1 / 2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} i y\right)^{-1 / 2} d y \\
& -\frac{i e^{i \alpha+(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha y} y^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} i y\right)^{-1 / 2} d y \tag{3.222}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals in (3.222) are Laplace integrals and only the immediate neighborhood of $y=0$ contributes to their asymptotic developments for large $\alpha$. Thus, using Watson's lemma, we expand the nonexponential parts of the integrands for small $y$ and integrate the results term by term. The leading term in the asymptotic development of $J_{0}(\alpha)$ is

$$
J_{0}(\alpha) \sim \frac{e^{-i \alpha+(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1 / 2} e^{-\alpha y} d y+\frac{e^{i \alpha-(1 / 4) i \pi}}{\sqrt{2} \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-1 / 2} e^{-\alpha y} d y
$$

which, with the substitution $\alpha y=t$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \alpha} \pi}\left[e^{-i \alpha+(1 / 4) i \pi}+e^{i \alpha-(1 / 4) i \pi}\right] \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1 / 2} e^{-t} d t \tag{3.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.121) and the identity

$$
\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)=2 \cos \theta
$$

we rewrite (3.223) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(\alpha) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha \pi}} \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.224}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider the Airy integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} s^{3}+\alpha s\right) d s \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha \gg 1 \tag{3.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

To transform (3.225) into an integral having the form (3.199), we introduce the transformation $s=\alpha^{1 / 2} z$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A i(\alpha)= & \frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left[\alpha^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+z\right)\right] d z=\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z \mid\right.}\right. \\
& +e^{-i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z \mid\right] d z=\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z\right]} d z} \\
& +\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z \mid\right.} d z=\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z \mid\right.} d z \\
& -\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{-\infty} e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left[(1 / 3) z^{3}+z \mid\right.} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left|(1 / 3) z^{3}+z\right|} d z \tag{3.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts yields a trivial solution because it forces the expansion to have the form of a power series in $\alpha^{-1}$ whereas, as shown below, the expansion has an exponential factor that tends to zero faster than any po:ver of $\alpha^{-1}$.

To determine the asymptotic development of $\operatorname{Ai}(\alpha)$, we use the method of steepest descent. Here,

$$
h(z)=i\left(\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+z\right) \quad h^{\prime}(z)=i\left(z^{2}+1\right)
$$

and the saddle points are the zeros of $h^{\prime}(z)=0$, that is, the points $z= \pm i$. At the saddle points,

$$
h( \pm i)=i\left(\mp \frac{1}{3} i \pm i\right)=\mp \frac{2}{3}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Im} h( \pm i)=0$. Putting $z=x+i y$ in the expression for $h$ yielas

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=i\left[\frac{1}{3}(x+i y)^{3}+x+i y\right]=y\left(\frac{1}{3} y^{2}-x^{2}-1\right)+i x\left(\frac{1}{3} x^{2}-y^{2}+1\right) \tag{3.227}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} h=0$ at the saddle points, it follows from (3.227) that the steepest paths passing through the saddle points are given by $\operatorname{Im} h=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(\frac{1}{3} x^{2}-y^{2}+1\right)=0 \tag{3.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.228) is a cubic equation consisting of the imaginary axis and of the two branches of the hyperbola

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} x^{2}-y^{2}+1=0 \tag{3.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

These paths are shown in Figure $3-11$ with arrows indicating the directions of decreasing $\operatorname{Re} h(z)$. Therefore, to apply the method of steepest descent, we deform the contour of integration from the real axis into the contour $C_{1}$

1. which passes through the saddle point $z=i$,
2. which is a constant-phase contour, and
3. which is a steepest-descent contour from the saddle point.


Figure 3-11. Constant phase contours including the steepest paths passing through the saddle points for the Airy function; the arrows indicate the direction in which Reh(z) decreases.

## 98 INTEGRALS

The deformation is possible since the integral decays exponentially in the region between the contours as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we replace (3.226) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2}}{2 \pi} \int_{C_{1}} e^{i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left|(1 / 3) z^{3}+z\right|} d z \tag{3.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

Along $C_{1},(3.229)$ holds so that $x^{2}=3\left(y^{2}-1\right)$ and (3.227) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=y\left(2-\frac{8}{3} y^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad y \geqslant 1 \tag{3.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $h(z)$ has its maximum at $z=i$ (i.e., $y=1$ ). Therefore, the integral in ( 3.230 ) is a generalized Laplace integral and its asymptotic development can be obtained by using Watson's lemma. To this end, we make the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{3 / 2} h(z)=i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+z\right)=\alpha^{3 / 2} h(i)-\tau^{2}=-\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3 / 2}-\tau^{2} \tag{3.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left(z^{2}+1\right) d z=-2 \tau d \tau \tag{3.233}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3.230) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha) \sim \frac{i e^{-(2 / 3) \alpha^{3 / 2}}}{\pi \alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau e^{-\tau^{2}}}{z^{2}+1} d \tau \tag{3.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we need to expand $\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{-1}$ for small $\tau$, and hence, we need to determine $z$ as a function of $\tau$. To this end, we expand the left-hand side of (3.232) in a Taylor series around $z=i$ and obtain

$$
(z-i)^{2}-\frac{1}{3} i(z-i)^{3}=\alpha^{-3 / 2} \tau^{2}
$$

whose solution for small $\tau$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=i+\alpha^{-3 / 4} \tau+\cdots \tag{3.235}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{-1}=\left(2 i \alpha^{-3 / 4} \tau\right)^{-1} \quad \text { as } \quad \tau \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.236}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.236) into (3.234) leads to the following expression for the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $\operatorname{Ai}(\alpha)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha) \sim \frac{e^{-(2 / 3) \alpha^{3 / 2}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \alpha^{1 / 4}} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.237}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that detailed tracing of the steepest descent contours is seldom necessary because the asymptotic development of an integral wholy arises from the immediate neighborhoods of the points where $\phi$ is greatest. These points could be interior or end points. In order that $\phi$ be greatest at an
interior point, it is necessary that such a point be a saddle point. Thus, in the present example, it is sufficient to trace a very short segment of steepest descent contour of integration through the saddle point. Since $z=i$ is a saddle point, the exponent in (3.230) near this point can be approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \alpha^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+z\right)=-\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3 / 2}-\alpha^{3 / 2}(z-i)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.238}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the direction of the contour will be such that $\alpha^{3 / 2}(z-i)^{2}=\tau^{2}$ is real and positive. Hence, for the leading term, we substitute (3.238) into (3.230), take the limits of integration from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, and obtain

$$
A i(\alpha) \sim \frac{e^{-(2 / 3) \alpha^{3 / 2}}}{2 \pi \alpha^{1 / 4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(\alpha) \sim \frac{e^{-(2 / 3) \alpha^{3 / 2}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \alpha^{1 / 4}} \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.239}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (3.25).

## EXAMPLE 3

As a final example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)} d z \tag{3.240}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large positive $\alpha$ where $\lambda$ is a complex number that is independent of $\alpha$. The saddle points are given by

$$
h^{\prime}(z)=\frac{d}{d z}\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda-z^{2}=0
$$

Hence, they are located at $z= \pm \lambda^{1 / 2}$. If we let $\lambda=\sigma^{2} \exp (2 i \nu)$, then the saddle points are located at $z= \pm \sigma \exp (i v)$. Since $h^{\prime \prime}(z)=-6 z$ is different from zero at the saddle points, the saddle points are of order two.
To determine the asymptotic development of (3.240), we use the analyticity of the integrand and deform the contour of integration into the contour $C$ that passes through the origin and the saddle point $z=\sigma \exp (i \nu)$ and that is a steep-est-descent contour (see Figure 3-12). Thus, we rewrite (3.240) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)} d z=\int_{C} e^{\alpha\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)} d z \tag{3.241}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned earlier, only a very short segment $\hat{C}$ of the contour $C$ passing


Figure 3-12. Deformed contour $C$ of integration for $\sigma=1$ and $\nu=22.5^{\circ}$.
through the saddle point contributes to the asymptotic development of $I(\alpha)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \int_{\hat{C}} e^{\alpha\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)} d z \tag{3.242}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=\sigma \exp (i v)$ is a saddle point, the exponent in (3.242) near this point can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(3 \lambda z-z^{3}\right)=2 \alpha \sigma^{3} e^{3 i \nu}-3 \alpha \sigma e^{i \nu}\left(z-\sigma e^{i \nu}\right)^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.243}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the direction of the path $\hat{C}$ will be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \alpha \sigma e^{i \nu}\left(z-\sigma e^{i \nu}\right)^{2}=\tau^{2} \tag{3.244}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau^{2}$ is real and positive. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z-\sigma e^{i \nu}= \pm \frac{e^{-(1 / 2) i \nu}}{\sqrt{3 \alpha \sigma}} \tau \tag{3.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there are two possible choices for the direction of the path of integration, namely $-\frac{1}{2} \nu$ and $\pi-\frac{1}{2} \nu$. At this point, one needs to inspect the behaviors of $\phi$ and $\psi$ over the complex plane (Figure 3-12) in order to decide the sense in which the path passes through the saddle point. Figure 3-12 shows that the direction of the path of integration is $-\frac{1}{2} \nu$, so that we must take the positive sign in (3.245) because $\tau$ goes from a negative to a positive value on the path. These
values are taken to be $-\infty$ and $\infty$ according to Watson's lemma. Then, substituting (3.245) into (3.242), we obtain for the leading term

$$
I(\alpha) \sim \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} i \nu+2 \alpha \sigma^{3} e^{3 i \nu}\right]}{\sqrt{3 \sigma \alpha}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\alpha) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3 \sigma \alpha}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} i \nu+2 \alpha \sigma^{3} e^{3 i \nu}\right] \quad \text { as } \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.246}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Exercises

3.1. Show that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sin \epsilon t}{t} d t \sim \epsilon-\frac{1}{18} \epsilon^{3}+\frac{1}{600} \epsilon^{5}
$$

3.2. Show that as $x \rightarrow 0$

$$
\int_{0}^{x} t^{-3 / 4} e^{-t} d t \sim 4 x^{1 / 4}-\frac{4}{5} x^{5 / 4}+\frac{2}{9} x^{9 / 4}
$$

3.3. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} d t \sim e^{-x}\left[\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1!}{x^{2}}+\frac{2!}{x^{3}}-\frac{3!}{x^{4}}\right]$
(b) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n}} d t \sim \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{n}}\left[1-\frac{n}{x}+\frac{n(n+1)}{x^{2}}-\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{x^{3}}\right]$
3.4. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\lambda-1} d t \sim x^{\lambda} e^{-x}\left[\frac{1}{x}+\frac{\lambda-1}{x^{2}}+\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{x^{3}}\right]
$$

3.5. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} d t \sim e^{-x^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2 x}-\frac{1}{2^{2} x^{3}}+\frac{1 \cdot 3}{2^{3} x^{5}}-\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{2^{4} x^{7}}\right]
$$

3.6. Consider the complete elliptic integral of the second kind

$$
I(m)=\int_{0}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

Show that

$$
I(m)=\frac{1}{2} \pi\left[1-\frac{1}{4} m-\frac{3}{64} m^{2}-\frac{5}{256} m^{3}-\frac{175}{16384} m^{4}+\cdots\right]
$$

Compare this result with those tabulated in Abramowitz and Stegun.
3.7. Show that us $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{cost}}{t} d t \sim\left(-\frac{1}{x}+\frac{2!}{x^{3}}-\frac{4!}{x^{5}}\right) \sin x+\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}-\frac{3!}{x^{4}}+\frac{5!}{x^{6}}\right) \cos x
$$

3.8. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\cos t}{\sqrt{t}} d t \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(f \cos x-g \sin x)$
(b) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{t}} d t \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(f \sin x+g \cos x)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \sim \frac{1}{2 x}-\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{(2 x)^{3}}+\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{(2 x)^{5}} \\
& g \sim 1-\frac{1 \cdot 3}{(2 x)^{2}}+\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{(2 x)^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.9. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (t-x)}{t} d t \sim \frac{1}{x^{2}}-\frac{3!}{x^{4}}+\frac{5!}{x^{6}}$
(b) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (t-x)}{t} d t \sim \frac{1}{x}-\frac{2!}{x^{3}}+\frac{4!}{x^{5}}$
3.10. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t^{2} \ln t} \sim \frac{1}{x \ln x}$
(b) $\int_{2}^{x} \frac{d t}{t \ln \ln t} \sim \frac{\ln x}{\ln \ln x}$
3.11. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{t^{1 / 2}}{1+t^{2}} d t \sim 2 x^{-1 / 2}-\frac{2}{5} x^{-5 / 2}+\frac{2}{9} x^{-9 / 2}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{x}\left(t^{3}+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d t \sim \frac{2}{5} x^{5 / 2}+\frac{1}{3} x^{3 / 2}$
(c) $\int_{a}^{x} \frac{d t}{\ln t} \sim \frac{x}{\ln x}$
3.12. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x t} \ln (2+t) d t \sim \frac{\ln 2}{x}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x t} \ln (1+t) d t \sim \frac{1}{x^{2}}$
(c) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x t} \sin t d t \sim \frac{1}{x^{2}}$
(d) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-(x / t)+t+x t} d t \sim \frac{e}{2 x}$
3.13. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{\omega+x+x \sqrt{\omega}} d x \sim \frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{1}{\omega^{3 / 2}}
$$

3.14. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega\left(x^{2}+2 x\right)}(1+x)^{5 / 2} d x \sim \frac{1}{2 \omega}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega\left(x^{2}+2 x\right)} \ln (2+x) d x \sim \frac{\ln 2}{2 \omega}$
(c) $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega\left(x^{2}+2 x\right)} \ln (1+x) d x \sim \frac{1}{4 \omega^{2}}$.
(d) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\omega\left(x^{2}+2 x\right)}}{\sqrt{x+3 x^{2}}} d x \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \omega}}$
3.15. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{a}^{b}(x-a)^{\lambda} e^{-\omega h(x)} d x \sim \frac{e^{-\omega h(a)} \Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\left[\omega h^{\prime}(a)\right]^{\lambda+1}}
$$

where $\lambda>-1, b>a$, and $h(x)>h(a)$.
3.16. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\omega x^{2}} x^{5 / 2} \ln (1+x) d x \sim \frac{e^{-\omega} \ln 2}{2 \omega}
$$

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\omega x^{2}} x^{5 / 2} \ln x d x \sim \frac{e^{-\omega}}{4 \omega^{2}}
$$

3.17. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\omega x^{2}}}{\sqrt{x+x^{2}}} d x \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{2 \omega^{1 / 4}}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega x^{2}} x^{5 / 2} \ln (1+x) d x \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{9}{4}\right)}{2 \omega^{9 / 4}}$
3.18. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega x^{2}} \ln \left(2+x^{2}\right) d x \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \ln 2}{\sqrt{\omega}}$
(b) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega x^{2}} \ln \left(1+x^{2}\right) d x \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \omega^{3 / 2}}$
3.19. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{1}^{2} e^{-x\{t+(1 / t) \mid} d t \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{x}} e^{-2 x}$
(b) $\int_{1}^{2} e^{-x|t+(1 / t)|} \ln (1+t) d t \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \ln 2}{2 \sqrt{x}} e^{-2 x}$
(c) $\int_{1}^{2} e^{-x|t+(1 / t)|} \ln t d t \sim \frac{e^{-2 x}}{2 x}$
(d) $\int_{1}^{2} \frac{e^{-x[t+(1 / t)]}}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}} d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} x^{1 / 4}} e^{-2 x}$
3.20. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-x t^{n}}}{1+t} d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{n x^{1 / n}}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x t^{n}} \ln (1+t) d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)}{n x^{2 / n}}$
(c) $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-x t^{n}}}{\sqrt{t}} d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 n}\right)}{n x^{1 / 2 n}}$
3.21. Show that as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$

$$
A i(-\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-\alpha t\right) d t \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha^{1 / 4}} \sin \left(\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)
$$

3.22. Show that as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \alpha\left[(1 / 3) t^{3}+t \mid\right.} d t \sim \frac{i}{\alpha}
$$

3.23. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
J_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos (x \cos \theta) d \theta \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)
$$

3.24. Show that as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{i \alpha t^{3}} d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) e^{(1 / 6) i \pi}}{3 \alpha^{1 / 3}}$
(b) $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{i \alpha t^{3}}}{\sqrt{t}} d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) e^{(1 / 12) i \pi}}{3 \alpha^{1 / 6}}$
(c) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{i \alpha t^{3}} \ln (1+t) d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) e^{(1 / 3) i \pi}}{3 \alpha^{2 / 3}}$
(d) $\int_{0}^{1} e^{i \alpha t^{3}} \ln (2+t) d t \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) e^{(1 / 6) i \pi} \ln 2}{3 \alpha^{1 / 3}}$
3.25. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(a) $K_{0}(x)=\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}} d t \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 x}} e^{-x}$
(b) $H_{0}^{(1)}(x)=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i x t}}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{i|x-(1 / 4) \pi|}$
(c) $J_{0}(x)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x t}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}} d t \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)$
(d) $Y_{0}(x)=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x t}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}} d t \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)$
(e) $I_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x \cos \theta} \cos n \theta d \theta \sim \frac{e^{x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi x}}$
3.26. Show that as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} d t \sim \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{z}} z^{z} \cdot e^{-z}
$$
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3.27. Show that as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{i x t}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1 / 2} d r \sim\binom{2}{x}^{n+1 / 2} \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos \left[x-\frac{1}{2} \pi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]
$$

3.28. Show that as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \ln t e^{i \omega t} d t \sim-\frac{i \ln \omega}{\omega}-\frac{i \gamma+\frac{1}{2} \pi}{\omega}
$$

where $\gamma=-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t d t$.
3.29. Show that as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left.\alpha \mid z-(1 / 3) i z^{3}\right]} d z \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{8} i \pi+\frac{2}{3} \alpha e^{-(1 / 4) i \pi}\right]}{\alpha^{1 / 2}}
$$

3.30. Consider the integral representation of the Legendre polynomial of order $n$

$$
P_{n}(\mu)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\mu+\sqrt{\mu^{2}-1} \cos \theta\right]^{n} d \theta
$$

Show that

$$
P_{n}(\mu) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 n \pi}} \frac{\left(\mu+\sqrt{\mu^{2}-1}\right)^{n+1 / 2}}{\left(\mu^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 4}} \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

3.31. Consider the Airy function $\operatorname{Bi}(z)$ of the second kind defined by

$$
B i(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[e^{-(1 / 3) t^{3}+z t}+\sin \left(\frac{1}{3} t^{3}+z t\right)\right] d t
$$

(a) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, use Laplace's method for the first integral and integration by parts for the second integral and show that

$$
B i(z) \sim \frac{e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} z^{1 / 4}}
$$

(b) Replace $z$ by - $\alpha$ in the above integrals; then, use the Laplace's method for the first integral and the method of stationary phase for the second integral, and obtain

$$
B i(-z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha^{1 / 4}} \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)
$$

## CHAPTER 4

## The Duffing Equation

The free oscillations of many conservative systems having a single degree of freedom are governed by an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+f\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a nonlinear function of $x^{*}$. Here, $d^{2} x^{*} / d t^{* 2}$ is the acceleration of the system, whereas $f\left(x^{*}\right)$ is the restoring force. Let $x^{*}=x_{0}^{*}$ be an equilibrium position of the system; then, $f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)=0$. Moreover, assume that $f$ is an analytic function at $x^{*}=x_{0}^{*}$; then, it can be expanded in a Taylor series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{*}\right)=k_{1}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)^{3}+\cdots \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k_{n}=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} f^{*}}{d x^{* n}}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)
$$

Hence, (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k_{1}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}^{*}\right)^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.3) describes the motion of the system in the neighborhood of the equilibrium position. It is convenient to introduce the transformation $u^{*}=x^{*}$ $x_{0}^{*}$ so that (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k_{1} u^{*}+k_{2} u^{* 2}+k_{3} u^{* 3}+\cdots=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Most of this chapter is devoted to the following special case of (4.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k_{1} u^{*}+k_{3} u^{* 3}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}>0$ and $k_{3}$ may be positive or negative. Equation (4.5) is usually called the Duffing equation. As mentioned in Chapter 1, one should make it a practice to write the governing equations in dimensionless form before solving them. To this end, we choose a characteristic length $U^{*}$ of the motion and a characteristic time $T^{*}$ and let

$$
t=\frac{t^{*}}{T^{*}} \quad u=\frac{u^{*}}{U^{*}}
$$

Using the chain rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t^{*}}=\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d t}{d t^{*}}=\frac{1}{T^{*}} \frac{d}{d t} \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{* 2}}=\frac{1}{T^{* 2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (4.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+k_{1} T^{* 2} u+k_{3} T^{* 2} U^{* 2} u^{3}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to choose $T^{*}$ so that $k_{1} T^{* 2}=1$ and let $\epsilon=k_{3} T^{* 2} U^{* 2}=k_{3} U^{* 2} /$ $k_{1}$. Hence, (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+\varepsilon u^{3}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\epsilon$ is a dimensionless quantity, and it is a measure of the strength of the nonlinearity. As initial conditions, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=x_{0} \quad \dot{u}(0)=\dot{x}_{0} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $u$ of our problem is a function of the independent variable $t$ and the parameter $\epsilon$. Hence, we write $u=u(t ; \epsilon)$, where the parameter $\epsilon$ is separated from the independent variable $t$ by a semicolon. In the next section, we determine a straightforward approximation to (4.7) and (4.8) for small but finite $\epsilon$. This expansion is nonuniform for large times. Then, an exact solution is obtained in Section 4.2, and it is used to show that the frequency $\omega$ of the system is a function of $\epsilon$ (i.e., the nonlinearity). This fact is used in Section 4.3 to determine a uniform expansion by expanding both $u$ and $\omega$ in powers of $\epsilon$, this is, the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique. In Section 4.4, we introduce the expansions for $u$ and $\omega$ into the straightforward expansion and render it uniform or normal, this is, the method of renormalization. In Scction 4.5, we describe the method of multiple scales, in Section 4.6, we describe the methed of variation of parameters (method of special perturbations), and in Section 4.7, we describe the method of averaging.

### 4.1. The Straightforward Expansion

When $\epsilon=0,(4.7)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a_{0} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are arbitrary constants. When $\epsilon$ is small but different from zero, the general solution of (4.7) is no longer given by (4.10), and a correction must be added to it. We try a correction in the form of a power series in $\epsilon$, that is, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(t)+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}(t)+\cdots \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we restrict our discussion to the first term in the correction series. Thus, we seek an approximate solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since only one term is kept in the correction series, we call (4.12) a first-order expansion.

We substitute (4.12) into (4.7) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)+\epsilon\left[u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right]^{3}=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the binomial theorem to expand the last term, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right]^{3}=u_{0}^{3}+3 u_{0}^{2}\left[\epsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right]+3 u_{0}\left[\epsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right]^{2}} \\
& \quad+\left[\varepsilon u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right]^{3}=u_{0}^{3}+3 \epsilon u_{0}^{2} u_{1}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.14) into (4.13) and collecting coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+u_{0}^{3}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that since we are only interested in terms up to $O(\epsilon)$, we need only the terms that are independent of $\epsilon$ from the quantity inside the brackets in (4.13). This fact can be used to minimize the algebra. Setting $\epsilon=0$ in (4.15) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.15) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+u_{0}^{3}\right)+O\left(c^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (4.17) by $\epsilon$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+u_{0}^{3}+O(\epsilon)=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\epsilon=0$ in (4.18) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+u_{0}^{3}=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.16) and (4.19) with (4.15), we note that the former equations can be obtained by simply setting each of the coefficients of $\epsilon$ equal to zero in (4.15). This is how one usually derives the equations governing $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$. Moreover, we note that (4.16) and (4.19) have to be solved in succession. One first solves (4.16) for $u_{0}$, substitutes the result into (4.19), and then solves for $u_{1}$.

The general solution of (4.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a_{0} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are arbitrary constants. Substituting for $u_{0}$ into (4.19) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-a_{0}^{3} \cos ^{3}\left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.21) is an inhomogeneous equation whose general solution consists of the sum of a homogeneous solution and a particular solution (Appendix B). To determine a particular solution, we find it convenient to express the inhomogeneous term in a Fourier series using the trigonometric identity (A18)

$$
\cos ^{3} \theta=\frac{1}{4} \cos 3 \theta+\frac{3}{4} \cos \theta
$$

and rewrite (4.21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-\frac{3}{4} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{4} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homogeneous solution of (4.22) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1_{h}}=a_{1} \cos \left(t+\beta_{1}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. Since (4.22) is linear, one can use the principle of superposition and determine particular solutions as the sum of two particular solutions corresponding to the two inhomogeneous terms. That is, one determines a particular solution by adding two particular solutions of the following two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-\frac{3}{4} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)  \tag{4.24}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

A particular solution of (4.24) is (B75 and B76)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1_{p}}^{(1)}=-\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} t \sin \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular solution of (4.25) is (B68 and B69)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i_{p}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, according to the principle of superposition, a particular solution of (4.22) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1 p}=-\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} t \sin \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the general solution of (4.22) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=a_{1} \cos \left(t+\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} t \sin \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ from (4.20) and (4.29), respectively, into (4.12) yields the following first-order expansion for the general solution of (4.7):

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a_{0} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)+\epsilon\left[a_{1} \cos \left(t+\beta_{1}\right)-\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} t \sin \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta_{0}\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, \beta_{0}$, and $\beta_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. We started with a secondorder equation that can satisfy two initial conditions but it appears that we ended up with four arbitrary constants. It turns out that the constants $a_{0}, a_{1}$, $\beta_{0}$, and $\beta_{1}$ are not arbitrary and that the two initial conditions (4.8) are enough to determine them. To see this, we substitute (4.30) into (4.8) and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}=a_{0} \cos \beta_{0}+\epsilon\left(a_{1} \cos \beta_{1}+\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos 3 \beta_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{4.31}\\
& \dot{x}_{0}=-a_{0} \sin \beta_{0}-\epsilon\left(a_{1} \sin \beta_{1}+\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} \sin \beta_{0}+\frac{3}{32} a_{0}^{3} \sin 3 \beta_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Transposing all terms to one side and equating the coefficients of the powers of $\epsilon$ to zero in (4.31) and (4.32) is equivalent to equating the coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ on both sides of these equations. The results are

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}=a_{0} \cos \beta_{0}  \tag{4.33}\\
& \dot{x}_{0}=-a_{0} \sin \beta_{0} \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

## Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \cos \beta_{1}=-\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3} \cos 3 \beta_{0}  \tag{4.35}\\
& a_{1} \sin \beta_{1}=-\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{3} \sin \beta_{0}-\frac{3}{32} a_{0}^{3} \sin 3 \beta_{0} \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring and adding (4.33) and (4.34) yields

$$
x_{0}^{2}+\dot{x}_{0}^{2}=a_{0}^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta_{0}+a_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta_{0}=a_{0}^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\left(x_{0}^{2}+\dot{x}_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, solving (4.33) and (4.34) for $\beta_{0}$ gives
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=-\sin ^{-1}\left[\frac{\dot{x}_{0}}{\left(x_{0}^{2}+\dot{x}_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right]=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{x_{0}}{\left(x_{0}^{2}+\dot{x}_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right] \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, solving (4.35) and (4.36) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\frac{1}{32} a_{0}^{3}\left[\cos ^{2} 3 \beta_{0}+9\left(\sin 3 \beta_{0}+4 \sin \beta_{0}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{4.39}\\
\beta_{1}=-\sin ^{-1} \frac{3 a_{0}^{3}\left(4 \sin \beta_{0}+\sin 3 \beta_{0}\right)}{32 a_{1}}=\cos ^{-1}\left[-\frac{a_{0}^{3} \cos 3 \beta_{0}}{32 a_{1}}\right] \tag{4.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, once $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are calculated from (4.37) and (4.38), $a_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ can be calculated from (4.39) and (4.40).

Let us return to (4.30) and note that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) & +\epsilon a_{1} \cos \left(t+\beta_{1}\right) \\
= & a_{0} \cos t \cos \beta_{0}-a_{0} \sin t \sin \beta_{0}+\epsilon a_{1} \cos t \cos \beta_{1}-\epsilon a_{1} \sin t \sin \beta_{1} \\
= & \left(a_{0} \cos \beta_{0}+\epsilon a_{1} \cos \beta_{1}\right) \cos t-\left(a_{0} \sin \beta_{0}+\epsilon a_{1} \sin \beta_{1}\right) \sin t \\
= & a \cos t \cos \beta-a \sin t \sin \beta \\
= & a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \cos \beta=a_{0} \cos \beta_{0}+\epsilon a_{1} \cos \beta_{1}  \tag{4.42}\\
a \sin \beta=a_{0} \sin \beta_{0}+\epsilon a_{1} \sin \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from (4.42) and (4.43) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=a+O(\epsilon) \quad \beta_{0}=\beta+O(\epsilon) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.41) and (4.44), we rewrite (4.30) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & a \cos (t+\beta)+\epsilon\left\{-\frac{3}{8}[a+O(\epsilon)]^{3} t \sin [t+\beta+O(\epsilon)]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{32}[a+O(\epsilon)]^{3} \cos [3 t+3 \beta+O(\epsilon)]\right\}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+\epsilon a^{3}\left[-\frac{3}{8} t \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} \cos (3 t+3 \beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We could have obtained this solution directly from (4.16) and (4.19) as follows: The solution of $(4.16)$ is taken in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (l+\beta) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta)=-\frac{3}{4} a^{3} \cos (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{4} a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in writing down the solution of (4.47), we take only the particular solution. That is, we write the solution of (4.47) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-\frac{3}{8} a^{3} t \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ from (4.46) and (4.48) into (4.12), we obtain (4.45). Thus, in solving problems of this kind, one has two choices. First, one may include the homogeneous solution at each order and consider the arbitrary constants to be independent of $\epsilon$. Second, one may disregard the homogeneous solution at all orders except the first and consider the arbitrary constants to be dependent upon $\epsilon$. The latter choice is used in most of this book.

Returning to (4.45), we find that to the first approximation

$$
u=a \cos (t+\beta)
$$

and that its first correction is

$$
-\frac{3}{8} a^{3} \epsilon t \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta)
$$

We note that this correction is small, as it is supposed to be, only when $\epsilon t$ is small compared with unity. When $\epsilon t$ is $O(1)$, the term that is supposed to be a small correction becomes the order of the main term. Moreover, when $\epsilon t>$ $O(1)$, the "small-correction" term becomes larger than the main term. Hence, the straightforward expansion (4.45) is valid only for times such that $\epsilon t<O(1)$, that is, $t<O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$. Consequently, we say such expansions are nonuniform or breakdown for long times and we call such expansions pedestrian expansions. The reason for the breakdown of this expansion is the presence of the term $t \sin (t+\beta)$, a product of algebraic and circular terms. Such terms are called mixed-secular terms in the astronomy literature. The word secular is derived from the French word siécle, which means a century. This designation is the result of $\epsilon$ being very small in astronomical applications and $\epsilon t$ becomes appreciable after very long times, the order of a century. Thus, for the expansion to be uniform, the corrections must be free of secular terms. In the next section, we obtain and examine the exact solution in order to determine the source of the secular terms. In subsequent sections, we develop methods that avoid secular terms, and hence, yield uniform expansions.

### 4.2. Exact Solution

Equation (4.7) belongs to the class of second-order equations from which the first derivative is absent. This class of equations can be integrated by making the change of variable $\dot{u}=v$ and changing the independent variable from tou. To this end, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=\dot{v}=\frac{d v}{d t}=\frac{d v}{d u} \frac{d u}{d t}=v \frac{d v}{d u} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.7) becomes
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$$
\begin{equation*}
v \frac{d v}{d u}+u+\epsilon u^{3}=0 \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon separation of variables, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v d v=-\left(u+\epsilon u^{3}\right) d u \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.51) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} v^{2}=h-\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon u^{4}\right)=h-F(u) \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a constant of integration. Since $v=\dot{u}, \frac{1}{2} v^{2}$ is proportional to the kinetic energy of the system. Since $u+\epsilon u^{3}$ is proportional to the restoring force,

$$
F(u)=\int\left(u+\epsilon u^{3}\right) d u=\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon u^{4}
$$

is proportional to the potential energy of the system. Hence, $h$ is proportional to the total energy of the system. For a given $h$, (4.52) yields an integral of the motion in the $u v$ plane, which is called the phase plane. It can be used to delineate the qualitative characteristics of the motion.

To construct some of the integral curves in the phase plane, we draw first the curve $F(u)$ in Figure 4-1. When $\epsilon>0, F(u)$ has one stationary point, namely $u=0$. It corresponds to a minimum of $F(u)$. On the other hand, when $\epsilon<0$, $F(u)$ has stationary points at $u=0$ and $u= \pm|\epsilon|^{-1 / 2}$. The first point corresponds to a minimum of $F(u)$, whereas the other two points correspond to maxima of $F(u)$. Also shown in Figure 4-1 are three horizontal lines corresponding to different values of $h$. It follows from (4.52) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v= \pm \sqrt{2}[h-F(u)]^{1 / 2} \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there are real values for $v$, and hence, there are real motions, if and only if $h \geqslant F(u)$. Moreover, the motion is symmetric about the $u$ axis. Since $\dot{u}=v$, a representative point on an integral curve moves clockwise as $t$ increases.

When $\epsilon>0$, there are real motions only when $h \geqslant h_{0}=0$. When $h=h_{0}$, the integral curve consists of a single point, which is referred to as center. When $h>$ $h_{0}$, the integral curve consists of a closed trajectory, which corresponds to a periodic motion. Thus, all possible motions are periodic.

When $\epsilon<0$, there are real motions for all values of $h$. Figure 4-1b shows that, when $h=h_{0}$, the integral curve consists of the origin, a center, and two trajectories (branches), one opening to the right and one opening to the left. When $h=$ $h_{2}$, the integral curve consists of two trajectories passing through the points: $u=|\epsilon|^{-1 / 2}$ and $v=0$, and $u=-|\epsilon|^{-1 / 2}$ and $v=0$. These points are referred to as saddle points, and they correspond to the maxima of $F(u)$ and are labeled by the letter $S$. The trajectories that pass through the saddle points are usually referred to as separatrices. When $h<h_{0}$, the integral curve consists of two trajectories, one opening to the right and one opening to the left. When $h_{0}<h<h_{2}$, the integral curve consists of three trajectories, one closed trajectory surrounding the


(b)

Figure 4-1. Phase plane for the Daffing equation: $(a) \epsilon>0 ;(b) \in<0$.
origin, one trajectory opening to the right, and one trajectory opening to the left. Thus, periodic motions exist only when $h_{0}<h<h_{2}$ and when the initial conditions restrict the motion to the closed branch of the integral curve.

Next, we integrate (4.52). Using the initial conditions (4.8) in (4.52) and recalling that $v=\dot{u}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{0}^{2}=h-\left(\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon x_{0}^{4}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon x_{0}^{4} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v=\dot{u}$, it follows from (4.52) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}= \pm\left(2 h-u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive and negative signs correspond to motions above and below the $u$ axis, respectively. Upon separation of variables, (4.55) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d t= \pm \frac{d u}{\left(2 h-u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.56) gives

$$
\int_{0}^{t} d t= \pm \int_{x_{0}}^{u} \frac{d u}{\left(2 h-u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t= \pm \int_{x_{0}}^{u} \frac{d u}{\left(2 h-u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we specialize this solution to the case of periodic motions.
Figure $4-1$ shows that a closed trajectory (i.e., periodic motion) intersects the $u$ axis at two points. Denote these intersections by $u=-x_{0}$ and $u=x_{0}$. Substituting $u= \pm x_{0}$ and $v=\dot{u}_{0}=0$ into (4.54) and solving for $h$, we obtain

$$
h=\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon x_{0}^{4}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 h u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4} & =x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon x_{0}^{4}-u^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{4} \\
& =\left(x_{0}^{2}-u^{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2} c x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{2}\right) \tag{4.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (4.57) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
t= \pm \int_{x_{0}}^{u} \frac{d u}{\left(x_{0}^{2}-u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon u^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be put in a standard elliptic form by introducing the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-x_{0} \cos \theta \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
d u=x_{0} \sin \theta d \theta
$$

Moreover, $u= \pm x_{0}$ corresponds to $\theta_{0}=0$ and $\pi$. Hence, (4.59) becomes

$$
t= \pm \int_{\theta_{0}}^{\theta} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon x_{0}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
t= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}} \int_{\theta_{0}}^{\theta} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}{2\left(1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the closed trajectory is symmetric with respect to the $u$ axis, the time needed for the representative point to move from $u=-x_{0}$ to $u=x_{0}$ is one-half the period $T$. But $u=-x_{0}$ corresponds to $\theta=0$ and $u=x_{0}$ corresponds to $\theta=$ $\pi$. Hence, it follows from (4.61) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the integral in (4.63) over the interval $\left[0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right]$ is the same as that over the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2} \pi, \pi\right],(4.63)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{4}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{1 / 2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral (4.64) is called a complete elliptic integral of the first kind, and it is tabulated. The variation of $T$ with $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$ is shown in Table 4-1. It is clear from

TABLE 4-1. Exact Periods of the Periodic Motions of the Duffing Equation

|  |  |  | $m$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$ | 0 | 0.042 | 0.087 | 0.136 | 0.190 | 0.25 |
| $T$ | 6.283 | 6.187 | 6.088 | 5.986 | 5.879 | 5.767 |

TABLE 4-2. Variation of $T_{a} / T$ with $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$

| $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$ | 0 | 0.042 | 0.087 | 0.136 | 0.190 | 0.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $T_{a} / T$ | 1.000 | 1.0004 | 1.002 | 1.004 | 1.007 | 1.013 |

Table 4-1 that the period is a function of $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$, and hence, the nonlinearity. Since the angular frequency $\omega=2 \pi / T$, it is clear also that $\omega$ is a function of the nonlinearity. This explains the breakdown of the straightforward expansion (4.45), which forces the angular frequency of the system to be unity, irrespective of the nonlinearity. This also suggests that any uniform expansion must account for the fact that the angular frequency is a function of the nonlinearity. The earliest technique that uses this fact seems to be the Lindstedt-Poincare technique, which is discussed in the next section.
When $\epsilon$ is small, $m$ is also small. Then, an approximation $T_{a}$ to $T$ can be obtained by expanding the integrand in (4.64). The result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{a} & =\frac{4}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{1 / 2 \pi}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} m \sin ^{2} \theta+\cdots\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{4}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{8} m \pi+\cdots\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}}\left[1+\frac{\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}{8\left(1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}\right)}+\cdots\right] \\
& =2 \pi\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a}=2 \pi\left(1-\frac{3}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right) \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4-2 shows that the two term expansion for the period is close to the exact value. The error is only $1.3 \%$ for values of $\epsilon x_{0}^{2}$ as high as 0.25 .

### 4.3. The Lindstedt-Poincaré Technique

As discussed in the preceding section, the breakdown in the straightforward expansion is due to its failure to account for the nonlinear dependence of the frequency on the nonlinearity. Thus, any expansion that does not account for a nonlinear frequency is doomed to failure. A number of techniques that yield uniformly valid expansions have been developed. Four of these techniques are discussed in this chapter. We start with the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique in this section.
To account for the nonlinear dependence of the frequency, we explicitly exhibit the frequency $\omega$ of the system in the differential equation. To this end, we introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\omega t \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a constant that depends on $\epsilon$. Then, we need to change the independent variable from $t$ to $\tau$. Using the chain rule, we transform the derivatives according to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} & =\frac{d \tau}{d t} \frac{d}{d \tau}=\omega \frac{d}{d \tau} \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =\omega \frac{d^{2}}{d t d \tau}=\omega \frac{d \tau}{d t} \frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}=\omega^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (4.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+u+\epsilon u^{3}=0 \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to $\tau$. We note that the actual frequency of the system now appears explicitly in the equation. Until now, both $u$ and $\omega$ are unknowns. We seek approximate solutions for them in the form of power series in terms of $\epsilon$. That is, we let

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u_{0}(\tau)+\epsilon u_{1}(\tau)+\cdots  \tag{4.68}\\
\omega & =1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots \tag{4.69}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the first term in the expansion of $\omega$ is the linear frequency; in this case, the linear frequency is unity. The corrections to the linear frequency are determined in the course of the analysis by requiring the expansion of $u$ to be uniform for all $\tau$.

Substituting (4.68) and (4.69) into (4.67) gives

$$
\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots\right)^{2}\left(u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right)+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots+\epsilon\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}=0
$$

which, upon expansion, becomes

$$
u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 \epsilon \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots+\epsilon u_{0}^{3}+\cdots=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left(u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}+u_{0}^{3}+2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\cdots=0 \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+u_{0}=0  \tag{4.71}\\
& u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=-u_{0}^{3}-2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.72}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (4.71) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (\tau+\beta) \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (4.72) becomes

$$
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=-a^{3} \cos ^{3}(\tau+\beta)+2 \omega_{1} a \cos (\tau+\beta)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=\left(2 \omega_{1} a-\frac{3}{4} a^{3}\right) \cos (\tau+\beta)-\frac{1}{4} a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta) \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The particular solution of (4.74) is (B69 and B76)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \omega_{1} a-\frac{3}{4} a^{3}\right) \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta) \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the particular solution of $u_{1}$ contains a mixed-secular term, which makes the expansion nonuniform. For a uniform expansion, we cannot permit such secular terms to appear in $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \cdots$. In contrast with the straightforward expansion (4.45), where the secular term cannot be annihilated unless $a=0$ (i.e., trivial solution for $u$ ), in this case, we still have to choose the parameter $\omega_{1}$. Thus, we choose it to annihilate the secular term. To this end, we set the coefficient of the secular term zero. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \omega_{1} a-\frac{3}{4} a^{3}=0 \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.75) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta) \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Disregarding the trivial case $a=0$, we find that (4.76) is satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\frac{3}{8} a^{2} \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, to determine the condition (4.76) for the elimination of the secular term from $u_{1}$, we do not need to determine the particular solution first as done above. Instead, we only need to inspect the inhomogeneous terms in (4.74) governing $u_{1}$ and choose the coefficient of $\cos (\tau+\beta)$ to be zero because this is the term that produces the secular term in $u_{1}$.
Substituting (4.73) and (4.77) into (4.68) yieids

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\omega_{1}$ from (4.78) into (4.69) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}+\cdots \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tau=\omega t$, we rewrite (4.79) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos \left[\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}\right) t+\beta\right]+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos \left[3\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}\right) t+3 \beta\right]+\cdots \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the expansion (4.81) is uniform to first-order because secular terms do not appear in it and the correction term (the term proportional to $\epsilon$ ) is small compared with the first term.

Next, we compare the period obtained in this section by using the LindstedtPoincare technique with the approximate period (4.65) obtained in the preceding section by expanding the integrand in the exact solution. Since the value
$u=-x_{0}$ is the intersection of the closed trajectory with the $u$ axis, $\dot{u}=0$ when $u=-x_{0}$. Using these values as initial conditions in (4.81), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x_{0}=a \cos \beta+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos 3 \beta+\cdots \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.82) that $a^{2}=x_{0}^{2}$ because $\beta=0$ for $\dot{u}(0)=0$. Hence, (4.80) becomes

$$
\omega=1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\cdots
$$

But $T_{a}=2 \pi / \omega$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a}=2 \pi\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\cdots\right)^{-1}=2 \pi\left(1-\frac{3}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in full agreement with (4.65).

### 4.4. The Method of Renormalization

Instead of introducing the transformation (4.66) into the differential equation and carrying out another expansion as in the preceding section (the LindstedtPoincare technique), we introduce this transformation into the nonuniform straightforward expansion (4.45). It follows from (4.66) and (4.69) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\omega^{-1} \tau=\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots\right)^{-1} \tau=\tau\left(1-\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots\right)=\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots \tag{4.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.45) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)+\epsilon a^{3}\left[-\frac{3}{8}\left(\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \sin \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)+\frac{1}{32} \cos \left(3 \tau+3 \beta-3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{4.85}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Taylor series expansions, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) & =\cos (\tau+\beta)+\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)+\cdots  \tag{4.86a}\\
\sin \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) & =\sin (\tau+\beta)-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau \cos (\tau+\beta)+\cdots  \tag{4.86b}\\
\cos \left(3 \tau+3 \beta-3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) & =\cos (3 \tau+3 \beta)+3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau \sin (3 \tau+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{4.86c}
\end{align*}
$$

Using these expansions, we rewrite (4.85) as

In contrast with the straightforward expansion, in which the mixed-secular term cannot be annihilated unless $a=0$, corresponding to the trivial solution,
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we have built in (4.87) the parameter $\omega_{1}$, which can be chosen to annihilate the mixed-secular terms. Thus, setting the coefficient of the mixed-secular term to "on, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1} a \cdot \frac{3}{8} a^{3}=0 \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.87) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{4.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Disregarding the trivial case $a=0$, we find that (4.88) is satisfied when $\omega_{1}=$ $\frac{3}{8} a^{2}$, in agreement with (4.78) obtained by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique. Comparing (4.89) with (4.79) we see that they are identical. Thus, the present technique yields the same expansion as the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique. Since the transformation (4.66) and (4.69) is introduced into the nonuniform straightforward expansion (4.45), which is then made uniform, the present technique is usually referred to as a uniformization or a renormalization procedure.

### 4.5. The Method of Multiple Scales

We return to the uniform expansion (4.81) obtained by using the LindstedtPoincaré technique and rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos \left(t+\beta+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon t a^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos \left(3 t+3 \beta+\frac{9}{8} \epsilon t a^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note from (4.90) that the functional dependence of $u$ on $t$ and $\epsilon$ is not disjoint because $u$ depends on the combination $\epsilon t$ as well as on the individual $t$ and $\epsilon$. Thus, in place of $u=u(t ; \epsilon)$, we write $u=\hat{u}(t, \epsilon t ; \epsilon)$. Carrying out the expansion (4.90) to higher order, we find that $u$, besides the individual $t$ and $\epsilon$, depends on the combinations $\epsilon t, \epsilon^{2} t, \epsilon^{3} t, \cdots$. Hence, we write

$$
u(t ; \epsilon)=\hat{u}\left(t, \epsilon t, \epsilon^{2} t, \epsilon^{3} t, \cdots ; \epsilon\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=\hat{u}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots ; \epsilon\right) \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $T_{n}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=t \quad T_{1}=\epsilon t \quad T_{2}=\epsilon^{2} t \quad T_{3}=\epsilon^{3} t, \cdots \tag{4.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the $T_{n}$ represent different time scales because $\epsilon$ is a small parameter. For example, if $\epsilon=\frac{1}{60}$, variations on the scale $T_{0}$ can be observed on the second arm of a watch, variations on the scale $T_{1}$ can be observed on the minute arm of a watch, and variations on the scale $T_{2}$ can be observed on the hour arm of a watch. Thus, $T_{0}$ represents a fast scale, $T_{1}$ represents a slower scale, $T_{2}$ represents an even slower scale, and so on. Since the dependence of $u$ on $t$ and $\epsilon$
occurs on different scales, we imagine that we have a watch and attempt to observe the behavior of $u$ on the different scales of the watch.

Thus, instead of determining $u$ as a function of $t$, we determine $u$ as a function of $T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots$. To this end, we change the independent van iable in the original equation (4.7) fiom $t$ to $T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2} \cdots$. Using the cham rule, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{0}}+\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1}}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2}}+\cdots  \tag{4.93}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+2 \epsilon \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{1}}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial T_{1}^{2}}\right)+\cdots \tag{4.94}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (4.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+2 \epsilon \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{1}}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial T_{1}^{2}}\right)+u+\epsilon u^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that we have replaced the original ordinary-differential equation by a partial-differential equation. Consequently, it appears that the problem has been complicated. This is true, but experience with this method has shown that the disadvantages of introducing this complication are far outweighed by the advantages. Not only does this method provide a uniform expansion, it also provides all the various nonlinear resonance phenomena, as we shall see in subsequent chapters.

We seek a uniform approximate solution to (4.95) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots\right)+\cdots \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u$ from (4.96) into (4.95) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+\epsilon \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+2 \epsilon \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{1}}+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon u_{0}^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{0}=0  \tag{4.98}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{1}=-2 \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{1}}-u_{0}^{3} \tag{4.99}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (4.98) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots\right) \cos \left[T_{0}+\beta\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots\right)\right] \tag{4.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $a$ and $\beta$ are not constants but functions of the slow scales $T_{1}$, $T_{2}, \cdots$ because $u_{0}$ is a function of $T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots$ and the derivatives in (4.98) are with respect to $T_{0}$. The functional dependence of $a$ and $\beta$ on $T_{1}, T_{2}$,
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is not known at this level of approximation; it is determined at subsequent levels of approximation by eliminating the secular terms.

Next, we substitute (4.100) into (4.99) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{1}= & -2 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial T_{0} \partial T_{1}}\left[a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)\right]-a^{3} \cos ^{3}\left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \\
= & 2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+2 a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}} \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{4} a^{3} \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)-\frac{1}{4} a^{3} \cos \left(3 T_{0}+3 \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{1}= & 2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+\left(2 a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}}-\frac{3}{4} a^{3}\right) \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} a^{3} \cos \left(3 T_{0}+3 \beta\right) \tag{4.101}
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand side contains terms that produce secular terms in $u_{1}$. For a uniform expansion, these terms must be eliminated. This is accomplished by setting each of the coefficients of $\sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)$ and $\cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)$ equal to zero. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} & =0  \tag{4.102}\\
2 a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}}-\frac{3}{4} a^{3} & =0 \tag{4.103}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the particular solution of (4.101) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos \left(3 T_{0}+3 \beta\right) \tag{4.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (4.102) is $a=a\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)$. Then, if $a \neq 0$, (4.103) can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}}=\frac{3}{8} a^{2}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{3}{8} a^{2} T_{1}+\beta_{0}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right) \tag{4.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ from (4.100) and (4.104) into (4.96), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3} \cos \left(3 T_{0}+3 \beta\right)+\cdots \tag{4.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\beta$ from (4.105) into (4.106) and recalling that $a=a\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right) \cos \left[T_{0}+\frac{3}{8} T_{1} a^{2}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)+\beta_{0}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{32} \epsilon a^{3}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right) \cos \left[3 T_{c}+\frac{9}{8} T_{1} a^{2}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.+3 \beta_{0}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{4.107}
\end{align*}
$$

If we stop the expansion as in (4.107), $a$ and $\beta$ can be considered constants to within the order of the error indicated. This is so because

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right) & =a\left(\epsilon^{2} t, \epsilon^{3} t, \cdots\right) \\
& =a(0,0, \cdots)+\frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{2}} \epsilon^{2} t+\cdots \\
& =\hat{a}+0\left(\epsilon^{2} t\right) \\
\beta_{0}\left(T_{2}, T_{3}, \cdots\right) & =\beta_{0}\left(\epsilon^{2} t, \epsilon^{3} t, \cdots\right) \\
& =\beta_{0}(0,0, \cdots)+\frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial T_{0}} \epsilon^{2} t+\cdots \\
& =\hat{\beta}_{0}+0\left(\epsilon^{2} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, replacing $a$ and $\dot{\beta_{0}}$ by the constants $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ in (4.107), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \hat{a} \cos \left(T_{0}+\frac{3}{8} T_{1} \hat{a}^{2}+\beta_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{32} \epsilon \hat{a}^{3} \cos \left(3 T_{0}+\frac{9}{8} T_{1} \hat{a}^{2}+3 \hat{\beta}_{0}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2} t\right) \tag{4.108}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the original variable $t,(4.108)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \hat{a} \cos \left(t+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon t \hat{a}^{2}+\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{32} \epsilon \hat{a}^{3} \cos \left(3 t+\frac{9}{8} \epsilon t \hat{a}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+3 \hat{\beta}_{0}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2} t\right) \tag{4.109}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with the expansion (4.90) obtained by either the Lindstedt-Poincare technique or the method of renormalization.

Inspecting (4.109), we find that the error is $O(1)$, and hence, the order of the first term when $t=O\left(\epsilon^{-2}\right)$. Thus, (4.109) is not valid for $t \geqslant O\left(\epsilon^{-2}\right)$. Moreover, if $t=O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$, the error is $O(\epsilon)$, and hence, the order of the second term. Therefore an expansion that is valid when $t=O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$ consists of the first term only. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\hat{a} \cos \left(t+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon t \hat{a}^{2}+\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)+O(\epsilon) \tag{4.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all times up to $O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$. This means that to determine a uniform first-order expansion, we need only to eliminate the terms that produce secular terms from the equation for $u_{1}$ without actually solving for $u_{1}$, thereby determining the dependence of $u_{0}$ on $T_{1}$. Similarly, in determining a first-order uniform expansion by using either the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique or the method of renormalization, we need only to eliminate the secular terms from the equation describing $u_{1}$, and hence, determine $\omega_{1}$. Furthermore, in the higher approximations, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \epsilon^{n} u_{n}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, \cdots, T_{N}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right) \tag{4.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hhat is, if we are after an $N$ th-order expansion, we include the scales $T_{0}, T_{1}, \cdots$, $T_{N}$ but we do not include the term $O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)$.
Before closing this section, we present an alternative representation of the solutions of the perturbation equations; namely, we represent the solution of (4.98) in a complex form rather than the real form (4.100). To this end, we use the fact that (A22)

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{I \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)
$$

Thus, (4.100) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} a\left[e^{i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)}+e^{-i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} a e^{i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)}+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} e^{i T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-i \beta} e^{-i T_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}} \tag{4.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the complex conjugate of $A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} \tag{4.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a first-order expansion, we consider $A$ to be a function of $T_{1}$ only.
Substituting (4.112) into (4.99), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{1}=-2 i \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}+2 i \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial T_{1}} e^{-i T_{0}}-\left(A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}\right)^{3} \tag{4.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the cubic term in (4.114) and collecting coefficients of harmonics, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial T_{0}^{2}}+u_{1}= & -\left(2 i \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_{1}}+3 A^{2} \bar{A}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\left(2 i \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial T_{1}}-3 \bar{A}^{2} A\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \\
& -A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}-\bar{A}^{3} e^{-3 i T_{0}} \tag{4.115}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the terms proportional to $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(-i T_{0}\right)$ produce secular terms in the particular solution of $u_{1}$. Thus, for a uniform expansion, each of the coefficients of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(-i T_{0}\right)$ must vanish. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 i \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_{1}}+3 A^{2} \bar{A}=0  \tag{4.116}\\
& 2 i \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial T .}-3 \bar{A}^{2} A=0 \tag{4.117}
\end{align*}
$$

We remind the reader that, for a uniform first-order solution, we do not need to solve for $u_{1}$ and it is sufficient to inspect equation (4.115) and eliminate the terms that produce secular terms in $u_{1}$.

Comparing (4.116) and (4.117), we find that they are not independent because taking the complex conjugate of (4.116) leads to (4.117). Hence, if one of them is satisfied, the other is automatically satisfied. To analyze (4.116), we replace $A$ by its polar form (4.113). The result is

$$
2 i\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} e^{i \beta}+\frac{1}{2} a i \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}} e^{i \beta}\right)+3 \cdot \frac{a^{2}}{4} e^{2 i \beta} \cdot \frac{a}{2} e^{-i \beta}=0
$$

or

$$
i \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} e^{i \beta}-a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}} e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}}-a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}=0 \tag{4.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the fact that a complex number vanishes if and only if its real and imaginary parts vanish independently. Since $a$ and $\beta$ are real, the independent vanishing of the real and imaginary parts in (4.118) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial a}{\partial T_{1}} & =0  \tag{4.119}\\
a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T_{1}}-\frac{3}{8} a^{3} & =0 \tag{4.120}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with (4.102) and (4.103) obtained above by expressing the solution in real form. Comparing the complex with the real representation, we find it more convenient to use the complex form. Thus, the complex form is used in the remainder of the book.

### 4.6. Variation of Parameters

When $\epsilon=0$, the solution of (4.7) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{4.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants, which are sometimes referred to as parameters. It follows from (4.121) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\alpha \sin (t+\beta) \tag{4.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we assume that the solution of (4.7) is still given by (4.121) but
with time-varying $a$ and $\beta$. In other words, we consider (4.121) as a transformation from $u(t)$ to $a(t)$ and $\beta(t)$. This is why this approach is called the method of variation of parameters. Using this view, we note that we have two equations, namely (4.7) and (4.121), for the three unknowns $u(t), a(t)$, and $\beta(t)$. Hence, we have the freedom of imposing a third condition (third equation). This condition is arbitrary except that it must be independent of (4.7) and (4.121). This arbitrariness can be used to advantage, namely to produce a simple and convenient transformation. Out of all possible conditions, we choose to impose the condition (4.122), thereby assuming that $u$ as well as $\dot{u}$ have the same form as the linear case. This condition leads to a convenient transformation because it leads to a set of first-order rather than second-order equations for $a(t)$ and $\beta(t)$.

Differentiating (4.121) with respect to $t$ and recalling that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are functions of $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.123) with (4.122), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{4.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.122) with respect to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta) \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u$ and $\ddot{u}$ from (4.121) and (4.125) into (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=\epsilon a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \tag{4.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (4.124) and (4.126) constitute a system of two first-order equations for $\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\beta}$. They can be simplified further. To this end, we multiply (4.124) by $\cos (t+\beta)$ and (4.126) by $\sin (t+\beta)$, add the results, recall (A1), and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=\epsilon a^{3} \sin (t+\beta) \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \tag{4.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\dot{a}$ into (4.124) and solving for $\dot{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\beta}=\epsilon a^{2} \cos ^{4}(t+\beta) \tag{4.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a \neq 0$. Thus, the original second-order equation (4.7) for $u(t)$ has been replaced by the two first-order equations (4.127) and (4.128) for $a(t)$ and $\beta(t)$. We emphasize that no approximations have bcen made in deriving (4.127) and (4.128).

Comparing the transformed equations (4.127) and (4.128) with the original equation (4.7), we find that the transformed equations are more nonlinear than the original equation. Then, the question arises what is the value of this transformation? The answer to this question depends on the value of $\epsilon$. If $\epsilon$ is small, the major parts of $a$ and $\beta$ vary more slowly than $u$ with $t$ as shown in Figure


Figure 4-2. The variation of $a, \beta$, and $u$ with $t$ for $a(0)=1.5, \beta(0)=0.0$, and $\epsilon=0.05$.

4-2. This fact can be used to advantage analytically and numerically. The analytical advantage is utilized in the method of averaging (Section 4.7). Numerically, it is advantageous to solve the transformed equations-instead of the original equation because a large step size can be used in the integration. This is the reason why astronomers use the method of variation of parameters to determine the equations describing the parameters of the orbits. Then, they numerically solve the variational equations rather than the original equations. Usually, astronomers and celestial mechanicians refer to this approach as the "special method of perturbations."

### 4.7. The Method of Averaging

Using the trigonometric identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \phi \cos ^{3} \phi & =\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 \phi+\frac{1}{8} \sin 4 \phi \\
\cos ^{4} \phi & =\frac{3}{8}+\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \phi+\frac{1}{8} \cos 4 \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

we rewrite (4.127) and (4.128) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\frac{1}{8} \epsilon a^{3}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi)  \tag{4.129}\\
& \dot{\beta}=\frac{1}{8} \epsilon a^{2}(3+4 \cos 2 \phi+\cos 4 \phi) \tag{4.130}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi=t+\beta$. Since $-1 \leqslant \sin n \phi \leqslant 1$ and $-1 \leqslant \cos n \phi \leqslant 1, \dot{a}=O(\epsilon)$ and $\dot{\beta}=$ $O(\epsilon)$ if $a$ is bounded. Thus, the major parts of $a$ and $\beta$ are slowly varying functions of time if $\epsilon$ is small, as shown in Fipure 4.2. Hence, they change very little during the time interval $\pi$ (the period of the circular functions) and, to the first approximation, they can be considered constant in the interval $[0, \pi]$.
We average both sides of (4.129) and (4.130) over the interval $[0, \pi]$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \dot{a} d t=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon \int_{0}^{\pi} a^{3}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi) d t  \tag{4.131}\\
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \dot{\beta} d t=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon \int_{0}^{\pi} a^{2}(3+4 \cos 2 \phi+\cos 4 \phi) d t \tag{4.132}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a$ and $\beta$ can be considered constant in the interval $[0, \pi], a, \dot{a}$, and $\dot{\beta}$ can be taken outside the integral signs in (4.131) and (4.132). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{3} \int_{0}^{\pi}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi) d t  \tag{4.133}\\
& \dot{\beta}=\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{2} \int_{0}^{\pi}(4 \cos 2 \phi+\cos 4 \phi) d t \tag{4.134}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we change the integration variable from $t$ to $\phi=t+\beta$. Hence, $d \phi=d t$ because $\beta$ can be considered constant in $[0, \pi]$. Substituting this change of variable into (4.133) and (4.134) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{3} \int_{\beta}^{\pi+\beta}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi) d \phi \\
& =-\left.\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{3}\left(\cos 2 \phi+\frac{1}{4} \cos 4 \phi\right)\right|_{\beta} ^{\pi+\beta}=0  \tag{4.135}\\
\dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{2} \int_{\beta}^{\pi+\beta}(4 \cos 2 \phi+\cos 4 \phi) d \phi \\
& =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}+\left.\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon a^{2}\left(2 \sin 2 \phi+\frac{1}{4} \sin 4 \phi\right)\right|_{\beta} ^{\pi+\beta}=\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2} \tag{4.136}
\end{align*}
$$

This averaging technique is usually referred to as the van der Pol method or the Krylov-Bogoliubov method.

It follows from (4.135) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0}=\text { constant } \tag{4.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (4.136) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a_{0}^{2} t+\beta_{0} \tag{4.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is a constant. Substituting for $a$ and $\beta$ into (4.121), we obtain to the first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{0} \cos \left[\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a_{0}^{2}\right) t+\beta_{0}\right] \tag{4.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with the solutions obtained by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique, the method of renormalization, and the method of multiple scales.

Before closing this section, we nute that one can arrive at the final results in (4.135) and (4.136) without going through the averaging process. We note that the right-hand sides of (4.129) and (4.130) are the sum. of two groups of termsa group that is a linear combination of fast varying terms and a group that is a linear combination of slowly varying terms. Then, to the first approximation, $\dot{a}$ in (4.129) is equal to the slowly varying group on its right-hand side, which is zero. Moreover, to the first approximation, $\dot{\beta}$ in (4.130) is equal to the slowly varying group on its right-hand side, which is $\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}$.

## Exercises

4.1. Use the method of renormalization to render the following expansions uniformly valid:
(a) $u(t ; \epsilon)=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\theta\right)+\epsilon a^{3} t \sin \left(\omega_{0} t+\theta\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$
(b) $u(t ; \epsilon)=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\theta\right)+\epsilon\left[a^{2} t \sin \left(\omega_{0} t+\theta\right)+\left(1-a^{2}\right) a t \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\theta\right)\right]$
$+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$
4.2. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon \dot{u}^{2} u \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

(a) Determine a two-term straightforward expansion and discuss its uniformity.
(b) Render this expansion uniformly valid by using the method of renormalization.
(c) Determine a first-order uniform expansion by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique.
(d) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
(e) Use the method of averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
4.3. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+4 u+\epsilon u^{2} \ddot{u}=0
$$

(a) Determine a two-term straightforward expansion and discuss its uniformity.
(b) Render this expansion uniformly valid by using the method of renormalization.
(c) Determine a first-order uniform expansion by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique.
(d) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
(e) Use the method of averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
4.4. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\frac{\omega^{2} u}{1+u^{2}}=0
$$

(a) Determine a two-term straightforward expansion for small but finite $u$ and discuss its uniformity.
(b) Render this expansion uniformly valid by using the method of renormalization.
(c) Determine a first-order uniform expansion by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique.
(d) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
(e) Use the method of averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
4.5. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon u^{5} \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

(a) Determine a two-term straightforward expansion and discuss its uniformity.
(b) Render this expansion uniformly valid by using the method of renormalization.
(c) Determine a first-order uniform expansion by using the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique.
(d) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
(e) Use the method of averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion.
4.6. The motion of a simple pendulum is governed by

$$
\ddot{\theta}+\frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta=0
$$

(a) Expand for small $\theta$ and keep up to cubic terms.
(b) Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $\theta$.
4.7. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{\theta}=\Omega^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta-\frac{g}{R} \sin \theta
$$

(a) Expand for small $\theta$ and keep up to cubic terms.
(b) Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $\theta$.
4.8. The motion of a particle on a rotating parabola is governed by

$$
\left(1+4 n^{2} x^{2}\right) \ddot{x}+\Lambda x+4 p^{2} \dot{x}^{2} x=0
$$

where $p$ and $\Lambda$ are constants. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $x$.
4.9. Consider the equation

$$
\left(1+\frac{u^{2}}{1-u^{2}}\right) \ddot{u}+\frac{u \dot{u}^{2}}{\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{2}}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+\frac{8}{\ell} \frac{u}{\sqrt{1-u^{2}}}=0
$$

(a) Expand for small $u$ and keep up to cubic terms.
(b) Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $u$.
4.10. Consider the equation

$$
\left(l^{2}+r^{2}-2 r l \cos \theta\right) \ddot{\theta}+r l \sin \theta \dot{\theta}^{2}+g l \sin \theta=0
$$

where $g, r$, and $l$ are constants. Determine a first-order expansion for small but finite $\theta$. Expand first for small $\theta$ and keep up to cubic terms.
4.11. Consider the equation

$$
\left(\frac{1}{12} l^{2}+r^{2} \theta^{2}\right) \ddot{\theta}+r^{2} \theta \dot{\theta}^{2}+g r \theta \cos \theta=0
$$

where $r, l$, and $g$ are constants. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $\theta$.
4.12. Consider the equation

$$
m \ddot{x}+k x\left(x^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[\left(x^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} l\right]=0
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $x$.
4.13. Expand the integrand in (4.64) up to $O\left(m^{2}\right)$ and obtain

$$
T_{a}=\frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{1}{4} m+\frac{9}{64} m^{2}+\cdots\right)
$$

Then, express $T_{a}$ in terms of $m$ as

$$
T_{a}^{(1)}=2 \pi \sqrt{1-2 m}\left(1+\frac{1}{4} m+\frac{9}{64} m^{2}+\cdots\right)
$$

and in terms of $x_{0}$ as

$$
T^{(2)}=2 \pi\left(1-\frac{3}{8} \epsilon x_{0}^{2}+\frac{57}{256} \epsilon^{2} x_{0}^{4}+\cdots\right)
$$

Show that $T_{a}^{(1)}$ is more accurate than $T_{a}^{(2)}$ by comparing them with the tabulated values of the exact solution (4.64). Note that $T_{d}^{(1)}$ can be obtained from $T_{a}^{(2)}$ by using the Euler transformation $m=\epsilon x_{0}^{2} / 2\left(1+\epsilon x_{0}^{2}\right)$. Often, this transformation extends the validity of an asymptotic series.

## CHAPTER 5

## The Linear Oscillator

In contrast with the preceding chapter, in which we discussed conservative systems, in this chapter, we discuss systems with damping. To describe the techniques with the minimum amount of algebra, we use the simplest possible equation with damping, namely the equation governing the free oscillations of a particle with mass $m$ connected to a rigid support by a spring with constant $k$ and a dashpot with coefficient $\mu$, as shown in Figure 5-1. The governing equation can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{*^{2}}}+\mu \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}+k u^{*}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the absence of damping, the system has the angular frequency $\omega_{0}=\sqrt{k / m}$.
As before, we introduce dimensionless variables

$$
t=\omega_{0} t^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad u=u^{*} / u_{0}^{*}
$$

where $u_{0}^{*}$ is any characteristic displacement such as the initial displacement. Then, (5.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+2 \epsilon \dot{u}+u=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2} \mu / \sqrt{k m}$ is a measure of the ratio of the damping force to the restoring force of the spring and dots denote the derivatives with respect to $t$. In this chapter, we consider the general solution for (5.2) for small $\epsilon$.
We start in the following section by the straightforward expansion and discuss its nonuniformity. In Section 5.2, we investigate the exact solution to exhibit the source of nonuniformity. In Section 5.3, we show that the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique may lead to the trivial solution. In Section 5.4, we show how the method of multiple scales leads to a uniform expansion. Finally, in Section 5.5, we use the method of averaging to determine a uniform first approximation.


Figure 5-1. A mass restrained by a spring and a damper.

### 5.1. The Straightforward Expansion

We seek a second-order straightforward expansion in powers of $\epsilon$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(t)+\cdots \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.3) into (5.2) yields

$$
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \ddot{u}_{2}+\cdots+2 \epsilon\left(\dot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{u}_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \dot{u}_{2}+\cdots\right)+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\cdots=0
$$

Collecting coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+2 \dot{u}_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left(\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}+2 \dot{u}_{1}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-2 \dot{u}_{0}  \tag{5.5}\\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-2 \dot{u}_{1} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can be solved sequentially for $u_{0}, u_{1}$, and $u_{2}$.
The general solution of (5.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (5.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=2 a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed in Section 4.1, we do not need the homogeneous solution of (5.8) if we allow $a$ and $\beta$ to be functions of $\varepsilon$ when enforcing the initial conditions. Then, we write the solution for $u_{1}$ as the particular solution only. It follows from (B81) and (B82) that the solution of (5.8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-a t \cos (t+\beta) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{1}$ into (5.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=2 a \cos (t+\beta)-2 a t \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

I he particular solumon or (כ.1U) can be obtame usmig operational calculus, or variation of parameters, or the method of undetermined coefficients. The result is (B69 and B76)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\frac{1}{2} a t^{2} \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} a t \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{0}, u_{1}$, and $u_{2}$ from (5.7), (5.9), and (5.11), respectively, into (5.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)-\epsilon a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} a\left[t^{2} \cos (t+\beta)+t \sin (t+\beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This straightforward or pedestrian expansion is not valid when $t \geqslant 0\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$ due to the presence of the mixed-secular terms. We note that the secular terms become more compounded at higher orders. The first approximation has a linear secular term, whereas the second approximation has a quadratic secular term. Carrying out the expansion to $n$ th-order, one finds that the $n$th approximation contains an $n$th secular term $t^{n}$. Next, we discuss the exact solution and investigate the source of the secular terms.

### 5.2. Exact Solution

To exhibit the source of nonuniformity and motivate the methods that yield uniform expansions, we investigate the exact solution to (5.2). Since (5.2) is a linear differential equation with constant coefficients, it has solutions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c \exp (\lambda t) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ and $\lambda$ are constants, which may be complex. Substituting (5.13) into (5.2) yields

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}+2 \epsilon \lambda+1\right) c \exp (\lambda t)=0
$$

Hence, for a nontrivial solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+2 \epsilon \lambda+1=0 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\epsilon \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^{2}-1} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon<1$, it is convenient to write (5.15) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\epsilon \pm i \sqrt{1-c^{2}} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the gencral solution of ( 5.2 ) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c_{1} \exp \left[-\epsilon t+i \sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t\right]+c_{2} \exp \left[-\epsilon t-i \sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t\right] \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

conjugate of $c_{1}$. To write (5.17) in terms of circular functions, we express $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in the polar forms

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a \exp (i \beta) \quad c_{2}=\frac{1}{2} a \exp (-i \beta)
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are real constants. Then, (5.17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left(\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t+\beta\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To exhibit the source of the nonuniformity, we determine the first three terms in the expansion of $(5.18)$ for small $\epsilon$ and compare the result with the straightforward expansion (5.12) obtained directly from the differential equation. To this end, we expand the exponential and circular functions in the exact solution separately. Using Taylor series, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\epsilon t}=1-\epsilon t+\frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{2} t^{2}-\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{3} t^{3}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}(-\epsilon t)^{n} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To expand the circular function, we first expand the radical, using the binomial theorem, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} & =\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}+\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} \epsilon^{4}-\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{3!} \epsilon^{6}+\cdots \\
& =1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4}+\cdots \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \left(\sqrt{1-} \epsilon^{2} t+\beta\right)=\cos \left[t+\beta-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t+\cdots\right] \\
& \quad=\cos (t+\beta) \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t+\cdots\right)+\sin (t+\beta) \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t+\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \delta=1-\frac{1}{2!} \delta^{2}+\frac{1}{4!} \delta^{4}+\cdots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \delta^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}  \tag{5.21}\\
& \sin \delta=\delta-\frac{1}{3!} \delta^{3}+\frac{1}{5!} \delta^{5}+\cdots=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \delta^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, to $O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \left(\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t+\beta\right)= & \cos (t+\beta)\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\cdots\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\sin (t+\beta)\left[\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t+\cdots\right]+\cdots \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{1} t^{2}\right) \cos (1+\beta) \prime\left(\frac{1}{2} r^{2} t\right. \\
\left.\frac{1}{4} e^{2} t\right) \sin (t+\beta)+\cdots
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,
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$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \left(\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t+\beta\right)=\cos (t+\beta) & +\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t \sin (t+\beta) \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4}\left[t \sin (t+\beta)-t^{2} \cos (t+\beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

To compare with (5.12), we need the expansion of (5.18) to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. Substituting for the exponential and circular functions from (5.19) and (5.23) into (5.18) and keeping terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a\left(1-\epsilon t+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t^{2}+\cdots\right)\left[\cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t \sin (t+\beta)+\cdots\right]=a \cos (t+\beta) \\
& -\epsilon a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} a\left[t^{2} \cos (t+\beta)+t \sin (t+\beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with (5.12) obtained directly from the differential equation.
The above development shows that, in arriving at the straightforward expansion, we had to expand the exponential function as in (5.19) and the circular function as in (5.23). Using the ratio test in (5.19), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-\epsilon t)^{n}(n-1)!}{n!(-\epsilon t)^{n-1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\epsilon t}{n}=0
$$

Hence, the series (5.19) converges for all values of $\epsilon t$. However, Figure 5-2 shows that $\exp (-\epsilon t)$ cannot be approximated uniformly by a finite number of terms in the series for all values of $\epsilon t$. Therefore, any expansion procedure that is based on approximating $\exp (-\epsilon t)$ by a finite number of terms in a series expansion in $\epsilon t$ is doomed to fail for large $t$.
In expanding the circular function, we had to use the three expansions (5.20) through (5.22). Using the ratio test for the series (5.20), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{2 n-1}{2}\right)\left(-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{n+1} n!}{(n+1)!\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{2 n-3}{2}\right)\left(-\epsilon^{2}\right)^{n}}
$$



Figure 5-2. Approximation of an exponential function by a finite number of terms in a Taylor series.


Figure 5.3. Approximation of a cosine function by a finite number of terms in a Taylor series.

$$
=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon^{2}(2 n-1)}{2 n+2}=\epsilon^{2}
$$

Hence, (5.20) converges for all $\epsilon^{2}<1$. Thus, the expansion (5.20) is uniform for small $\epsilon$. Using the ratio test for the series (5.21), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \delta^{2 n}(2 n-2)!}{(2 n)!(-1)^{n-1} \delta^{2 n-2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\delta^{2}}{2 n(2 n-1)}=0
$$

Hence, the series (5.21) converges for all values of $\delta$. However, Figure 5-3 shows that $\cos \delta$ cannot be approximated uniformly by a finite number of terms for all values of $\delta$. Similarly, one can show that $\sin \delta$ cannot be approximated uniformly by a finite number of terms for all values of $\delta$. Therefore, any expansion that is based on approximating $\cos \delta$ and/or $\sin \delta$ by a finite number of terms in a series expansion in $\delta$ is doomed to fail for large $\delta$.
In summary, the failure of the straightforward expansion for large $t$ is due to the expansion of $\exp (-\epsilon t)$ and $\cos \left(\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} t+\beta\right)$ in powers of $\epsilon$. We note that the straightforward expansion (5.23) forces the frequency to be unity, which is independent of the damping. In fact, the presence of the damping changes the frequency from 1 to $\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}$. Thus, any expansion procedure that does not account for the dependence of the frequency on $\epsilon$ will fail for large $t$. Next, we show that the Lindstedt-Poincare technique may lead to the trivial solution.

### 5.3. The Lindstedt-Poincaré Technique

To account for the fact that the frequency is a function of $\epsilon$, we let $\tau=\omega t$ in (5.2) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+2 \epsilon \omega u^{\prime}+u=0 \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to $\tau$. Next, we try expanding $u$ and $\omega$ in powers of $\epsilon$, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u_{0}(\tau)+\epsilon u_{1}(\tau)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(\tau)+\cdots  \tag{5.26}\\
\omega & =1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the first term in (5.27) is unity, which is the unperturbed (undamped) frequency. Substituting (5.26) and (5.27) into (5.25) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2}\left(u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right)+2 \epsilon\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& \cdot\left(u_{0}^{\prime}+\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots\right)+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding for small $\epsilon$ and collecting coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left[u_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right. & \left.+u_{1}+2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 u_{0}^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}+2 \omega_{2} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 \omega_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime}+2 u_{1}^{\prime}\right]+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ yields the following equations that can be solved sequentially for $u_{0}, u_{1}$, and $u_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+u_{0}=0  \tag{5.28}\\
& u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=-2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}-2 u_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{5.29}\\
& u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=-2 \omega_{2} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\omega_{1}^{2} u_{0}^{\prime \prime}-2 \omega_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}-2 \omega_{1} u_{0}^{\prime}-2 u_{1}^{\prime} \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (5.28) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (\gamma+\beta) \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (5.29) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=2 a \omega_{1} \cos (\tau+\beta)+2 a \sin (\tau+\beta) \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To eliminate the secular terms from the particular solution for $u_{1}$, we need to annihilate the right-hand side of (5.32). Since $\tau$ is a variable, this condition demands that each of the coefficients of $\sin (\tau+\beta)$ and $\cos (\tau+\beta)$ must vanish independently. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a \omega_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad a=0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (5.32) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=0 \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.33) cannot be satisfied simultaneously unless $a=0$. But if $a=0$, $u_{0}=0$ according to (5.31), then $u_{1}=0$ if the homogeneous solution is not included. Substituting for $u_{0}=0$ into (5.30) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=0 \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose particular solution is $u_{2}=0$. Thus, we have ended up with the trivial solution.

Had we included the homogeneous solution of (5.34), we would have obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=a_{1} \cos \left(\tau+\beta_{1}\right) \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. Then, (5.35) would have been replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=2 \omega_{1} a_{1} \cos \left(\tau+\beta_{1}\right)+2 a_{1} \sin \left(\tau+\beta_{1}\right) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, the condition for the elimination of secular terms demands that $a_{1}=0$. Consequently, only the trivial solution is obtained.
The above development shows that the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique leads to the trivial solution only. Similarly, the method of renormalization yields the trivial solution of this problem only. The reason for the failure of these techniques to yield a uniform nontrivial solution is our insistence on a uniform solution having a constant amplitude as in (5.31). Since the amplitude is $a \exp (-\epsilon t)$ according to the exact solution (5.18), the only constant-amplitude uniform solution is the one attained after a long time (i.e., steady state). Therefore, although the Lindstedt-Poincare technique and the method of renormalization are effective in determining periodic solutions, they are incapable of determining transient responses.
In this example, this shortcoming of the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique and the method of renormalization can be remedied if one permits the $\omega_{n}$ to be complex. To show this, we express the solution of (5.28) in the complex form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A e^{i \tau}+\bar{A} e^{-i \tau} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a complex constant. Then, (5.29) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=2\left(\omega_{1}-i\right) A e^{i \tau}+c c \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the terms that produce secular terms from (5.39), we obtain $\omega_{1}=i$ and (5.39) reduces to (5.34). Hence, $u_{1}=0$. Substituting for $u_{0}, u_{1}$, and $\omega_{1}$ into (5.30) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=\left(2 \omega_{2}+1\right) A e^{i \tau}+c c \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition for the elimination of secular terms leads to $\omega_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}$, and hence $u_{2}=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\omega t=\left(1+i \epsilon-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right) t \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =A \exp \left[i\left(1+i \epsilon-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots\right) t\right]+c c \\
& =A \exp (-\epsilon t) \exp \left[i\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\right) t\right]+c c+\cdots \tag{5.42}
\end{align*}
$$
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Letting $A=\frac{1}{2} a \exp (i \beta)$, where $a$ and $\beta$ are real, we rewrite (5.42) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}\right) t+\beta+\cdots\right] \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with the exact solution (5.18) to $O\left(e^{2}\right)$.
We should note that the success of the preceding modification of the LindstedtPoincare technique is accidental. In fact, this modified technique may lead to an erroneous solution, as shown in Section 6.2.

### 5.4. The Method of Multiple Scales

Using (5.20), we rewrite (5.18) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left(t+\beta-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{4} t+\cdots\right) \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $u(t ; \epsilon)=u\left(t, \epsilon t, \epsilon^{2} t, \epsilon^{4} t, \cdots\right)$ and this problem is well-suited for the application of the method of multiple scales. To determine a second-order expansion, we need only the three scales $T_{0}=t, T_{1}=\epsilon t$, and $T_{2}=\epsilon^{2} t$. Then, using the chain rule we transform the time derivatives according to (4.93) and (4.94). However, here we streamline the notation and write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} & =D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\epsilon^{2} D_{2}+\cdots  \tag{5.45a}\\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\epsilon^{2} D_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& =D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 D_{0} D_{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.45b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{n}} \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (5.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(2 D_{0} D_{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right)+\cdots\right] u+2 \epsilon\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\epsilon^{2} D_{2}+\cdots\right) u+u=0 \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the original ordinary-differential equation (5.2) is transformed into the partial-differential equation (5.47)
We seek a solution for (5.47) in powers of $\epsilon$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.48) into (5.47) and collecting coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left[D_{0}^{2} u_{1}\right. & \left.+u_{1}+2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}+2 D_{0} u_{0}\right]+\epsilon^{2}\left[D_{0}^{2} u_{2}+u_{2}+2 D_{0} D_{2} u_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{1}^{2} u_{0}+2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{1}+2 D_{0} u_{1}+2 D_{1} u_{0}\right]+\cdots=0 \tag{5.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{5.50}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 D_{0} u_{0}  \tag{5.51}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{2}+u_{2}=-2 D_{0} D_{2} u_{0}-D_{1}^{2} u_{0}-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{1}-2 D_{0} u_{1}-2 D_{1} u_{0} \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

As discussed in Section 4.5, it is convenient to express the solution of (5.50) in the complex form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (5.51) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 i\left(D_{1} A+A\right) e^{i T_{0}}+c c \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c c$ stands for the complex conjugate of the preceding terms; in this case, it stands for $2 i\left(D_{1} \bar{A}+\bar{A}\right) \exp \left(-i T_{0}\right)$. Thus, this notation serves to display long expressions efficiently, and hence, it is used frequently.
The condition for the elimination of secular terms from $u_{1}$ demands the vanishing of each of the coefficients of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(-i T_{0}\right)$ independently. The vanishing of the coefficient of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} A+A=0 \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vanishing of the coefficient of $\exp \left(-i T_{0}\right)$ yields the complex conjugate of (5.55), and hence, it does not yield a new condition. Once (5.55) is satisfied, its complex conjugate is automatically satisfied. With (5.55), (5.54) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=0 \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In keeping up with our approach of not including the homogeneous solutions except in the lowest-order problem, we write the solution of (5.56) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=0 \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

because (5.56) is homogeneous. The solution of (5.55) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B\left(T_{2}\right) e^{-T_{1}} \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B\left(T_{2}\right)$, the constant of integration as far as the derivative with respect to $T_{1}$ is concerned, is a function of $T_{2}$, and it is determined by eliminating the terms that produce secular terms in the second-order problem, the problem for $u_{2}$.
Substituting for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ into (5.52), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{2}+u_{2}=-\left(2 i D_{2} A+D_{1}^{2} A+2 D_{1} A\right) e^{i T_{0}}+c c \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition for the elimination of secular terms from $u_{2}$ demands the vanishing of the coefficient of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i D_{2} A+D_{1}^{2} A+2 D_{1} A=0 \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $A$ from (5.58) into (5.60) yields

$$
2 i D_{2} B e^{-T_{1}}-B e^{-T_{1}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i D_{2} B-B=0 \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=c e^{-(1 / 2) i T_{2}} \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a complex constant because $B$ is a function of $T_{2}$ only.
Putting $B$ in (5.58), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=c e^{-T_{1}-(1 / 2) i T_{2}} \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (5.53) becomes

$$
u_{0}=c e^{-T_{1}+i\left[T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}\right]}+\bar{c} e^{\left.-T_{1}-i \mid T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}\right]}
$$

Expressing $c$ in the polar form $\frac{1}{2} a \exp (i \beta)$, we rewrite $u_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0}= & \frac{1}{2} a e^{-T_{1}} e^{i\left|T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}+\beta\right|}+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-T_{1} e^{\left.-i \mid T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}+\beta\right]}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} a e^{-T_{1}}\left\{e^{\left.i \mid T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}+\beta\right]}+e^{-i\left|T_{0}-(1 / 2) T_{2}+\beta\right|}\right\} \\
& =a e^{-T_{1}} \cos \left(T_{0}-\frac{1}{2} T_{2}+\beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of the original variable, $u_{0}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left(t-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\beta\right) \tag{5.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ from (5.64) and (5.57) into (5.48), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left(t-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} t+\beta\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{5.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is uniform and in agreement with (5.44) to $O\left(\dot{\epsilon}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, the method of multiple scales is effective in determining the transient response as well as determining the approximation to the frequency of the system.

### 5.5. The Method of Averaging

When $e=0$, the solution of (5.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Differentiating (5.66) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we still represent the solution by (5.66) subject to the constraint (5.67) but with time varying $a$ and $\beta$.

Since $a=a(t)$ and $\beta=\beta(t)$, differentiating (5.66) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} & =\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a(1+\dot{\beta}) \sin (t+\beta) \\
& =-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.68}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (5.67) and (5.68), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.67) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a(1+\dot{\beta}) \cos (t+\beta) \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.66), (5.67), and (5.70) into (5.2), we obtain

$$
-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)-2 \epsilon a \sin (t+\beta)+a \cos (t+\beta)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=-2 \epsilon a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (5.69) by $\cos (t+\beta)$ and (5.71) by $\sin (t+\beta)$, adding the results, and noting that $\sin ^{2} \theta+\cos ^{2} \theta=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=-2 \epsilon a \sin ^{2}(t+\beta)=-\epsilon a+\epsilon a \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}}$ into (5.69) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \epsilon a \sin ^{2}(t+\beta) \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $a \neq 0$, it follows from (5.73) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\beta}=-2 \epsilon \sin (t+\beta) \cos (t+\beta)=-\epsilon \sin (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small $\epsilon$, we have two approaches as discussed in Section 4.6. First, we can average (5.72) and (5.74) over the period of the circular functions on the righthand sides of these equations to determine a first approximation. Second, we can keep only the slowly varying terms in (5.72) and (5.74). Applying the latter approach, we obtain to the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=-\epsilon a  \tag{5.75}\\
& \dot{\beta}=0 \tag{5.76}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions of (5.75) and (5.76) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} e^{-c t} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\beta_{0} \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are constants. Then, it follows from (5.66) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{0} e^{-\epsilon t} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$
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which is in agreement with (5.44) up to $O(\epsilon)$. Therefore, the first approximation of the method of averaging is effective in determining the transient response, but it is incapable of determining the corrections to the frequency, which are of higher order than the first. Thus, to determine these corrections, one needs to carry out the solutions of (5.72) and (5.74) to higher order; this is accomplished by using the generalized method of averaging (Section 7.6).

## Exercises

5.1. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+2 \epsilon \mu \dot{u}+u+\epsilon u^{3}=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion for $u$.

### 5.2. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+\epsilon \dot{u}^{3}=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion for $u$.
5.3. Consider the following equation:

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon \mu u^{2} \dot{u}+\epsilon u^{3}=0
$$

Show that to the first approximation

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\beta\right)+O(\epsilon)
$$

and determine the equations governing $a$ and $\beta$ by using the methods of multiple scales and averaging.
5.4. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion for the general solution of

$$
\ddot{\theta}+\omega^{2} \sin \theta+\frac{4 \sin ^{2} \theta}{1+4(1-\cos \theta)} \dot{\theta}=0
$$

for small but finite $\theta$.
5.5. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+\frac{\mu \dot{u}}{1-u^{2}}=0
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small $u$.
5.6. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a first-order uniform expansion for

$$
\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon \dot{u}^{5}=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

## CHAPTER 6

## Self-Excited Oscillators

In contrast with the preceding chapter, which deals with systems possessing positive damping, we consider in this chapter systems with negative damping. Specifically, we consider self-excited systems having a single degree of freedom. Such systems are governed by equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k u^{*}=\mu f^{*}\left(u^{*}, \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}\right) \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive parameter and $f^{*}$ is positive for small $u^{*}$.
To simplify the algebra, we consider the following special equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k u^{*}=\mu\left[1-\alpha\left(\frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{d u^{*}}{d t^{*}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is positive. This equation is usually called the Rayleigh equation. As discussed earlier, we need to express (6.2) in dimensionless form before carrying out the analysis. To this end, we use a characteristic displacement $u_{0}^{*}$ and the linear natural frequency $\omega_{0}=\sqrt{k / m}$, as reference quantities, and define the following dimensionless variables without the asterisks:

$$
u=\frac{u^{*}}{u_{0}^{*}} \quad t=t^{*} \sqrt{k / m}
$$

Then, (6.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=\epsilon\left(1-\frac{\alpha u_{0}^{* 2} k}{m} \dot{u}^{2}\right) \dot{u} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=\mu / \sqrt{k m}$. We choose $u_{0}^{*}$ so that $\alpha u_{0}^{* 2} k=\frac{1}{3} m$ and (6.2) can be put in the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (6.4) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+\dot{u}=\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}-\dot{u}^{2} \ddot{u}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\dot{u}=v$, we can rewrite (6.5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{v}+v=\epsilon\left(1-v^{2}\right) \dot{v} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is usually called the van der Pol equation.
In this chapter, we describe techniques of determining approximate solutions of (6.4), and hence, of (6.6) for small $\epsilon$. We start by determining a straightforward expansion and discussing its uniformity. We show that neither the LindstedtPoincaré technique nor the method of renormalization is capable of yielding the transient response. Then, we show that the methods of multiple scales and averaging can yield the transient response.

### 6.1. The Straightforward Expansion

We seek a first-order straightforward expansion for the solution of (6.4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\cdots \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.7) into (6.4) yields
$\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{u}_{1}+\cdots\right)-\frac{1}{3} \epsilon\left(\dot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{u}_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}$
Using the binomial expansion theorem and keeping terms up to $O(\epsilon)$ in (6.8), we have .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}\right)+\cdots=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}_{0}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}_{0}^{3}\right)+\cdots \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ on both sides of (6.9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{6.10}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=\dot{u}_{0}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}_{0}^{3} \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be solved sequentially for $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$.
The general solution of (6.10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (6.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3}(t+\beta) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the trigonometric identity (A16)

$$
\sin ^{3} \theta=\frac{3}{4} \sin \theta-\frac{1}{4} \sin 30
$$

we rewrite (6.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{4} a^{2}-1\right) a \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{12} a^{3} \sin (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (6.14) is linear, a particular solution can be obtained as the sum of two particular solutions corresponding to the two inhomogeneous terms. That is, a particular solution of (6.14) can be obtained as the sum of any particular solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}^{(1)}+u_{1}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{1}{4} a^{2}-1\right) a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any particular solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}^{(2)}+u_{1}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{12} a^{3} \sin (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular solution of (6.15) is (B81 and B82)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1_{p}}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a t \cos (t+\beta) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas a particular solution of (6.16) is (B68 and B69)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1_{p}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{96} a^{3} \sin (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1_{p}}=u_{1_{p}}^{(1)}+u_{2_{p}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{96} a^{3} \sin (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the solution of the homogeneous equation (6.14) is not needed if $a$ and $\beta$ are considered functions of $\epsilon$ according to Section 4.1, the solution of (6.14) is given by (6.19). Substituting (6.12) and (6.19) into (6.7), we obtain
$u=a \cos (t+\beta)+\epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{96} a^{3} \sin (3 t+3 \beta)\right]+\cdots$
This straightforward expansion is nonuniform for $t \geqslant O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$ because the correction term is the order or larger than the first term owing to the presence of the mixed-secular term. This nonuniformity is illustrated in Figure 6-1, which compares (6.20) with solutions obtained by numerically integrating (6.4). Initially, the straightforward and numerical solutions are in agreement. But as $t$ increases, the analytical solution deviates more and more from the numerical solution, which approaches a periodic solution having an amplitude of approximately two, irrespective of the initial conditions. The periodic solution approached by the numerical solution is called a limit cycle. Figure $6-2$ shows the numerical solutions in the phase plane ( $u v$ plane, where $v=\dot{u}$ ) for several values of $\epsilon$ and initial conditions. When $\epsilon$ is small, the limit cycle has an amplitude of approximately two, irrespective of the initial conditions.
We should note that the mixed-secular term in (6.20) disappears if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a=0 \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Excluding the trivial case $a=0,(6.21)$ is satisfied and the mixed-secular term disappears from (6.20) if $a=+2$. If the amplitude is defined to be positive, the mixed-secular term disappears from (6.20) if $a=2$ and (6.20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2 \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{12} \epsilon \sin (3 t+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is periodic and to first-order has an amplitude of 2 . This is the limit cycle.


Figure 6-1. Comparison of straightforward expansion (a) with exact solution (b) for $u(0)=$ $0.5, \dot{u}(0) \approx 0$, and $\epsilon=0.1$.


Figure 6-2. Phase planes for Rayleigh's equation: (a) $\epsilon=0.01 ;(b) \epsilon=0.1 ;(c) \epsilon=1 ;(d)$ $\epsilon=10.0$.

### 6.2. The Method of Renormalization

In this section, we apply the method of renormalization to (6.20). Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\omega t=\left(1+c \omega_{1}+\cdots\right) t \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\tau\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots\right)^{-1}=\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.24) into (6.20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)+\epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a\left(\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)+\frac{1}{96} a^{3} \sin \left(3 \tau+3 \beta-3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{6.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Taylor series expansions such as those in (4.86), we rewrite (6.25) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u=a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\epsilon\left[\omega_{1} a \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{2}(1-\right. & \left.\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a \tau \cos (\tau+\beta) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{96} a^{3} \sin (3 \tau+3 \beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{6.26}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\omega_{1}$ is real, the elmination of the secular terms from (6.26) demands that

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1} a & =0  \tag{6.27}\\
\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) a & =0 \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a \neq 0$ for a nontrivial solution, it follows from (6.27) and (6.28) that $\omega_{1}=0$ and $a=2$. (The case $a=-2$ can be disregarded if the amplitude is defined to be positive.) Then, it follows from (6.23) that $\tau=t+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ and from (6.26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2 \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{12} \epsilon \sin (3 t+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the limit cycle.
The question arises whether permitting the $\omega_{n}$ to be complex will lead to a uniform expansion as in Section 5.3. To answer this question, we express (6.26) in complex form. To this end, we note that

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right) \quad \sin \theta=-\frac{i}{2}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)
$$

Then, we rewrite (6.26) as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i(\tau+\beta)}+\epsilon\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right)-i \omega_{1}\right] a \tau e^{i(\tau+\beta)}-\frac{i}{192} a^{3} e^{3 i(\tau+\beta)}\right\} \\
+c c+\cdots \tag{6.30}
\end{array}
$$

Eliminating the secular term in (6.30) demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} i\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i(r+\beta)}+c c+\cdots \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.23) and (6.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=t-\frac{1}{2} i \epsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) t+\cdots \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (6.32) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{1}{2} a \exp \left\{i\left[t-\frac{1}{2} i \epsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) t+\beta+\cdots\right]\right\}+c c+\cdots \\
& =\frac{1}{2} a \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) t\right] \exp [i(t+\beta)]+c c+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right) t\right] \cos (t+\beta)+\cdots \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.34) shows that $u \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $|a|<2$ and that $u \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $|a|>2$. This result is erroneous because Figures $6-1$ and $6-2$ show that the numerical solutions of (6.4) approach approximately two, irrespective of the initial conditions, and hence, the value of $a$. Hence, the modification of the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique or the method of renormalization by allowing the $\omega_{n}$ to be complex may lead to erroneous results as in this case. Therefore, one should avoid applying either of these techniques to determine other than periodic solutions.

### 6.3. The Method of Multiple Scales

To determine a uniform first-order expansion for the solution of (6.4) by using the method of multiple scales, we introduce the scales $T_{0}=t$ and $T_{1}=\epsilon t$. Then, the derivatives with respect to $t$ transform into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} & =D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\cdots \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$. Hence, (6.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+u=\epsilon\left[D_{0} u-\frac{1}{3}\left(D_{0} u\right)^{3}\right]+\cdots \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a solution of (6.35) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\left(u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots\right. \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.36) into (6.35) and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=0 \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}+D_{0} u_{0}-\frac{1}{3}\left(D_{0} u_{0}\right)^{3} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the general solution of (6.37) is expressed in the following complex form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (6.38) becomes

$$
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 i A^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}+2 i \bar{A}^{\prime} e^{-i T_{0}}+i A e^{i T_{0}}-i \bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}-\frac{1}{3}\left(i A e^{i T_{0}}-i \bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}\right)^{3}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-i\left(2 A^{\prime}-A+A^{2} \bar{A}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\frac{1}{3} i A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}+c c \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A^{\prime}-A+A^{2} \bar{A}=0 \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we express $A$ in the polar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are real functions of $T_{1}$. Then, (6.39) becomes

$$
u_{0}=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)}+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-i\left(T_{0}+\beta\right)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.42) into (6.41) gives

$$
a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+i a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}-\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta}+\frac{1}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}=0
$$

Dividing by the factor $\exp (i \beta)$ and separating real and imaginary parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} a-\frac{1}{8} a^{3}  \tag{6.44}\\
& \beta^{\prime}=0 \tag{6.45}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of (6.45) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta_{0}=\text { constant } \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (6.44) can be obtained by separation of variables, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d T_{1}=\frac{8 d a}{4 a-a^{3}}=\frac{8 d a}{a(2-a)(2+a)} \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
d T_{1}=\frac{2 d a}{a}+\frac{d a}{2-a}-\frac{d a}{2+a} \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (6.48) yields
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$$
T_{1}+c=2 \log a-\log |2-a|-\log (2+a)
$$

or

$$
T_{1}+c=\log \frac{a^{2}}{\left|4-a^{2}\right|}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a^{2}}{4-a^{2}}=e^{T_{1}+c}=e^{\epsilon t+c} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (6.49) for $a^{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{4 \exp (\epsilon t+c)}{1+\exp (\epsilon t+c)}=\frac{4}{1+\exp (-\epsilon t-c)} \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.46) and (6.50) into (6.43) and setting $T_{0}=t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=2\left[1+e^{-\epsilon t-c}\right]^{-1 / 2} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.51) into (6.36), we obtain the following first-order expansion for the general solution of (6.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2[1+\exp (-\epsilon t-c)]^{-1 / 2} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=a_{0} \quad \dot{u}(0)=0 \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (6.52) that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} & =2\left[1+e^{-c}\right]^{-1 / 2} \cos \beta_{0}  \tag{6.54}\\
0 & =-2\left[1+e^{-c}\right]^{-1 / 2} \sin \beta_{0}+O(\epsilon) \tag{6.55}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (6.55) that $\sin \beta_{0}=O(\epsilon)$ or $\beta_{0}=0+O(\epsilon)$. Then, it follows from (6.54) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}^{2}=4\left[1+e^{-c}\right]^{-1} \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (6.56) for $\exp (-c)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-c}=\frac{4}{a_{0}^{2}}-1 \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (6.52) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2\left[1+\left(\frac{4}{a_{0}^{2}}-1\right) e^{-\epsilon t}\right]^{-1 / 2} \cos t+\cdots \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.58) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \rightarrow 2 \cos t+O(\epsilon) \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6-3. Comparison of the approximate solution (b) with the exact solution (a) for $u(0)=0.5, \dot{u}(0)=0$, and $\epsilon=0.1$.
as $t \rightarrow \infty$, irrespective of the value of $a_{0}$ as long as it is different from zero. This result is in agreement with the numerical solutions in Figures 6-1 and 6-3. Figure 6.3 shows that ( 6.58 ) is in good agreement with the numerical solutions of (6.4).
Equation (6.58) clearly shows that $u$ does not have the form $a \cos (t+\beta)$ where $a$ is an exponential function of time. The Lindstedt-Poincaré technique and the method of renormalization, even when $\omega$ is complex, force $a$ to be an exponential function. Thus, these approaches cannot be expected to yield a good approximation of the solution. On the other hand, with the method of multiple scales, changing the original ordinary-differential equation into a system of partial-differential equations permits enough generality in the form of the solution to obtain an excellent approximation.

### 6.4. The Method of Averaging

As in Sections 4.6 and 5.5 , to apply the method of averaging to (6.4), we need to use the method of variation of parameters and introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t ; \epsilon)=a(t) \cos [t+\beta(t)]  \tag{6.60}\\
& \dot{u}(t ; \epsilon)=-a(t) \sin [t+\beta(t)] \tag{6.61}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we differentiate (6.60) with respect to $t$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.61) and (6.62) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{6.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (6.61) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta) \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.60), (6.61), and (6.64) into (6.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=\epsilon a \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{3} \epsilon a^{3} \sin ^{3}(t+\beta) \tag{6.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (6.63) and (6.65) for $\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\beta}$ gives the following variational equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =\epsilon\left[a \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3}(t+\beta)\right] \sin (t+\beta)  \tag{6.66}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\epsilon\left[a \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3}(t+\beta)\right] \cos (t+\beta) \tag{6.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the trigonometric identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin ^{2} \theta & =\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \theta \\
\sin ^{4} \theta & =\frac{1}{8}(\cos 4 \theta-4 \cos 2 \theta+3) \\
\sin \theta \cos \theta & =\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta \\
\sin ^{3} \theta \cos \theta & =\frac{1}{8}(2 \sin 2 \theta-\sin 4 \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

We rewrite (6.66) and (6.67) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\epsilon a\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{3} a^{2}\right) \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-\frac{1}{24} a^{2} \cos (4 t+4 \beta)\right]  \tag{6.68}\\
& \dot{\beta}=\epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{6} a^{2}\right) \sin (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{24} a^{2} \sin (4 t+4 \beta)\right] \tag{6.69}
\end{align*}
$$

The assumption $a \neq 0$ is used in arriving at (6.69). To the first approximation, we keep only the slowly varying terms, that is, the terms independent of the circular functions. Hence, to the first approximation, (6.68) and (6.69) are replaced by the following averaged equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a\left(1-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}\right)  \tag{6.70}\\
& \dot{\beta}=0 \tag{6.71}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in full agreement with (6.44) and (6.45) obtained by using the method of multiple scales.

Figure 6.4 compares the solutions of the variational equations (6.68) and (6.69) with the solutions of the averaged equation ( 6.70 ) for the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(0)=a_{0} \quad \beta(0)=0 \tag{6.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the solutions of the averaged equations are averages of the solutions of the variational equations.


Figure 6-4. Comparison of solutions of the variational equations (6.68) and (6.69) with those of the averaged equation (6.70) for $\epsilon=0.3$ : (a) $a(0)=0.5, \beta(0)=0$; (b) $a(0)=4.0$, $\beta(0)=0$.

## Exercises

### 6.1. Consider van der Pol's equation

$$
\ddot{u}+u=\epsilon\left(1-u^{2}\right) \dot{u}
$$

(a) Determine two terms in the straightforward expansion and discuss its uniformity.
(b) Use the method of renormalization to render the straightforward expansion uniformly valid.
(c) Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a firstorder uniform expansion.
(d) Compare the results in (b) and (c) and indicate the limitations of the method of renormatization.
6.2. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a firstorder uniform expansion including the transient response of the solution of

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon\left[\dot{u}-\dot{u}^{3}+\dot{u}^{2} u\right] \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

6.3. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{x}+x+\dot{x}-\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}-|\dot{x}|) \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)=0
$$

where $x_{0}$ is a constant and $\delta$ is the Dirac delta function. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for small but finite $x$.

### 6.4. Consider the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u & =2 \epsilon[(1-v) \dot{u}-\dot{v} u] \\
\dot{v}+v & =u^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion for $u$ and $v$.
6.5. Use the methods of averaging and multiple scales to determine a firstorder uniform expansion for

$$
\ddot{u}+u=\epsilon\left(1-u^{4}\right) \dot{u}
$$

when $\epsilon \ll 1$.
6.6. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a firstorder uniform expansion for

$$
\ddot{u}+u-\epsilon\left(1-u^{2}\right) \dot{u}+\epsilon u^{3}=0
$$

when $\dot{\epsilon} \ll 1$.

## CHAPTER 7

## Systems with Quadratic and Cubic Nonlinearities

We consider the free oscillations of a particle of mass $m$ under the action of gravity and restrained by a nonlinear spring, as shown in Figure 7-1. The equation of motion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+f\left(x^{*}\right)=m g \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the gravitational acceleration and $f\left(x^{*}\right)$ is the restraining force of the spring. We assume that $f\left(x^{*}\right)$ is a cubic function of $x^{*}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{*}\right)=k_{1} x^{*}+k_{3} x^{* 3} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}>0$. Substituting (7.2) into (7.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} x^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k_{1} x^{*}+k_{3} x^{* 3}=m g \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium positions $x_{s}^{*}$ can be obtained from (7.3) by dropping the acceleration term. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} x_{s}^{*}+k_{3} x_{s}^{* 3}=m g \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this chapter, we investigate small oscillations about one of the equilibrium positions. To this end, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}=x_{s}^{*}+u^{*} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (7.3) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{*^{2}}}+k_{1}\left(x_{s}^{*}+u^{*}\right)+k_{3}\left(x_{s}^{*}+u^{*}\right)^{3}=m g \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the cubic term and using (7.4), we rewrite (7.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\left(k_{1}+3 k_{3} x_{5}^{* 2}\right) u^{*}+3 k_{3} x_{5}^{*} u^{* 2}+k_{3} u^{* 3}=0 \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7-1. Mass restrained by a nonlinear spring in the presence of gravity force.
As before, we introduce the following dimensionless quantities:

$$
u=u^{*} / x_{s}^{*} \quad t=\omega t^{*}
$$

where

$$
\omega=\sqrt{\left(k_{1}+3 k_{3} x_{s}^{* 2}\right) / m}
$$

is the linear natural frequency, which is assumed to be real. (We note that when the mass-spring system is oriented horizontally, the natural frequency is $\sqrt{k_{1} / m}$.) Then, (7.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+3 \alpha u^{2}+\alpha u^{3}=0 \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=k_{3} x_{s}^{* 2} / m \omega^{2}$. In what follows, we assume that $\alpha=O(1)$. In contrast with the Duffing equation, (7.8) contains a quadratic as well as cubic term. Instead of (7.8), we consider a slightly more general equation, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+\alpha_{2} u^{2}+\alpha_{3} u^{3}=0 \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ are constants.
In the next section, we determine a second-order straightforward expansion to the solutions of (7.9) for small but finite amplitudes. We render this expansion uniform in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 by using the method of renormalization and the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique. In Section 7.4, we determine a uniform second-order expansion by using the method of multiple scales. In Section 7.5, we show that the first approximation of the method of averaging yields an incomplete solution. In Section 7.6, we introduce the generalized method of averaging and obtain a uniform second-order expansion to (7.9). Finally, in Section 7.7, we introduce the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky technique.

### 7.1. The Straightforward Expansion

To carry out a straightforward expansion for small but finite amplitudes for (7.9), we need to introduce a small parameter because none appear explicitly in this equation. To this end, we seek an expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(t)+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}(t)+\cdots \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter that is a measure of the amplitude of
oscillation. It can be used as a bookkeeping or crutching device and set equal to unity if the amplitude is taken to be small as described below.
Substituting (7.10) into (7.9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \ddot{u}_{2}+\epsilon^{3} \ddot{u}_{3}+\cdots+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2} & +\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{2}\left(\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{3}\left(\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots\right)^{3}=0 \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the binomial theorem to expand the terms in parentheses in (7.11) and keeping terms to $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ only, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left(\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}+\alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)+\epsilon^{3}\left(\ddot{u}_{3}+u_{3}+2 \alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{2}+\alpha_{3} u_{1}^{3}\right)+\cdots=0 \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon$ to zero in (7.12) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=0  \tag{7.13}\\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-\alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{7.14}\\
& \ddot{u}_{3}+u_{3}=-2 \alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{2}-\alpha_{3} u_{1}^{3} \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be solved sequentially for $u_{1}, u_{2}$, and $u_{3}$.
The general solution of (7.13) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (7.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-\alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos ^{2}(t+\beta)=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we do not include the solution of the homogeneous problem for $u_{2}$. Moreover, a particular solution for (7.17) can be obtained as the sum of two particular solutions, one for each of the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{2}^{(1)}+u_{2}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}  \tag{7.18}\\
& \ddot{u}_{2}^{(2)}+u_{2}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

A particular solution of (7.18) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2 p}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas a particular solution of (7.19) is (B68 and B69)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2_{p}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=u_{2 p}^{(1)}+u_{2 p}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.16) and (7.22) into (7.15) gives

$$
\ddot{u}_{3}+u_{3}=-2 \alpha_{2} a \cos (t+\beta)\left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta)\right]-\alpha_{3} a^{3} \cos ^{*}(t+\beta)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{3}+u_{3}=\left(\frac{5}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3} \cos (t+\beta)-\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sinco (7.23) is linear,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}=u_{3_{p}}^{(1)}+u_{3_{p}}^{(2)} \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{3_{p}}^{(1)}$ and $u_{3_{p}}^{(2)}$ are particular solutions of the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{3}^{(1)}+u_{3}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{5}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3} \cos (t+\beta)  \tag{7.25}\\
& \ddot{u}_{3}^{(2)}+u_{3}^{(2)}=-\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{7.26}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows (B69 and B76) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{3 p}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3} t \sin (t+\beta)  \tag{7.27}\\
& u_{3 p}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{7.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (7.27) and (7.28) into (7.24) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}=\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3} t \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.16), (7.22), and (7.29) into (7.10) yields the following thirdorder [up to $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ ] straightforward expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \epsilon a \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} \epsilon^{2} a^{2}[\cos (2 t+2 \beta)-3] \\
& +\epsilon^{3} a^{3}\left[\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) t \sin (t+\beta)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \cos (3 t+3 \beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{7.30}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the dependence of $u$ on $\epsilon$ and $a$ is in the combination $\epsilon a$. Thus, one can set $\epsilon=1$ and consider $a$ as the perturbation parameter. The straightforward expansion (7.30) breaks down for $t \geqslant 0\left(\epsilon^{-1} a^{-1}\right)$ because the second correction term is the same order or larger than the first correction term, owing to the presence of the mixed-secular term. Next, we use the method of renormalization to render (7.30) uniform.

### 7.2. The Method of Renormalization

As discussed in Sections 4.3 and 4.4 , we can construct a uniform expansion for (7.9) either by applying the Lindstedt-Poincare technique to the differential equation or by applying the method of renormalization to (7.30). In either case, we introduce a transformation $\tau=\omega t$, where $\omega$ is the nonlinear frequency of (7.9). Moreover, we expand $\omega$ in a power series of $\epsilon$ with the first term being the linear frequency (in this case it is unity). Thus, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nota that we imol..dad
appears at $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ in (7.30). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots\right)^{-1} \tau \\
& =\left[1-\left(\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots\right)+\left(\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2}\right] \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Keeping terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.32) into (7.30) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \epsilon a \cos \left[\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} \epsilon^{2} a^{2}\left\{\cos \left[2 \tau+2 \beta-2 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+2 \epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]-3\right\} \\
& +\epsilon^{3} a^{3}\left\{( \frac { 5 } { 1 2 } \alpha _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } - \frac { 3 } { 8 } \alpha _ { 3 } ) [ \tau - \epsilon \omega _ { 1 } \tau + \epsilon ^ { 2 } ( \omega _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } - \omega _ { 2 } ) \tau + \cdots ] \operatorname { s i n } \left[\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \cos \left[3 \tau+3 \beta-3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3 \epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]\right\}+\cdots \tag{7.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we expand (7.33) for small $\epsilon$ keeping $\tau$ fixed. To this end, we need to expand the circular functions in (7.33). Using Taylor series, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left[\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right] \\
&= \cos (\tau+\beta)+\left[\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau-\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau\right] \sin (\tau+\beta) \\
&-\frac{1}{2!}\left[\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau-\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]^{2} \cos (\tau+\beta) \\
&= \cos (\tau+\beta)+\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)-\epsilon^{2}\left[\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}^{2} \tau^{2} \cos (\tau+\beta)\right]+\cdots \tag{7.34}
\end{align*}
$$

$\cos \left[2 \tau+2 \beta-2 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+2 \epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\cos (2 \tau+2 \beta)+\left[2 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau-2 \epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau\right] \sin (2 \tau+2 \beta)+\cdots \\
& =\cos (2 \tau+2 \beta)+2 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau \sin (2 \tau+2 \beta)+\cdots \tag{7.35}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left[\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]=\sin (\tau+\beta)+\cdots \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left[3 \tau+3 \beta-3 \epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+3 \epsilon^{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right) \tau+\cdots\right]=\cos (3 \tau+3 \beta)+\cdots \tag{7.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.34) through (7.37) into (7.33) and keeping terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ only, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \epsilon a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\epsilon^{2}\left[\omega_{1} a \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 \tau+2 \beta)-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{3}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}^{2} a \tau^{2} \cos (\tau+\beta)+\left[\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3}-a\left(\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}\right)\right] \tau \sin (\tau+\beta) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2} \omega_{1} a^{2} \tau \sin (2 \tau+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta)\right\}+\cdots \tag{7.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular term at $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ demands that $\omega_{1}=0$ if $a \neq 0$. Then, all
secular terms are eliminated at $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ except one, which is eliminated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3}+a \omega_{2}=0 \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}=-\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{2} \tag{7.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the secular terms eliminated, (7.38) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{2} a^{2} \alpha_{2}[\cos (2 r+2 \beta)-3]+\cdots \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\omega t=\left[1+\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} a^{2}\right] t+\cdots \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the secular term at $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ in (7.38) is used to determine $\omega_{2}$. The remaining part is bounded and hence it is not included in (7.41) because the expansion is ended to this order, and the error is $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$ for all $t \leqslant O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$. This point is discussed in more detail in Section 4.5.

Returning to (7.30), we note that the first secular term appears at $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$. Consequently, we could have concluded that $\omega_{1}=0$ before carrying out the expansion because the term $\epsilon \omega_{1}$ in (7.31) creates secular terms at $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ and not at $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$, as needed to eliminate the secular term from (7.30). Had we used this fact, we would have shortened the algebra considerably. Next, we show that application of the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique to this problem involves less algebra than that needed in applying the method of renormalization.

### 7.3. The Lindstedt-Poincaré Technique

Introducing the transformation $\tau=\omega t$ in (7.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+u+\alpha_{2} u^{2}+\alpha_{3} u^{3}=0 \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\tau$. Next, we expand $\omega$ and $u$ in powers of $\epsilon$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\epsilon u_{1}(\tau)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(\tau)+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}(\tau)+\cdots  \tag{7.44}\\
\omega & =1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\cdots \tag{7.45}
\end{align*}
$$

As discussed in the preceding chapters, the first term in the expansion of $\omega$ is the linear frequency, which is unity in this case. Substituting (7.44) and (7.45) into (7.43), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}\right. & +\cdots)^{2}\left(\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right)+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left(\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots\right)^{2}+\alpha_{3}\left(\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}+\cdots\right)^{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the binomial theorem to expand the exponentiated quantities and keeping terms to $O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+2 \epsilon \omega_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \omega_{1}^{2}+2 \epsilon^{2} \omega_{2}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right. & \left.+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon^{3} u_{3} \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left(\epsilon^{2} u_{1}^{2}+2 \epsilon^{3} u_{1} u_{2}\right)+\alpha_{3} \epsilon^{3} u_{1}^{3}+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the first two terms and equating the coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}=0  \tag{7.46}\\
& u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=-2 \omega_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{7.47}\\
& u_{3}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3}=-2 \omega_{1} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+2 \omega_{2}\right) u_{1}^{\prime \prime}-2 \alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{2}-\alpha_{3} u_{1}^{3} \tag{7.48}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (7.46) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=a \cos (\tau+\beta) \tag{7.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (7.47) becomes

$$
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=2 \omega_{1} a \cos (\tau+\beta)-\alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos ^{2}(\tau+\beta)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}=2 \omega_{1} a \cos (\tau+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 \tau+2 \beta) \tag{7.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{2}$ demands that $\omega_{1}=0$. Then, the solution of (7.50) can be obtained as in Section 7.1. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 \tau+2 \beta) \tag{7.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.49) and (7.51) into (7.48) and using the fact that $\omega_{1}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{3}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3}= & 2 \omega_{2} a \cos (\tau+\beta)-2 \alpha_{2} a \cos (\tau+\beta)\left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 \tau+2 \beta)\right]-\alpha_{3} a^{3} \cos ^{3}(\tau+\beta) \tag{7.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities as in Section 7.1, we rewrite (7.52) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{3}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3}= & \left(2 \omega_{2} a-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3} a^{3}+\frac{5}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2} a^{3}\right) \cos (\tau+\beta) \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos (3 \tau+3 \beta) \tag{7.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from (7.53) demands that

$$
2 \omega_{2} a-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3} a^{3}+\frac{5}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2} a^{3}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}=\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3} a^{2}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2} a^{2} \tag{7.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.49) and (7.51) into (7.44) yteks (7.41), whereas subathtuthe. (7.54) into (7.45), using $\tau=\omega t$, and recalling that $\omega_{1}=0$ yiclds (7.42). Thus, the Lindstedt-Poincaré technique produced an expansion that is in full agreement with that obtained by using the method of renormalization with less algebra.

### 7.4. The Method of Multiple Scales

In this section, we determine a third-order uniform expansion by using the method of multiple scales. We note that to third-order we need the three scales $T_{0}=t, T_{1}=\epsilon t$, and $T_{2}=\epsilon^{2} t$. Then, the time derivatives become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}=D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\epsilon^{2} D_{2}+\cdots  \tag{7.55}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\epsilon^{2}\left(D_{1}^{2}+2 D_{0} D_{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.56}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$. Using (7.56), we transform (7.9) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+\epsilon^{2}\left(D_{1}^{2} u+2 D_{0} D_{2} u\right)+u+\alpha_{2} u^{2}+\alpha_{3} u^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{7.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek an approximate solution to (7.57) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.58) into (7.57) and equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=0  \tag{7.59}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{2}+u_{2}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{1}-\alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{7.60}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{3}+u_{3}=-D_{1}^{2} u_{1}-2 D_{0} D_{2} u_{1}-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{2}-2 \alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{2}-\alpha_{3} u_{1}^{3} \tag{7.61}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of (7.59) can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=A\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \tag{7.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (7.60) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{2}+u_{2}=-2 i D_{1} A e^{i T_{0}}+2 i D_{1} \bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}-\alpha_{2}\left(A^{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+2 A \bar{A}+\bar{A}^{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}\right) \tag{7.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{2}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} A=0 \quad \text { or } \quad A=A\left(T_{2}\right) \tag{7.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the solution of (7.63) is taken to consist of its particular solution only, which can be obtained by using the principle of superposition, as in the preceding section. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2} A^{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2} \bar{A}^{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}-2 \alpha_{2} A \bar{A} \tag{7.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.62), (7.64), and (7.65) into (7.61) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{3}+u_{3}= & -2 i A^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}+2 i \bar{A}^{\prime} e^{-i T_{0}}-2 \alpha_{2}\left(A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2} A^{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2} \bar{A}^{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}-2 \alpha_{2} A \bar{A}\right)-\alpha_{3}\left(A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}\right)^{3} \tag{7.66}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to $T_{2}$. In arriving at (7.66),
we used (7.64) so that $D_{1} u_{1}=D_{1} u_{2}=0$. Using the binomial theorem, we rewrite (7.66) as

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{3}+u_{3}= & {\left[-2 i A^{\prime}+!\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{2}^{2} A^{2} \bar{A}-3 \alpha_{3} A^{2} \bar{A}\right] e^{i T_{0}} } \\
& -\left(\frac{2}{3} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}+c c \tag{7.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{3}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 i A^{\prime}+\left(\frac{10}{3} \alpha_{2}^{2}-3 \alpha_{3}\right) A^{2} \bar{A}=0 \tag{7.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $A$ in the polar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} \tag{7.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are real, we rewrite (7.68) as

$$
-i a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3} e^{i \beta}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i a^{\prime}+a \beta^{\prime}+\left(\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}\right) a^{3}=0 \tag{7.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (7.70) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =0  \tag{7.71}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \tag{7.72}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (7.71) that $a=a_{0}=$ constant. Then, it follows from (7.72) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a_{0}^{2} T_{2}+\beta_{0} \tag{7.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is a constant and $a_{0}$ is assumed to be different from zero.
Substituting (7.69) into (7.62) and (7.65) and recalling that $T_{0}=t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=a \cos (t+\beta)  \tag{7.74}\\
& u_{2}=\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \tag{7.75}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (7.58) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{2} a^{2} \alpha_{2}[\cos (2 t+2 \beta)-3]+\cdots \tag{7.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7.73) in (7.76) and the facts that $a=a_{0}$ and $T_{2}=\epsilon^{2} t$, we rewrite (7.76) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a_{0} \cos \left(\omega t+\beta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2} \alpha_{2}\left[\cos \left(2 \omega t+2 \beta_{0}\right)-3\right]+\cdots \tag{7.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1+\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2}+\cdots \tag{7.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in agreement with (7.41) and (7.42) obtained by using the method of renormalization and the Lindstedt-Poincare method.

Next, we apply the first approximation of the method of averaging to (7.9).

### 7.5. The Method of Averaging

As before, we use the method of variation of parameters to transform the dependent variable from $u$ to $a$ and $\beta$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\epsilon a \cos (t+\beta)  \tag{7.79}\\
& \dot{u}=-\epsilon a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{7.80}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter that is a measure of the amplitude of oscillation. For the nonlinear problem, $a$ and $\beta$ are variables. Differentiating (7.79) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\epsilon a \sin (t+\beta)+\epsilon \dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-\epsilon a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{7.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (7.80) and (7.81), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{7.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (7.80) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-\epsilon a \cos (t+\beta)-\epsilon \dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-\epsilon a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta) \tag{7.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.79) and (7.83) into (7.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=\alpha_{2} \in a^{2} \cos ^{2}(t+\beta)+\alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \tag{7.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (7.82) and (7.84) for $\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\alpha_{2} \epsilon a^{2} \sin (t+\beta) \cos ^{2}(t+\beta)+\alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{3} \sin (t+\beta) \cos ^{3}(t+\beta)  \tag{7.85}\\
& \dot{\beta}=\alpha_{2} \epsilon a \cos ^{3}(t+\beta)+\alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{2} \cos ^{4}(t+\beta) \tag{7.86}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ is assumed to be different from zero in arriving at (7.86).
Since $a$ is small, $\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\beta}$ are slowly varying functions of $t$. Then, one might attempt to average (7.85) and (7.86) for a first approximation. To this end, one uses trigonometric identities to rewrite (7.85) and (7.86) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a^{2}[\sin (t+\beta)+\sin (3 t+3 \beta)]+\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{3}[2 \sin (2 t+2 \beta) \\
& +\sin (4 t+4 \beta)]  \tag{7.87}\\
\dot{\beta}= & \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a[3 \cos (t+\beta)+\cos (3 t+3 \beta)]+\frac{1}{\beta} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{2}[\cos (4 t+4 \beta) \\
& +4 \cos (2 t+2 \beta)+3] \tag{7.88}
\end{align*}
$$

Keeping the slowly varying parts on the right-hand side of (7.87) and (7.88), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=0 \tag{7.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\beta}=\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{2} \tag{7.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whereas (7.89) agrees with (7.71), (7.90) does not agree with (7.72) obtained by using the method of multiple scales. There is a term $\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2} \epsilon^{2} a^{2}$ missing from (7.90). Following the details of the solution in the preceding section, one finds that this term is the result of the interaction of the first- and second-order approximations. This interaction was not taken into account in arriving at (7.89) and (7.90). To include the effect of this interaction, we need to carry out the solutions of (7.87) and (7.88) to higher order. This is accomplished by using the generalized method of averaging, which is discussed next, or its variant the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky technique, which is discussed in Section 7.7.

### 7.6. The Generalized Method of Averaging

To apply this method, we introduce the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=t+\beta \tag{7.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rewrite (7.87) and (7.88) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a^{2}(\sin \phi+\sin 3 \phi)+\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{3}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi)  \tag{7.92}\\
& \dot{\phi}=1+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a(3 \cos \phi+\cos 3 \phi)+\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2} a^{2}(\cos 4 \phi+4 \cos 2 \phi+3) \tag{7.93}
\end{align*}
$$

We seek approximate solutions to (7.92) and (7.93) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =a_{0}(t)+\epsilon a_{1}\left(a_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2} a_{2}\left(a_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{7.94}\\
\phi & =\phi_{0}(t)+\epsilon \phi_{1}\left(a_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2} \phi_{2}\left(a_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{7.95}\\
\dot{a}_{0} & =\epsilon A_{1}\left(a_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}\left(a_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{7.96}\\
\dot{\phi}_{0} & =1+\epsilon \Phi_{1}\left(a_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}\left(a_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.97}
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ and $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots$ are fast varying functions of $\phi_{0}$, while it follows from (7.96) and (7.97) that $a_{0}$, and hence, the $A_{n}$ and $\Phi_{n}$ are slowly varying functions of $t$.
Using the chain rule, we write the first derivatives of (7.94) and (7.95) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=\dot{a}_{0}+\epsilon \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial a_{0}} \dot{a}_{0}+\epsilon \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}} \dot{\phi}_{0}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial a_{0}} \dot{a}_{0}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}} \dot{\phi}_{0}+\cdots  \tag{7.98}\\
& \dot{\phi}=\dot{\phi}_{0}+\epsilon \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial a_{0}} \dot{a}_{0}+\epsilon \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}} \dot{\phi}_{0}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial a_{0}} \dot{a}_{0}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}} \dot{\phi}_{0}+\cdots \tag{7.99}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (7.96) and (7.97) into (7.98) and (7.99) and keeping terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=\epsilon\left[A_{1}+\frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}\right]+\epsilon^{2}\left[A_{2}+\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial a_{0}}+\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}\right]+\cdots \tag{7.100}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\phi}=1+\epsilon\left[\Phi_{1}+\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}\right]+\epsilon^{2}\left[\Phi_{2}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial a_{0}}+\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}\right]+\cdots \tag{7.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we need to substituto (7.94) and (7.95) into (7.92) and (7.93) and expand the right-hand sides for small $\epsilon$ keeping terms up to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. From the righthand side of (7.92), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon a^{2}(\sin \phi+\sin 3 \phi)= & \epsilon\left(a_{0}+\epsilon a_{1}\right)^{2}\left[\sin \left(\phi_{0}+\epsilon \phi_{1}\right)+\sin \left(3 \phi_{0}+3 \epsilon \phi_{1}\right)\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon\left(a_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon a_{0} a_{1}\right)\left[\sin \phi_{0}+\epsilon \phi_{1} \cos \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+3 \epsilon \phi_{1} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon a_{0}^{2}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)+2 \epsilon^{2} a_{0} a_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& +\epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2} \phi_{1}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+3 \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{7.102}\\
\epsilon^{2} a^{3}(2 \sin 2 \phi+\sin 4 \phi)= & \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{3}\left(2 \sin 2 \phi_{0}+\sin 4 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.103}
\end{align*}
$$

From the right-hand side of (7.93), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon a(3 \cos \phi+\cos 3 \phi)= & \epsilon\left(a_{0}+\epsilon a_{1}\right)\left[3 \cos \left(\phi_{0}+\epsilon \phi_{1}\right)+\cos \left(3 \phi_{0}+3 \epsilon \phi_{1}\right)\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon\left(a_{0}+\epsilon a_{1}\right)\left[3 \cos \phi_{0}-3 \epsilon \phi_{1} \sin \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right. \\
& \left.-3 \epsilon \phi_{1} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon a_{0}\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\epsilon^{2} a_{1}\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& -3 \epsilon^{2} a_{0} \phi_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.104}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} a^{2}(\cos 4 \phi+4 \cos 2 \phi+3)=\epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos 4 \phi_{0}+4 \cos 2 \phi_{0}+3\right)+\cdots \tag{7.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.100) through (7.105) into (7.92) and (7.93) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}+\frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)  \tag{7.106}\\
A_{2}+\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \phi_{2}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial a_{0}}+\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a_{0} a_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}^{2} \phi_{1}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+3 \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} a_{0}^{3}\left(2 \sin 2 \phi_{0}+\sin 4 \phi_{0}\right)  \tag{7.107}\\
\Phi_{1}+\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)  \tag{7.108}\\
\Phi_{2}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}+\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{1}\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0} \phi_{1}\left(\sin \phi_{0}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos 4 \phi_{0}+4 \cos 2 \phi_{0}+3\right) \tag{7.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we use the method of separation of variables to separate the fast and slowly varying terms in (7.106) through (7.109). The slowly varying parts of (7.106) and (7.108) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\Phi_{1}=0 \tag{7.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas their fast varying parts yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)  \tag{7.111}\\
& \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.112}
\end{align*}
$$

A particular solution of (7.111) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas a particular solution of (7.112) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} a_{0}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right) \tag{7.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.110), (7.113), and (7.114) into (7.107) and (7.109), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}+\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}= & -\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{0}^{3}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{16} \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{0}^{3}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)\left(\cos \phi_{0}+3 \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} a_{0}^{3}\left(2 \sin 2 \phi_{0}+\sin 4 \phi_{0}\right)  \tag{7.115}\\
\Phi_{2}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}= & -\frac{1}{16} \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)\left(3 \cos \phi_{0}+\cos 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{16} \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{0}^{2}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)\left(\sin \phi_{0}+\sin 3 \phi_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3} a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos 4 \phi_{0}+4 \cos 2 \phi_{0}+3\right) \tag{7.116}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we rewrite (7.115) and (7.116) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}+\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{1}{16} a_{0}^{3}\left[\left(4 \alpha_{3}-\frac{9}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \sin 2 \phi_{0}+\left(2 \alpha_{3}+\frac{10}{3} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \sin 4 \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2} \sin 6 \phi_{0}\right] \tag{7.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{2} & +\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a_{0}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{3}-\frac{3}{16} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a_{0}^{2} \cos 2 \phi_{0} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a_{0}^{2} \cos 4 \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{48} \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{0}^{2} \cos 6 \phi_{0} \tag{7.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are seeking an expansion valid to $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, we do not need to solve for $a_{2}$ and $\phi_{2}$. All we need to do is to investigate (7.117) and (7.118) to determine the slowly varying parts, and hence, determine $A_{2}$ and $\Phi_{2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=0  \tag{7.119}\\
& \Phi_{2}=\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a_{0}^{2} \tag{7.120}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (7.113) and (7.114) into (7.94) and (7.95) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=a_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots  \tag{7.121}\\
& \phi=\phi_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{7.122}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (7.110), (7.119), and (7.120) into (7.96) and (7.97) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}_{0}=0  \tag{7.123}\\
& \dot{\phi}_{0}=1+\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2} \tag{7.124}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (7.123) that $a_{0}=$ constant, and then it follows from (7.124) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=t+\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2} t+\beta_{0} \tag{7.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is a constant. Substituting (7.121) and (7.122) into (7.79) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \epsilon\left[a_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots\right] \cos \left[\phi_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{7.126}
\end{align*}
$$

To compare the present solution with those obtained by using the method of multiple scales and the Lindstedt-Poincare technique, we need to expand the circular function in (7.126) for small $\epsilon$ about $\phi_{0}$. Thus, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \epsilon\left[a_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0}^{2}\left(\cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right)\right]\left[\cos \phi_{0}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon a_{0} \sin \phi_{0}\left(3 \sin \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right)\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon a_{0} \cos \phi_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2}\left[\cos ^{2} \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos \phi_{0} \cos 3 \phi_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+3 \sin ^{2} \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \sin \phi_{0} \sin 3 \phi_{0}\right]+\cdots \\
= & \epsilon a_{0} \cos \phi_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2} \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \cos 2 \phi_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{2} \cos 2 \phi_{0}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a_{0} \cos \phi_{0}+\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{2} a_{0}^{2} \alpha_{2}\left(\cos 2 \phi_{0}-3\right)+\cdots \tag{7.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion represented by (7.125) and (7.127) agrees with the expansion (7.77) and (7.78) obtained by using the method of multiple scales. Comparing the algebra in this case with those in Sections 7.2 and 7.4 , we conclude that the methods of renormalization and multiple scales have advantages over the generalized method of averaging.

### 7.7. The Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky Technique

In this section, we describe a variant of the generalized method of averaging, namely the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky technique. It is often referred to as the asymptotic method.

When the nonlinear terms are neglected, the solution of (7.9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{7.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants and $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter that is a measure of the amplitude. When the nonlinear terms are included, we consider (7.128) to be the first term in an approximate solution of (7.9) but with slowly varying rather than constant $a$ and $\beta$. Moreover, we introduce the fast scale $\phi=$ $t+\beta$ and use $a$ to represent the slow variations. Thus, we seek an approximate solution to (7.9) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\epsilon a \cos \phi+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(a, \phi)+\epsilon^{3} u_{3}(a, \phi)+\cdots \tag{7.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a$ and $\beta$ are slowly varying functions of $t$, we express them in power series of $\epsilon$ in terms of $a$. Then, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\cdot \dot{a} & =\epsilon A_{1}(a)+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}(a)+\cdots  \tag{7.130}\\
\dot{\phi} & =1+\epsilon \Phi_{1}(a)+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}(a)+\cdots \tag{7.131}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, this method can be viewed as a multiple scales procedure with $a$ and $\phi$ being the scales.

Using the chain rule, we can express the derivatives with respect to $t$ in terms of the new independent variables $a$ and $\phi$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} & =\dot{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\dot{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}  \tag{7.132}\\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =\dot{a}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}+\ddot{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+2 \dot{a} \dot{\phi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a \partial \phi}+\dot{\phi}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\ddot{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \tag{7.133}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (7.130) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{a}=\epsilon A_{1}^{\prime} \dot{a}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}^{\prime} \dot{a}+\cdots \tag{7.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the argument. Substituting (7.130) into (7.134) gives

$$
\ddot{a}=\epsilon A_{1}^{\prime}\left(\epsilon A_{1}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}+\cdots\right)+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}^{\prime}\left(\epsilon A_{1}+\cdots\right)+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{a}=\epsilon^{2} A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \tag{7.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (7.131) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}=\epsilon \Phi_{1}^{\prime} \dot{a}+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\prime} \dot{a}+\cdots \tag{7.136}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Substituting (7.130) into (7.136) gives

$$
\ddot{\phi}=\epsilon \Phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\epsilon A_{1}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}+\cdots\right)+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(\epsilon A_{1}+\cdots\right)+\cdots
$$

"'

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}=\epsilon^{2} A_{1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \tag{7.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.130), (7.131), (7.135), and (7.137) into (7.132) and (7.133), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}= & \left(\epsilon A_{1}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\left(1+\epsilon \Phi_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}= & \left(\epsilon A_{1}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}+\left(\epsilon^{2} A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \\
& +2\left(\epsilon A_{1}+\epsilon^{2} A_{2}+\cdots\right)\left(1+\epsilon \Phi_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a \partial \phi} \\
& +\left(1+\epsilon \Phi_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \Phi_{2}+\cdots\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\left(\epsilon^{2} A_{1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}+\epsilon\left[A_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right]+\epsilon^{2}\left[A_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\Phi_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right]  \tag{7.138}\\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+2 \epsilon\left[\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a \partial \phi}\right] \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left[\left(\Phi_{1}^{2}+2 \Phi_{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+2\left(A_{2}+A_{1} \Phi_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a \partial \phi}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}+A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+A_{1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right]+\cdots \tag{7.139}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (7.9) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \phi^{2}}+2 \epsilon\left[\Phi_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \phi^{2}}+A_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial a \partial \phi}\right]+\epsilon^{2}\left[\left(\Phi_{1}^{2}+2 \Phi_{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \phi^{2}}+2\left(A_{2}+A_{1} \Phi_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial a \partial \phi}\right. \\
\left.+A_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial a^{2}}+A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a}+A_{1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi}\right]+u+\alpha_{2} u^{2}+\alpha_{3} u^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{7.140}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting (7.129) into (7.140) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+u_{2}-2 \Phi_{1} a \cos \phi-2 A_{1} \sin \phi+\alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi=0 \tag{7.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+u_{3} & +2 \Phi_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+2 A_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial a \partial \phi}-\left(\Phi_{1}^{2}+2 \Phi_{2}\right) a \cos \phi-2\left(A_{2}+A_{1} \Phi_{1}\right) \sin \phi \\
& +A_{1} \Lambda_{1}^{\prime} \cos \phi-A_{1} \Phi_{1}^{\prime} a \sin \phi+2 \alpha_{2} u_{2} a \cos \phi+\alpha_{3} a^{3} \cos ^{3} \phi=0 \tag{7.142}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the trigonometric identity (A13), we rewrite (7.141) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+u_{2}=2 \Phi_{1} a \cos \phi+2 A_{1} \sin \phi-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos 2 \phi \tag{7.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{2}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad A_{1}=0 \tag{7.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as before, the solution of (7.143) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos 2 \phi \tag{7.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.144) and (7.145) into (7.142) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+u_{3}= & 2 \Phi_{2} a \cos \phi+2 A_{2} \sin \phi-2 \alpha_{2} a \cos \phi\left[-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} a^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos 2 \phi\right]-\alpha_{3} a^{3} \cos ^{3} \phi \tag{7.146}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we rewrite (7.146) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+u_{3}= & \left(2 \Phi_{2}-\frac{3}{4} \alpha_{3} a^{2}+\frac{5}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2} a^{2}\right) a \cos \phi+2 A_{2} \sin \phi \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{3} \cos 3 \phi \tag{7.147}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{3}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=0 \quad \Phi_{2}=\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) a^{2} \tag{7.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitutin (7.144) and (7.148) into (7.130) and (7.131) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=0  \tag{7.149}\\
& \dot{\phi}=1+\left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{3}-\frac{5}{12} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right) \epsilon^{2} a^{2}+\cdots \tag{7.150}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (7.123) and (7.124) obtained by using the generalized method of averaging, and hence, with the solution obtained by using the method of multiple scales.

## Exercises

7.1. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{x}-2 x-x^{2}+x^{3}=0
$$

Show that the equilibrium positions are $x=0,-1$, and 2. Put $x=2+u$ and deter-
mine the equation governing $u$. Then, determine a second-order uniform expansion for small but finite amplitudes using
(a) the Lindstedt-Poincaré method,
(b) the method of multiple scales, and
(c) the generalized method of averaging.

### 7.2. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}-u+u^{4}=0
$$

Show that $u=1$ is an equilibrium point. Determine a second-order uniform expansion for small but finite motions around $u=1$. Hint: put $u=1+x$, determine the equation describing $x$, and then use the Lindstedt-Poincare method or the method of multiple scales or the generalized method of averaging.

### 7.3. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}-u+u^{6}=0
$$

Show that $u=1$ is an equilibrium position. Determine a second-order expansion for small but finite motions around $u=1$.
7.4. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{x}+x-\frac{3}{16(1-x)}=0
$$

Show that the equilibrium points are $x=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$. Examine the motion near these equilibrium points. Determine a second-order expansion around the stable equilibrium point (i.e., the one corresponding to sinusoidal motions).
7.5. Determine a second-order uniform expansion for

$$
\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon^{2} u^{3}+\epsilon \dot{u}^{2}=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

7.6. Determine a second-order uniform expansion for

$$
\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon u^{2}+\epsilon \dot{u}^{2}=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1 .
$$

## CHAPTER 8

## General Weakly Nonlinear Systems

In this chapter, we consider systems having a single degree of freedom under the influence of general forces. Specifically, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=\epsilon f(u, \dot{u}) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter, the dot denotes the derivative with respect to the dimensionless time $t$, and $u$ is a dimensionless dependent variable. The function $f$ is general but piecewise continuous, so that the equations considered in the preceding four chapters are special cases of (8.1). In this chapter, we do not restrict $f$ to be an analytic function of $u$ and $\dot{u}$.
As before, we start by determining a first-order straightforward expansion and discuss its uniformity. In Section 8.2, we use the method of renormalization to render this straightforward expansion uniform. In Sections 8.3 and 8.4, respectively, we use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine firstorder uniform expansions. Finally, in Section 8.5, we apply the results to nonanalytic functions $f$ as well as to the cases treated in the preceding chapters.

### 8.1. The Straightforward Expansion

As before, we seek a first-order expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\cdots \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8.2) into (8.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots & =\epsilon f\left[u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots, \dot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{u}_{1}+\cdots\right] \\
& =\epsilon f\left(u_{0}, \dot{u}_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $e$ on both sides of (8.3), we oblain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{8.4}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=f\left(u_{0}, \dot{u}_{0}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (8.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (8.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=f[a \cos (t+\beta),-a \sin (t+\beta)] \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine a particular solution for the inhomogeneous equation (8.7), we find it convenient to express the inhomogeneous term in a Fourier series. To this end, we note that $f$ is a periodic function of $t$ having the period $2 \pi$. Hence, its Fourier expansion has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
f[a \cos (t+\beta),-a \sin (t+\beta)]= & f_{0}(a)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(a) \cos (n t+n \beta) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(a) \sin (n t+n \beta) \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}(a)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) d \phi  \tag{8.9}\\
& f_{n}(a)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \cos n \phi d \phi  \tag{8.10}\\
& g_{n}(a)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \sin n \phi d \phi \tag{8.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (8.8), we rewrite (8.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=f_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \cos (n t+n \beta)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n} \sin (n t+n \beta) \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (8.12) is linear, one can use the principle of superposition and determine a particular solution as the sum of particular solutions, one corresponding to each inhomogeneous term. It follows from (B69), (B76), (B78), and (B82) that a particular solution for (8.12) is

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & f_{0}+\frac{1}{2} f_{1} t \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} g_{1} t \cos (t+\beta)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-n^{2}}\left[f_{n} \cos (n t+n \beta)\right. \\
& \left.+g_{n} \sin (n t+n \beta)\right] \tag{8.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we do not include the homogeneous solution of (8.12), so that (8.13)
gives its solution. Substituting (8.6) and (8.13) into (8.2) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos (t+\beta)+\epsilon\left\{f_{0}+\frac{1}{2} f_{1} t \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} g_{1} t \cos (t+\beta)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-n^{2}}\left[f_{n} \cos (n t+n \beta)+g_{n} \sin (n t+n \beta)\right]\right\}+\cdots \tag{8.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that (8.14) is not valid for $t \geqslant O\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$, owing to the presence of the mixed-secular terms. Next, we use the method of renormalization to render this straightforward expansion uniform.

### 8.2. The Method of Renormalization

To render (8.14) uniform, we introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\omega t \quad \omega=1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\omega^{-1} \tau=\tau\left(1+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots\right)^{-1}=\left(1-\epsilon \omega_{1}\right) \tau+\cdots \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8.16) into (8.14) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)+\epsilon\left\{f_{0}+\frac{1}{2} f_{1}\left(\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) \sin (\tau+\beta\right. \\
& \left.-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right)-\frac{1}{2} g_{1}\left(\tau-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) \cos \left(\tau+\beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tau+\cdots\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-n^{2}}\left[f_{n} \cos \left(n \tau+n \beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau+\cdots\right)+g_{n} \sin (n \tau+n \beta\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau+\cdots\right]\right\}+\cdots \tag{8.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left(n \tau+n \beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau+\cdots\right)=\cos (n \tau+n \beta)+\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau \sin (n \tau+n \beta)+\cdots \\
& \sin \left(n \tau+n \beta-\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau+\cdots\right)=\sin (n \tau+n \beta)-\epsilon \omega_{1} n \tau \cos (n \tau+n \beta)+\cdots \tag{8.18}
\end{align*}
$$

we rewrite (8.17) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos (\tau+\beta)+\epsilon\left\{f_{0}+\left(\frac{1}{2} f_{1}+\omega_{1} a\right) \tau \sin (\tau+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} g_{1} \tau \cos (\tau+\beta)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-n^{2}}\left[f_{n} \cos (n \tau+n \beta)+g_{n} \sin (n \tau+n \beta)\right]\right\}+\cdots \tag{8.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from (8.20) demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1} a+\frac{1}{2} f_{1}(a)=0 \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(a)=0 \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.22) provides the values of $a$ for which periodic solutions exist. Then, it follows from (8.15) and (8.21) that these periodic solutions have the frequencies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1-\frac{\epsilon}{2 a} f_{1}(a)+\cdots \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8.10) and (8.11), we rewrite the conditions (8.22) and (8.23) for periodic solutions as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \sin \phi d \phi=0  \tag{8.24}\\
\omega=1-\frac{\epsilon}{2 \pi a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \cos \phi d \phi+\cdots \tag{8.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the Duffing equation (4.7), $f=-u^{3}$ and (8.24) and (8.25) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{3} \cos ^{3} \phi \sin \phi d \phi=0  \tag{8.26}\\
\omega=1+\frac{\epsilon}{2 \pi a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{3} \cos ^{4} \phi d \phi+\cdots=1+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{2}+\cdots \tag{8.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integrations in (8.26) and (8.27) were carried out as in (A31) and (A37). Equation (8.26) is satisfied for all $a$, while (8.27) is in agreement with (4.80).

For the Rayleigh equation (6.4), $f=\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}$ and (8.24) and (8.25) become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-a \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) \sin \phi d \phi=0 \\
\omega=1-\frac{\epsilon}{2 \pi a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-a \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) \cos \phi d \phi+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

After carrying out the integrations as in (A32), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a+\frac{1}{4} a^{3}=0  \tag{8.28}\\
\omega=1+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{8.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

in agreement with (6.27) and (6.28).

### 8.3. The Method of Multiple Scales

To determine a uniform first-order expansion by using the method of multiple scales, we introduce the two scales $T_{0}=t$ and $T_{1}=\epsilon t$. Then, the derivatives become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d t}=D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\cdots \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$. Hence, (8.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+\cdots+u=\epsilon f\left[u, D_{0} u+\epsilon D_{1} u+\cdots\right] \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek an approximate solution to $(8.30)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8.31) into (8.30) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+\epsilon D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+2 \epsilon D_{\rho} D_{1} u_{0}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots=\epsilon f\left[u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}\right. \\
&\left.+\cdots, D_{0} u_{0}+\epsilon D_{1} u_{0}+\epsilon D_{0} u_{1}+\cdots\right]=\epsilon f\left(u_{0}, D_{0} u_{0}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ on both sides yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{8.32}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}+f\left(u_{0}, D_{0} u_{0}\right) \tag{8.33}
\end{align*}
$$

To be able to use directly the Fourier series expansion (8.8), we express the general solution of (8.32) in the following real rather than complex form as done before:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \tag{8.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0} u_{0} & =-a \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right) \\
D_{1} D_{0} u_{0} & =-a^{\prime} \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)-a \beta^{\prime} \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (8.33) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & 2 a^{\prime} \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+2 a \beta^{\prime} \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+f\left[a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)\right. \\
& \left.-a \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)\right] \tag{8.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Fourier-series expansion (8.8) for $f$, we rewrite (8.35) as

$$
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=2 a^{\prime} \sin \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+2 a \beta^{\prime} \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+f_{0}(a)
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(\alpha) \cos \left(n T_{0}+n \beta\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(\alpha) \sin \left(n T_{0}+n \beta\right) \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

I:liminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$ demands that .

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a^{\prime}+g_{1}(a) & =0  \tag{8.37}\\
2 a \beta^{\prime}+f_{1}(a) & =0 \tag{8.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (8.10) and (8.11) into (8.37) and (8.38) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \sin \phi d \phi  \tag{8.39}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(a \cos \phi,-a \sin \phi) \cos \phi d \phi \tag{8.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (8.34) into (8.31) and setting $T_{0}=t$, we find that to the first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+\cdots \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are given by (8.39) and (8.40). We apply the present solution to a number of special cases in Section 8.5. Next, we derive (8.39) through (8.41) by using the method of averaging.

### 8.4. The Method of Averaging

First, we need to use the method of variation of parameters to transform the dependent variable from $u$ to $a$ and $\beta$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=a(t) \cos [t+\beta(t)] \tag{8.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}(t)=-a(t) \sin [t+\beta(t)] \tag{8.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $u$ and $\dot{u}$ have the same form as the unperturbed case for which $\epsilon=0$. Differentiating (8.42) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (8.43) and (8.44), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{8.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (8.43) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta) \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8.42), (8.43), and (8.46) into (8.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=-\epsilon f[\alpha \cos (t+\beta),-a \sin (t+\beta)] \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (8.45) times $\cos (t+\beta)$ to (8.47) times $\sin (t+\beta)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=-\epsilon \sin (t+\beta) f[a \cos (t+\beta),-a \sin (t+\beta)] \tag{8.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8.48) into (8.45) and solving for $a \dot{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \dot{\beta}=-\epsilon \cos (t+\beta) f[a \cos (t+\beta),-a \sin (t+\beta)] \tag{8.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $f$ by its Fourier expansion (8.8), we rewrite (8.48) and (8.49) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}=-\epsilon \sin (t+\beta)\left[f_{0}(a)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(a) \cos (n t+n \beta)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(a) \sin (n t+n \beta)\right]  \tag{8.50}\\
& a \dot{\beta}=-\epsilon \cos (t+\beta)\left[f_{0}(a)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(a) \cos (n t+n \beta)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(a) \sin (n t+n \beta)\right] \tag{8.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities, we rewrite (8.50) and (8.51) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & -\epsilon f_{0}(a) \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(a)\{\sin [(n+1) t+(n+1) \beta]-\sin [(n-1) t \\
& +(n-1) \beta]\}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(a)\{\cos [(n-1) t+(n-1) \beta]-\cos [(n+1) t \\
& +(n+1) \beta]\}  \tag{8.52}\\
a \dot{\beta}= & -\epsilon f_{0}(a) \cos (t+\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(a)\{\cos [(n+1) t+(n+1) \beta] \\
& +\cos [(n-1) t+(n-1) \beta]\}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}(a)\{\sin [(n+1) t \\
& +(n+1) \beta]+\sin [(n-1) t+(n-1) \beta]\} \tag{8.53}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, to the first approximation, we keep only the slowly varying parts on the right-hand sides of (8.52) and (8.53). These parts are the terms that do not depend explicitly on $t$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon g_{1}(a)  \tag{8.54}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f_{1}(a) \tag{8.55}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (8.39) and (8.40) obtained by using the method of multiple scales.

### 8.5. Applications

As a first application, we consider the Duffing equation (4.7). In this case $f=-u^{3}$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{3} \cos ^{3} \phi \sin \phi d \phi=0  \tag{8.56}\\
& a \beta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{3} \cos ^{4} \phi d \phi=\frac{3}{8} a^{3} \tag{8.57}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with those obtained in Chapter 4. The integrations in (8.56) and (8.57) as well as in all following cases were performed as in Section A.3.

As a second application, we consider the linear damped oscillator (5.2). In this case, $f=-2 \dot{u}$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} 2 a \sin ^{2} \phi d \phi=-a  \tag{8.58}\\
& a \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} 2 a \sin \phi \cos \phi d \phi=0 \tag{8.59}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with those obtained in Chapter 5 to first-order.
As a third application, we consider the Rayleigh equation (6.4). In this case, $f=\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-a \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) \sin \phi d \phi=\frac{1}{2} a-\frac{1}{8} a^{3}  \tag{8.60}\\
& a \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-a \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} a^{3} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) \cos \phi d \phi=0 \tag{8.61}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with those obtained in Chapter 6.
As a fourth application, we consider (7.9). Since there is no small parameter that appears explicitly in (7.9), we introduce one by setting $u=\epsilon v$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{v}+v+\epsilon \alpha_{2} v^{2}+\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{3} v^{3}=0 \tag{8.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $f=-\alpha_{2} \nu^{2}$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi d \phi=0  \tag{8.63}\\
& a \beta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \alpha_{2} a^{2} \cos ^{3} \phi d \phi=0 \tag{8.64}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with those obtained in Chapter 7 . We note that the effect of nonlinearity on the amplitude and phase appears at second order.

In the remaining two applications, we consider nonanalytic functions $f$. In the first case, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=-\epsilon \dot{u}|\dot{u}| \tag{8.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $f=-\dot{u}|\dot{u}|$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi|\sin \phi| d \phi  \tag{8.66}\\
& a \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi|\sin \phi| d \phi \tag{8.67}
\end{align*}
$$

To perform the integrations in (8.66) and (8.67), we note that $\sin \phi \geqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant \phi \leqslant \pi$ and $\sin \phi \leqslant 0$ for $\pi \leqslant \phi \leqslant 2 \pi$. Hence, $|\sin \phi|=\sin \phi$ in the first interval and $|\sin \phi|=-\sin \phi$ in the second interval. Consequently, we break the integration interval in (8.66) and (8.67) to the intervals $[0, \pi]$ and $[\pi, 2 \pi]$, so that we replace $|\sin \phi|$ by $\sin \phi$ in the first interval and by $-\sin \phi$ in the second interval. Then, we rewrite (8.66) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime}= & -\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{3} \phi d \phi+\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{3} \phi d \phi=-\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}(3 \sin \phi-\sin 3 \phi) d \phi \\
& +\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{2 \pi}(3 \sin \phi-\sin 3 \phi) d \phi=\left.\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi}\left(3 \cos \phi-\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{\pi} \\
& -\left.\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi}\left(3 \cos \phi-\frac{1}{3} \cos 3 \phi\right)\right|_{\pi} ^{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=-\frac{4}{3 \pi} a^{2} \tag{8,68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we rewrite (8.67) as
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$$
\begin{aligned}
a \beta^{\prime}= & -\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2} \phi \cos \phi d \phi+\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{2} \phi \cos \phi d \phi=-\left.\frac{a^{2}}{6 \pi} \sin ^{3} \phi\right|_{0} ^{\pi} \\
& +\left.\frac{a^{2}}{6 \pi} \sin ^{3} \phi\right|_{\pi} ^{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \beta^{\prime}=0 \tag{8.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.69) leads to $\beta=\beta_{0}=$ constant, whereas (8.68) can be integrated by separation of variables. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d a}{a^{2}}=\frac{4}{3 \pi} d T_{1} \tag{8.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (8.70) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a}+c=\frac{4}{3 \pi} T_{1}=\frac{4}{3 \pi} \epsilon t \tag{8.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. If $a(0)=a_{0}$, then $c=-1 / a_{0}$. Hence (8.71) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{a}=\frac{1}{a_{0}}+\frac{4}{3 \pi} \epsilon t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{a_{0}}{1+\frac{4}{3 \pi} \epsilon t a_{0}} \tag{8.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u=-\epsilon u|u| \tag{8.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $f=-u|u|$ and (8.39) and (8.40) become

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{2} \cos \phi|\cos \phi| \sin \phi d \phi  \tag{8.74}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} a^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi|\cos \phi| d \phi \tag{8.75}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $\cos \phi \geqslant 0$ for $-\frac{1}{2} \pi \leqslant \phi \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi$ and $\cos \phi \leqslant 0$ for $\frac{1}{2} \pi \leqslant \phi \leqslant \frac{3}{2} \pi$. Since the integrands in (8.74) and (8.75) are periodic with the period $2 \pi$, the values of
the integrals are independent of the interval. Hence, we change the integration interval from $[0,2 \pi]$ to $\left[-\frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]$ and break it into the two intervals $\left[-\frac{1}{2} \pi\right.$, $\left.\frac{1}{2} \pi\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]$, so that we can replace $|\cos \phi|$ by $\cos \phi$ in the first interval and by $-\cos \phi$ in the second interval. Consequently, we rewrite (8.74) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime} & =\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{-(1 / 2) \pi}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi d \phi-\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{(1 / 2) \pi}^{(3 / 2) \pi} \cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi d \phi \\
& =-\left.\frac{a^{2}}{6 \pi} \cos ^{3} \phi\right|_{-(1 / 2) \pi} ^{(1 / 2) \pi}+\left.\frac{a^{2}}{6 \pi} \cos ^{3} \phi\right|_{(1 / 2) \pi} ^{(3 / 2) \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=0 \tag{8.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we rewrite (8.75) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \beta^{\prime} & =\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{-(1 / 2) \pi}^{(1 / 2) \pi} \cos ^{3} \phi d \phi-\frac{a^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{(1 / 2) \pi}^{(3 / 2) \pi} \cos ^{3} \phi d \phi \\
& =\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{-(1 / 2) \pi}^{(1 / 2) \pi}(3 \cos \phi+\cos 3 \phi) d \phi-\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{(1 / 2) \pi}^{(3 / 2) \pi}(3 \cos \phi+\cos 3 \phi) d \phi \\
& =\left.\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi}\left(3 \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi\right)\right|_{-(1 / 2) \pi} ^{(1 / 2) \pi}-\left.\frac{a^{2}}{8 \pi}\left(3 \sin \phi+\frac{1}{3} \sin 3 \phi\right)\right|_{(1 / 2) \pi} ^{(3 / 2) \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \beta^{\prime}=\frac{4}{3 \pi} a^{2} \tag{8.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (8.76) is $a=a_{0}=$ constant. If $a_{0} \neq 0$, the solution of (8.77) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{4}{3 \pi} a_{0} T_{1}+\beta_{0}=\frac{4}{3 \pi} t t a_{0}+\beta_{0} \tag{8.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is a constant. Hence, it follows from (8.41) that to the first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{0} \cos \left[\left(1+\frac{4}{3 \pi} \epsilon a_{0}\right) t+\beta_{0}\right]+\cdots \tag{8.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

These applications show that the method of multiple scales and method of averaging can be used effectively to determine first-order uniform expansions for weakly nonlinear oscillatory systems.

## Exercises

8.1. For small $\epsilon$, determine first-order unitorm expansions for each of the following problems:
(a) $\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon u|u|=0$
(b) $\ddot{u}+u+c\left(\operatorname{sgn} \dot{u}+2 \mu_{1} \dot{u}\right)=0$
(c) $\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon\left(\operatorname{sgn} \dot{u}+\mu_{2} \dot{u}|\dot{u}|\right)=0$

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 8-1. Exercise 8.2.
(d) $\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon\left(2 \mu_{1} \dot{u}+\mu_{2} \dot{u}|\dot{u}|\right)=0$
(e) $\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon\left(2 \mu_{1} \dot{u}+\operatorname{sgn} \dot{u}+\mu_{2} \dot{u}|\dot{u}|\right)=0$
8.2. Consider the free oscillations of a system governed by

$$
\ddot{u}+F(u)=0
$$

where $F(u)$ is defined in Figure $8-1$ for three different cases. Show that in the first approximation
(a) $\omega_{0}^{2}=\frac{2 k}{\pi}\left[\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{c}}{a}\right)+\frac{a_{c}}{a}\left(1-\frac{a_{c}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$
(b) $\omega_{0}^{2}=k-\frac{2 k}{\pi}\left[\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{c}}{a}\right)+\frac{a_{c}}{a}\left(1-\frac{a_{c}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$
(c) $\omega_{0}^{2}=k_{2}-\frac{2}{\pi}\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left[\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{c}}{a}\right)+\frac{a_{c}}{a}\left(1-\frac{a_{c}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$

## CHAPTER 9

## Forced Oscillations of the Duffing Equation

In contrast with the five preceding chapters, which deal with free oscillations, this chapter and the next deal with forced oscillations. To compare the forcedand free-oscillation cases, we consider one of the systems discussed in the preceding chapter. We choose the Duffing equation (4.5) and consider in this chapter its response to a sinusoidal external excitation. That is, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+k_{1} u^{*}+k_{3} u^{* 3}=F^{*} \cos \omega^{*} t^{*} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{*}$ and $\omega^{*}$ are constants. As in Chapter 4, we introduce dimensionless quantities using a characteristic time $T^{*}$ and a characteristic length $U^{*}$. Thus, we write

$$
t=\frac{t^{*}}{T^{*}} \quad u=\frac{u^{*}}{U^{*}}
$$

Then, (9.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+k_{1} T^{* 2} u+k_{3} T^{* 2} U^{* 2} u^{3}=\frac{F^{*} T^{* 2}}{U^{*}} \cos \omega^{*} T^{*} t \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to choose $T^{*}$ so that

$$
k_{1} T^{* 2}=1 \quad \text { thus } \quad T^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}}}=\frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{*}}
$$

where $\omega_{0}^{*}$ is the linear natural frequency of the system. Moreover, we let

$$
\epsilon=k_{3} T^{* 2} U^{* 2} \quad F=\frac{F^{*} T^{* 2}}{U^{*}} \quad \omega=\omega^{*} T^{*}=\frac{\omega^{*}}{\omega_{0}^{*}}
$$

Then, (9.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+\epsilon u^{3}=F \cos \omega t \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\omega$ is the ratio of the frequency of the excitation to the linear natural frequency of the system. Instead of treating (9.3), we treat the following slightly more general equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+2 \epsilon \mu \dot{u}+\epsilon u^{3}=F \cos \omega t \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive constant. Thus, (9.4) includes the effect of small viscous damping.
In this chapter, we determine first-order solutions to (9.4) beginning with the straightforward expansion in the next section. We investigate this straightforward expansion and determine the conditions for its breakdown. This leads to the socalled resonant values of $\omega$. In Sections 9.2 and 9.3 , we use the methods of multiple scales and averaging, respectively, to determine first-order expansions for all resonant cases, including the effect of slight viscous damping.

### 9.1. The Straightforward Expansion

We seek a straightforward expansion for the solution of (9.4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\cdots \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.5) into (9.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots+2 \epsilon \mu\left(\dot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{u}_{1}\right. & +\cdots) \\
& +\epsilon\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots\right)^{3}=F \cos \omega t
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}-F \cos \omega t+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+2 \mu \dot{u}_{0}+u_{0}^{3}\right)+\cdots=0 \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=F \cos \omega t  \tag{9.7}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-2 \mu \dot{u}_{0}-u_{0}^{3} \tag{9.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (9.7) is linear and inhomogeneous, its general solution can be obtained as the sum of a homogeneous solution and any particular solution. The homogeneous solution can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0_{h}}=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants, whereas a particular solution is (B69)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0_{p}}=\frac{F}{1-\omega^{2}} \cos \omega t \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{-1} F \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.11) into (9.8) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= & 2 \mu[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \omega \sin \omega t]-[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t]^{3} \\
= & 2 \mu a \sin (t+\beta)+4 \mu \Lambda \omega \sin \omega t-a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \\
& -6 a^{2} \Lambda \cos ^{2}(t+\beta) \cos \omega t-12 a \Lambda^{2} \cos (t+\beta) \cos ^{2} \omega t \\
& -8 \Lambda^{3} \cos ^{3} \omega t \tag{9.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we rewrite (9.13) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= \frac{2 \mu a \sin (t+\beta)+4 \mu \Lambda \omega \underline{\sin \omega t}-\frac{1}{4} a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta)-\left(\frac{3}{4} a^{3}\right.}{} \\
&\left.+6 a \Lambda^{2}\right) \cos (t+\beta)-2 \Lambda^{3} \cos 3 \omega t-\left(6 \Lambda^{3}+3 a^{2} \Lambda\right) \cos \omega t \\
&-\frac{3}{2} a^{2} \Lambda \cos [(2+\omega) t+2 \beta]-\frac{3}{2} a^{2} \Lambda \cos [(2-\omega) t+2 \beta] \\
&-3 a \Lambda^{2} \cos [(1+2 \omega) t+\beta]-3 a \Lambda^{2} \cos [(1-2 \omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.14}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we do not include the homogeneous solution at any order except the first. Since (9.14) is linear, a particular solution can be obtained as the sum of particular solutions, each one corresponding to a different inhomogeneous term. It follows from Section B. 4 that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & -\mu a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{4 \mu \Lambda \omega}{1-\omega^{2}} \sin \omega t+\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \\
& -\left(\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}\right) t \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{2 \Lambda^{3}}{1-9 \omega^{2}} \cos 3 \omega t \\
& -\frac{6 \Lambda^{3}+3 a^{2} \Lambda}{1-\omega^{2}} \cos \omega t+\frac{3 a^{2} \Lambda}{2\left(\omega^{2}+4 \omega+3\right)} \cos [(2+\omega) t+2 \beta] \\
& +\frac{3 a^{2} \Lambda}{2\left(\omega^{2}-4 \omega+3\right)} \cos [(2-\omega) t+2 \beta]+\frac{3 a \Lambda^{2}}{4\left(\omega^{2}+\omega\right)} \\
& \times \cos [(1+2 \omega) t+\beta]+\frac{3 a \Lambda^{2}}{4\left(\omega^{2}-\omega\right)} \cos [(1-2 \omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (9.11) and (9.15) into (9.5) and using (9.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & a \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{F}{1-\omega^{2}} \cos \omega t+\epsilon\left\{-\mu a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{2 \mu \omega F}{\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \sin \omega t\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{32} a^{3} \cos (3 t+3 \beta)-\frac{3}{4} a\left[\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{F^{2}}{\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] t \sin (t+\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{F^{3}}{4\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{3}\left(1-9 \omega^{2}\right)} \cos 3 \omega t-\frac{3 F}{2\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[a^{2}+\frac{F^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \cos \omega t \\
& +\frac{3 a^{2} F}{4\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)\left(3+4 \omega+\omega^{2}\right)} \cos [(2+\omega) t+2 \beta] \\
& +\frac{3 a^{2} F}{4\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)(3-\omega)(1-\omega)} \cos [(2-\omega) t+2 \beta] \\
& +\frac{3 a F^{2}}{16\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}+\omega\right)} \cos [(1+2 \omega) t+\beta] \\
& \left.+\frac{3 a F^{2}}{16\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\omega^{2}-\omega\right)} \cos [(1-2 \omega) t+\beta]\right\}+\cdots \tag{9.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition to the secular terms, we note that (9.16) contains terms whose denominators may be very small. Such terms are called small-divisor terms. If the frequencies are defined to be positive, small divisors occur when $\omega \approx 1$, $\omega \approx 0, \omega \approx \frac{1}{3}$, and $\omega \approx 3$. These special frequencies are called resonant frequencies. Thus, the straightforward expansion breaks down due to the presence of the small divisors as well as the secular terms.
When $\omega \approx 1$, small divisors first appear in the first term. Hence, when $\omega \approx 1$ we speak of a primary or main resonance. When $\omega \approx 0, \frac{1}{3}$, or 3 , small divisors first appear in the second term. Hence, we speak of the resonances in these cases as secondary resonances. Carrying out the expansion to higher order, one finds that other resonances may occur. We note that the resonances that occur depend on the order of the nonlinearity. They can be easily identified by carrying out a straightforward expansion as done above.

In the next two sections, we use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine first-order uniform expansions for (9.4) that do not contain secular or small-divisor terms.

### 9.2. The Method of Multiple Scales

To determine an approximate solution to (9.4) free of secular and smalldivisor terms, we need to distinguish between secondary and primary resonances. They are treated separately beginning with secondary resonances.

### 9.2.1. SECONDARY RESONANCES

In this case $\omega$ is away from 1 and small divisors first appear at $O(c)$. We introduce a slow scale $T_{1}=e t$ in addtion to the last scale $T_{0}$ " $t$ so that the derivatives are transformed according to

$$
\frac{d}{d t}=D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\cdots
$$

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\cdots
$$

where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$. Since $t$ appears explicitly in the governing equation, the questlon arises as to whether it should be represented in terms of $T_{0}$ or $T_{1}$. To answer this question, we check whether the dependence on $t$ is fast or slow. In this case, we check $\cos \omega t$. If $\omega$ is away from zero, $\cos \omega t$ is fast varying, and we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \omega t=\cos \omega T_{0} \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $t$ is represented in terms of $T_{0}$. On the other hand, if $\omega \approx 0, \cos \omega t$ is slowly varying. In this case, we write $\omega=\epsilon \sigma$, where $\sigma=O(1)$ to exhibit explicitly the smallness of $\omega$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \omega t=\cos \sigma \epsilon t=\cos \sigma T_{1} \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $t$ is represented in terms of $T_{1}$. Consequently, the case $\omega \approx 0$ appears to require an independent treatment.

If $\omega$ is away from zero, (9.4) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+2 \epsilon \mu D_{0} u+\cdots+u+\epsilon u^{3}=F \cos \omega T_{0} \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek an approximate solution to (9.19) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.20) into (9.19) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=F \cos \omega T_{0}  \tag{9.21}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 \mu D_{0} u_{0}-u_{0}^{3} \tag{9.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (9.21) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a\left(T_{1}\right) \cos \left[T_{0}+\beta\left(T_{1}\right)\right]+2 \Lambda \cos \omega T_{0} \tag{9.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\Lambda e^{i \omega T_{0}}+c c \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} \quad \Lambda=\frac{F}{2\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)} \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (9.22) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}+2 i\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}+\mu \bar{A}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}-2 i \mu \omega \Lambda e^{i \omega T_{0}} \\
& +2 i \mu \omega \Lambda e^{-i \omega r_{0}}-\left[A e^{i T_{0}}+\Lambda e^{i \omega T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}+\Lambda e^{-i \omega T_{0}}\right]^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -\left[2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda^{2}\right) A\right] e^{i T_{0}}-(2 i \mu \omega+6 A \bar{A} \\
& \left.+3 \Lambda^{2}\right) \Lambda e^{i \omega T_{0}}-A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}-\Lambda^{3} e^{3 i \omega T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \Lambda e^{i(2+\omega) T_{0}} \\
& -3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda e^{i(\omega-2) T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{i(1+2 \omega) T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{i(1-2 \omega) T_{0}} \\
& +c c \tag{9.26}
\end{align*}
$$

As mentioned in the preceding section, the particular solution of (9.26) contains secular terms and small-divisor terms when $\omega \approx 3, \frac{1}{3}$, and 0 . These cases need to be considered separately.

The Case $\omega \approx 3$. To express the nearness of $\omega$ to 3 , we introduce a detuning parameter $\sigma=O(1)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=3+\epsilon \sigma \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.27) into (9.26) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -\left[2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+6 A \Lambda^{2}\right] e^{i T_{0}-A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}-(2 i \mu \omega+6 A \bar{A}} \\
& \left.+3 \Lambda^{2}\right) \Lambda e^{3 i T_{0}+i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}-\Lambda^{3} e^{9 i T_{0}+3 i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \Lambda e^{5 i T_{0}+i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}}} \\
& -3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda e^{i T_{0}+i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{7 i T_{0}+2 i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}} \\
& -3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{-5 i T_{0}-2 i \sigma \epsilon T_{0}}+c c \tag{9.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Although $T_{0}$ is a fast scale, the combination $\epsilon T_{0}$ is slow, and it should be expressed in terms of the slow scale. That is, $T_{1}=\epsilon T_{0}$. Then, (9.28) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -\left[2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+6 A \Lambda^{2}\right] e^{i T_{0}}-\left[A^{3}+(2 i \mu \omega+6 A \bar{A}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3 \Lambda^{2}\right) \Lambda e^{i \sigma T_{1}}\right] e^{3 i T_{0}}-\Lambda^{3} e^{3 i \sigma T_{1}} e^{9 i T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \Lambda e^{i \sigma T_{1}} e^{5 i T_{0}} \\
& -3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda e^{i \sigma T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{2 i \sigma T_{1}} e^{7 i T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{-2 i \sigma T_{1}} e^{-5 i T_{0}}+c c \tag{9.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that this introduction of the detuning parameter as in (9.27) resulted in the conversion of the term

$$
-3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda \exp \left[i(\omega-2) T_{0}\right]
$$

which leads to a small-divisor term in the straightforward expansion to

$$
-3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda \exp \left(i \sigma T_{1}\right) \exp \left(i T_{0}\right)
$$

which leads to a secular term on the scale $T_{0}$. This is the approach we always use to deal with terms that lead to small-divisor terms. That is, we use detuning parameters to transform them into terms that lead to secular terms.
Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$, we obtain from (9.29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+6 A \Lambda^{2}+3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda e^{i a T_{1}}=0 \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should note that one does not need to write all the terms in (9.28) and (9.29). One needs only to write the terms that produce secular terms and the terms that produce small divisors. As before, we introduce the polar notation (9.25) at this stage. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}-a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+i \mu a e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}+3 a \Lambda^{2} e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda e^{i\left(o T_{1}-2 \beta\right)}=0 \tag{9.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before separating (9.31) into real and imaginary parts, we multiply it by $\exp (-i \beta)$ so that $a^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ will not have an exponential multiplicative factor. This simplifies the resulting equations. Thus, multiplying (9.31) by $\exp (-i \beta)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda e^{i\left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)}=0 \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, there is only one term that contains an exponential factor. Since

$$
e^{i \theta}=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta
$$

we write (9.32) as

$$
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda\left[\cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)+i \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left[a^{\prime}+\mu a+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)\right]-a \beta^{\prime}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)=0 \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a complex number vanishes if and only if its real and imaginary parts vanish independently, (9.33) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =-\mu a-\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right)  \tag{9.34}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =3 a \Lambda^{2}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta\right) \tag{9.35}
\end{align*}
$$

These are the desired equations that describe the modulation of the amplitude and the phase of the free-oscillation term.

Substituting (9.23) into (9.20) and recalling that $T_{0}=t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are given by (9.34) and (9.35). Since $T_{1}$ appears explicitly in (9.34) and (9.35), they are called a nonautonomous system. It is convenient to eliminate the explicit dependence on $T_{1}$, thereby transforming these equations into an autonomous system. This can be accomplished by introducing the new dependent variable $\gamma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sigma T_{1}-3 \beta \tag{9.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}=\sigma-3 \beta^{\prime} \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.37) into (9.34) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=-\mu a-\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin \gamma \tag{9.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.35) into (9.38) and using (9.37) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \gamma^{\prime}=\sigma a-9 a \Lambda^{2}-\frac{9}{8} a^{3}-\frac{9}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos \gamma \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (9.37) that

$$
\beta=\frac{1}{3} \sigma T_{1}-\frac{1}{3} \gamma=\frac{1}{3} \epsilon \sigma t-\frac{1}{3} \gamma
$$

Hence, (9.36) can be rewritten as

$$
u=a \cos \left(t+\frac{1}{3} \epsilon \sigma t-\frac{1}{3} \gamma\right)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t+O(\epsilon)
$$

which in turn can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \omega t-\frac{1}{3} \gamma\right)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of ( 9.27 ). Thus to the first approximation, $u$ is given by ( 9.41 ) where $a$ and $\gamma$ are given by the autonomous set of equations (9.39) and (9.40).
Figure $9-1$ shows the variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $T_{1}$ as calculated by numerically integrating equations (9.39) and (9.40). Initially, $a$ and $\gamma$ oscillate with $T_{1}$, but as $T_{1}$ increases $a$ and $\gamma$ tend to constant values. There are two possibilities: either the steady-state value of $a$ is zero or nonzero. These constant values are usually referred to as stationary or steady-state values. When the steady-state value of $a$ is nonzero, the free-oscillation term is periodic. We note that in this case the frequency of the free-oscillation term is exactly $\frac{1}{3} \omega$, that is one third of the frequency of the external excitation. Consequently, we speak of such


Figure 9-1. Variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $t$ as numerically calculated from (9.39) and (9.40) for $\epsilon=0.1, \Lambda=\sqrt{.08}, \mu=0.1, \sigma=1.0, a(0)=1.0$, and $\gamma(0)=1.0$.
resonances as subharmonic resonances of one third. In this case, $u$ is periodic, that is, the steady-state response is periodic.
To determine the steady-state response, we need not integrate numerically equations (9.39) and (9.40) describing $a$ and $\gamma$. Instead, we use the fact that $a$ and $\gamma$ are constants in the steady state, and hence set $a^{\prime}=0$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=0$ in (9.39) and (9.40). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
-3 \mu a & =\frac{9}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin \gamma  \tag{9.42}\\
\sigma a-9 a \Lambda^{2}-\frac{9}{8} a^{3} & =\frac{9}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring (9.42), adding the results, and noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{2} \gamma=1 \tag{9.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
9 \mu^{2} a^{2}+\left(a-9 \Lambda^{2}-\frac{9}{8} a^{2}\right)^{2} a^{2}=\frac{81}{16} a^{4} \Lambda^{2} \tag{9.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (9.44) that either $a=0$ or

$$
9 \mu^{2}+\left(\sigma-9 \Lambda^{2}-\frac{9}{8} a^{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{81}{16} a^{2} \Lambda^{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{4}-\frac{16}{9}\left(\sigma-\frac{27}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right) a^{2}+\frac{64}{81}\left[9 \mu^{2}+\left(\sigma-9 \Lambda^{2}\right)^{2}\right]=0 \tag{9.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (9.45) is quadratic in $a^{2}$, its solutions are

$$
a^{2}=\frac{8}{9}\left(\sigma-\frac{27}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right) \pm \frac{8}{9}\left[\left(\sigma-\frac{27}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right)^{2}-9 \mu^{2}-\left(\sigma-9 \Lambda^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{8}{9}\left(\sigma-\frac{27}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right) \pm \frac{8}{3}\left[\frac{1}{4} \Lambda^{2}\left(2 \sigma-\frac{63}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right)-\mu^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{9.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (9.46) is usually referred to as the frequency-response equation.
For nontrivial solutions, it follows from (9.46) that both the radical and the first term must be positive, that is,

$$
\frac{1}{4} \Lambda^{2}\left(2 \sigma-\frac{63}{4} \Lambda^{2}\right) \geqslant \mu^{2} \quad \sigma>\frac{27}{4} \Lambda^{2}
$$

Thus, for a given $\sigma$, nontrivial solutions can exist only if

$$
\frac{\sigma}{\mu}-\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}-63\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \frac{63 \Lambda^{2}}{4 \mu} \leqslant \frac{\sigma}{\mu}+\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}-63\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

These conditions are represented graphically in Figure 9-2. Figure 9-3a shows several frequency-response curves, whereas Figure $9-3 \mathrm{~b}$ shows the variation of the amplitude of the free-oscillation term with the amplitude of the excitation.
We note that although the frequency of the excitation is three times the natural frequency of the system, the response is quite large. For example, certain parts of an airplane can be violently excited by an engine running at an angular speed


Figure 9-2. Regions where steady-state subharmonic responses ex


Figure 9.3. Steady-state subharmonic response for the Duffing equation: amplitude of the freeoscillation term versus (a) detuning and (b) amplitude of the excitation.


Figure 9-3. (cont.)
that is much larger than their natural frequencies. At one time, the propellers in a commercial airplane induced a subharmonic vibration of order $\frac{1}{2}$ in the wings, which in turn induced a subharmonic of order $\frac{1}{4}$ in the rudder. The oscillations were so violent that the airplane broke up.

The Case of $\omega \approx \frac{1}{3}$. To express the nearness of $\omega$ to $\frac{1}{3}$, we introduce a detuning parameter $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \omega=1+\epsilon \sigma \tag{9.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed earlier, we do not need to replace $\omega$ in all the terms in (9.26). We need only to replace the terms that produce small-divisor terms. These are the terms $-\Lambda^{3} \exp \left( \pm 3 i \omega T_{0}\right)$. To this end, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \omega T_{0}=(1+\epsilon \sigma) T_{0}=T_{0}+\sigma \epsilon T_{0}=T_{0}+\sigma T_{1} \tag{9.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we rewrite (9.26) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-\left[2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+6 \Lambda^{2} A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}\right] e^{i T_{0}}-\Lambda^{3} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}+c c+\text { NST } \tag{9.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where NST stands for the rest of the terms, which do not produce secular terms. Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+6 \Lambda^{2} A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+\Lambda^{3} e^{i o T_{1}}=0 \tag{9.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, we introduce the polar transformation (9.25) and rewrite (9.50) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}-a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+i \mu a e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}+3 \Lambda^{2} a e^{i \beta}+\Lambda^{3} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=0 \tag{9.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (9.51) by $\exp (-i \beta)$ so that only one term contains an exponential term, we obtain

$$
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 \Lambda^{2} a+\Lambda^{3} e^{i\left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 \Lambda^{2} a+\Lambda^{3} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)+i \Lambda^{3} \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)=0 \tag{9.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (9.52) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =-\mu a-\Lambda^{3} \sin \left(o T_{1}-\beta\right)  \tag{9.53}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =3 \Lambda^{2} a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+\Lambda^{3} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right) \tag{9.54}
\end{align*}
$$

As discussed earlier, we transform (9.53) and (9.54) into an autonomous system by introducing the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sigma T_{1}-\beta \tag{9.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}=\sigma-\beta^{\prime} \tag{9.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.55) into (9.53) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=-\mu a-\Lambda^{3} \sin \gamma \tag{9.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.54) into (9.56) and using (9.55) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \gamma^{\prime}=\sigma a-3 \Lambda^{2} a-\frac{3}{8} a^{3}-\Lambda^{3} \cos \gamma \tag{9.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\beta$ from (9.23) and (9.55) gives

$$
u_{0}=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\sigma T_{1}-\gamma\right)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega T_{0}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\epsilon \sigma t-\gamma)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t \tag{9.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.59) into (9.20) and using (9.47), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (3 \omega t-\gamma)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to the first approximation $u$ is given by (9.60) where $a$ and $\gamma$ are given by (9.57) and (9.58).

Figure $9-4$ shows the variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $T_{1}$ as calculated by numerically integrating equations (9.57) and (9.58). Initially, $a$ and $\gamma$ oscillate with $T_{1}$, but


Figure 9-4. Variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $T_{1}$ as numerically calculated from (9.57) and (9.58) for $\epsilon=0.05, \sigma=0.1, \Lambda=1.0, \mu=0.1, a(0)=1.1$, and $\gamma(0)=2.5$.
as $T_{1}$ increases, $a_{1}$ and $\gamma$ tend to constant values. As before, these constant values are usually referred to as stationary or steady-state values. Equation (9.60) shows that the stationary response is periodic. As before, to determine the steady-state response, we need not integrate numerically equations (9.57) and (9.58) describing $a$ and $\gamma$. Instead, we use the fact that $a$ and $\gamma$ are constants in the steady state, set $a^{\prime}=0$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=0$ in (9.57) and (9.58), and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-\mu a & =\Lambda^{3} \sin \gamma  \tag{9.61}\\
\sigma a-3 \Lambda^{2} a-\frac{3}{8} a^{3} & =\Lambda^{3} \cos \gamma \tag{9.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring (9.61) and (9.62), adding the results, and using (9.43), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2} a^{2}+\left(\sigma-3 \Lambda^{2}-\frac{3}{8} a^{2}\right)^{2} a^{2}=\Lambda^{6} \tag{9.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a cubic equation in $a^{2}$. This is usually referred to as the frequencyresponse equation. After $a$ is known, $\gamma$ can be obtained from either (9.61) or (9.62). With $a$ and $\gamma$ being constants, the frequency of the free-oscillation term is $3 \omega$, which is exactly three times the frequency of the external excitation. Thus, we speak of such resonances as superharmonic resonances of order three.
Figure 9.5 shows a representative response curve. The bending of the response curve is due to the nonlinearity and it is responsible for a jump phenomenon. To explain this, we imagine that an experiment is performed in which the frequency of the excitation is kept fixed (i.e., $\sigma$ is constant) whereas its amplitude (i.e., $F$ or $\Lambda$ ) is slowly varied. If an experiment is started at a small value of $\Lambda$ corresponding to point $A$, then as $\Lambda$ is increased slowly, $a$ slowly increases until point $B$ is reached. As $\Lambda$ is increased further, a jump from point $B$ to point $C$ takes place with an accompanying increase in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with increasing $\Lambda$ until point $E$ is reached. Further increases in $\Lambda$ produce a


Figure 9-5. Jump phenomenon in the superharmonic response of the Duffing equation.
slow increase in $a$. If the experiment is started at point $E$ and $\Lambda$ is decreased, $a$ increases slowly through point $C$ until point $D$ is reached. As $\Lambda$ is decreased further, a jump from point $D$ to point $A$ takes place with an accompanying decrease in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with decreasing $\Lambda$. We note that the broken line cannot be reached by either increasing or decreasing $\Lambda$. Hence, this line corresponds to unstable motions.

The Case $\omega \approx 0$. As pointed out earlier, $\cos \omega t$ needs to be expressed in terms of $T_{1}$ as in (9.18). Then, (9.19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+2 \epsilon \mu D_{0} u+\cdots+u+\epsilon u^{3}=F \cos \sigma T_{1} \tag{9.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.20) into (9.64) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=F \cos \sigma T_{1}  \tag{9.65}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 \mu D_{0} u_{0}-u_{0}^{3} \tag{9.66}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (9.65) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}+F \cos \sigma T_{1} \tag{9.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (9.66) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}+2 i\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}+\mu \bar{A}\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \\
& -\left[A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}+F \cos \sigma T_{1}\right]^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}-A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \bar{A} e^{i T_{0}}-3 F^{2} \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1} A e^{i T_{0}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 F A^{2} \cos \sigma T_{1} e^{2 i T_{0}}-3 F A \bar{A} \cos \sigma T_{1}-\frac{1}{2} F^{3} \cos ^{3} \sigma T_{1}+c c \tag{9.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+3 i^{\Gamma^{2}} A \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1}=0 \tag{9.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $A$ in the polar form (9.25) gives

$$
i a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}-a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+i \mu a e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{2} F^{2} a e^{i \beta} \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+\frac{3}{2} F^{2} a \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1}=0 \tag{9.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (9.70) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =-\mu a  \tag{9.71}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+\frac{3}{2} F^{2} a \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1} \tag{9.72}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (9.71) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{0} e^{-\mu T_{1}} \tag{9.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ is a constant. Then, it follows from (9.72) that

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{2} e^{-2 \mu T_{1}}+\frac{3}{2} F^{2} \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}=\frac{3}{8} a_{0}^{2} e^{-2 \mu T_{1}}+\frac{3}{4} F^{2}+\frac{3}{4} F^{2} \cos 2 \sigma T_{1} \tag{9.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which on integration yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=-\frac{3}{16 \mu} a_{0}^{2} e^{-2 \mu T_{1}}+\frac{3}{4} F^{2} T_{1}+\frac{3 F^{2}}{8 \sigma} \sin 2 \sigma T_{1}+\beta_{0} \tag{9.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is a constant. Substituting (9.67) into (9.20) and using the polar representation (9.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+F \cos \sigma T_{1}+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.75) into (9.76), using the fact that $T_{0}=t, T_{1}=\epsilon t$, and $\omega=\epsilon \sigma$, we rewrite (9.76) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a_{0} e^{-\epsilon \mu t} \cos \left[\left(1+\frac{3}{4} \epsilon F^{2}\right) t-\frac{3}{16 \mu} a_{0}^{2} e^{-2 \epsilon \mu t}+\frac{3 \epsilon F^{2}}{8 \omega} \sin 2 \omega t+\beta_{0}\right] \\
& +F \cos \omega t+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.77}
\end{align*}
$$

We should note that the above solution can be obtained as a special case of the general case $\omega$ away from 1. To this end, we replace $\omega$ in the last terms in (9.26) by $\epsilon \sigma$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -\left[2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+6 \Lambda^{2} A\right] e^{i T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda^{2} e^{i T_{0}} \\
& \times\left[e^{2 i T_{1} \sigma}+e^{-2 i T_{1} \sigma}\right]+c c+\mathrm{NST} \tag{9.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from (9.78) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+6 \Lambda^{2} A+6 A \Lambda^{2} \cos 2 \sigma T_{1}=0 \tag{9.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega=\epsilon \sigma$, it follows from (9.25) that $\Lambda \approx \frac{1}{2} F$. Then, (9.79) becomes

$$
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+\frac{3}{2} F^{2} A\left(1+\cos 2 \sigma T_{1}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}+3 F^{2} A \cos ^{2} \sigma T_{1}=0 \tag{9.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (9.69).

### 9.2.2. PRIMARY RESONANCE

In this case $\omega \approx 1$, and the small-divisor terms appear initially in the first term of the straightforward expansion (9.16). Thus, $u_{0}$ becomes very large as $\omega \rightarrow 1$. But then the nonlinear and damping terms become important, and the ordering of the terms in (9.6) is rendered invalid. Moreover, the homogeneous and particular solutions merge so that they are indistinguishable from one another. At this point, one has a choice. First, one can reorder the nonlinear and damping terms so that they will appear at $O\left(\epsilon^{0}\right)$, thereby balancing the effect of the primary-resonance excitation. However, this choice leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}+2 \hat{\mu} D_{0} u_{0}+u_{0}^{3}-F \cos \omega T_{0}=0 \tag{9.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the original equation. Second, one can reorder the excitation so that it appears at $O(\epsilon)$ where the nonlinear and damping terms first appear. This choice leads to a linear problem at $O\left(\epsilon^{0}\right)$. This is the approach used in this book, which is valid for weakly nonlinear systems. To this end, we let $F=\epsilon f$ and rewrite (9.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+2 \epsilon \mu \dot{u}+\epsilon u^{3}=\epsilon f \cos \omega t \tag{9.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\omega \rightarrow 1$, (9.16) shows that the first two terms have approximately the same frequency. It turns out that the free-oscillation term $a \cos (t+\beta)$ merges with the forced response $F\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)^{-1} \cos \omega t$. This also justifies the reordering in (9.82).

To determine an approximate solution to (9.82), we introduce the scales $T_{0}=t$ and $T_{1}=\epsilon t$ and express $\cos \omega t$ as $\cos \omega T_{0}$. Then as before, (9.82) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+2 \epsilon \mu D_{0} u+u+\cdots+\epsilon u^{3}=\epsilon f \cos \omega T_{0} \tag{9.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek the solution of $(9.83)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{9.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.84) into (9.82) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=0  \tag{9.85}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 \mu D_{0} u_{0}-u_{0}^{3}+f \cos \omega T_{0} \tag{9.86}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (9.85) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}} \tag{9.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (9.86) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}+2 i\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}+\mu \bar{A}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}-\left(A e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i T_{0}}\right)^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} f e^{i \omega T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} f e^{-i \omega T_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-\left(2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}\right) e^{i T_{0}}-A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} f e^{i \omega T_{0}}+c c \tag{9.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are considering the case $\omega \approx 1$, we introduce a detuning parameter $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1+\epsilon \sigma \tag{9.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega T_{0}=(1+\epsilon \sigma) T_{0}=T_{0}+\sigma \epsilon T_{0}=T_{0}+\sigma T_{1} \tag{9.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.90) into (9.88) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-\left(2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}\right) e^{i T_{0}}-A^{3} e^{3 i T_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} f e^{i o T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}+c c \tag{9.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from (9.91) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i A^{\prime}+2 i \mu A+3 A^{2} \bar{A}-\frac{1}{2} f e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=0 \tag{9.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $A$ in the polar form (9.25), we rewrite (9.92) as

$$
i a^{\prime} e^{i \beta}-a \beta^{\prime} e^{i \beta}+i \mu a e^{i \beta}+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} e^{i \beta}-\frac{1}{2} f e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i a^{\prime}-a \beta^{\prime}+i \mu a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}-\frac{1}{2} f \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)-\frac{1}{2} i f \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)=0 \tag{9.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (9.93) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime} & =-\mu a+\frac{1}{2} f \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right)  \tag{9.94}\\
a \beta^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{8} a^{3}-\frac{1}{2} f \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}-\beta\right) \tag{9.95}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we transform (9.94) and (9.95) into an autonomous system by introducing the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sigma T_{1}-\beta \tag{9.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}=\sigma-\beta^{\prime} \tag{9.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.96) into (9.94) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=-\mu a+\frac{1}{2} f \sin \gamma \tag{9.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.95) into (9.97) and using (9.96), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \gamma^{\prime}=\sigma a-\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+\frac{1}{2} f \cos \gamma \tag{9.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the polar form (9.25) into (9.87) yields

$$
u_{0}=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)
$$

Then, it follows from (9.84) that

$$
u=a \cos \left(T_{0}+\beta\right)+O(\epsilon)
$$

Since $\beta=\sigma T_{1}-\gamma$ from (9.96) and $T_{0}=t$ and $T_{1}=\epsilon t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (\omega t-\gamma)+O(\epsilon) \tag{9.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (9.89).
Figure $9-6$ shows the variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $T_{1}$ as calculated by numerically integrating equations (9.98) and (9.99). Initially, $a$ and $\gamma$ oscillate, but as $T_{1}$ increases $a$ and $\gamma$ tend to constant values which are called stationary steady-state values. Then, it follows from (9.100) that the steady-state motion is periodic with the frequency $\omega$. To determine the steady-state motion, we need not integrate numerically (9.98) and (9.99) for long times. Instead, we use the fact that $a$ and $\gamma$ are constants, set $a^{\prime}=0$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=0$, and find from (9.98) and (9.99) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu a & =\frac{1}{2} f \sin \gamma  \tag{9.101}\\
-\sigma a+\frac{3}{8} a^{3} & =\frac{1}{2} f \cos \gamma \tag{9.102}
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring (9.101) and (9.102), adding the results, and using (9.43), we obtain


Figure 9-6. Variation of $a$ and $\gamma$ with $T_{1}$ as numerically calculated from (9.98) and (9.99) for $\epsilon=0.5, \sigma=0.05, f=0.5, \mu=0.1, a(0)=1.1$, and $\gamma(0)=0.5$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2} a^{2}+\left(\sigma-\frac{3}{8} a^{2}\right)^{2} a^{2}=\frac{1}{4} f^{2} \tag{9.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a cubic equation in $a^{2}$. It is usually called the frequency-response equation.
Figure 9-7 shows a representative curve, called a frequency-response curve, for the variation of $a$ with $\sigma$. The bending of the frequency-response curve is responsible for a jump phenomenon. To explain this, we imagine that an experiment is performed in which the amplitude of the excitation is held fixed, the frequency of the excitation (i.e., $\sigma$ ) is very slowly varied up and down through the linear natural frequency, and the amplitude of the harmonic response is observed. The experiment is started at a frequency corresponding to point 1 on the curve in Figure 9-7. As the frequency is reduced, $\sigma$ decreases and $a$ slowly increases through point 2 until point 3 is reached. As $\sigma$ is decreased further, a jump from point 3 to point 4 takes place with an accompanying increase in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with decreasing $\sigma$. If the experiment is started at point 5 and $\sigma$ is increased, $a$ increases slowly through point 4 until point 6 is reached. As $\sigma$ is increased further, a jump from point 6 to point 2 takes place with an accompanying decrease in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with increasing $\sigma$. The maximum amplitude corresponding to point 6 is attainable only when approached from a lower frequency. The portion of the response curve between points 3 and 6 is unstable, and hence, cannot be produced experimentally.
If the experiment is performed with the frequency of the excitation $\omega$ held fixed while the amplitude of the excitation is varied slowly, a similar jump phenomenon can be observed. Suppose that the experiment is started at point 1 in Figure 9-8. As $f$ is increased, $a$ slowly increases through point 2 to point 3. As $f$ is increased further, a jump takes place from point 3 to point 4 , with an accompanying increase in $a$, after which $a$ increases slowly with $f$. If the process is reversed, $a$ decreases slowly as $f$ decreases from point 5 to point 6 . As $f$ is decreased further, a jump from point 6 to point 2 takes place, with an accompanying decrease in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with decreasing $f$.


Figure 9-7. Jump phenomena for primary resonance of the Duffing equation.


Figure 9-8. Jump phenomena for primary resonance of the Duffing equation.

### 9.3. The Method of Averaging

To apply the method of averaging to this problem, we need to treat secondary and primary resonances independently. We begin with secondary resonances.

### 9.3.1. SECONDARY RESONANCES

The first step in applying the method of averaging is the use of the method of variation of parameters to change the dependent variable $u$ to the two dependent variables-the amplitude and phase of the free-oscillation term. To accomplish this, we note that when $\epsilon=0$ the general solution of (9.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t \tag{9.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants and $\Lambda$ is defined in (9.12). Differentiating (9.104) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)-2 \Lambda \omega \sin \omega t \tag{9.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we still represent the solution in the form (9.104) subject to the constraint (9.105) but with time-varying rather than constant $a$ and $\beta$. Differentiating (9.104) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)-2 \Lambda \omega \sin \omega t \tag{9.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (9.105) with (9.106), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{9.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (9.105) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-a \cos (t+\beta) \cdots \dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)-2 \Lambda \omega^{2} \cos \omega t \tag{9.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.104), (9.105), and (9.108) into (9.4) yields
$-a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)-2 \Lambda \omega^{2} \cos \omega t$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \epsilon \mu a \sin (t+\beta)-4 \epsilon \mu \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t+a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t \\
& +\epsilon[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t]^{3}=F \cos \omega t \tag{9.109}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2 \Lambda=\left(1 \quad \omega^{2}\right)^{-1} F$ according to (9.12), (9.109) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)= & -2 \epsilon \mu[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t] \\
& +\epsilon[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t]^{3} \tag{9.110}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (9.107) by $\cos (t+\beta)$ and (9.110) by $\sin (t+\beta)$ and adding the results, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & -2 \epsilon \mu \sin (t+\beta)[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t]+\epsilon \sin (t+\beta) \\
& \times[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t]^{3} \tag{9.111}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (9.111) into (9.107) and solving for $a \dot{\beta}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a \dot{\beta}= & -2 \epsilon \mu \cos (t+\beta)[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t]+\epsilon \cos (t+\beta) \\
& \times[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda \cos \omega t]^{3} \tag{9.112}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the problem is transformed into solving (9.111) and (9.112) in place of (9.4).

Expanding the cubic term, we rewrite (9.111) and (9.112) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & -2 \epsilon \mu \sin (t+\beta)[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t]+\epsilon \sin (t+\beta) \\
& \times\left[a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta)+6 a^{2} \Lambda \cos ^{2}(t+\beta) \cos \omega t+12 a \Lambda^{2} \cos (t+\beta)\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos ^{2} \omega t+8 \Lambda^{3} \cos ^{3} \omega t\right]  \tag{9.113}\\
a \dot{\beta}= & -2 \epsilon \mu \cos (t+\beta)[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \omega \Lambda \sin \omega t]+\epsilon \cos (t+\beta) \\
& \times\left[a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta)+6 a^{2} \Lambda \cos ^{2}(t+\beta) \cos \omega t+12 a \Lambda^{2} \cos (t+\beta)\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos ^{2} \omega t+8 \Lambda^{3} \cos ^{3} \omega t\right] \tag{9.114}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we rewrite (9.113) and (9.114) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & \epsilon\{-\mu a+\mu a \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-2 \omega \mu \Lambda \cos [(1-\omega) t+\beta]+2 \omega \mu \Lambda \\
& \times \cos [(1+\omega) t+\beta]+\left(\frac{1}{4} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}\right) \sin (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{8} a^{3} \sin (4 t+4 \beta) \\
& +\left(\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda+3 \Lambda^{3}\right) \sin [(1+\omega) t+\beta]+\left(\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda+3 \Lambda^{3}\right) \sin [(1-\omega) t+\beta] \\
& +\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin [(3+\omega) t+3 \beta]+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \sin [(3-\omega) t+3 \beta] \\
& +\frac{3}{2} a \Lambda^{2} \sin [(2+2 \omega) t+2 \beta]+\frac{3}{2} a \Lambda^{2} \sin [(2-2 \omega) t+2 \beta] \\
& \left.+\Lambda^{3} \sin [(1+3 \omega) t+\beta]+\Lambda^{3} \sin [(1-3 \omega) t+\beta]\right\}  \tag{9.115}\\
a \dot{\beta}= & \epsilon\{-\mu a \sin (2 t+2 \beta)-2 \omega \mu \Lambda \sin [(\omega+1) t+\beta]-2 \omega \mu \Lambda \\
& \times \sin [(\omega-1) t-\beta]+\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}\right) \cos (2 t+2 \beta)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{8} a^{3} \cos (4 t+4 \beta)+\left(\frac{9}{4} a^{2} \Lambda+3 \Lambda^{3}\right) \cos [(1+\omega) t+\beta] \\
& +\left(\frac{9}{4} a^{2} \Lambda+3 \Lambda^{3}\right) \cos [(1-\omega) t+\beta]+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos [(3+\omega) t+3 \beta) \\
& +\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \Lambda \cos [(3-\omega) t+3 \beta]+\frac{3}{2} a \Lambda^{2} \cos [(2+2 \omega) t+2 \beta] \\
& +\frac{3}{2} a \Lambda^{2} \cos [(2-2 \omega) t+2 \beta]+3 a \Lambda^{2} \cos 2 \omega t \\
& \left.+\Lambda^{3} \cos [(1+3 \omega) t+\beta]+\Lambda^{3} \cos [(1-3 \omega) t+\beta]\right\} \tag{9.116}
\end{align*}
$$

To the first approximation, we need to keep the slowly varying parts in (9.115) and (9.116). The terms $-\epsilon \mu a$ and $\epsilon\left(\frac{3}{8} a^{3}+3 a \Lambda^{2}\right)$ do not depend on the value of $\omega$, whereas others depend on the value of $\omega$. A term is a slow varying term whenever the coefficient of $t$ (i.e., the frequency) is small. Inspecting (9.115) and (9.116), we conclude that slowly varying terms occur when $\omega \approx 0,1,3$, and $\frac{1}{3}$. The case $\omega \approx 1$ should be excluded because $u_{0}$ has a small divisor. This case is treated in Section 9.3.2. Next, the other cases are treated separately.

The Case $\omega$ away from 0,3 , and $\frac{1}{3}$. In this case, the only slowly varying terms are the terms that are independent of $t$. Thus, it follows from (9.115) and (9.116) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a  \tag{9.117}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}+3 \epsilon a \Lambda^{2} \tag{9.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=a_{0} e^{-\epsilon \mu t}  \tag{9.119}\\
& \beta=-\frac{3}{16 \mu} c_{0}^{2} e^{-2 \epsilon \mu t}+3 \epsilon \Lambda^{2} t+\beta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are constants.
The Case $\omega \approx 3$. In this case, $\sin [(3-\omega) t+3 \beta]$ in (9.115) and $\cos [(3-$ $\omega) t+3 \beta]$ in (9.116) are slowly varying terms. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a+\frac{3}{4} \epsilon a^{2} \Lambda \sin [(3-\omega) t+3 \beta]  \tag{9.120}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}+3 \epsilon a \Lambda^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \epsilon a^{2} \Lambda \cos [(3-\omega) t+3 \beta] \tag{9.121}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (9.34) and (9.35) obtained by using the method of multiple scales since $3-\omega=-\epsilon \sigma$.

The Case $\omega \approx \frac{1}{3}$. In this case, $\sin [(1-3 \omega) t+\beta]$ in $(9.115)$ and $\cos [(1-$ $3 \omega) t+\beta$ ] in (9.116) are slowly varying terms. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a+\epsilon \Lambda^{3} \sin [(1-3 \omega) t+\beta]  \tag{9.122}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}+3 \epsilon a \Lambda^{2}+\epsilon \Lambda^{3} \cos [(1-3 \omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.123}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (9.53) and (9.54) obtained by using the method of multiple scales since $3 \omega-1=\epsilon \sigma$.

The Case $\omega \approx 0$. In this case, there are no slowly varying terms in (9.115), while $\cos 2 \omega t$ in (9.116) is a slowly varying term. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a  \tag{9.124}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}+3 \epsilon a \Lambda^{2}+3 \epsilon a \Lambda^{2} \cos 2 \omega t \tag{9.125}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (9.71) and (9.72) obtained by using the method of multiple scales since $\omega=\epsilon \sigma$ and $\Lambda \approx \frac{1}{2} F$.

### 9.3.2. PRIMARY RESONANCE

When $\epsilon=0$, the general solution of (9.82) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta) \tag{9.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta) \tag{9.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we still represent the solution by (9.126) subject to the constraint (9.127) but with time varying rather than constant $a$ and $\beta$. Differentiating (9.126) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \tag{9.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (9.127) and (9.128) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{9.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (9.127) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta) \tag{9.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (9.126), (9.127), and (9.130) into (9.82), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)= & -2 \epsilon \mu a \sin (t+\beta)+\epsilon a^{3} \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \\
& -\epsilon f \cos \omega t \tag{9.131}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (9.129) and (9.131) for $\dot{\alpha}$ and $a \dot{\beta}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha}= & -2 \epsilon \mu a \sin ^{2}(t+\beta)+\epsilon a^{3} \sin (t+\beta) \cos ^{3}(t+\beta) \\
& -\epsilon f \sin (t+\beta) \cos \omega t  \tag{9.132}\\
a \dot{\beta}= & -2 \epsilon \mu a \sin (t+\beta) \cos (t+\beta)+\epsilon a^{3} \cos ^{4}(t+\beta) \\
& -\epsilon f \cos (t+\beta) \cos \omega t \tag{9.133}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we rewrite (9.132) and (9.133) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}= & -\epsilon \mu a+\epsilon \mu a \cos (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a^{3} \sin (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon a^{3} \sin (4 t+4 \beta) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \sin [(1+\omega) t+\beta]-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \sin [(1-\omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.134}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
a \dot{\beta}= & -\epsilon \mu a \sin (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a^{3} \cos (2 t+2 \beta)+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon a^{3} \cos (4 t+4 \beta) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \cos [(1+\omega) t+\beta]-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \cos [(1-\omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.135}
\end{align*}
$$

To the first approximation, we need to keep only the slowly varying terms on the right-hand sides of (9.134) and (9.135). For a primary resonance, $\omega \approx 1$ and $\sin [(1-\omega) t+\beta]$ in $(9.134)$ and $\cos [(1-\omega) t+\beta]$ in $(9.135)$ are slowly varying terms. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \sin [(1-\omega) t+\beta]  \tag{9.136}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^{3}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon f \cos [(1-\omega) t+\beta] \tag{9.137}
\end{align*}
$$

which are in agreement with (9.94) and (9.95) obtained by using the method of multiple scales since $1-\omega=-\epsilon \sigma$.

## Exercises

9.1. The response of a system with quadratic nonlinearities to a sinusoidal excitation is governed by

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=-2 \epsilon \mu \dot{u}-\epsilon \alpha u^{2}+K \cos \Omega t
$$

(a) Use the method of multiple scales to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0}= & A e^{i \omega_{0} T_{0}}+\Lambda e^{i \Omega T_{0}}+c . c . \\
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\omega_{0}^{2} u_{1}= & -2 i \omega_{0}\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i \omega_{0} T_{0}}-2 i \mu \Lambda \Omega e^{i \Omega T_{0}} \\
& -\alpha\left\{A^{2} e^{2 i \omega_{0} T_{0}}+\Lambda^{2} e^{2 i \Omega T_{0}}+A \bar{A}+\Lambda^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \bar{A} \Lambda e^{i\left(\Omega-\omega_{0}\right) T_{0}}+2 A \Lambda e^{i\left(\Omega+\omega_{0}\right) T_{0}}\right\}+c . c .
\end{aligned}
$$

What is $\Lambda$ ?
(b) When $2 \Omega=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma$, show that

$$
2 i \omega_{0}\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+\alpha \Lambda^{2} e^{i \sigma T_{2}}=0
$$

Solve for $A$ and then determine the steady-state solution.
(c) When $\Omega=2 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma$ show that

$$
i \omega_{0}\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+\alpha \bar{A} \Lambda e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=0
$$

Solve for $A$. Determine the conditions under which $A$ is unbounded.

### 9.2. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon\left(\dot{u} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \dot{u}^{3}\right)+c k \cos \Omega p
$$

When $\Omega 2=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma$, use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\beta\right)+\cdots
$$

where
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{4} \omega_{0}^{2} a^{2}\right) a+\frac{\epsilon k}{2 \omega_{0}} \sin (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta) \\
a \dot{\beta} & =-\frac{\epsilon k}{2 \omega_{0}} \cos (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.3. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}\right)+K \cos \Omega t
$$

where $\Omega$ is away from $3 \omega_{0}, \frac{1}{3} \omega_{0}$, and 0 . Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\beta\right)-\frac{K}{\Omega^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}} \cos \Omega t+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\dot{a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(\eta-\frac{1}{4} \omega_{0}^{2} a^{2}\right) a \quad \dot{\beta}=0
$$

Determine $\eta$.
9.4. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}\right)+K \cos \Omega t
$$

when $3 \Omega=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma$. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\beta\right)-\frac{K}{\Omega^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}} \cos \Omega t+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(\eta-\frac{1}{4} \omega_{0}^{2} a^{2}\right) a+\epsilon \Gamma \cos (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta) \\
a \dot{\beta} & =\epsilon \Gamma \sin (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine $\eta$ and $\Gamma$.
9.5. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon\left(\dot{u}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}^{3}\right)+K \cos \Omega t
$$

when $\Omega=3 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma$. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} t+\beta\right)-\frac{K}{\Omega^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}} \cos \Omega t+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(\eta-\frac{1}{4} \omega_{0}^{2} a^{2}\right) a+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon \omega_{0} \xi a^{2} \cos (\epsilon \sigma t-3 \beta) \\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{1}{4} \epsilon \omega_{0} \xi a^{2} \sin (\epsilon \sigma t-3 \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine $\eta$ and $\xi$.

### 9.6. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+u=-\epsilon \dot{u}|\dot{u}|+2 \epsilon k \cos \Omega t
$$

when $\Omega=1+\epsilon \sigma$. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=\alpha \cos (t+\beta)+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a} & =-\frac{4 \epsilon a^{2}}{3 \pi}+\epsilon k \sin (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta) \\
a \dot{\beta} & =-\epsilon k \cos (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

9.7. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+u+2 \epsilon u^{2} \dot{u}=2 \epsilon k \cos \Omega t
$$

when $\Omega=1+\epsilon \sigma$. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to show that

$$
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a} & =-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a^{3}+\epsilon k \sin (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta) \\
a \dot{\beta} & =-\epsilon k \cos (\epsilon \sigma t-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

9.8. Consider

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+\epsilon u^{4}=2 K \cos \Omega t
$$

Show that to first-order resonances exist when $\Omega \approx 4 \omega_{0}, 2 \omega_{0}, \frac{1}{t} \omega_{0}, \frac{1}{2} \omega_{0}, 0$, $\frac{2}{3} \omega_{0}$, and $\frac{3}{2} \omega_{0}$. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations describing the amplitude and phase for each case.

## CHAPTER 10

## Multifrequency Excitations

Whereas the preceding chapter deals with a single-frequency excitation, this chapter deals with multifrequency excitations. To minimize the algebraic manipulation, we consider a system with a quadratic nonlinearity under the influence of a two-frequency excitation. Thus, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+u+2 \epsilon \mu \dot{u}+\epsilon u^{2}=F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is a constant phase and the $F_{n}$ and $\omega_{n}$ are constants. As before, we first determine a straightforward expansion and investigate its uniformity to exhibit the small divisors and hence the possible resonances. In Section 10.2, we use the method of multiple scales to determine uniform first-order expansions for some of these resonances. Finally in Section 10.3, we treat these resonances by using the first approximation of the method of averaging.

### 10.1. The Straightforward Expansion

We seek a first-order (two-term) expansion for $u$ in powers of $\epsilon$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\cdots \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.2) into (10.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\cdots+u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\cdots+2 \epsilon \mu\left(\dot{u}_{0}+\cdots\right)+ & \epsilon\left(u_{0}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& =F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}+2 \mu \dot{u}_{0}+u_{0}^{2}\right)+\cdots=F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+u_{0}=F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)  \tag{10.4}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-2 \mu \dot{u}_{0}-u_{0}^{2} \tag{10.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (10.4) can be obtained as in Section 9.1 or Appendix $B$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+2 \Lambda_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants and

$$
\Lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\omega_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1} F_{n}
$$

Then, (10.5) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= & 2 \mu\left[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda_{1} \omega_{1} \sin \omega_{1} t+2 \Lambda_{2} \omega_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right] \\
& -\left[a \cos (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda_{1} \cos \omega_{1} t+2 \Lambda_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= & 2 \mu\left[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda_{1} \omega_{1} \sin \omega_{1} t+2 \Lambda_{2} \omega_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right] \\
& -a^{2} \cos ^{2}(t+\beta)-4 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \cos ^{2} \omega_{1} t-4 \Lambda_{2}^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& -4 a \Lambda_{1} \cos (t+\beta) \cos \omega_{1} t-4 a \Lambda_{2} \cos (t+\beta) \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& -8 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} \cos \omega_{1} t \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities, we rewrite (10.7) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= & 2 \mu\left[a \sin (t+\beta)+2 \Lambda_{1} \omega_{1} \sin \omega_{1} t+2 \Lambda_{2} \omega_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right] \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+2 \Lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \Lambda_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-2 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \cos 2 \omega_{1} t \\
& \left.-2 \Lambda_{2}^{2} \cos \left(2 \omega_{2} t+2 \nu\right)-2 a \Lambda_{1} \cos \left[1+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right] \\
& -2 a \Lambda_{1} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]-2 a \Lambda_{2} \cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right] \\
& -2 a \Lambda_{2} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]-4 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t+\nu\right] \\
& -4 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t+\nu\right] \tag{10.8}
\end{align*}
$$

As discussed before, we do not include the solution of the homogeneous problem at any order except the first. Then a particular solution of (10.8) is (Section B.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}= & -u a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{4 \mu \Lambda_{1} \omega_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \sin \omega_{1} t+\frac{4 \mu \Lambda_{2} \omega_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+2 \Lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \Lambda_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-\frac{2 \Lambda_{1}^{2}}{1-4 \omega_{1}^{2}} \cos 2 \omega_{1} t \\
& -\frac{2 \Lambda_{2}^{2}}{1-4 \omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(2 \omega_{2}^{\prime} t+2 \nu\right)+\frac{2 a \Lambda_{1}}{2 \omega_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right] \\
& -\frac{2 a \Lambda_{1}}{2 \omega_{1}-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]+\frac{2 a \Lambda_{2}}{2 \omega_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{2 a \Lambda_{2}}{2 \omega_{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right] \\
& -\frac{4 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}{1-\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)^{2}} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t+\nu\right] \\
& -\frac{4 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}{1-\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right)^{2}} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t+\nu\right] \tag{10.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (10.6) and (10.9) into (10.2) and using the definition of $\Lambda_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& +\epsilon\left\{-\mu a t \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{2 \mu F_{1} \omega_{1}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \sin \omega_{1} t+\frac{2 \mu F_{2} \omega_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} a^{2}-\frac{F_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{F_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{6} a^{2} \cos (2 t+2 \beta) \\
& -\frac{F_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-4 \omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \cos 2 \omega_{1} t-\frac{F_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-4 \omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \cos \left(2 \omega_{2} t+2 \nu\right) \\
& +\frac{a F_{1}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(2 \omega_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right] \\
& -\frac{a F_{1}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(2 \omega_{1}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]+\frac{a F_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)\left(2 \omega_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times \cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]-\frac{a F_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)\left(2 \omega_{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right] \\
& -\frac{F_{1} F_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)\left[1-\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)^{2}\right]} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t+\nu\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{F_{1} F_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)\left[1-\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right)^{2}\right]} \cos \left[\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t+\nu\right]\right\}+\cdots \tag{10.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (10.10) shows that small divisors occur when $\omega_{1} \approx 1, \omega_{1} \approx \frac{1}{2}, \omega_{1} \approx$ $2, \omega_{2} \approx 1, \omega_{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}, \omega_{2} \approx 2, \omega_{2}+\omega_{1} \approx 1$, and $\omega_{2}-\omega_{1} \approx 1$. Since small divisors occur in the first term when $\omega_{1} \approx 1$ or $\omega_{2} \approx 1$, these are called primary or main resonances. All other resonances are called secondary resonances because their corresponding small divisors do not appear in the first term. The cases $\omega_{1} \approx \frac{1}{2}$ and $\omega_{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}$ are called superharmonic resonances of order 2 because they excite a frec-oscillation term having the frequency 1 which is approximately $2 \omega_{1}$ or $2 \omega_{2}$. The cases $\omega_{1} \approx 2$ and $\omega_{2} \approx 2$ are called subharmonic resonances of order
$\frac{1}{2}$ because they excite a free-oscillation term having the frequency 1 which is approximately $\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \omega_{2}$. The case $\omega_{2}+\omega_{1} \approx 1$ is called a combination resonance of the summed type, whereas the case $\omega_{2}-\omega_{1} \approx 1$ is called a combination resonance of the difference type. In the next section, we show how the method of multiple scales can be used to determine uniform expansions for secondary resonances. The main resonances can be treated as in the preceding chapter.

### 10.2. The Method of Multiple Scales

To first order, we need the slow scale $T_{1}=\epsilon t$ in addition to the fast scale $T_{0}=t$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} & =D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}+\cdots \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} & =D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$. Hence, (10.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1} u+\cdots+u+2 \epsilon \mu\left(D_{0} u\right. & \left.+\epsilon D_{1} u+\cdots\right)+\epsilon u^{2} \\
& =F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} T_{0}+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} T_{0}+\nu\right) \tag{10.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We seek an approximate solution to (10.11) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{10.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.12) into (10.11) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+u_{0}=F_{1} \cos \omega_{1} T_{0}+F_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{2} T_{0}+\nu\right)  \tag{10.13}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 \mu D_{0} u_{0}-u_{0}^{2} \tag{10.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (10.13) is written in the following complex form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+\Lambda_{1} e^{-i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} T_{0}}+\bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{-i \omega_{2} T_{0}} \tag{10.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1}=\frac{F_{1}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \quad \Lambda_{2}=\frac{F_{2} e^{i \nu}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{10.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (10.14) becomes

$$
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 i\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}-2 i \mu \omega_{1} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} T_{0}}-2 i \mu \omega_{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} T_{0}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -A^{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}-\Lambda_{1}^{2} e^{2 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}-\Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{2 i \omega_{2} T_{0}}-A \bar{A}-\Lambda_{1}^{2}-\Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} \\
& -2 A \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(1+\omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}-2 A \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(1-\omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}-2 A \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(1+\omega_{2}\right) T_{0}} \\
& -2 A \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(1-\omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}-2 \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+c c \tag{10.17}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the preceding section, the particular solutions of $u_{1}$ contain secular and small-divisor terms. For a uniform expansion, these secular and small-divisor terms must be eliminated by proper choices of $A$. These choices depend on the type of resonances present. Next, we treat the two cases: (a) $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2} \approx 1$, and (b) $\omega_{2}-\omega_{1} \approx 1$ and $\omega_{1} \approx 2$.

### 10.2.1. THE CASE $\omega_{2}+\omega_{1} \approx 1$

In this case, we assume that $\omega_{2}+\omega_{1} \approx 1$ and no other resonances exist to first order. To describe quantitatively the nearness of $\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}$ to $1_{2}$ we introduce the detuning parameter $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}=1+\epsilon \sigma \tag{10.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the only terms in (10.17) that lead to small-divisor terms are $-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} \exp \left[i\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) T_{0}\right]$ and its complex conjugate. Using (10.18), we rewrite this term as

$$
-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}=-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(1+\epsilon \sigma T_{0}\right.}=-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i T_{0}+i o T_{1}}
$$

Then, we rewrite (10.17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}=-2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}-2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}+c c+\text { NST } \tag{10.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where NST stands for terms that do not produce secular terms in $u_{1}$. Eliminating the secular terms from (10.19) demands that

$$
2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+2 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}+\mu A=i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \tag{10.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we can find the exact solution of $(10.20)$ because it is a linear inhomogeneous equation. Thus, we do not need to express $A$ in its polar form. The homogeneous solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=c e^{-\mu T_{1}} \tag{10.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a complex constant. Since the inhomogeneous term in (10.20) is exponential, its corresponding particular solution can be sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=b e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \tag{10.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.22) into (10.20) gives

$$
i \sigma b e^{i \sigma T_{1}}+\mu b e^{i \sigma T_{1}}=i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}
$$

or

$$
i \sigma b+\mu b=i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
b=\frac{i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}{\mu+i \sigma}
$$

Then, the general solution of (10.20) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=c e^{-\mu T_{1}}+\frac{i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}{\mu+i \sigma} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \tag{10.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $A$ into (10.15) and then substituting the result into (10.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left[c e^{-\epsilon \mu t}+\frac{i \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}{\mu+i \sigma} e^{i \epsilon \sigma t}\right] e^{i t}+\Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}+c c+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We express $c$ in the polar form $\frac{1}{2} a_{0} \exp \left(i \beta_{0}\right)$ and substitute for the $\Lambda_{n}$ from (10.16) into (10.24). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & \frac{1}{2} a_{0} e^{-\epsilon \mu t} e^{i\left(t+\beta_{0}\right)}+\frac{i F_{1} F_{2}}{4(\mu+i \sigma)\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} e^{i(1+\epsilon \sigma) t+i \nu} \\
& +\frac{F_{1}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+\frac{F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} e^{i\left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)}+c c+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.25}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $1+\epsilon \sigma=\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}$ according to (10.18) and that

$$
\frac{1}{\mu+i \sigma}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^{2}+\sigma^{2}}} e^{-i \tan ^{-1}(\sigma / \mu)}
$$

Hence, we rewrite (10.25) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a_{0} e^{-\epsilon \mu t} \cos \left(t+\beta_{0}\right)-\frac{F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right) \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\sigma^{2}}} \sin \left[\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t\right. \\
& \left.+\nu-\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right]+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.26}
\end{align*}
$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, the first term on the right hand side of (10.26) tends to reco, and $u$ tends to the following steady-state solution

$$
u=-\frac{F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right) \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\sigma^{2}}} \sin \left[\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t+\nu-\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sigma}{\mu}\right]
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

10.2.2. THE CASE $\omega_{2}-\omega_{1} \approx 1$ AND $\omega_{1} \approx 2$

In this case, we assume that two resonances exist simultaneously, namely $\omega_{2}$ $\omega_{1} \approx 1$ and $\omega_{1} \approx 2$. In other words, $\omega_{1} \approx 2$ and $\omega_{2} \approx 3$. To treat this case, we introduce the two detuning parameters $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=2+\epsilon \sigma_{1} \quad \omega_{2}=3+\epsilon \sigma_{2} \tag{10.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.28) into (10.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{v}}-2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{A} e^{i T_{0}+i \epsilon o_{1} T_{0}} \\
& -2 \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i T_{0}+i\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \epsilon T_{0}}+c c+\mathrm{NST}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+u_{1}= & -2 i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right) e^{i T_{0}}-2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{A} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}} \\
& -2 \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) T_{1}} e^{i T_{0}}+c c+\mathrm{NST} \tag{10.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A^{\prime}+\mu A\right)+\Lambda_{1} \bar{A} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+\Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) T_{1}}=0 \tag{10.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (10.30) is an inhomogeneous equation with variable coefficients. We first transform it into an inhomogeneous equation with constant coefficients. To accomplish this, we introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B e^{i \lambda T_{1}} \tag{10.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is real and obtain

$$
i\left(B^{\prime}+i \lambda B+\mu B\right) e^{i \lambda T_{1}}+\Lambda_{1} \bar{B} e^{i\left(\sigma_{1}-\lambda\right) T_{1}}+\Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) T_{1}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(B^{\prime}+i \lambda B+\mu B\right)+\Lambda_{1} \bar{B} e^{i\left(\sigma_{1}-2 \lambda\right) T_{1}}+\Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}-\lambda\right) T_{1}}=0 \tag{10.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}$ so that the coefficients of $B$ and $\bar{B}$ are independent of $T_{1}$. Then, (10.32) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(B^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} i \sigma_{1} B+\mu B\right)+\Lambda_{1} \bar{B}+\Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\sigma_{2}-3 \sigma_{1} / 2\right) T_{1}}=0 \tag{10.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of expressing $B$ in polar form as in the preceding chapters, we express $B$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{r}+i B_{i} \tag{10.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that the resulting equations are easier to solve in this form than those resulting from using the polar form. Substituting (10.34) into (10.33) and using (10.16) to express $\Lambda_{2}$ in polar form, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& i B_{r}^{\prime}-B_{i}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1} B_{r}-\frac{1}{2} i \sigma_{1} B_{i}+i \mu B_{r}-\mu B_{i}+\Lambda_{1} B_{r}-i \Lambda_{1} B_{i} \\
&+\Gamma \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)+i \Gamma \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)=0 \tag{10.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{\Lambda_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \quad o=\sigma_{2}-\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{1} \tag{10.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (10.35) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{r}^{\prime}+\mu B_{r}-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) B_{i}=-\Gamma \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)  \tag{10.37}\\
& B_{i}^{\prime}+\mu B_{i}-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) B_{r}=\Gamma \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right) \tag{10.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (10.37) and (10.38) are linear coupled inhomogeneous equations for $B_{r}$ and $B_{i}$, their general solutions can be obtained as the sum of any particular solution and a general homogeneous solution. The general homogeneous solution can be obtained by letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{r}=b_{r} e^{\gamma T_{1}} \quad B_{i}=b_{i} e^{\gamma T_{1}} \tag{10.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.39) into (10.37) and (10.38) and dropping the inhomogeneous terms, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(\gamma+\mu) b_{r}-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) b_{i} & =0  \tag{10.40}\\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) b_{r}+(\gamma+\mu) b_{i} & =0 \tag{10.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we ended up with a system of two linear coupled homogeneous equations. Since we are interested in nontrivial solutions for $b_{r}$ and $b_{i}$, the determinant of the coefficient matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma+\mu & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & \gamma+\mu
\end{array}\right|
$$

must vanish. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\gamma+\mu)^{2}-\Lambda_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{10.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition could have been obtained by eliminating either $b_{r}$ or $b_{i}$ from (10.40) and (10.41). It follows from (10.42) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+\mu= \pm \sqrt{\Lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{!}^{2}} \tag{10.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there are two possible values $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ for $\gamma$. They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=-\mu-\sqrt{\Lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2}} \quad \gamma_{2}=-\mu+\sqrt{\Lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2}} \tag{10.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (10.40) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=\frac{\gamma+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{r} \tag{10.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{r}=b_{1} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}}+b_{2} e^{\gamma_{2} T_{1}} \tag{10.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (10.45) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=\frac{\gamma_{1}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{1} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{2} e^{\gamma_{2} T_{1}} \tag{10.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are arbitrary constants.
A particular solution for (10.37) and (10.38) can be obtained by using the method of undetermined coefficients. Since the inhomogeneous terms consist of circular functions and the homogeneous problem has constant coefficients, we seek a particular solution in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{r}=c_{1} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)  \tag{10.48}\\
& B_{i}=c_{3} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)+c_{4} \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right) \tag{10.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (10.48) and (10.49) into (10.37) and (10.38) and equating the coefficients of $\cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)$ and $\sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu c_{1}+\sigma c_{2}-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) c_{3} & =0  \tag{10.50}\\
-\sigma c_{1}+\mu c_{2}-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) c_{4} & =-\Gamma  \tag{10.51}\\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) c_{1}+\mu c_{3}+\sigma c_{4} & =\Gamma  \tag{10.52}\\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) c_{2}-\sigma c_{3}+\mu c_{4} & =0 \tag{10.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Cramer's rule, we find that the solution of (10.50) through (10.53) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \sigma & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 \\
-\Gamma & \mu & 0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \\
\Gamma & 0 & \mu & \sigma \\
0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & -\sigma & \mu
\end{array}\right| \\
& \text { (10.54) } \\
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu & 0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 \\
-\sigma & -\Gamma & 0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & \Gamma & \mu & \sigma \\
0 & 0 & -\sigma & \mu
\end{array}\right| \\
& c_{3}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma & \mu & -\Gamma & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 & \Gamma & \sigma \\
0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right| \tag{10.55}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
c_{4}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu & \sigma & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 \\
-\sigma & \mu & 0 & -\Gamma \\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 & \mu & \Gamma \\
0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & -\sigma & 0
\end{array}\right|
$$

(10.57)
where

$$
\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu & \sigma & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0  \tag{10.58}\\
-\sigma & \mu & 0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \\
-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & 0 & \mu & \sigma \\
0 & -\left(\Lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\right) & -\sigma & \mu
\end{array}\right|
$$

Adding the homogeneous solutions (10.46) and (10.47) to the particular solutions (10.48) and (10.49) yields the following general solutions for (10.37) and (10.38):

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{r}= & b_{1} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}}+b_{2} e^{\gamma_{2} T_{1}}+c_{1} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right)  \tag{10.59}\\
B_{i}= & \frac{\gamma_{1}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{1} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{2} e^{\gamma_{2} T_{1}}+c_{3} \cos \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right) \\
& +c_{4} \sin \left(\sigma T_{1}+\nu\right) \tag{10.60}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (10.31) and (10.34) and the fact that $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(B_{r}+i B_{i}\right) e^{(1 / 2) i \sigma_{1} T_{1}} \tag{10.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.61) into (10.15), then substituting the result into (10.12), and using (10.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \left(B_{r}+i B_{i}\right) e^{i\left(1+(1 / 2) \epsilon \sigma_{1} \mid t\right.}+\left(B_{r}-i B_{i}\right) e^{-i\left|1+(1 / 2) \epsilon \sigma_{1}\right| t}+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t \\
& +\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\omega_{1}=2+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & B_{r}\left[e^{(1 / 2) i \omega_{1} t}+e^{-(1 / 2) i \omega_{1} t}\right]+i B_{i}\left[e^{(1 / 2) i \omega_{1} t}-e^{-(1 / 2) i \omega_{1} t}\right] \\
& +\frac{r_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{r_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
u=2 B_{r} \cos \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t-2 B_{i} \sin \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.59) and (10.60) into (10.62) gives the following first approximathon:

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & 2\left[b_{1} e^{\epsilon \gamma_{1} t}+b_{2} e^{\epsilon \gamma_{2} t}+c_{1} \cos (\epsilon \sigma t+\nu)+c_{2} \sin (\epsilon \sigma t+\nu)\right] \\
& \times \cos \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t-2\left[\frac{\gamma_{1}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{1} e^{\epsilon \gamma_{1} t}+\frac{\gamma_{2}+\mu}{\Lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}} b_{2} e^{\epsilon \gamma_{2} t}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{3} \cos (\epsilon \sigma t+\nu)+c_{4} \sin (\epsilon \sigma t+\nu)\right] \sin \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t \\
& +\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+O(\epsilon) \tag{10.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (10.63) shows that $u$ becomes unbounded in $t$ if the real part of either $\gamma_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}$ is positive. It follows from (10.44) that the real part of $\gamma_{1}$ is always negative, while the real part of $\gamma_{2}$ is positive if

$$
\Lambda_{1}^{2}>\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \sqrt{\Lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2}}-\mu>0
$$

or

$$
\Lambda_{1}^{2}>\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{1}^{2}+\mu^{2}
$$

In this case, the free-oscillation terms proportional to $\cos \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t$ and $\sin \frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} t$ in (10.62) tend to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In reality, $u$ does not tend to infinity but the free-oscillation term becomes large and we need to carry out the expansion to the next order.

### 10.3. The Method of Averaging

As before, the first step in the application of this technique is the use of the method of variation of parameters to transform the dependent variable from $u$ to $a$ and $\beta$, where $a$ and $\beta$ are the amplitude and phase of the free-oscillation term. To this end, we note that when $\epsilon=0$ the solution of (10.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-a \sin (t+\beta)-\frac{F_{1} \omega_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \sin \omega_{1} t-\frac{F_{2} \omega_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we still represent the solution by (10.64) subject to the constraint (10.65) but with time-varying $a$ and $\beta$.

Differentiating (10.64) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}= & -a \sin (t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta) \\
& \frac{F_{1} \omega_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \sin \omega_{1} t-\frac{F_{2} \omega_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (10.65) and (10.66), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (t+\beta)=0 \tag{10.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (10.65) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}= & -a \cos (t+\beta)-\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)-\frac{F_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos \omega_{1} t \\
& -\frac{F_{2} \omega_{2}^{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.68}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (10.64), (10.65), and (10.68) into (10.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \sin (t+\beta)+a \dot{\beta} \cos (t+\beta)=\epsilon f \tag{10.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & -2 \mu\left[a \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{F_{1} \omega_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \sin \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2} \omega_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)\right] \\
& +a^{2} \cos ^{2}(t+\beta)+\frac{F_{1}^{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos ^{2} \omega_{1} t+\frac{F_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos ^{2}\left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& +\frac{2 a F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}} \cos (t+\beta) \cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{2 a F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}} \cos (t+\beta) \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \\
& +\frac{2 F_{1} F_{2}}{\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \cos \omega_{1} t \cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right) \tag{10.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (10.67) and (10.69) for $\dot{a}$ and $a \dot{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =\epsilon f \sin (t+\beta)  \tag{10.71}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\epsilon f \cos (t+\beta) \tag{10.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (10.70) into (10.71) and (10.72) and using trigonometric identities (Appendix A), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{a}= & -\epsilon \mu a+\epsilon \mu \alpha \cos (2 t+2 \beta)-\frac{\epsilon F_{1} \omega_{1} \mu}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}}\left\{\cos \left[\left(\omega_{1}-1\right) t-\beta\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\cos \left[\left(\omega_{1}+1\right) t+\beta\right]\right\}-\frac{\epsilon F_{2} \omega_{2} \mu}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}}\left\{\cos \left[\left(\omega_{2}-1\right) t-\beta+\nu\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-\cos \left[\left(\omega_{2}+1\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]\right\}+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a^{2} \sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a^{2} \sin (3 t+3 \beta) \\
& +\frac{\epsilon F_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[\left(1+2 \omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[\left(1-2 \omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon F_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\sin (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[\left(1+2 \omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+2 \nu\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[\left(1-2 \omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-2 \nu\right]\right\}+\frac{\epsilon a F_{1}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)}\left\{\sin \left[\left(2+\omega_{1}\right) t+2 \beta\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left[\left(2-\omega_{1}\right) t+2 \beta\right]\right\}+\frac{\epsilon a F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)}\left\{\sin \left[\left(2+\omega_{2}\right) t+2 \beta+\nu\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left[\left(2-\omega_{2}\right) t+2 \beta-\nu\right]\right\}+\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)}\left\{\operatorname { s i n } \left[\left(1+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t\right.\right. \\
& +\beta+\nu]+\sin \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]+\sin \left[\left(1+\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t\right. \\
& \left.+\beta+\nu]+\sin \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]\right\}  \tag{10.73}\\
& a \dot{\beta}=-\epsilon \mu a \sin (2 t+2 \beta)-\frac{\epsilon F_{1} \omega_{1} \mu}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}}\left\{\sin \left[\left(\omega_{1}+1\right) t+\beta\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left[\left(\omega_{1}-1\right) t-\beta\right]\right\}-\frac{\epsilon F_{2} \omega_{2} \mu}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}}\left\{\sin \left[\left(\omega_{2}+1\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left[\left(\omega_{2}-1\right) t-\beta+\nu\right]\right\}+\frac{3}{4} a^{2} \cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{4} a^{2} \cos (3 t+3 \beta) \\
& +\frac{\epsilon F_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\cos (t+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(1+2 \omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(1-2 \omega_{1}\right) t+\beta\right]\right\}+\frac{\epsilon F_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\{\cos (t+\beta) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(1+2 \omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+2 \nu\right]+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(1-2 \omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-2 \nu\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon a F_{1}}{1-\omega_{1}^{2}}\left\{\cos \omega_{1} t+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(2+\omega_{1}\right) t+2 \beta\right]+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(2-\omega_{1}\right) t\right.\right. \\
& +2 \beta]\}+\frac{\epsilon a F_{2}}{1-\omega_{2}^{2}}\left\{\cos \left(\omega_{2} t+\nu\right)+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(2+\omega_{2}\right) t+2 \beta+\nu\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left[\left(2-\omega_{2}\right) t+2 \beta-\nu\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)}\left\{\cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]\right. \\
& +\cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]+\cos \left[\left(1+\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta+\nu\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]\right\} \tag{10.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (10.73) and (10.74) are lengthy. We could shorten them considerably if we express $u$ and $\dot{u}$ in (10.64) and (10.65) in complex rather than real form. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=A e^{i t}+\Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}+c c  \tag{10.75}\\
& \dot{u}=i A e^{i t}+i \omega_{1} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+i \omega_{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}+c c \tag{10.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating $u$ with respect to $t$ and recalling that $A=A(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=i A e^{i t}+\dot{A} e^{i t}+i \omega_{1} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+i \omega_{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}+c c \tag{10.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (10.76) and (10.77), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{A} e^{i t}+\dot{\bar{A}} e^{-i t}=0 \tag{10.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (10.76) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-A e^{i t}+i \dot{A} e^{i t}-\omega_{1}^{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}-\omega_{2}^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}+c c \tag{10.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10.75), (10.76), and (10.79) into (10.1) and using. (10.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
i \dot{A} e^{i t}-\dot{\bar{A}} e^{-i t}= & -2 i \epsilon \mu\left[A e^{i t}+\omega_{1} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+\omega_{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}\right] \\
& -\epsilon A^{2} e^{2 i t}-\epsilon \Lambda_{1}^{2} e^{2 i \omega_{1} t}-\epsilon \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{2 i \omega_{2} t}-2 \epsilon A \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(1+\omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& -2 \epsilon A \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(1+\omega_{2}\right) t}-\epsilon A \bar{A}-\epsilon \Lambda_{1}^{2}-\epsilon \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}-2 \epsilon \bar{A} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}-1\right) t} \\
& -2 \epsilon \bar{A} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-1\right) t}-2 \epsilon \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t}-2 \epsilon \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& +c c \tag{10.80}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (10.78) that $\dot{\bar{A}} \exp (-i t)=-\dot{A} \exp (i t)$. Then, it follows from (10.80) that

$$
\begin{align*}
i \dot{A}= & \left\{-i \epsilon \mu\left[A e^{i t}+\omega_{1} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \omega_{1} t}+\omega_{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \omega_{2} t}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon A^{2} e^{2 i t}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \Lambda_{1}^{2} e^{i 2 \omega_{1} t}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i 2 \omega_{2} t}-\epsilon A \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}+1\right) t} \\
& -\epsilon A \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}+1\right) t}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(A \bar{A}+\Lambda_{1}^{2}+\Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right)-\epsilon \bar{A} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}-1\right) t} \\
& -\epsilon \bar{A} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-1\right) t}-\epsilon \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t}-\epsilon \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& +c c\} e^{-i t} \tag{10.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing $A$ in polar form and separating (10.81) into real and imaginary parts, we obtain (10.73) and (10.74).
Next, we consider the case $\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}=1+\epsilon \sigma$ discussed in Section (10.2.1) and the case $\omega_{1}=2+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}=3+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$ discussed in Section 10.2.2

### 10.3.1. THE CASE $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2} \approx 1$

To the first approximation, we keep only the slowly varying terms on the righthand sides of (10.73) and (10.74). The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} & =-\epsilon \mu a+\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \sin \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]  \tag{10.82}\\
a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right] \tag{10.83}
\end{align*}
$$

which are equivalent to $(10.20)$ obtained by using the method of multiple scales. We note that whereas (10.20) is linear, (10.82) and (10.83) are nonlinear. This is the reason we did not express (10.20) in polar form, but solved it in its complex form.

Keeping the slowly varying terms in (10.81) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{A}=-\epsilon \mu A+i \epsilon \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-1\right) t} \tag{10.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in full agreement with (10.20).

### 10.3.2. THE CASE $\omega_{2}-\omega_{1} \approx 1$ AND $\omega_{1} \approx 2$

Keeping the slowly varying terms on the right-hand sides of (10.73) and (10.74), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta}= & -\epsilon \mu a+\frac{\epsilon a F_{1}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \sin \left[\left(2-\omega_{1}\right) t+2 \beta\right]+\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times \sin \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right]  \tag{10.85}\\
a \dot{\beta}= & \frac{\epsilon a F_{1}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \cos \left[\left(2-\omega_{1}\right) t+2 \beta\right]+\frac{\epsilon F_{1} F_{2}}{2\left(1-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\omega_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times \cos \left[\left(1-\omega_{2}+\omega_{1}\right) t+\beta-\nu\right] \tag{10.86}
\end{align*}
$$

which are equivalent to (10.30) obtained by using the method of multiple scales. Again, we note that the form (10.30) seems to be more convenient than (10.85) and (10.86).
Keeping the slowly varying terms in (10.81) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{A}=-\epsilon \mu A+i \epsilon \bar{A} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{1}-2\right) t}+i \epsilon \Lambda_{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}-1\right) t} \tag{10.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in full agreement with (10.30). The development in (10.84) and (10.87) shows that the complex form has advantages over the real form of the solution.

## Exercises

10.1. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations governing the amplitudes and the phases to first order for a system governed by

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon \dot{u}^{2}+K_{1} \cos \Omega_{1} t+K_{2} \cos \Omega_{2} t \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

when $\Omega_{2} \pm \Omega_{1} \approx \omega_{0}$. Consider only the case when $\Omega_{1}$ is away from zero.
10.2. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+\epsilon u^{3}=K_{1} \cos \left(\Omega_{1} t+\theta_{1}\right)+K_{2} \cos \left(\Omega_{2} t+\theta_{2}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{0}, K_{n}$, and $\theta_{n}$ are constants. When $\Omega_{n}$ is away from $\omega_{0}$, use the method of multiple scales to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & =A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \omega_{0} T_{0}}+\Lambda_{1} e^{i \Omega_{1} T_{0}}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \Omega_{2} T_{0}}+c c \\
\Lambda_{n} & =\frac{1}{2} K_{n}\left(\omega_{0}^{2}-\Omega_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1} e^{i \theta_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\omega_{0}^{2} u_{1}= & -\left[2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A\right] e^{i \omega_{0} T_{0}} \\
& -3\left(2 A \bar{A}+\Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) \Lambda_{1} e^{i \Omega_{1} T_{0}} \\
& -3\left(2 A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) \Lambda_{2} e^{i \Omega_{1} T_{0}-A^{3} e^{3 i \omega_{0} T_{0}}} \\
& -\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{3 i \Omega_{1} T_{0}}-\Lambda_{2}^{3} e^{3 i \Omega_{2} T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}+\Omega_{1}\right) T_{0}} \\
& -3 A^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}+\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}-3 A^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{1} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}-\Omega_{1}\right) T_{0}} \\
& -3 A^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}-\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}-3 A \Lambda_{1}^{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}+2 \Omega_{1}\right) T_{0}} \\
& -3 A \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}+2 \Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}-3 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1}^{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-2 \Omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}} \\
& -3 A \bar{\Lambda}_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-2 \Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}-6 A \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}+\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}} \\
& -6 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}-6 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}} \\
& -6 A \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}+\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}-3 \Lambda_{2}^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}} \\
& -3 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}-3 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(\Omega_{1}+2 \Omega_{2}\right) T_{0}}} \\
& -3 \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}\right) T_{0}+c c}
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Show that, if $\Omega_{2}>\Omega_{1}$, resonances occur whenever

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{0} \approx 3 \Omega_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad 3 \Omega_{2} \\
& \omega_{0} \approx \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{2} \\
& \omega_{0} \approx \Omega_{2} \pm 2 \Omega_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2} \\
& \omega_{0} \approx 2 \Omega_{2} \pm \Omega_{1} \\
& \omega_{0} \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_{2} \pm \Omega_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Show that simultaneous resonances occur whenever

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{2} \approx 9 \Omega_{1} \approx 3 \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx \Omega_{1} \approx 3 \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx \Omega_{1} \approx \frac{1}{3} \omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{2} \approx 5 \Omega_{1} \approx \frac{5}{3} \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx 7 \Omega_{1} \approx \frac{7}{3} \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx 2 \Omega_{1} \approx \frac{2}{3} \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx \frac{7}{3} \Omega_{1} \approx 7 \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx \frac{5}{3} \Omega_{1} \approx 5 \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{1} \approx 3 \omega_{0} \\
& \Omega_{2} \approx 3 \Omega_{1} \approx \frac{3}{5} \omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) When $\omega_{0}=2 \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}+\epsilon \sigma$, show that

$$
2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+\left(3 A \bar{A}+6 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+6 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+3 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{-i \sigma T_{1}}=0
$$

(d) When $3 \Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}=3 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that

$$
2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+3 \Lambda_{2} \bar{A}^{2} e^{i \sigma_{2} T_{1}}=0
$$

(e) When $3 \Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}+\Omega_{1}=2 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that
$\omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+6 \bar{A} \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma_{2} T_{1}}+3 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}^{2} e^{\left(i \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)} r_{1}=C$
(f) When $3 \Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}=2 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that
$2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+6 \overline{A \Lambda_{1}} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma_{2} T_{1}}=0$
(g) When $3 \Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $2 \Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that $2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+3 \Lambda_{2}^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{1} e^{i \sigma_{2} T_{1}}=0$
(h) When $\Omega_{1}=3 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}-2 \Omega_{1}=\omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that $2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+3 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}^{2} e^{i \sigma_{2} T_{1}}=0$
(i) When $\Omega_{1}=3 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}=2 \omega_{0}+\epsilon \sigma_{2}$, show that $i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}+3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) A+3 \bar{A}^{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+6{\bar{A} \Lambda_{1}}_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i \sigma_{1} T_{1}}+3 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right) T_{1}}=0$
10.3. Consider the problem in the preceding exercise. Let

$$
u=A(t) e^{i \omega_{0} t}+\Lambda_{1} e^{i S \Omega_{1} t}+\Lambda_{2} e^{i \Omega_{2} t}+c c
$$

Use the method of variation of parameters to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 i \omega_{0} A^{\prime}= & \left\{3\left(A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}^{2}\right) A e^{i \omega_{0} t}+3\left(2 A \bar{A}+\Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+2 \Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) \Lambda_{1} e^{i \Omega_{1} t}+3\left(2 A \bar{A}+2 \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}+\Lambda_{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}\right) \Lambda_{2} e^{i \Omega_{2} t} \\
& +A^{3} e^{3 i \omega_{n} t}+\Lambda_{1}^{3} e^{3 i \Omega} \Omega_{1}+\Lambda_{2}^{3} e^{3 i \Omega_{2} t}+3 A^{2} \Lambda_{1} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}+\Omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& +3 A^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}+\Omega_{2}\right) t}+3 A^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{1} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}-\Omega_{1}\right) t}+3 A^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(2 \omega_{0}-\Omega_{2}\right) t} \\
& +3 A \Lambda_{1}^{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{1}+\omega_{0}\right) t}+3 A \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{2}+\omega_{0}\right) t}+3 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1}^{2} c^{i\left(\omega_{0}-2 \Omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& +3 A \bar{\Lambda}_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(\omega_{0}-2 \Omega_{2}\right) t}+6 A \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(S \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}+\omega_{0}\right) t} \\
& +6 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{-i\left(\Omega \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{1}-\omega_{n}\right) t}+6 A \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}+\omega_{0}\right) t} \\
& +6 A \Lambda_{1} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(\Omega \Omega_{1}-S \Omega_{2}+\omega_{n}\right) t}+3 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \Lambda_{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega \Omega_{1}+\Omega \Omega_{2}\right) t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +3 \Lambda_{1}^{2} \bar{\Lambda}_{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2}\right) t}+3 \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(\Omega_{1}+2 \Omega_{2}\right) t}+3 \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \Lambda_{2}^{2} e^{i\left(2 \Omega_{2}-\Omega_{1}\right) t} \\
& +c c\} e^{-i \omega_{0} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Average this equation for the cases in the preceding exercise.
10.4. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine a firstorder uniform expansion for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{1}=\alpha_{1} u_{1} u_{2} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} u_{2}=\alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for small but finite amplitudes when $\omega_{2} \approx 2 \omega_{1}$.
10.5. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations describing the amplitudes and phases of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{1}=\alpha_{1} u_{2} u_{3} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} u_{2}=\alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{3} \\
& \ddot{u}_{3}+\omega_{3}^{2} u_{3}=\alpha_{3} u_{1} u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for small but finite amplitudes when $\omega_{3} \approx \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}$.
10.6. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine first-order uniform expansions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{1}=\epsilon \alpha_{1} u_{1} u_{2}+\epsilon k_{1} \cos \Omega_{1} t \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} u_{2}=\epsilon \alpha_{2} u_{1}^{2}+\epsilon k_{2} \cos \Omega_{2} t
\end{aligned}
$$

when
(a) $\omega_{2} \approx 2 \omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{1} \approx \omega_{1}$.
(b) $\omega_{2} \approx 2 \omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2} \approx \omega_{2}$.

## CHAPTER 11

## The Mathieu Equation

In contrast with the two preceding chapters, in which the excitations appear as inhomogeneities in the governing equations, in this chapter, we consider excitations that appear as coefficients in the governing equations. Such excitations are called parametric excitations. The simplest possible equation that describes the parametric excitations of a system having a single degree of freedom is the Mathieu equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{*}}{d t^{* 2}}+\left(\delta^{*}+\epsilon^{*} \cos \omega^{*} t^{*}\right) u^{*}=0 \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we introduce dimensionless quantities. We let

$$
u=\frac{u^{*}}{u_{0}^{*}} \quad t=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{*} t^{*}
$$

where $u_{0}^{*}$ is a representative value of $u^{*}$. Then, (11.1) can be put in the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+(\delta+2 \epsilon \cos 2 t) u=0 \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta=\frac{4 \delta^{*}}{\omega^{* 2}} \quad \epsilon=\frac{4 \epsilon^{*}}{\omega^{* 2}}
$$

In the next section, we determine a second-order straightforward expansion for small $\epsilon$ and investigate its uniformity. In Section 11.2, we describe the Floquet theory, which characterizes the exact solutions of (11.2). In Section 11.3, we describe the method of strained parameters to determine approximations to the periodic solutions, while in Section 11.4, we determine approximations to the exact solutions. In Sections 11.5 and 11.6, we show how the methods of multiple scales and averaging can be used to obtain uniform expansions for the solutions of (11.2).

### 11.1 The Straightforward Expansion

We seek a straightforward expansion for the solution of (11.2) in power series of $\epsilon$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u_{0}(t)+c t_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(t)+\cdots \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11.3) into (11.2) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+\delta u_{0}=0  \tag{11.4}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\delta u_{1}=-2 u_{0} \cos 2 t  \tag{11.5}\\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+\delta u_{2}=-2 u_{1} \cos 2 t \tag{11.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (11.4) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos (\omega t+\beta) \quad \delta=\omega^{2} \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\beta$ are constants. Then, (11.5) becomes

$$
\ddot{u}_{1}+\omega^{2} u_{1}=-2 a \cos (\omega t+\beta) \cos 2 t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+\omega^{2} u_{1}=-a \cos [(\omega+2) t+\beta]-a \cos [(\omega-2) t+\beta] \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, disregarding the homogeneous solution, we write the solution of (11.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{a \cos [(\omega+2) t+\beta]}{4(1+\omega)}+\frac{a \cos [(\omega-2) t+\beta]}{4(1-\omega)} \tag{11.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (11.6) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{2}+\omega^{2} u_{2}= & -\frac{a}{2(1+\omega)} \cos [(\omega+2) t+\beta] \cos 2 t \\
& -\frac{a}{2(1-\omega)} \cos [(\omega-2) t+\beta] \cos 2 t
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\ddot{u}_{2}+\omega^{2} u_{2}=-\frac{a}{4(1+\omega)} \cos [(\omega+4) t+\beta]-\frac{a}{4(1-\omega)} \cos [(\omega-4) t+\beta] \\
- \tag{11.10}
\end{array}
$$

Disregarding the homogeneous solution, we write the solution of (11.10) as

$$
u_{2}=-\frac{a}{4 \omega\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)} t \sin (\omega t+\beta)+\frac{a \cos [(\omega+4) t+\beta]}{32(1+\omega)(2+\omega)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{a \cos [(\omega-4) t+\beta]}{32(1-\omega)(2-\omega)} \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11.7), (11.9), and (11.11) into (11.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a \cos (\omega t+\beta)+\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a\left\{\frac{\cos [(\omega+2) t+\beta]}{1+\omega}+\frac{\cos [(\omega-2) t+\beta]}{1-\omega}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{32} \epsilon^{2} a\left\{-\frac{8 t \sin (\omega t+\beta)}{\omega\left(1-\omega^{2}\right)}+\frac{\cos [(\omega+4) t+\beta]}{(1+\omega)(2+\omega)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\cos [(\omega-4) t+\beta]}{(1-\omega)(2-\omega)}\right\}+\cdots \tag{11.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The straightforward expansion breaks down for large $t$ because of the presence of the mixed secular term. It also breaks down when $\omega \approx 0,1$, and 2 because of the presence of small-divisor terms. Carrying out the expansion to higher order, one finds that small-divisor terms occur when $\omega \approx n$, where $n=0,1,2$, $3, \cdots$. Next, we discuss the Floquet theory, which characterizes the exact solutions of (11.2).

### 11.2. The Floquet Theory

In this section, we determine general properties of the solutions of (11.2) without actually solving for them. These properties are then used in the subsequent sections to determine uniform approximations to these solutions.
Since (11.2) is a second-order linear homogeneous equation, it possesses two linearly independent solutions $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ satisfying the initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(0)=1 & \dot{u}_{1}(0)=0  \tag{11.13}\\
u_{2}(0)=0 & \dot{u}_{2}(0)=1
\end{array}
$$

because the determinant of the Wronskian matrix (see Section B.1.) is different from zero. We show next that, if $u_{1}(t)$ is a solution of (11.2), then $u_{1}(t+\pi)$ is also a solution of (11.2). To this end, we change the independent variable from $t$ to $z=t+\pi$. Then, (11.2) becomes

$$
\frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+[\delta+2 \epsilon \cos (2 z-2 \pi)] u=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+(\delta+2 \epsilon \cos 2 z) u=0 \tag{11.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\cos (2 z-2 \pi)=\cos 2 z$. But (11.14) has the same form as $(11.2)$; therefore,
if $u_{1}(t)$ is a solution of (11.2), then $u_{1}(z)=u_{1}(t+\pi)$ is also a solution of (11.14), that is, (11.2).
From the preceding discussion, it follows that, if $u_{2}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ are any two solutions of (11.2), then $u_{1}(t+\pi)$ and $u_{2}(t+\pi)$ are solutions of (11.2). Moreover, if $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ are linearly independent, then $u_{1}(t+\pi)$ must be linearly dependent on $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$, because a second-order equation has only two linearly independent solutions. Hence, there exist two constants $a_{11}$ and $a_{12}$; both do not vanish simultaneously, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(t+\pi)=a_{11} u_{1}(t)+a_{12} u_{2}(t) \tag{11.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, there exist two constants $a_{21}$ and $a_{22}$; both do not vanish simultaneously, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(t+\pi)=a_{21} u_{1}(t)+a_{22} u_{2}(t) \tag{11.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $u_{2}(t+\pi)$ must be linearly dependent on $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$. For the initial conditions (11.13), we find from (11.15) and (11.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11}=u_{1}(\pi) \quad a_{21}=u_{2}(\pi) \tag{11.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (11.15) and (11.16) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}_{1}(t+\pi)=a_{11} \dot{u}_{1}(t)+a_{12} \dot{u}_{2}(t)  \tag{11.18}\\
& \dot{u}_{2}(t+\pi)=a_{21} \dot{u}_{1}(t)+a_{22} \dot{u}_{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (11.13) into (11.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{12}=\dot{u}_{1}(\pi) \quad a_{22}=\dot{u}_{2}(\pi) \tag{11.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, once $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ are known, the coefficients $a_{i j}$ in (11.15) and (11.16) can be uniquely determined as in (11.17) and (11.19).
We return to (11.15) and (11.16) and write them in matrix notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(t+\pi)=A \mathbf{u}(t) \tag{11.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{11.21}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, we investigate the effect of linearly transforming $u(t)$ to $v(t)$. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}(t)=P u(t) \tag{11.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is a $2 \times 2$ constant nonsingular matrix. In scalar notation, (11.22) cun be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=p_{11} u_{1}+p_{12} u_{2}  \tag{11.23}\\
& v_{2}=p_{21} u_{1}+p_{22} u_{2}
\end{align*}
$$
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It follows from (11.22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(t)=P^{-1} \mathbf{v}(t) \tag{11.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{-1}$ is the inverso of $P$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P P^{-1}=I \tag{11.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix

$$
I=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Substituting (11.24) into (11.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{-1} v(t+\pi)=A P^{-1} v(t) \tag{11.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (11.26) from the left by the matrix $P$ and using (11.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t+\pi)=P A P^{-1} v(t) \tag{11.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}(t+\pi)=B \mathbf{v}(t) \tag{11.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=P A P^{-1} \tag{11.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices $A$ and $B$ are usually called similar matrices because they have the same eigenvalues. To show this, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
|B-\lambda I| & =\left|P A P^{-1}-\lambda P P^{-1}\right|=\left|P(A-\lambda I) P^{-1}\right| \\
& =|P||A-\lambda I|\left|P^{-1}\right|=|A-\lambda I| \tag{11.30}
\end{align*}
$$

because $|P|\left|P^{-1}\right|=1$.
It follows from any book on linear algebra that a nonsingular constant matrix $P$ can be chosen so that $B$ will have its simplest possible form, the so-called Jordan canonical form. This form depends on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A$. The eigenvalues of $A$ and hence $B$ are given by

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11}-\lambda & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

or

$$
\left(a_{11}-\lambda\right)\left(a_{22}-\lambda\right)-a_{12} a_{21}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) \lambda+a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}=0 \tag{11.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}=u_{1}(\pi) \dot{u}_{2}(\pi)-u_{2}(\pi) \dot{u}_{1}(\pi)=1
$$

according to (11.17) and (11.19) and the fact that the Wronskian is unity. Then, (11.31) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-2 \alpha \lambda+1=0 \tag{11.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{1}(\pi)+\dot{u}_{2}(\pi)\right]
$$

The solutions of (11.32) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-1} \tag{11.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \neq 1,(11.33)$ yields the two distinct eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-1} \quad \lambda_{2}=\alpha-\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-1} \tag{11.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $B$ has the diagonal form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0  \tag{11.35}\\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $\alpha= \pm 1$, (11.33) yields only one eigenvalue, namely $\lambda=\alpha= \pm 1$, and $B$ has either the form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & 0  \tag{11.36}\\
0 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

or the form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm 1 & 0  \tag{11.37}\\
1 & \pm 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

When $B$ has either the form (11.35) or (11.36), (11.28) can be rewritten in scalar form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}(t+\pi)=\lambda_{1} v_{1}(t)  \tag{11.38}\\
& v_{2}(t+\pi)=\lambda_{2} v_{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}= \pm 1$ when $B$ has the form (11.36). It follows from (11.38) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{1}(t+2 \pi)=\lambda_{1} v_{1}(t+\pi)=\lambda_{1}^{2} v_{1}(t) \\
v_{1}(t+3 \pi)=\lambda_{1} v_{1}(t+2 \pi)=\lambda_{1}^{3} v_{1}(t) \\
v_{1}(t+4 \pi)=\lambda_{1} v_{1}(t+3 \pi)=\lambda_{1}^{4} v_{1}(t) \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots  \tag{11.39}\\
v_{1}(t+n \pi)=\lambda_{1}^{n} v_{1}(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t+n \pi)=\lambda_{2}^{n} v_{2}(t) \tag{11.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $n \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
v_{i}(t) \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & \left|\lambda_{i}\right|<1  \tag{11.41}\\
\infty & \text { if } & \left|\lambda_{i}\right|>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the solution becomes unbounded with time if the absolute value of any of the $\lambda_{i}$ is larger than unity. When $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=1,(11.38)$ shows that $v_{i}$ is periodic with period $\pi$. When $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{i}(t+\pi)=-v_{i}(t)  \tag{11.42}\\
& v_{i}(t+2 \pi)=-v_{i}(t+\pi)=v_{i}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

so that $v_{i}$ is periodic with period $2 \pi$. Thus, the cases $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}= \pm 1$ separate stable from unstable solutions and they are usually referred to as transition values.

Equations (11.38) can be used to express the $v_{i}(t)$ in the so-called normal or Floquet form. To accomplish this, we multiply the first of (11.38) by exp[ $-\gamma_{1}(t+\pi)$ ], where $\gamma_{1}$ is specified later, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\gamma_{1}(t+\pi)} v_{1}(t+\pi)=\lambda_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1} \pi} e^{-\gamma_{1} t} v_{1}(t) \tag{11.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1} \pi}=1 \quad \text { then } \quad e^{\gamma_{1} \pi}=\lambda_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \lambda_{1} \tag{11.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (11.43) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\gamma_{1}(t+\pi)} v_{1}(t+\pi)=e^{-\gamma_{1} t} v_{1}(t) \tag{11.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\exp \left(-\gamma_{1} t\right) v_{1}(t)$ is periodic with the period $\pi$ so that it can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\gamma_{1} t} v_{1}(t)=\phi_{1}(t) \tag{11.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{1}(t+\pi)=\phi_{1}(t)$. Hence, $v_{1}(t)$ can be expressed in the normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(t)=e^{\gamma_{1}{ }^{t} \phi_{1}(t)} \tag{11.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=(1 / \pi) \ln \lambda_{1}$ is called the characteristic exponent. Similarly, $v_{2}(t)$ can be expressed in the normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t)=e^{\gamma_{2}{ }^{t} \phi_{2}(t)} \tag{11.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{2}(t+\pi)=\phi_{2}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \lambda_{2}
$$

When $B$ has the form (11.37), (11.28) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(t+\pi)=\lambda v_{1}(t) \tag{11.49a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t+\pi)=\lambda v_{2}(t)+v_{1}(t) \tag{11.49b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda= \pm 1$. Using a reasoning similar to the above, we can express $v_{1}(t)$ in the normal form

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}(t) & =e^{\gamma^{t}} \phi_{1}(t)  \tag{11.50}\\
\phi_{1}(t+\pi) & =\phi_{1}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma=\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the second equation in (11.49) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t+\pi)=\lambda v_{2}(t)+e^{\gamma t} \phi_{1}(t) \tag{11.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying, as before, (11.51) by $\exp [-\gamma(t+\pi)]$ gives

$$
e^{-\gamma(t+\pi)} v_{2}(t+\pi)=\lambda e^{-\gamma \pi} e^{-\gamma t} v_{2}(t)+e^{-\gamma \pi} \phi_{1}(t)
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\gamma(t+\pi)} v_{2}(t+\pi)=e^{-\gamma t} v_{2}(t)+\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{1}(t) \tag{11.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $v_{2}(t)$ does not have the form (11.48) due to the presence of the term $\lambda^{-1} \phi_{1}(t)$. Instead, one can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t)=e^{\gamma t}\left[\phi_{2}(t)+\frac{t}{\pi \lambda} \phi_{1}(t)\right], \phi_{2}(t+\pi)=\phi_{2}(t) \tag{11.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|\alpha|>1$, the absolute value of one of the $\lambda_{i}$ is larger than unity, whereas that of the other is less than unity because $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=1$ according to (11.32). Since $\gamma_{i}=(1 / \pi) \ln \lambda_{i}$, the real part of one of the $\gamma_{i}$ is positive and the other is negative. Hence, it follows from (11.41) or (11.47), (11.48), and (11.52) that one of the solutions is unbounded and the other is bounded with time. Figure $11-1$ shows two possible types of unbounded solutions. The first type is oscillatory but with an amplitude that increases exponentially with time, whereas the second type is nonoscillatory and also increases exponentially with time.

When $|\alpha|<1$, the $\lambda_{i}$ are complex conjugates and have unit moduli so that the real parts of the $\gamma_{i}$ are zero. Consequently, the normal solutions are bounded.


Figure 11-1. Unbounded solutions of the Mathieu equation.

## 242 THE MATHIEU EQUATION

The bounded solutions are aperiodic varying with two frequencies-the imaginary part of $\gamma$ and the frequency of the excitation 2. Depending on the ratio of these two frequencies, the solution may exhibit many shapes besides the transition periodic shapes. Three of these shapes are shown in Figure 11-2. The transition from stability to instability occurs for $|\alpha|=1$, which corresponds to the repeated roots $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=-1$ so that $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0$ or $i$. As discussed before, the former case corresponds to the existence of a periodic normal solution with period $\pi$, whereas the second case corresponds to the existence of a periodic normal solution with period $2 \pi$. These ideas are the basis of the method of strained parameters for determining the values of $\delta$ and $\epsilon$, which correspond to $|\alpha|=1$, and hence, the transition from stability to instability. The locus of transition values separates the $\epsilon \delta$ plane into regions of stability and instability, as shown in Figure 11-3. Along these curves at least one of the normal solutions is periodic, with the period $\pi$ or $2 \pi$.

The characteristic exponents for (11.2) can be obtained by numerically calculating two linearly independent solutions using the initial conditions (11.13) during the first period of oscillation. Using the values and first derivatives of these solutions at $t=\pi$, one can calculate $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{1}(\pi)+\dot{u}_{2}(\pi)\right]$, then determine the $\lambda_{i}$ from (11.34), and use them in turn to calculate $\gamma_{i}=(1 / \pi) \ln \lambda_{i}$. Thus, for each pair of values $\delta$ and $\epsilon$, one needs to repeat the above procedure, which is costly and time consuming. When the $\gamma_{i}$ are small, we describe in Section 11.4


Figure 11-2. Bounded solutions of the Mathieu equation.


Figure 11-3. Stable and unstable (shaded) regions in the parameter plane for the Mathieu equation.
a scheme called Whittaker's method for determining approximations to the characteristic exponents.

The above discussion shows that one needs a qualitative form of the solutions, namely the normal or Floquet form, to apply either the method of strained parameters or Whittaker's method. In Sections 11.5 and 11.6, we show that one need not know the normal form of the solutions to apply either the method of multiple scales or the method of averaging.

### 11.3. The Method of Strained Parameters

In Section 11.1, we found that the straightforward expansion fails when $\delta \approx 0,1,4, \cdots, n^{2}$. This suggests expanding $\delta$ around these values in powers of $\epsilon$ in addition to expanding $u(t ; \epsilon)$. Thus, we seek a uniform expansion in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t ; \epsilon) & =u_{0}(t)+\epsilon u_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}(t)+\cdots  \tag{11.53}\\
\delta & =n^{2}+\epsilon \delta_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \delta_{2}+\cdots \tag{11.54}
\end{align*}
$$

and determine the $\delta_{n}$ so that the resulting expansion is periodic. Consequently, the resulting expressions for $\delta$ define the transition curves separating stability from instability, as discussed in the preceding section.
Substituting (11.53) and (11.54) into (11.2) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{u}_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \ddot{u}_{2}+\cdots+\left(n^{2}+\epsilon \delta_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \delta_{2}\right. & +\cdots)\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& +2 \epsilon \cos 2 t\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\cdots\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{0}+n^{2} u_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{u}_{1}+n^{2} u_{1}+\right. & \left.\delta_{1} u_{0}+2 u_{0} \cos 2 t\right) \\
& +\epsilon^{2}\left(\ddot{u}_{2}+n^{2} u_{2}+\delta_{1} u_{1}+\delta_{2} u_{0}+2 u_{1} \cos 2 t\right)+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which upon equating the coefficient of each power of $\epsilon$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{0}+n^{2} u_{0}=0  \tag{11.55}\\
& \ddot{u}_{1}+n^{2} u_{1}=-\delta_{1} u_{0}-2 u_{0} \cos 2 t  \tag{11.56}\\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+n^{2} u_{2}=-\delta_{1} u_{1}-\delta_{2} u_{0}-2 u_{1} \cos 2 t \tag{11.57}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of $(11.55)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=a \cos n t+b \sin n t \tag{11.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $u_{0}$ is periodic with period $\pi$ if $n$ is even and period $2 \pi$ if $n$ is odd. In what follows, we consider the three cases $n=0,1$, and 2 , beginning with the first.

THE CASE $n=0$
In this case, the bounded solution of (11.55) is $u_{0}=a$. Then, (11.56) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}=-\delta_{1} a-2 a \cos 2 t \tag{11.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the term that produces a secular term in $u_{1}$ (i.e., imposing the condition that $u_{1}$ be periodic) demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=0 \tag{11.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (11.59) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}=-2 a \cos 2 t \tag{11.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (11.61), disregarding the homogeneous solution, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a \cos 2 t \tag{11.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (11.57) becomes

$$
\ddot{u}_{2}=-\delta_{2} a-a \cos 2 i \cos 2 t
$$

which upon using trigonometric identities becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}=-\delta_{2} a-\frac{1}{2} a-\frac{1}{2} a \cos 4 t \tag{11.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order that $u_{2}$ be periodic

$$
\delta_{2} a+\frac{1}{2} a=0
$$

which when $a \neq 0$ (nontrivial solution) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \tag{11.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above results, we find that to the second approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=a+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a \cos 2 t+\cdots  \tag{11.65}\\
& \delta=-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{11.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the transition curve separating stability from instability and emanating from $\delta=0$ is given by (11.66). Equation (11.65) shows that along this curve $u$ is periodic with period $\pi$.

THE CASE $n=1$
Substituting (11.58) into (11.56) and setting $n=1$, we obtain

$$
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-\delta_{1}(a \cos t+b \sin t)-2(a \cos t+b \sin t) \cos 2 t
$$

which upon using trigonometric identities becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}= & -\left(\delta_{1}+1\right) a \cos t-\left(\delta_{1}-1\right) b \sin t \\
& -a \cos 3 t-b \sin 3 t \tag{11.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the terms that produce secular terms in $u_{1}$ dernands that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\delta_{1}+1\right) a=0  \tag{11.68}\\
& \left(\delta_{1}-1\right) b=0 \tag{11.69}
\end{align*}
$$

so that (11.67) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+u_{1}=-a \cos 3 t-b \sin 3 t \tag{11.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11.68) that either $\delta_{1}=-1$ or $a=0$, while it follows from (11.69) that either $\delta_{1}=1$ or $b=0$. When $\delta_{1}=-1$, (11.69) demands that $b=0$, while when $\delta_{1}=1,(11.68)$ demands that $a=0$. Thus, we have the two possibilities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{1}=-1 & b=0 \\
\delta_{1}=1 & a=0 \tag{11.72}
\end{array}
$$

Then, disregarding the homogeneous solution we find that the solution of (11.70) is either

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{8} a \cos 3 t \tag{11.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{8} b \sin 3 t \tag{11.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11.71) and (11.73) into (11.57) gives

$$
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=\frac{1}{8} a \cos 3 t-\delta_{2} a \cos t-\frac{1}{4} a \cos 3 t \cos 2 t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-\left(\delta_{2}+\frac{1}{8}\right) a \cos t+\frac{1}{8} a \cos 3 t-\frac{1}{8} a \cos 5 t \tag{11.75}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Eliminating the term that produces a secular term in $u_{2}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=-\frac{1}{8} \tag{11.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to the second approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=a \cos t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon a \cos 3 t+\cdots  \tag{11.77}\\
& \delta=1-\epsilon-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{11.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (11.78) defines one of the transition curves emanating from $\delta=1$, and (11.77) shows that along this curve $u$ is periodic with period $2 \pi$.
Substituting (11.72) and (11.74) into (11.57) gives

$$
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-\frac{1}{8} b \sin 3 t-\delta_{2} b \sin t-\frac{1}{4} b \sin 3 t \cos 2 t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}+u_{2}=-\left(\delta_{2}+\frac{1}{8}\right) b \sin t-\frac{1}{8} b \sin 3 t-\frac{1}{8} b \sin 5 t \tag{11.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the term that produces a secular term in $u_{2}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=-\frac{1}{8} \tag{11.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to the second approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=b \sin t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon b \sin 3 t+\cdots  \tag{11.81}\\
& \delta=1+\epsilon-\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{11.82}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (11.82) defines the second transition curve emanating from $\delta=1$, and (11.81) shows that along this curve $u$ is periodic with period $2 \pi$.

THE CASE $n=2$
Substituting (11.58) into (11.56) and setting $n=2$, we obtain

$$
\ddot{u}_{1}+4 u_{1}=-\delta_{1}(a \cos 2 t+b \sin 2 t)-2(a \cos 2 t+b \sin 2 t) \cos 2 t
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{1}+4 u_{1}=-\delta_{1} a \cos 2 t-\delta_{1} b \sin 2 t-a-a \cos 4 t-b \sin 4 t \tag{11.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{1}$ demands that $\delta_{1}=0$. Then, disregarding the homogeneous solution of (11.83), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} a+\frac{1}{12} a \cos 4 t+\frac{1}{12} b \sin 4 t \tag{11.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (11.57) becomes

$$
\ddot{u}_{2}+4 u_{2}=-\delta_{2}(a \cos 2 t+b \sin 2 t)-2\left(-\frac{1}{4} a+\frac{1}{12} a \cos 4 t+\frac{1}{12} b \sin 4 t\right) \cos 2 t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{2}+4 u_{2}=-\left(\delta_{2}-\frac{5}{12}\right) a \cos 2 t-\left(\delta_{2}+\frac{1}{12}\right) b \sin 2 t+\text { NST } \tag{11.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the secular terms from $u_{2}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta_{2}-\frac{5}{12}\right) a=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\delta_{2}+\frac{1}{12}\right) b=0 \tag{11.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=\frac{5}{12} \quad \text { and } \quad b=0 \tag{11.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=-\frac{1}{12} \quad \text { and } \quad a=0 \tag{11.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to the second approximation, either

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=a \cos 2 t-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon a\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \cos 4 t\right)+\cdots  \tag{11.89}\\
& \delta=4+\frac{5}{12} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{11.90}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=b \sin 2 t+\frac{1}{12} \epsilon b \sin 4 t+\cdots  \tag{11.91}\\
& \delta=4-\frac{1}{12} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{11.92}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (11.90) and (11.92) define the transition curves emanating from $\delta=4$ along which (11.89) and (11.91) show that $u$ is periodic with period $\pi$.

### 11.4. Whittaker's Method

In the preceding section, we found that the method of strained parameters yielded the transition curves and the periodic solutions along them. If we are interested in the solutions in the neighborhoods of the transition curves, we need to use a different method. In this section, we use the normal or Floquet forms of the solution and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=e^{\gamma t_{\phi}} \phi(t) \tag{11.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(t+\pi)=\phi(t)$ according to Floquet theory. Differentiating (11.93) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=e^{\gamma t} \dot{\phi}+\gamma e^{\gamma t_{\phi}} \tag{11.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

which upon differentiation with repect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=e^{\gamma t} \ddot{\phi}+2 \gamma e^{\gamma t} \dot{\phi}+\gamma^{2} e^{\gamma t} \phi \tag{11.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11.93) and (11.95) into (11.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}+2 \gamma \dot{\phi}+\left(\delta+\gamma^{2}+2 \epsilon \cos 2 t\right) \phi=0 \tag{11.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the problem is transformed into one of determining $\gamma$ and the periodic
solutions of (11.96) for a given $\delta$. Near the transition curves, $\gamma$ is small, and hence, we seek an expansion in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(t ; \epsilon) & =\phi_{0}(t)+\epsilon \phi_{1}(t)+\epsilon^{2} \phi_{2}(t)+\cdots  \tag{11.97}\\
\delta & =\delta_{0}+\epsilon \delta_{2}+\epsilon^{2} \delta_{2}+\cdots  \tag{11.98}\\
\gamma & =\epsilon \gamma_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \gamma_{2}+\cdots \tag{11.99}
\end{align*}
$$

To describe the method, we limit ourselves to $O(\epsilon)$. Thus, substituting (11.97) through (11.99) into (11.96) yields

$$
\ddot{\phi}_{0}+\epsilon \ddot{\phi}_{1}+2 \epsilon \gamma_{1}\left(\dot{\phi}_{0}+\epsilon \dot{\phi}_{1}\right)+\left(\delta_{0}+\epsilon \delta_{1}+\epsilon^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}+2 \epsilon \cos 2 t\right)\left(\phi_{0}+\epsilon \phi_{1}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}_{0}+\delta_{0} \phi_{0}+\epsilon\left(\ddot{\phi}_{1}+\delta_{0} \phi_{1}+2 \gamma_{1} \dot{\phi}_{0}+\delta_{1} \phi_{0}+2 \phi_{0} \cos 2 t\right)+\cdots=0 \tag{11.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero in (11.100), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\phi}_{0}+\delta_{0} \phi_{0}=0  \tag{11.101}\\
& \ddot{\phi}_{1}+\delta_{0} \phi_{1}=-2 \gamma_{1} \dot{\phi}_{0}-\delta_{1} \phi_{0}-2 \phi_{0} \cos 2 t \tag{11.102}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (11.101) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=a \cos \sqrt{\delta_{0}} t+b \sin \sqrt{\delta_{0}} t \tag{11.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi$ is periodic with period $\pi$ according to Floquet theory, $\sqrt{\delta_{0}}=n$ so that $\delta_{0}=n^{2}$, where $n$ is an integer. Then, (11.102) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{\phi}_{1}+n^{2} \phi_{1}= & -2 \gamma_{1}(-a n \sin n t+b n \cos n t)-\delta_{1}(a \cos n t+b \sin n t) \\
& -2(a \cos n t+b \sin n t) \cos 2 t \tag{11.104}
\end{align*}
$$

At this order, secular terms in $\phi_{1}$ are produced only from the terms proportional to $\gamma_{1}$ and $\delta_{1}$ unless $n=1$. Then, $(11.104)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}_{1}+\phi_{1}=\left(2 \gamma_{1} a-\delta_{1} b+b\right) \sin t-\left(2 \gamma_{1} b+\delta_{1} a+a\right) \cos t+\mathrm{NST} \tag{11.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the terms that produce secular terms in $\phi_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \gamma_{1} a+\left(1-\delta_{1}\right) b=0  \tag{11.106}\\
& \left(1+\delta_{1}\right) a+2 \gamma_{1} b=0
\end{align*}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (11.106) must vanish. The result is

$$
4 \gamma_{1}^{2}-\left(1-\delta_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \gamma_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\delta_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-\delta_{1}^{2}} \tag{11.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the first equation in (11.106) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=-\frac{2 \gamma_{1}}{1-\delta_{1}} a=\mp\left(\frac{1+\delta_{1}}{1-\delta_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} a, \delta_{1} \neq 1 \tag{11.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (11.107). Therefore, to the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a_{1} e^{(1 / 2) \epsilon t \sqrt{1-\delta_{1}^{2}}}\left[\cos t-\left(\frac{1+\delta_{1}}{1-\delta_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin t\right] \\
& +a_{2} e^{-(1 / 2) \epsilon t \sqrt{1-\delta_{1}^{2}}}\left[\cos t+\left(\frac{1+\delta_{1}}{1-\delta_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin t\right]+\cdots \tag{11.109}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are arbitrary constants to be determined from the initial conditions. When $\delta_{1} \approx 1, a$ should be expressed in terms of $b$.
Equation (11.109) provides a first approximation to $u$ on and near the transition curves. The characteristic exponents are $\pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sqrt{1-\delta_{1}^{2}}$. Consequently, the motion is unbounded when $1>\delta_{1}^{2}$ and bounded when $1 \leqslant \delta_{1}^{2}$. Then, $\delta_{1}^{2}=1$ or $\delta_{1}= \pm 1$ corresponds to the transition from stability to instability. Therefore, to the first approximation, the transition curves emanating from $\delta=1$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=1 \pm \epsilon+\cdots \tag{11.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.5. The Method of Multiple Scales

Although Whittaker's method yielded a uniform approximation to the solutions of (11.2) on and near the transition curves, it is valid only for linear problems for which one can appeal to Floquet theory to determine the forms of the solutions. For nonlinear problems, Floquet theory does not apply and one may not know the form of the solutions a priori. Consequently, one may not be able to use Whittaker's method for such problems. However, these problems can be treated effectively by using the method of multiple scales, because one need not know the form of the solution a priori and because it is not limited by the nonlinearity.

To apply the method of multiple scales to (11.2), we seek a uniform expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; \epsilon)=u\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{n} ; \epsilon\right)=u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}+\epsilon^{2} u_{2}+\cdots \tag{11.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=\epsilon^{n} t$. In this section, we obtain only a first-order expansion and leave the second-order expansion for the exercises. Thus, we stop at $O(\epsilon)$ and use only $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$. Substituting (11.111) into (11.2) and using (5.45) and (5.46), wr obtain

$$
\left(D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}\right)\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}\right)+\left(\delta+2 \epsilon \cos 2 T_{0}\right)\left(u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

where $\cos 2 t$ is expressed in terms of the fast scale. Equating each of the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ and $\epsilon$ to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{0}+\delta u_{0}=0  \tag{11.113}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\delta u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-2 u_{0} \cos 2 T_{0} \tag{11.114}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (11.113) can be expressed in the complex form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \omega T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i \omega T_{0}} \tag{11.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sqrt{\delta} \quad \text { or } \quad \omega^{2}=\delta \tag{11.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (11.114) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\omega^{2} u_{1}= & -2 i \omega A^{\prime} e^{i \omega T_{0}}+2 i \omega \bar{A}^{\prime} e^{-i \omega T_{0}} \\
& -\left(e^{2 i T_{0}}+e^{-2 i T_{0}}\right)\left(A e^{i \omega T_{0}}+\bar{A} e^{-i \omega T_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\omega^{2} u_{1}=-2 i \omega A^{\prime} e^{i \omega T_{0}}-\bar{A} e^{i(2-\omega) T_{0}}-\bar{A} e^{-i(2+\omega) T_{0}}+c c \tag{11.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases to be considered: $\omega$ is away from 1 and $\omega \approx 1$.

THE CASE $\omega$ AWAY FROM 1
In this case, eliminating the terms that lead to secular terms in $u_{1}$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad A=A_{0}=\text { constant } \tag{11.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to the first approximation,

$$
u=A_{0} e^{i \omega T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{0} e^{-i \omega T_{0}}+\cdots
$$

If we let $A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} a_{0} \exp \left(i \beta_{0}\right)$, where $a_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are real constants,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a_{0} \cos \left(\omega t+\beta_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{11.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the motion is bounded.

THE CASE $\omega \approx 1$
In this case, we introduce the detuning parameter $\omega_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=1+\epsilon \omega_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad 1=\omega-\epsilon \omega_{1} \tag{11.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2-\omega) T_{0}=\omega T_{0}-2 \epsilon \omega_{1} T_{0}=\omega T_{0}-2 \omega_{1} T_{1} \tag{11.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11.117) that the secular terms in $u_{1}$ will be eliminated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \omega A^{\prime}+\vec{A} e^{-2 i \omega_{1} T_{1}}=0 \tag{11.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (11.122), we have two choices-either express $A$ in polar form or separate $A$ into real and imaginary parts. With the first choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} a e^{i \beta} \tag{11.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (11.122) becomes

$$
i \omega\left(a^{\prime}+i a \beta^{\prime}\right) e^{i \beta}+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-i\left(\beta+2 \omega_{1} T_{1}\right)}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \omega\left(a^{\prime}+i a \beta^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} a e^{-i \chi}=i \omega\left(a^{\prime}+i a \beta^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} a \cos \chi-\frac{1}{2} i a \sin \chi=0 \tag{11.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=2 \beta+2 \omega_{1} T_{1} \tag{11.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega a^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2} a \sin \chi  \tag{11.126}\\
\omega a \beta^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2} a \cos \chi \tag{11.127}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $\beta$ from (11.125) and (11.127) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega a \chi^{\prime}=2 \omega \omega_{1} a+a \cos \chi \tag{11.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11.126) and (11.128) that when $a \neq 0$

$$
\frac{\omega a^{\prime}}{\omega a \chi^{\prime}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} a \sin \chi}{2 \omega \omega_{1} a+a \cos \chi}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{d a}{a}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin \chi d \chi}{2 \omega \omega_{1}+\cos \chi}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d(\cos \chi)}{2 \omega \omega_{1}+\cos \chi}
$$

which upon integration yields

$$
\ln a=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2 \omega \omega_{1}+\cos \chi\right)+\ln c
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=c\left[2 \omega \omega_{1}+\cos \chi\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{11.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11.129) provides a relation between $a$ and $\chi$ and one needs to solve either (11.126) or ( 11.128 ) to determine $a$ and hence $\chi$ as functions of $T_{1}$.

Since $\chi$ takes on all possible values, $\cos \chi$ ranges from -1 to 1 . Hence, the bracketed term in (11.129) vanishes and a becomes infinite (i.e., the motion is unbounded) if $2 \omega \omega_{1} \leqslant 1$ or $2 \omega \omega_{1} \geqslant-1$; otherwise, the bracketed term never vanishes and $a$ is always bounded. Thus, the transition from stability to instability corresponds to

$$
2 \omega \omega_{1}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \omega \omega_{1}=-1
$$

Since $\omega \approx 1$, these conditions yield $\omega_{1} \approx \frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the transition curves are given by
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$$
\omega=1 \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon+\cdots
$$

But $\delta=\omega^{2}$; therefore, the transition curves emanating from $\delta=1$ are given by

$$
\delta=\left(1 \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon+\cdots\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=1 \pm \epsilon+\cdots \tag{11.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the second choice, we first introduce the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B e^{-i \omega_{1} T_{1}} \tag{11.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (11.122) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \omega B^{\prime}+2 \omega \omega_{1} B+\bar{B}=0 \tag{11.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the above transformation transformed (11.122) into the constant-coefficient equation (11.132). To solve (11.132), we express $B$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{r}+i B_{i} \tag{11.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \omega\left(B_{r}^{\prime}+i B_{i}^{\prime}\right)+2 \omega \omega_{1}\left(B_{r}+i B_{i}\right)+B_{r}-i B_{i}=0 \tag{11.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating real and imaginary parts in (11.134) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \omega B_{r}^{\prime}+\left(2 \omega \omega_{1}-1\right) B_{i}=0  \tag{11.135}\\
& 2 \omega B_{i}^{\prime}-\left(2 \omega \omega_{1}+1\right) B_{r}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (11.135) have constant coefficients so that their general solutions can be sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{r}=b_{r} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}} \quad B_{i}=b_{i} e^{\gamma_{1} T_{1}} \tag{11.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when substituted into (11.135) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \omega \gamma_{1} b_{r}+\left(2 \omega \omega_{1}-1\right) b_{i} & =0  \tag{11.137}\\
-\left(2 \omega \omega_{1}+1\right) b_{r}+2 \omega \gamma_{1} b_{i} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (11.137) must vanish. The result is

$$
4 \omega^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}+\left(4 \omega^{2} \omega_{1}^{2}-1\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \gamma_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\omega^{-2}-4 \omega_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega^{-2}-4 \omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{11.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega \approx 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1} \approx \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-4 \omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{11.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (11.137) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=\frac{2 \omega \gamma_{1}}{1-2 \omega \omega_{1}} b_{r} \approx \pm\left(\frac{1+2 \omega_{1}}{1-2 \omega_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} b_{r}, \omega_{1} \neq \frac{1}{2} \tag{11.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11.131) and (11.133) into (11.115) and substituting the result into (11.111), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\left(B_{r}+i B_{i}\right) e^{i\left(\omega T_{0}-\omega_{1} T_{1}\right)}+\left(B_{r}-i B_{i}\right) e^{-i\left(\omega T_{0}-\omega_{i} T_{1}\right)}+\cdots \\
& =\left(B_{r}+i B_{i}\right) e^{i t}+\left(B_{r}-i B_{i}\right) e^{-i t}+\cdots \\
& =B_{r}\left(e^{i t}+e^{-i t}\right)+i B_{i}\left(e^{i t}-e^{-i t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

on account of (11.120). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2 B_{r} \cos t-2 B_{i} \sin t+\cdots \tag{11.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11.136) and (11.140) in (11.141), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u= & a_{1} e^{(1 / 2) \epsilon t \sqrt{1-4 \omega_{1}^{2}}}\left[\cos t-\left(\frac{1+2 \omega_{1}}{1-2 \omega_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot \sin t\right] \\
& +a_{2} e^{-(1 / 2) \epsilon t \sqrt{1-4 \omega_{1}^{2}}}\left[\cos t+\left(\frac{1+2 \omega_{1}}{1-2 \omega_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin t\right]+\cdots \tag{11.142}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with (11.109) obtained by using Whittaker's method because $2 \omega_{1}=\delta_{1}$. When $\omega_{1} \approx \frac{1}{2}, b$, should be expressed in terms of $b_{i}$.

### 11.6. The Method of Averaging

To apply the method of averaging to (11.2), we first need to change the dependent variable from $u(t)$ to $a(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=a(t) \cos [\omega t+\beta(t)] \tag{11.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as before $\delta=\omega^{2}$. Differentiating (11.143) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\omega a \sin (\omega t+\beta)+\dot{a} \cos (\omega t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (\omega t+\beta) \tag{11.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, imposing the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-\omega a \sin (\omega t+\beta) \tag{11.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from (11.141) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a} \cos (\omega t+\beta)-a \dot{\beta} \sin (\omega t+\beta)=0 \tag{11.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (11.145) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}=-\omega^{2} a \cos (\omega t+\beta)-\omega \dot{a} \sin (\omega t+\beta)-\omega a \dot{\beta} \cos (\omega t+\beta) \tag{11.147}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Substituting (11.143) and (11.147) into (11.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\omega^{2} a \cos (\omega t+\beta)-\omega \dot{a} \sin (\omega t+\beta)-\omega a \dot{\beta} \cos (\omega t+\beta) \\
&+(\delta+2 \dot{\epsilon} \cos 2 t) a \cos (\omega t+\beta)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \dot{a} \sin (\omega t+\beta)+\omega a \dot{\beta} \cos (\omega t+\beta)=2 \epsilon a \cos 2 t \cos (\omega t+\beta) \tag{11.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\delta=\omega^{2}$. Solving (11.146) and (11.148) for $\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\beta}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega \dot{a} & =2 \epsilon a \cos 2 t \sin (\omega t+\beta) \cos (\omega t+\beta)  \tag{11.149}\\
\omega a \dot{\beta} & =2 \epsilon a \cos 2 t \cos ^{2}(\omega t+\beta)
\end{align*}
$$

Using trigonometric identities, we rewrite (11.149) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega \dot{i} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a\{\sin [2(\omega+1) t+2 \beta]+\sin [2(\omega-1) t+2 \beta]\}  \tag{11.150}\\
\omega a \dot{\beta} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a\{2 \cos 2 t+\cos [2(\omega+1) t+2 \beta]+\cos [2(\omega-1) t+2 \beta]\} \tag{11.151}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, there are two possibilities-either $\omega$ is away from 1 or $\omega \approx 1$. When $\omega$ is away from 1 , all the terms on the right-hand sides of (11.150) and (11.151) are fast varying so that to the first approximation

$$
\dot{a}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{\beta}=0
$$

in agreement with (11.118) obtained by using the method of multiple scales. When $\omega \approx 1,(\omega-1) t+\beta$ is a slowly varying function of $t$ so that, to the first approximation, it follows from (11.150) and (11.151) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega \overline{\dot{a}}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a \sin [2(\omega-1) t+2 \beta]  \tag{11.152}\\
\omega a \dot{\beta}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon a \cos [2(\omega-1) t+2 \beta]
\end{gather*}
$$

in agreement with (11.125) through (11.127) obtained by using the method of multiple scales.

## Exercises

### 11.1. Consider the Mathieu equation

$$
\ddot{u}+(\delta+2 \epsilon \cos 2 t) u=0
$$

Determine a second-order uniform expansion by using the method of multiple scales when $\delta \approx 0$ and $\delta \approx 4$.

### 11.2. Consider the Mathieu equation

$$
\ddot{u}+(\delta+2 \epsilon \cos 2 t) u=0
$$

Use Whittaker's method to determine second-order uniform expansions when $\delta \approx 0$ and $\delta \approx 4$.
11.3. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\frac{\delta u}{1+\epsilon \cos 2 t}=0
$$

(a) Determine second-order expansions for the transition curves near $\delta=0$, 1 , and 4.
(b) Use Whittaker's technique to determine second-order expansions for $u$ near these curves.
11.4. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\frac{\delta-\epsilon \cos ^{2} t}{1-\epsilon \cos ^{2} t} u=0
$$

(a) Determine second-order expansions of the first three transition curves (i.e., near $\delta=0,1$, and 4 ).
(b) Use Whittaker's technique to determine $u$ near these curves.
11.5. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\left(\delta+\epsilon \cos ^{3} t\right) u=0
$$

Determine second-order expansions for the first three transition curves using both the method of strained parameters and Whittaker's technique.
11.6. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\left(\delta+\epsilon \cos 2 t-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} \alpha \sin 2 t+\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{2} \cos 4 t\right) u=0
$$

Determine three terms for the transition curves when $\delta \approx 1$ and $\delta \approx 4$.
11.7. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\epsilon \cos t)^{-2} a^{-2}\left[2(1-\epsilon \cos t)\left(2-\epsilon a^{2} \cos t\right)+\epsilon^{2} a^{2} \sin ^{2} t\right] u=0
$$

Show that three of the transition curves are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2 \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon+\cdots \\
& a=1+\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

11.8. Consider the problem

$$
\ddot{u}+\left(\omega^{2}+2 \epsilon \cos 3 t\right) u=0, \epsilon \ll 1
$$

(a) Use the method of variation of parameters to determine the equations describing the amplitude and the phase.
(b) Use the method of averaging to determine the equations describing the slow variations in the amplitude and the phase. Consider all cases.
11.9. Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations describing the amplitudes and the phases to first order for a system governed by

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\epsilon u^{2} \cos \Omega t
$$

where $\Omega$ is away from zero when
(a) $\omega_{0}$ is away from $\Omega$ and $\frac{1}{3} \Omega$,
(b) $\omega_{0} \approx \Omega$,
(c) $3 \omega_{0} \approx \Omega$.
11.10. Consider the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u+2 \epsilon u^{3} \cos 2 t=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations describing the amplitude and the phase to first order when
(a) $\omega_{0}$ is away from 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$,
(b) $\omega_{0} \approx 1$,
(c) $\omega_{0} \approx \frac{1}{2}$.
11.11. The parametric excitation of a two-degree-of-freedom system is governed by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ddot{u}_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{1}+\epsilon \cos \Omega t\left(f_{11} u_{1}+f_{12} u_{2}\right)=0 \\
\ddot{u}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} u_{2}+\epsilon \cos \Omega t\left(f_{21} u_{2}+f_{22} u_{2}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Use the methods of multiple scales and averaging to determine the equations describing the amplitudes and the phases when $\Omega \approx \omega_{2} \mp \omega_{1}$.

## CHAPTER 12

## Boundary-Layer Problems

In Chapters 4-11, the effect of the perturbations is small but cumulative over a long period of time. Consequently, the amplitudes and phases are slowly varying functions of time and can be handled by slow time scales or mild strainings of the independent variable. In this chapter, we consider problems in which the perturbations are operative over very narrow regions across which the dependent variables undergo very rapid changes. These narrow regions frequently adjoin the boundaries of the domain of interest, owing to the fact that the small parameter multiplies the highest derivative. Consequently, they are usually referred to as boundary layers in fluid mechanics, edge layers in solid mechanics, and skin layers in electrical applications. There are many physical situations in which the sharp changes occur inside the domain of interest, and the narrow regions across which these changes take place are usually referred to as shock layers in fluid and solid mechanics, transition points in quantum mechanics, and Stokes lines and surfaces in mathematics. These rapid changes cannot be handled by slow scales, but they can be handled by fast or magnified or stretched scales.

There are a number of methods available for treating boundary-layer problems including the method of matched asymptotic expansions, the method of composite expansions, the method of multiple scales, the WKBJ method, and the Langer transformation. The latter two methods are applicable to linear problems with a large parameter and they are discussed in Chapter 14. In this chapter, we concentrate our discussion on the method of matched asymptotic expansions, briefly introduce the method of composite expansions, and apply the method of multiple scales to one example.

We begin with a simple example whose exact solution is available for comparison and motivation of the methods to be used. Then, we consider linear and nonlinear problems whose exact solutions are not available.

### 12.1. A Simple Example -

We consider the simple boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right) y=0 \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless positive number. It is assumed that the equation and boundary conditions have been made dimensionless.
As a start, we seek a straightforward oxpansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x ; \epsilon)=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.3) into (12.1) and (12.2) gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon\left(y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right)+\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{\prime}+\epsilon y_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots\right)+\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}+\epsilon y_{1}+\cdots\right)=0 \\
y_{0}(0)+\epsilon y_{1}(0)+\cdots=\alpha \\
y_{0}(1)+\epsilon y_{1}(1)+\cdots=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have
Order $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{0}=0  \tag{12.4}\\
y_{0}(0)=\alpha \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta \tag{12.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}=-y_{0}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{12.6}\\
y_{1}(0)=0 \quad y_{1}(1)=0 \tag{12.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution of (12.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{-x} \tag{12.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant.
We note from (12.5) that there are two boundary conditions on $y_{0}$, whereas the general solution (12.8) of $y_{0}$ contains only one arbitrary constant. Thus, $y_{0}$ cannot (except by coincidence) satisfy both boundary conditions. For example, if we impose the condition $y_{0}(0)=\alpha$, we obtain from (12.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=c_{0} \quad \text { so that } \quad y_{0}=\alpha e^{-x} \tag{12.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, imposing the boundary condition $y_{0}(1)=\beta$, we obtain from (12.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=c_{0} e^{-1} \quad \text { or } \quad c_{0}=\beta e \tag{12.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\beta e^{1-x} \tag{12.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12.9) and (12.10), we find that the boundary conditions demand two different values for $c_{0}$, namely $c_{0}=\alpha$ and $c_{0}=\beta e$, which are inconsistent unless it happens by coincidence that $\alpha=\beta e$.

Comparing (12.4) and (12.1), we note that the order of the differential equation is reduced from second order, which can cope with two boundary conditions, to first order, which can cope with only one boundary condition. Hence, one of the boundary conditions cannot be satisfied and must be dropped. Consequently, the resulting expansion is not expected to be valid at or near the end point where the boundary condition has been dropped. The question arises as to which of the boundary conditions must be dropped. This question can be answered using either physical or mathematical arguments as discussed below. As shown below, when the coefficient of $y^{\prime}$ in (12.1) is positive, the boundary condition at the left end must be dropped.

Dropping the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$, we conclude that $c_{0}=\beta e$ and that $y_{0}$ is given by (12.11). Then, (12.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}=-\beta e^{1-x} \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=c_{1} e^{-x}-\beta x e^{1-x} \tag{12.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, (12.12) is first order. Hence, $y_{1}$ contains only one arbitrary constant and cannot cope with the two boundary conditions (12.7). Hence, no relief arose at first-order. In fact, no relief can be expected at any order, because the differential equation at any order is first order. Again, we drop the boundary condition at $x=0$, use the boundary condition $y_{1}(1)=0$, and find from (12.13) that $c_{1}=$ $\beta e$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\beta e^{1-x}-\beta x e^{1-x}=\beta(1-x) e^{1-x} \tag{12.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.11) and (12.14) into (12.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{1-x}+\epsilon \beta(1-x) e^{1-x}+\cdots \tag{12.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the origin, $y=\beta e(1+\epsilon)$, which is in general different from the $\alpha$ in (12.2).
To determine the source of the nonuniformity and how it can be circumvented, we next investigate the exact solution.

## EXACT SOLUTION

Since (12.1) is linear having constant coefficients, its solution can be sought by putting

$$
y=e^{s x}
$$

and obtaining

$$
\epsilon s^{2}+\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right) s+1-\epsilon^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
(\epsilon s+1-\epsilon)(s+1+\epsilon)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
s=-(1+\epsilon) \quad \text { or } \quad-\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1
$$

and the general solution of $(12.1)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a_{1} e^{-(1+\epsilon) x}+a_{2} e^{-i(1 / \epsilon)-1] x} \tag{12.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the boundary conditions (12.2) in (12.16), we have

$$
\alpha=a_{1}+a_{2} \quad \beta=a_{1} e^{-(1+\epsilon)}+a_{2} e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}
$$

whose solution is

$$
a_{1}=\frac{\beta-\alpha e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}}{e^{-(1+\epsilon)}-e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}} \quad a_{2}=\frac{\alpha e^{-(1+\epsilon)}-\beta}{e^{-(1+\epsilon)}-e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}}
$$

Therefore, the exact solution of (12.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{\left[\beta-\alpha e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}\right] e^{-(1+\epsilon) x}+\left[\alpha e^{-(1+\epsilon)}-\beta\right] e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1] x}}{e^{-(1+\epsilon)}-e^{-[(1 / \epsilon)-1]}} \tag{12.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the nature of the nonuniformity at the origin in the straightforward expansion, we expand the exact solution (12.17) for small $\epsilon$. To this end, we note that $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$ is smaller than any power of $\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we can rewrite (12.17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{(1+\epsilon)(1-x)}+\left[\alpha-\beta e^{1+\epsilon}\right] e^{-(x / \epsilon)+x}+\text { EST } \tag{12.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EST stands for exponentially small terms. In deriving the straightforward expansion (12.15), we assumed that $x$ is fixed at a value different from zero and then expanded $y$ for small $\epsilon$. If we keep $x$ fixed and positive, then $\exp (-x / \epsilon)$ is exponentially small and (12.18) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{(1+\epsilon)(1-x)}+E S T \tag{12.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding (12.19) for small $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\beta e^{1-x} e^{\epsilon(1-x)}+\text { EST } \\
& =\beta e^{1-x}\left[1+\epsilon(1-x)+\frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{2}(1-x)^{2}+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{1-x}+\epsilon \beta(1-x) e^{1-x}+\cdots \tag{12.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with the straightforward expansion.
As in the case of the straightforward expansion, (12.20) is not valid at or near the origin because $y(0)=\beta e(1+\epsilon)$, which is in general different from the $\alpha$ in
the boundary condition in (12.2). Therefore, in the process of expanding (12.17) for small $\epsilon$, we must have performed one or more illegitimate operations that caused the nonuniformity. To trace such iilegitimate operations, we review our process of expanding the exact solution. We first assumed that $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$ is exponentially small and arrived at (12.18), which is uniform because at $x=0$ it yields $y(0)=\alpha$, the imposed boundary condition in (12.2). Next, we fixed $x$ at a positive value that is different from zero, concluded that $\exp (-x / \epsilon)$ is exponentially small, and obtained (12.19). Putting $x=0$ in (12.19), we find that $y(0)=\beta \exp (1+\epsilon)$, which is in general different from the $\alpha$ in (12.2). Hence, this step is the one responsible for the nonuniformity. Looking at this step closely, we find that $\exp (-x / \epsilon)$ is exponentially small as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ only when $x$ is positive and away from zero. Therefore, it is not surprising that any expansion based on this assumption is not valid when $x \approx 0$. In fact, at $x=0, \exp (-x / \epsilon)=$ 1 , which is much bigger than any $\epsilon^{m}$, where $m>0$. When $x=\epsilon, \exp (-x / \epsilon)=e^{-1}$, which is $O(1)$ and not an exponentially small term.
Then, there arises the question of how good the straightforward expansion (12.15) is when we know that it is not valid near $x=0$. The answer to this question can be seen in Figure 12-1, which compares the value of $y$ calculated from (12.15) and denoted by $y^{0}$, with the value of $y$ calculated from the exact solution (12.17) and denoted by $\boldsymbol{y}^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.01$. It can be seen that, for this small $\epsilon, y^{0}$ agrees with $y^{e}$ except in a small interval near the origin called the boundary layer, where $y^{e}$ changes quickly in order to satisfy the boundary condition there. Figure $12-2$ shows that as $\epsilon$ decreases the boundary layer becomes thinner; thus, $y(x ; \epsilon)$ is continuous for $\epsilon>0$ but discontinuous for $\epsilon=0$. Hence, the limits of $y$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ are not interchangeable. In fact,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon)=\alpha
$$

according to (12.17), and hence,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon)=\alpha
$$



Figure 12-1. Comparison of the outer expansion $y^{0}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $e=0.01$, $\beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.


Figure 12-2. Effect of $\epsilon$ on the thickness of the boundary layer for $\beta=1.0$ and $\alpha=0.0$.
On the other hand,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon)=\beta e^{1-x}
$$

according to (12.17), and hence,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon)=\beta e
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon) \neq \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} y(x ; \epsilon)
$$

thereby showing the nonuniform convergence of the exact solution $y(x ; \epsilon)$ to the straightforward expansion $y^{0}$. Therefore, problems of this kind are usually referred to as singular-perturbation problems. Inspection of the exact solution (12.17) shows that $y$ depends on $x$ and $\epsilon$ in the combinations (scales) $x / \epsilon$ and $\epsilon x$ as well as $x$ alone. There arises the question of whether one can determine a uniform expansion by using another scale that is a function of $x$ and $\epsilon$ instead of $x$. To answer this question, we investigate next the effect of scales on the resulting expansion.

## EFFECT OF SCALES ON EXPANSION

To devise a method for determining uniform expansions for singular-perturbation problems, we magnify or stretch the boundary layer and investigate the behavior of the solution in the boundary layer as a function of the magnified scale. To facilitate this step, we investigate the effect of changing the scale on the resulting expansion.
For example, let us change the scale from $x$ to the magnified scale $\xi=x / \epsilon$. Then, (12.18), which does not contain the exponentially small terms, becomes

$$
y=\beta e^{(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon \xi)}+\left[\alpha-\beta e^{1+\epsilon}\right] e^{-\xi+\epsilon \xi}+\mathrm{EST}
$$

Expanding for small $\epsilon$ with $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ being kept fixed yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\xi}+\epsilon\left\{\beta e(1-\xi)+[-\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) \xi] e^{-\xi}\right\}+\cdots \tag{12.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $x,(12.21)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e(1-x)+(\alpha-\beta e)(1+x) e^{-x / \epsilon}+\epsilon \beta e\left[1-e^{-x / \epsilon}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the origin, $y(0)=\alpha$, while at the right end $y(1)=\epsilon \beta e$. Hence, (12.22) is not valid near $x=1$ although it seems to be valid at the origin. Figure $12 \cdot 3$ shows that the expansion (12.22) agrees with the exact solution in a small neighborhood of the origin but deviates very much from the exact solution away from the origin.

As a second example, we consider the more magnified scale $\zeta=x / \epsilon^{2}$. Then, (12.18) becomes

$$
y=\beta e^{(l+\epsilon)\left(1-\epsilon^{2} \zeta\right)}+\left[\alpha-\beta e^{1+\epsilon}\right] e^{-\epsilon \zeta+\epsilon^{2} \zeta}+\operatorname{EST}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\zeta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\alpha-\epsilon(\alpha-\beta e) \zeta+\cdots \tag{12.23a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\alpha-\frac{x}{\epsilon}(\alpha-\beta e)+\cdots \tag{12.23b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, at the origin $y(0)=\alpha$, whereas, at the right end, $y(1)=\alpha-(\alpha-\beta e) / \epsilon$, which is different from the $\beta$ in (12.2). Hence, (12.23) is not valid near $x=1$. In fact, Figure $12-4$ shows that it is valid only in a very small neighborhood of the origin.
As a third example, we consider the moderately magnified scale $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$. Then, (12.18) becomes

$$
y=\beta e^{(1+\epsilon)\left(1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta\right)}+\left[\alpha-\beta e^{1+\epsilon}\right] e^{-\eta \epsilon^{-1 / 2}+\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta}+\text { EST }
$$



Figure 12-3. Comparison of the expansion (12.22) called the inner expansion and denoted by $y^{i}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.01, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.


Figure 12-4. Comparison of the expansion (12.23) called the inner inner expansion and denoted by $y^{l i}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.01, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.
which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =\beta e(1+\epsilon+\cdots)\left(1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \eta^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& =\beta e\left[1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta+\epsilon\left(1+\frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{2}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{12.24a}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e\left[1-x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\epsilon+\cdots\right] \tag{12.24b}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the origin, $y(0)=\beta e(1+\epsilon) \neq \alpha$, whereas at the right end $y(1)=\beta e\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\right) \neq \beta$. Hence, (12.24) is not valid near either of the end points. However, Figure 12.5 shows that it agrees with the exact solution in a small interior interval.

The above discussion shows that an expansion of a function that depends on an independent variable and a small parameter, such as $y(x ; \epsilon)$, depends strongly on the scale being used (i.e., the independent variable being kept fixed). In the present example, when $x$ was kept fixed, we obtained (12.20), which is valid everywhere except near the origin. When $\xi=x / \epsilon$ was kept fixed, we obtained (12.22), which is valid only in a small neighborhood of the origin. When $\zeta=x / \epsilon^{2}$


Figure 12-5. Comparison of the expansion (12.24) called the intermediate expansion and denoted by $y^{I}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.01, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.
was kept fixed, we obtained (12.23), which is valid only in a very small neighborhood of the origin. When $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$ was kept fixed, we obtained (12.24), which is not valid near any of the end points but valid in a small interior interval. Thus, it appears that a uniform expansion of the solution of a singular-perturbation problem cannot be expressed in terms of a single scale (i.e., a single combination of $x$ and $\epsilon$ ), such as $x$ or $x / \epsilon$ or $x / \epsilon^{2}$ or $x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$, making it an ideal problem for application of the method of multiple scales. However, for nonlinear problems, especially those governed by nonlinear partial-differential equations, application of the method of multiple scales may not be straightforward, and an alternative method, the method of matched asymptotic expansions, is frequently used. In this chapter, we stress this method.
The basic idea underlying the method of matched asymptotic expansions is that an approximate solution to a given problem is sought not as a single expansion in terms of a single scale but as two or more separate expansions in terms of two or more scales each of which is valid in part of the domain. The scales are chosen so that (a) the expansions as a whole cover the whole domain of interest and (b) the domains of validity of neighboring expansions overlap. Because the domains overlap, the neighboring expansions can be matched (blended), and hence, connected. Next, we discuss the matching or blending process.

## MATCHING

As an example, to illustrate the basic idea, we attempt to match the expansion (12.15), which is valid everywhere except in a small neighborhood of the origin, and the expansion (12.21), which is valid in a small neighborhood of the origin. If these two expansions have overlapping domains of validity, then we should be able to match them. Expansion (12.15) was obtained by expanding $y(x ; \epsilon)$ with $x$ being kept fixed, while (12.21) was obtained by expanding $y(x ; \epsilon)$ with $\xi=x / \epsilon$ being kept fixed. There arises the question of what the effect would be of changing the scales in these two expansions.
Before answering this question, let us denote the expansion obtained by keeping $x$ fixed with the superscript $o$, and hence, replace $y$ in (12.15) with $y^{0}$. Moreover, let us denote the expansion obtained by keeping $\xi=x / \epsilon$ fixed with the superscript $i$, and hence, replace $y$ in (12.21) with $y^{i}$. Next, let us change the scale in (12.15) from $x$ to $\xi$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+\epsilon \beta(1-\epsilon \xi) e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+\cdots \tag{12.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding (12.25) for small $\epsilon$ and keeping $\xi$ fixed, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
.\left(y^{\sigma}\right)^{1}=\beta e+\epsilon \beta e(1-\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $i$ is used in (12.26) to indicate that $y^{o}$ has been expanded by keeping $\xi$ fixed. We express (12.21) in terms of $x$, recall that $y$ should be replaced with $y^{i}$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\beta e(1-x)+(\alpha-\beta e)(1+x) e^{-x / \epsilon}+\epsilon \beta e\left[1-e^{-x / \epsilon}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding (12.27) for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\beta e(1-x)+\epsilon \beta e+\cdots \tag{12.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $o$ is used in (12.28) to indicate that $y^{i}$ has been expanded by keeping $x$ fixed. If we replace $\xi$ with $x / \epsilon$ in (12.26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\beta e(1-x)+\epsilon \beta e+\cdots \tag{12.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12.28) and (12.29), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\left(y^{o}\right)^{i} \tag{12.30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words,
the outer expansion of (the inner expansion)

$$
=\text { the inner expansion of the outer expansion }(12.30 \mathrm{~b})
$$

It turns out that (12.30) holds whenever two neighboring expansions have overlapping domains. It is usually referred to as the matching principle and it serves to connect neighboring expansions. Hence, the union of the domains of validity of (12.15) and (12.21) covers the whole domain of interest, namely the interval $[0,1]$. Consequently, they can be used to represent $y$ over $[0,1]$.

Next, let us change the scales in (12.15) and (12.23a). Again, let us denote by the superscript $o$ the expansion obtained by keeping $x$ fixed. Also, let us denote by the superscript $i i$ the expansion obtained by keeping $\zeta=x / \epsilon^{2}$ fixed. We refer to this expansion as an inner inner expansion. Replacing $x$ in (12.15) with $\zeta$, we have

$$
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon^{2} \zeta}+\epsilon \beta\left(1-\epsilon^{2} \zeta\right) e^{1-\epsilon^{2} \zeta}+\cdots
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\zeta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i i}=\beta e+\epsilon \beta e+\cdots \tag{12.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $x$, the expansion (12.23a) is given by (12.23b), which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i i}\right)^{o}=-(\alpha-\beta e) \frac{x}{\epsilon}+\alpha \tag{12.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12.31) and (12.32), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i i} \neq\left(y^{i i}\right)^{o} \tag{12.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

indicating that (12.15) and (12.23a) do not have overlapping domains. This is not surprising because it is clear from Figure 12-4 that (12.23) is valid only in a very small neighborhood of the origin, and this is the reason we referred to it
as an inner inner expansion. We note that there are many inner inner expansions characterized by the scales $\zeta=x / \epsilon^{\nu}$, where $\nu>1$. Hence, the union of the domains of validity of (12.15) and (12.23a) does not cover the whole domain of interest, namely the interval $[0,1]$.

As a third case, let us consider interchanging the scales in (12.15) and (12.24a). Let us denote by the superscript $I$ the expansion obtained by keeping $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$ fixed. We refer to this expansion as an intermediate expansion. Changing the scale from $x$ to $\eta$ in (12.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta}+\epsilon \beta\left(1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta\right) e^{1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta}+\cdots \tag{12.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{0}\right)^{I}=\beta e-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \beta e \eta+\epsilon\left(\beta e+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2}\right) \tag{12.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\eta$ with $x$ in (12.24a) yields (12.24b), which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{I}\right)^{0}=\beta e\left(1-x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)+\epsilon \beta e+\cdots \tag{12.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12.35) and (12.36) and recalling that $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$, we conclude that they are identical, and hence, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{0}\right)^{I}=\left(y^{I}\right)^{0} \tag{12.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the expansions (12.15) and (12.24a) have overlapping domains, making the expansions obtained by interchanging the scales identical. It is clear from Figure $12-5$ that it is not surprising that (12.15) and (12.24a) have overlapping domains. Although (12.15) and (12.24a) have overlapping domains, the union of their domains of validity does not cover the whole interval of interest because neither of them is valid near the origin.
-The above discussion shows that neighboring expansions obtained by using different scales need not have overlapping domains. Moreover for neighboring expansions, the union of their overlapping domains need not cover the whole domain of interest. Thus, the objective of the method of matched asymptotic expansions is to determine expansions that cover the whole domain of interest such that neighboring expansions have overlapping domains. Neighboring expansions are matched or blended using the matching principle (12.30).
In this case, one can use (12.15) and (12.21) because they cover the whole domain of interest and yet have overlapping domains. However, one cannot use (12.15) and (12.23a) because they do not have overlapping domains. Moreover, one cannot use (12.15) and (12.24a) because the union of their domains does not cover the whole domain of interest. We should note that the domain of validity of (12.24a) overlaps with that of (12.21). To see this, we express (12.21) in terms of $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}=\epsilon^{1 / 2} \xi$ and obtain

$$
y^{i}=\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\eta / e^{1 / 2}}+\epsilon\left\{\beta e\left(1-\frac{\eta}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}\right)+\left[-\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) \frac{\eta}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}\right] e^{-\eta / \epsilon^{1 / 2}}\right\}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{I}=\beta e-\beta e \epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta+\epsilon \beta e+\cdots \tag{12.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\eta$ in (12.24a) with $\xi$, we have

$$
y^{I}=\beta e\left[1-\epsilon \xi+\epsilon\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \xi^{2}\right)+\cdots\right]
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{I}\right)^{i}=\beta e+\epsilon \beta e(1-\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12.38) and (12.39) and recalling that $\eta=\epsilon^{1 / 2} \xi$, we conclude that they are identical, and hence, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{I}=\left(y^{I}\right)^{i} \tag{12.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The preceding discussion shows that the domains of validity of (12.15) and (12.21) overlap and their union covers the whole domain of interest. Expansion (12.15) is usually referred to as an outer expansion and denoted by the superscript $o$. Expansion (12.21) is usually referred to as inner expansion and denoted by the superscript $i$. The variable $x$ is called the outer variable, while the variable $\xi=x / \epsilon$ is called the inner variable. Moreover, the domain of (12.24a) overlaps that of $(12.15)$ on the one hand and that of (12.21) on the other hand. Consequently, expansion (12.24a) is usually referred to as an intermediate expansion, and the variable $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$ is called an intermediate variable because the mag. nification provided by $\eta$ falls in between $x$ and $\xi=x / \epsilon$. Thus, any variable $\eta=$ $x / \epsilon^{\nu}$, where $0<\nu<1$, is an intermediate variable. Instead of matching the outer and inner expansions directly, one can match them by equating their respective intermediate expansions. We demonstrate this process in Section 12.3. In the next section, we show how the method of multiple scales can be used to determine a uniform expansion for (12.1).

### 12.2. The Method of Multiple Scales

As discussed in the preceding section, $y(x ; \epsilon)$ depends on $x$ and $\epsilon$ in the combinations $x / \epsilon$ and $\epsilon x$ in addition to $x$ and $\epsilon$ alone. This makes this problem ideal for application of the method of multiple scales. Since the domain is finite, ex stays small, and hence, nonuniformities will not arise from the presence of the secular terms $\epsilon x, \epsilon^{2} x^{2}, \epsilon^{3} x^{3}, \cdots$, in contrast with the cases of infinite domains discussed in Chapters 4 through 11. Thus, it is sufficient to introduce the stretched scale $\xi=x / \epsilon$, which in this case is the same as the inner variable, and $x_{0}=x$, which in this case is the outer variable. In terms of these scales

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}  \tag{12.41}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi \partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (12.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{2 \partial^{2} y}{\partial \xi \partial x_{0}}+\epsilon \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}+\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{0}}\right)+\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right) y=0 \tag{12.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a first-order uniform expansion for $y$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}\left(\xi, x_{0}\right)+\epsilon y_{1}\left(\xi, x_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{12.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in nonlinear oscillation problems, we need to investigate the term $O(\epsilon)$ to determine the arbitrary functions that appear in $y_{0}$. Substituting (12.43) into (12.42) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial \xi}=0  \tag{12.44}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \xi}=-\frac{2 \partial^{2} y_{0}}{\partial \xi \partial x_{0}}-\frac{\partial y_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}-y_{0} \tag{12.45}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of (12.44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=A\left(x_{0}\right)+B\left(x_{0}\right) e^{-\xi} \tag{12.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are undetermined at this level of approximation; they are determined at the next level of approximation by imposing the solvability conditions. Putting $y_{0}$ in (12.45) gives

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \xi}=2 B^{\prime} e^{-\xi}-A^{\prime}-B^{\prime} e^{-\xi}-A-B e^{-\xi}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial \xi}=-\left(A^{\prime}+A\right)+\left(B^{\prime}-B\right) e^{-\xi} \tag{12.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular solution of (12.47) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1 p}=-\left(A^{\prime}+A\right) \xi-\left(B^{\prime}-B\right) \xi e^{-\xi} \tag{12.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes $\epsilon y_{1}$ much bigger than $y_{0}$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for a uniform expansion, the coefficients of $\xi$ and $\xi \exp (-\xi)$ in (12.48) must vanish independently. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}+A & =0  \tag{12.49}\\
B^{\prime}-B & =0
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 12-6. Comparison of the solution (12.52) obtained by the method of multiple scales and denoted by $y^{m}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.1, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.

The solutions of (12.49) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a e^{-x_{0}} \quad B=b e^{x_{0}} \tag{12.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are arbitrary constants. Then, (12.46) becomes

$$
y_{0}=a e^{-x_{0}}+b e^{-\xi+x_{0}}
$$

or, in terms of the original variable,

$$
y_{0}=a e^{-x}+b e^{-(x / e)+x}
$$

Substituting for $y_{0}$ in (12.43) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a e^{-x}+b e^{-(x / \epsilon)+x}+\cdots \tag{12.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing the boundary conditions (12.2) yields

$$
\alpha=a+b \quad \beta=a e^{-1}+b e^{-(1 / \epsilon)+1}
$$

Neglecting the exponentially small term $\exp (-1 / \epsilon)$ and solving for $a$ and $b$, we obtain

$$
a=\beta e \quad b=\alpha-\beta e
$$

Hence, it follows from (12.51) that, to the first approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta e^{1-x}+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-(x / e)+x}+\cdots \tag{12.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 12-6 shows that (12.52) is everywhere in close agreement with the exact solution (12.17). We took $\epsilon=0.1$ in Figure $12-6$, so that one can distinguish the two solutions.

### 12.3. The Method of Matched Asymptotic Expansions

As discussed in Sectio- 12.1, the basic idea underlying the method of matched asymptotic expansions is the representation of the solution by more than one
expansion, each of which is valid in part of the domain, and neighboring expansions overlap so that they can be matched. We describe this method by its application to a number of examples, beginning in this section with the simple example (12.1) and (12.2).

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0,(12.1)$ reduces to

$$
y^{\prime}+y=0
$$

which is of first order, and hence, cannot cope with the two boundary conditions. Consequently, one of them must be dropped and a boundary layer must be introduced. In Section 12.1, we used the exact solution to conclude that the boundary layer is at the origin. Of course, in the normal application of the present technique, the exact solution is not available; otherwise, there may not be any need to carry out an approximate solution. Consequently, we need to determine the locations of the boundary layers. In many situations, one can use physical arguments; in this Chapter, we describe how one can decide analytically the locations of the boundary layers. Moreover, in the preceding two sections, we were guided by the exact solution to decide that the scale $\xi=x / \epsilon$ is the inner variable. In this section, we also describe the selection of the inner variable.

To determine the location of the boundary layer, we assume that it exists at one of the ends. Then, we carry out one-term expansions. If neighboring expansions can be matched, our assumption is correct; otherwise, the boundary layer exists at the other end.
Let us assume that the boundary layer is at the right end, and hence, $y(1)=\beta$ must be dropped. Then, we seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{0}(x ; \epsilon)=y_{0}(x)+\cdots
$$

which, when substituted into (12.1) and $y(0)=\alpha$, yields

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(0)=\alpha
$$

Hence,

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{-x} \quad \text { so that } \quad \alpha=c_{0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{0}=\alpha e^{-x}+\cdots \tag{12.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

To analyze the behavior of the solution in the assumed boundary layer, we need to magnify the neighborhood of $x=1$. Since the interval of interest is $[0,1$ ], we magnify a small interval $1-x$ near 1 , where $x<1$. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1-x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \tag{12.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ must be greater than zero, in order that $\xi$ be a magnified or stretched scale. The value of $\nu$ is not known in general, a priori and must be determined from the analysis. We note that by design $\xi$ is positive.

It follows from (12.54) that

$$
x=1-\epsilon^{\nu} \xi
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x}=\frac{d}{d \xi} \frac{d \xi}{d x}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \frac{d}{d \xi} \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2 \nu}} \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of $\xi$, (12.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}-\epsilon^{-\nu}\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right) \frac{d y}{d \xi}+\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right) y=0 \tag{12.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, the dominant terms in (12.55) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}-\epsilon^{-\nu} \frac{d y}{d \xi}+y+\cdots=0 \tag{12.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The limiting form of (12.56) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ depends on the value of $\nu$. There are three possibilities: $\nu>1, \nu<1$, and $\nu=1$.
When $\nu>1$, the limiting form of (12.56) is

$$
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=a_{0}+b_{0} \xi \tag{12.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at $x=1$, it must satisfy the boundary condition $y=\beta$ at $x=1$. But $x=1$ corresponds to $\xi=0$; therefore, $y^{i}=\beta$ at $\xi=0$. Then, it follows from (12.57) that

$$
\beta=a_{0}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\beta+b_{0} \xi \tag{12.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

To match (12.53) with (12.58), we need $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ and $\left(y^{i}\right)^{d}$. Thus, we express (12.53) in terms of $\xi$ and obtain

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=\alpha e^{-1+e^{\nu} \xi}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\alpha e^{-1} \tag{12.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we express (12.58) in terms of $x$ and obtain

$$
y^{i}=\beta+\frac{b_{0}(1-x)}{\epsilon^{\nu}}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\beta & \text { if } & b_{0}=0  \tag{12.60}\\
\frac{b_{0}(1-x)}{\epsilon^{\nu}} & \text { if } & b_{0} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equating (12.59) and (12.60) according to the matching principle demands that $b_{0}=0$ and $\alpha e^{-1}=\beta$, which is not true, in general. Hence, the case $\nu>1$ must be discarded.
When $\nu<1$, the limiting form of (12.56) is

$$
\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0
$$

whose solution is

$$
y^{i}=a_{0}
$$

Again, $y^{i}$ should satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$ or $y^{i}=\beta$ at $\xi=0$. Hence, $a_{0}=\beta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\beta \tag{12.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y^{i}$ is constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\beta \tag{12.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the preceding case, $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\alpha e^{-1}$. Hence, the matching principle

$$
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}
$$

demands that $\beta=\alpha e^{-1}$, which is not true, in general. Therefore, the case $\nu<1$ must also be discarded.
When $\nu=1$, the limiting form of (12.56) is

$$
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}-\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
y^{i}=a_{0}+b_{0} e^{\xi}
$$

Putting $y^{i}=\beta$ when $\xi=0$ gives

$$
a_{0}+b_{0}=\beta \quad \text { or } \quad a_{0}=\beta-b_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
y^{i}=\beta-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{\xi}
$$

To perform the matching, we express $y^{t}$ in terms of $x$ and obtain

$$
y^{\prime}=\beta-b_{0}+b_{0} \exp \left(\frac{1-x}{e}\right) .
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\beta & \text { if } & b_{0}=0  \tag{12.63}\\
b_{0} \exp \left(\frac{1-x}{\epsilon}\right) & \text { if } & b_{0} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again, $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ is given by (12.59). Then, equating (12.59) and (12.63) according to the matching principle demands that $b_{0}=0$ and hence $\beta=\alpha e^{-1}$, which is not true, in general. Hence, this last case must also be discarded and the boundary layer does not exist at $x=1$. It must exist at the origin. This is checked next.
To check whether the boundary layer exists at the origin, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \tag{12.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ must be greater than zero. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x}=\frac{d}{d \xi} \frac{d \xi}{d x}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \frac{d}{d \xi} \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2 \nu}} \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (12.1) becomes

$$
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{-\nu}\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right) \frac{d y}{d \xi}+\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right) y=0
$$

whose dominant part as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{-\nu} \frac{d y}{d \xi}+y=0 \tag{12.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, there are three possibilities: $\nu>1, \nu<1$, and $\nu=1$.
When $\nu>1$, the limiting form of (12.65) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}=0 \tag{12.66a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
y^{i}=a_{0}+b_{0} \xi
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at the origin, it must satisfy the
boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$. But $x=0$ corresponds to $\xi=0$ according to (12.64); hence, $y^{i}=\alpha$ at $\xi=0$. Then, $\alpha=a_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha+b_{0} \xi \tag{12.66b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at the origin, the outer expansion must be valid at $x=1$. Thus, we seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{o}(x ; \epsilon)=y_{0}(x)+\cdots
$$

which, when substituted into (12.1) and $y(1)=\beta$, yields

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta
$$

Hence,

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{-x} \quad \text { so that } \quad c_{0}=\beta e
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-x}+\cdots \tag{12.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

To match (12.66b) and (12.67), we express the former in terms of $x$ and obtain

$$
y^{i}=\alpha+\frac{b_{0} x}{\epsilon^{\nu}}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & \text { if } & b_{0}=0  \tag{12.68}\\
\frac{b_{0} x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} & \text { if } & b_{0} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, we express (12.67) in terms of $\xi$ and obtain

$$
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon^{\nu} \xi}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\beta c+\cdots \tag{12.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12.68) and (12.69) according to the matching principle demands that $b_{0}=0$ and $\alpha=\beta e$, which is not true, in general. Hence, the case $\nu>1$ must be discarded.
When $\nu<1$, the limiting form of (12.65) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.70a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
y^{i}=a_{0}
$$

Putting $y^{i}=\alpha$ at $\xi=0$ yields $a_{0}=\alpha$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha \tag{12.70b}
\end{equation*}
$$

To match (12.67) with (12.70b), we note that $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ is still given by (12.69) and that

$$
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\alpha
$$

because $y^{i}$ is a constant. Again, the matching principle demands that $\alpha=\beta e$, which is not true, in general. Hence, the case $\nu<1$ must also be discarded.
When $\nu=1$, the limiting form of (12.65) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
y^{i}=a_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\xi}
$$

Putting $y^{i}=\alpha$ when $\xi=0$ gives

$$
a_{0}+b_{0}=\alpha \quad \text { or } \quad a_{0}=\alpha-b_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\xi} \tag{12.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

To match (12.67) with (12.72), we note that $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ is still given by (12.69). Expressing (12.72) in terms of $x$, we have

$$
y^{I}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-x / \epsilon}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\alpha-b_{0} \tag{12.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12.69) and (12.73) according to the matching principle demands that

$$
\alpha-b_{0}=\beta e \quad \text { or } \quad b_{0}=\alpha-\beta e
$$

Hence, to the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{o} & =\beta e^{1-x}+\cdots  \tag{12.74}\\
y^{i} & =\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-x / \epsilon}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with those obtained in Section 12.1 by expanding the exact solution.

Since matching has been achieved, our assumption about the location of the boundary layer is correct. Moreover, the choice $\xi=x / \epsilon$ for the inner variable yielded an inner expansion that overlaps the outer expansion, and hence, the stretching provided with $\nu=1$ is the proper one. We note that the stretchings
of the boundary layer provided by $\nu<1$ and $\nu>1$ demanded that $\alpha=\beta e$, which is not true, in general. The conditions $\nu<1$ and $\nu>1$ are indefinite because each of them can be satisfied by an infinite number of values of $\nu$. On the other hand, the condition $\nu=1$ is definite, and it is usually referred to as the distinguished limit. It turns out that the proper stretchings are always provided by the distinguished limits. Moreover, the limiting forms (12.66a) and (12.70a) of the differential equation when $\nu>1$ and $\nu<1$ are special cases of the limiting form (12.71) when $\nu=1$. Thus, one speaks of (12.71) as the least-degenerate form of the limiting equation in the boundary layer. Also, it turns out that the proper scale provided by the distinguished limit always yields the least-degenerate limiting form of the equation in the boundary layer. Therefore, in subsequent sections, we will always determine the proper stretchings by choosing the distinguished limits.
Equations (12.74) provide two separate expansions, $y^{o}$ valid everywhere except in a small interval order $O(\epsilon)$ near the origin and $y^{i}$ valid only in a small interval $O(\epsilon)$ near the origin. Although $y^{o}$ and $y^{i}$ have overlapping domains, one needs to switch from one expansion to the other if a numerical solution is desired over the whole interval. Moreover, the switching location is not known precisely. To circumvent this difficulty of switching from one expansion to another, one usually combines both expansions into a so-called composite expansion denoted by the superscript $c$ and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=y^{o}+y^{i}-\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=y^{o}+y^{i}-\left(y^{i}\right)^{o} \tag{12.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two alternatives in (12.75) are equivalent because $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}$ according to the matching principle. This expression shows that the composite expansion is formed by adding the inner and outer expansions and subtracting their common part $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ or $\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}$ from the result. Expansion (12.75) agrees with the outer and inner expansions in their respective domains of validity because

$$
\left(y^{c}\right)^{o}=\left(y^{o}\right)^{o}+\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}-\left[\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}\right]^{o}
$$

But $\left(f^{o}\right)^{o}=f^{o}$, hence

$$
\left(y^{o}\right)^{o}=y^{o} \quad\left[\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}\right]^{o}=\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}
$$

and

$$
\left(y^{c}\right)^{o}=y^{o}
$$

Also,

$$
\left(y^{c}\right)^{i}=\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}+\left(y^{i}\right)^{i}-\left[\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}\right]^{i}
$$

But $\left(f^{i}\right)^{i}=f^{i}$, hence

$$
\left(y^{i}\right)^{i}=y^{i} \quad\left[\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}\right]^{i}=\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{c}\right)^{\prime}=y^{i} \tag{12.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y^{c}$ reproduces the outer expansion in the outer domain and the inner expansion in the inner domain, we postulate that it is valid everywhere.

In the present example, the common part between $y^{o}$ and $y^{i}$ is given by either (12.69) or (12.73), that is,

$$
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\beta e
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\beta e^{1-x}+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-x / e}+\cdots \tag{12.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (12.78) with $x$ being kept fixed yields

$$
\left(y^{c}\right)^{o}=\beta e^{1-x}+\cdots=y^{o}
$$

Expressing (12.78) in terms of $\xi$, we have

$$
y^{c}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\xi}+\cdots
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\left(y^{c}\right)^{i}=\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\xi}+\cdots=y^{i}
$$

Thus, as expected, $y^{c}$ reproduces the inner and outer expansions in their respective domains of validity. Hence, it is not surprising that (12.78) is in close agreement with the exact solution everywhere, as shown in Figure 12-7. We took $\epsilon=$ 0.1 so that the two solutions can be distinguished from each other.

Before concluding this section, we compare (12.78) with (12.52) obtained by using the method of multiple scales. Whereas the method of matched asymptotic expansions yields a composite expansion that is separable in the outer and inner scales, the method of multiple scales yields a nonseparable expansion. Moreover, in the case of equations with variable coefficients, the inner variable may be a


Figure 12-7. Comparison of the composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.1, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.


Figure 12-8. Comparison of the method-of-multiple-scales solution $y^{m}$ with the composite expansion $y^{c}$ and the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.1, \beta=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.0$.
nonlinear rather than a linear function of $x$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $x$ being kept fixed, we find that (12.52) and (12.78) yield the same outer expansion. Putting $x=\epsilon \xi$ and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $\xi$ being fixed, we find that (12.52) and (12.78) also yield the same inner expansion. Thus, (12.52) and (12.78) agree in the outer and inner domains. However, the presence of the extra factor $\exp (x)$ in (12.52) makes it agree more closely with the exact solution, as shown in Figure 12.8 for larger values of $\epsilon$. This does not mean that the method of multiple scales is superior to the method of matched asymptotic expansions because the application of the method of multiple scales to nonlinear differential equations, especially partial-differential equations such as the Navier-Stokes equations, is not straightforward.

### 12.4. Higher Approximations

To determine a higher-order asymptotic expansion of (12.1) and (12.2), we need to determine an outer expansion and an inner expansion, match them, and then form a composite expansion.

## OUTER EXPANSION

We seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expansion is expected to satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$ because the boundary layer is at the origin. Hence, substituting (12.79) into (12.1) and the condition $y(1)=\beta$ and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta  \tag{12.80}\\
& y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}=-y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \quad y_{1}(1)=0 \tag{12.81}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, the solution of $y_{0}$ is

$$
y_{0}=\beta e^{1-x}
$$

Then, (12.81) becomes

$$
y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}=-\beta e^{1-x}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
y_{1}=c_{1} e^{-x}-\beta x e^{1-x}
$$

But $y_{1}(1)=0$, hence $c_{1}=\beta e$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-x}+\epsilon \beta(1-x) e^{1-x}+\cdots \tag{12.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

INNER EXPANSION
To determine an inner expansion, we first change the independent variable from $x$ to $\xi=x / \epsilon$ in (12.1), add a superscript $i$ to $y$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\left(1+\epsilon^{2}\right) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right) y^{i}=0 \tag{12.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the boundary layer is at the origin, the inner expansion must satisfy the boundary condition $y=\alpha$ at $x=0$. But $x=0$ corresponds to $\xi=0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}(0)=\alpha \tag{12.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we seek an inner expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=Y_{0}(\xi)+\epsilon Y_{1}(\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.85) into (12.83) and (12.84) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{0}^{\prime}=0 & Y_{0}(0)=\alpha \\
Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1}^{\prime}=-Y_{0} & Y_{1}(0)=0 \tag{12.87}
\end{array}
$$

The general solution of $Y_{0}$ is

$$
Y_{0}=a_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\xi}
$$

Putting $Y_{0}(0)=\alpha$ gives

$$
\alpha=a_{0}+b_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{0}=\alpha-b_{0}
$$

and hence,

$$
Y_{0}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-t}
$$

Then, (12.87) becomes

$$
Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1}^{\prime}=-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right)-b_{0} e^{-\xi}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
Y_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} e^{-\xi}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \xi+b_{0} \xi e^{-\xi}
$$

Putting $Y_{1}(0)=0$ gives

$$
a_{1}+b_{1}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad a_{1}=-b_{1}
$$

and hence,

$$
Y_{1}=-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-\xi}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \xi+b_{0} \xi e^{-\xi}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\xi}+\epsilon\left[-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-\xi}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \xi+b_{0} \xi e^{-\xi}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the remaining constants $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ need to be determined from the matching condition.

## MATCHING

To match the outer expansion (12.82) and the inner expansion (12.88), we use the matching condition $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}$. Since we have two terms in each of them, we need to determine the first two terms in each of $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ and $\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}$. Expressing (12.82) in terms of $\xi=x / \epsilon$, we have

$$
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+\epsilon \beta(1-\epsilon \xi) e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+\cdots
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\beta e+\epsilon \beta e(1-\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing (12.88) in terms of $x$, we have

$$
y^{i}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-x / \epsilon}+\epsilon\left[-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-x / \epsilon}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \frac{x}{\epsilon}+\frac{b_{0} x}{\epsilon} e^{-x / \epsilon}\right]+\cdots
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $x$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x-\epsilon b_{1}+\cdots \tag{12.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12.89) and (12.90) according to the matching principle, we have

$$
\beta e+\epsilon \beta e(1-\xi)=\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x-\epsilon b_{1}
$$

which, since $\xi=x / \epsilon$, can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta e-\beta e x+\epsilon \beta e=\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x-\epsilon b_{1} \tag{12.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating, coefficients of like powers of $e$ in (12.91) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta e-\beta e x & =\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x  \tag{12.92}\\
\beta e & =-b_{1} \tag{12.93}
\end{align*}
$$

If the boundary layer is where it was assumed to be, the stretching transformation is correct, and there are no algebraic errors, the matching conditions will be consistent, and hence, they can be solved for $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$. Equating the coefficlents of like powers of $x \ln$ (12.92) gives

$$
\beta e=\alpha-b_{0} \quad \beta e=\alpha-b_{0}
$$

which are consistent. Hence, $b_{0}=\alpha-\beta e$. It follows from (12.93) that $b_{1}=-\beta e$. Hence, (12.88) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\beta e+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\xi}+\epsilon\left[\beta e-\beta e e^{-\xi}-\beta e \xi+(\alpha-\beta e) \xi e^{-\xi}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned in Section 12.1, one can alternatively perform the matching by using intermediate expansions. For example, let us consider the intermediate variable $\eta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$, which yields a stretching that is intermediate between $x$ and $\xi=x / \epsilon$. Expressing $x$ in terms of $\eta$, we rewrite (12.82) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{0}=\beta e^{1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta}+\epsilon \beta\left(1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta\right) e^{1-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \eta}+\cdots \tag{12.95a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{I}=\beta e-\epsilon^{1 / 2} \beta e \eta+\epsilon \beta e\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{12.95b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\xi=\eta / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$ in (12.88), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{i}= & \alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\eta / \epsilon^{1 / 2}}+\epsilon\left[-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-\eta / \epsilon^{1 / 2}}-\frac{\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \eta}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{b_{0} \eta}{\epsilon^{1 / 2}} e^{-\eta / \epsilon^{1 / 2}}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.96a}
\end{align*}
$$

which, when expanded for small $\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{I}=\alpha-b_{0}-\epsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \eta-\epsilon b_{1}+\cdots \tag{12.96b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12.95b) and (12.96b) according to the intermediate matching principle, we find that they match up to $O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$. Thus, one can only determine $b_{0}$ to this order. To match the expansions to $O(\epsilon)$, one needs to carry out the inner expansion to second order. Alternatively, the intermediate matching can be performed by subtracting (12.96a) from (12.95a) and then letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $\eta$ being kept fixed. This process will determine $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$. Comparing the intermediate matching with the straightforward matching, we conclude that the intermediate matching is an unnecessary complication, and hence, we will not use it further.
An attractive alternative to the straightforward matching is Van Dyke's matching principle, which states that

The $m$-term inner expansion of (the $n$-term outer expansion) equals the $n$-term outer expansion of (the $m$-term inner expansion) (12.97)
where $m$ and $n$ may be any two integers that need not be equal. To determine the $m$-term inner expansion of the ( $n$-term outer expansion), we rewrite the first $n$-terms of the outer expansion in terms of the inner variable, expand it for small $\epsilon$ with the inner variable being kept fixed, and truncate the resulting expansion after $m$ terms, and conversely for the right-hand side of (12.97).

To show the application of van Dyke's matching principle, we use it to match the two-term outer expansion (12.82) with the two-term inner expansion (12.88). We proceed systematically as follows:

Two-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \beta e^{1-x}+\epsilon \beta(1-x) e^{1-x}$
Rewritten in inner variable: $=\beta e^{1-\epsilon \xi}+\epsilon \beta(1-\epsilon \xi) e^{1-\epsilon \xi}$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\beta e\left(1-\epsilon \xi+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} \xi^{2}+\cdots\right)$

$$
+\epsilon \beta e(1-\epsilon \xi)(1-\epsilon \xi+\cdots)
$$

Two-term inner expansion: $\quad=\beta e+\epsilon \beta e(1-\xi)$
Two-term inner expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim \alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\xi}+\epsilon\left[-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-\xi}\right. \tag{12.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \xi+b_{0} \xi e^{-\xi}\right]
$$

Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-x / \epsilon}+\epsilon\left[-b_{1}+b_{1} e^{-x / \epsilon}\right.$

$$
\left.-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) \frac{x}{\epsilon}+\frac{b_{0} x}{\epsilon} e^{-x / \epsilon}\right]
$$

Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x-\epsilon b_{1}+$ EST
Two-term outer expansion: $\quad=\alpha-b_{0}-\left(\alpha-b_{0}\right) x-\epsilon b_{1}$
Equating (12.98) and (12.99) according to the matching principle (12.97) and expressing $\xi$ in terms of $x$, we obtain exactly (12.91).
It should be noted that there are some special examples for which Van Dyke's principle does not apply. Nonetheless, it is systematic and hence widely used.

In the above example, we can determine as many terms as we want in the inner or outer expansions to be sure, with arbitrary constants that must be determined from matching. This is not always possible. For example, in determining an asymptotic solution for viscous flow past an arbitrary body, one must determine a one-term outer expansion, which is used to determine a oneterm inner expansion. Then, one proceeds to determine the second term in the outer expansion and uses it in turn to determine the second term in the inner expansion, and so on.

## COMPOSITE EXPANSION

Once the inner and outer expansions have been determined and matched, and hence, all the constants have been determined, we can form a composite expansion that is uniform everywhere. To this end, we substitute for $y^{o}, y^{i}$, and $\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}$ from (12.82), (12.94), and (12.89), respectively, into (12.75) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\beta e^{1-x}+(\alpha-\beta e) e^{-\xi}+\epsilon\left[\beta(1-x) e^{1-x}-\beta e^{1-\xi}+(\alpha-\beta e) \xi e^{-\xi}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a single uniform expansion.

### 12.5. Equations With Variable Coefficients

In this section, we use the method of matched asymptotic expansions to determine first-order asymptotic solutions of

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+p_{0}(x) y=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1  \tag{12.101}\\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta \tag{12.102}
\end{gather*}
$$

for special functions $p_{1}$ and $p_{0}$. This problem is treated in Chapter 14 by using the WKB approximation and the Langer transformation. Here, we treat two examples in which $p_{1}(x) \neq 0$ in $[0,1]$ and we treat two examples in which $p_{1}(x)$ has zeros in [0,1].

EXAMPLE 1
We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-(2 x+1) y^{\prime}+2 y=0 \tag{12.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the order of the differential equation reduces to one, and hence, one of the boundary conditions must be dropped. It turns out that, if $p_{1}(x)>0$ in $[0,1]$, the boundary layer is at the left end and, if $p_{1}(x)<0$ in $[0,1]$, the boundary layer is at the right end. Hence, in this case the boundary layer is at the right end, and the outer expansion satisfies the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$. Anyhow the existence of the boundary layer at the right end will be verified if the resulting expansions are matchable and the results are mathematically consistent.
We seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.104) into (12.103) and $y(0)=\alpha$ and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{\circ}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(2 x+1) y_{0}^{\prime}+2 y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(0)=\alpha \tag{12.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating variables, we have

$$
\frac{d y_{0}}{y_{0}}=\frac{2 d x}{2 x+1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\ln y_{0}=\ln (2 x+1)+\ln c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a constant. Then,

$$
y_{0}=c_{0}(2 x+1)
$$

But $y_{0}(0)=\alpha$, hence $c_{0}=\alpha$. Therefore,

$$
y_{0}=\alpha(2 x+1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\alpha(2 x+1)+\cdots \tag{12.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine an expansion valid in the boundary layer, we need to stretch the neighborhood of $x=1$. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1-x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=1-\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \tag{12.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ must be greater than zero and it is determined in the course of analysis. Putting (12.107) in (12.103) and denoting the inner expansion by the superscript $i$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{-\nu}\left(3-2 \epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+2 y^{i}=0 \tag{12.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the limiting form of (12.108) depends on the value of $\nu$. As discussed before, the value of $\nu$ corresponding to the distinguished limit must be selected. Thus, we put $\nu=1$ and rewrite (12.108) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+(3-2 \epsilon \xi) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+2 \epsilon y^{i}=0 \tag{12.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at $x=1$, it must satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$. But $x=1$ corresponds to $\xi=0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}(0)=\beta \tag{12.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek an inner expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=Y_{0}(\xi)+e Y_{1}(\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.111) into (12.109) and (12.110) and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{o}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+3 Y_{0}^{\prime}=0 \quad Y_{0}(0)=\beta \tag{12.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
Y_{0}=a_{0}+b_{0} e^{-3 \xi} \quad \beta=a_{0}+b_{0} .
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\beta-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-3 \xi}+\cdots \tag{12.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{0}$ needs to be determined from matching the inner and outer expansions.
Using Van Dyke's matching principle, we proceed as follows:
One-term outer expansion:
Rewritten in inner variable:

$$
\begin{align*}
y & \sim \alpha(2 x+1) \\
& =\alpha(3-2 \epsilon \xi) \\
& =3 \alpha-2 \epsilon \alpha \xi \\
& =3 \alpha \tag{12.114}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanded for small $\epsilon$ :

One-term inner expansion:
Rewritten in outer variable:

$$
\begin{align*}
y & \sim \beta-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-3 \xi} \\
& =\beta-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-3(1-x) / \varepsilon} \\
& =\beta-b_{0}+E S T \\
& =\beta-b_{0} \tag{12.115}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating (12.114) and (12.115), we have

$$
3 \alpha=\beta-b_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad b_{0}=\beta-3 \alpha .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=3 \alpha+(\beta-3 \alpha) e^{-3 \xi}+\cdots \tag{12.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
y^{c}=\alpha(2 x+1)+3 \alpha+(\beta-3 \alpha) e^{-3 \xi}-3 \alpha+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\alpha(2 x+1)+(\beta-3 \alpha) e^{-3(1-x) / \epsilon}+\cdots \tag{12.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure $12-9$ shows that $y^{c}$ is close to the solution obtained by numerically integrating (12.103) and (12.102). Since the inner and outer expansions are matchable and the results are mathematically consistent, our assumption about the location of the boundary layer is correct.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider (12.101) with $p_{1}(x)$ being either positive or negative everywhere in $[0,1]$.
When $p_{1}(x)>0$ in $[0,1]$, the boundary layer is assumed to be at the left end because only this assumption leads to matchable expansions and mathematically


Figure 12-9. Comparison of composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ obtained by numerically integrating (12.103) and (12.102) for $\epsilon=0.2, \alpha=1.0$, and $\beta=0.0$.
consistent results. Then, the outer expansion must satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$, whereas the inner expansion must satisfy the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$. We seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.118) into (12.101) and $y(1)=\beta$ and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{\circ}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} y_{0}^{\prime}+p_{0} y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta \tag{12.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a first-order linear differential equation, and hence, it is solvable. Separating variables, we rewrite the equation governing $y_{0}$ as

$$
\frac{d y_{0}}{y_{0}}=-\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d x
$$

which upon integration yields

$$
\ln y_{0}=-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{p_{0}(\tau)}{p_{1}(\tau)} d \tau+\ln c_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{p_{0}(\tau)}{p_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]
$$

where the lower limit of integration was taken to be 1 to facilitate satisfaction of the boundary condition. Putting $y_{0}(1)=\beta$ gives $c_{0}=\beta$, and hence,

$$
y_{0}=\beta \exp \left[-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]=\beta \exp \left[\int_{x}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{0}=\beta \exp \left[\int_{x}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]+\cdots \tag{12.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine an inner expansion valid near the origin, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (12.101) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{-\nu} p_{1}\left(\epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+p_{0}\left(\epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right) y^{i}=0 \tag{12.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, p_{1}\left(\epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right) \rightarrow p_{1}(0)$ and $p_{0}\left(\epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right) \rightarrow p_{0}(0)$, where $p_{0}$ is assumed to be reg. ular at the origin. Then, (12.122) tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{-\nu} p_{1}(0) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+p_{0}(0) y^{i}+\cdots=0 \tag{12.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose limiting form as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ depends on the value of $\nu$. Choosing $\nu=1$, corresponding to the distinguished limit, we find that the limiting form of (12.123) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+p_{1}(0) \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{I}=a_{0}+b_{0} e^{-p_{1}(0) k} \tag{12.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12.121) that $x=0$ corresponds to $\xi=0$, and hence, the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$ transforms into $y^{i}(0)=\alpha$. Then, it follows from (12.125) that

$$
\alpha=a_{0}+b_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad a_{0}=\alpha-b_{0}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-p_{1}(0) \xi} \tag{12.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we match the one-term outer expansion (12.120) with the one-term inner expansion (12.126). We proceed formally as follows:

One-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \beta \exp \left[\int_{x}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\beta \exp \left[\int_{\epsilon \xi}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]$

Expanded for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
=\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]+\cdots
$$

One-term inner expansion: $\quad=\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]$
One-term inner expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim \alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-p_{1}(0) k} \tag{12.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewritten in outer variable:

$$
=\alpha-b_{0}+b_{0} e^{-p_{1}(0) x / \epsilon}
$$

Expanded for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\alpha-b_{0}+\text { EST } \tag{12.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

One-term outer expansion
$=\alpha-b_{0}$
We note that $\exp \left[-p_{1}(0) x / \epsilon\right]$ is exponentially small as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ because $p_{1}(0)>$ 0 . If $p_{1}(0)$ were negative, $\exp \left[-p_{1}(0) x / \epsilon\right]$ would have been exponentially large as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and hence, the inner expansion could not be matched with the outer expansion. Consequently, the boundary layer would be at the right end. Thus, the absence of the exponential growth is essential for matching.
Equating (12.127) and (12.128), we have

$$
\alpha-b_{0}=\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]
$$

or

$$
b_{0}=\alpha-\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]+\left\{\alpha-\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]\right\} e^{-p_{1}(0) \xi}+\cdots \tag{12.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding the outer expansion (12.120) to the inner expansion (12.129) and subtracting from the result their common part (12.127), we obtain the composite expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\beta \exp \left[\int_{x}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]+\left\{\alpha-\beta \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} d \tau\right]\right\} e^{-p_{1}(0) \xi}+\cdots \tag{12.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 3

As a third example, we consider a case in which $p_{1}(x)$ vanishes at the origin. Thus, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-x y=0 \tag{12.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions in (12.102). Since the coefficient of $y^{\prime}$ is positive, we expect the boundary layer to be at the left, end even if $x$ vanishes there. If this is not the way it is, the resulting expansions cannot be matched and the results will not be mathematically consistent.

Seeking an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{0}(x)=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots
$$

we find from (12.131) that

$$
x y_{0}^{\prime}-x y_{0}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{x}
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at the origin, the outer expansion must satisfy $y(1)=\beta$ or $y^{0}(1)=\beta$. Hence, $y_{0}(1)=\beta$ and

$$
\beta=c_{0} e \quad \text { or } \quad c_{0}=\beta e^{-1}
$$

Then,

$$
y_{0}=\beta e^{x-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{0}=\beta e^{x-1}+\cdots \tag{12.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

To investigate the boundary layer at the origin, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (12.131) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\xi \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}-\epsilon^{\nu} \xi y^{i}=0 \tag{12.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the limiting form of (12.134) depends on the value of $\nu$. As before, only the distinguished limit is chosen. In this case, the distinguished limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\xi \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$. Equation (12.135) is a first-order differential equation in $d y^{i} / d \xi$, and hence, it is solvable. Putting

$$
v=\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}
$$

in (12.135), we have

$$
\frac{d v}{d \xi}+\xi v=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
v=a_{0} e^{-(1 / 2) \xi^{2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=a_{0} e^{-(1 / 2) \xi^{2}}
$$

which upon integration yields

$$
y^{i}=a_{0} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+b_{0}
$$

where the lower limit in the integral was taken to be zero to facilitate satisfaction of the boundary condition. Since $x=0$ corresponds to $\xi=0$ according to (12.133), imposing the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$ or $y^{i}(0)=\alpha$ leads to

$$
\alpha=b_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=a_{0} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\alpha \tag{12.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $a_{0}$ needs to be determined by matching the inner and outer expansions.

To match the one-term outer expansion (12.132) with the one-term inner expansion (12.136), we proceed as follows:
One-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \beta e^{x-1}$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\beta e^{\epsilon^{1 / 2} \xi}-1$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\beta e^{-1}\left(1+\epsilon^{1 / 2} \xi+\cdots\right)$
One-term inner expansion: $\quad=\beta e^{-1}$
One-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim a_{0} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\alpha$
Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=a_{0} \int_{0}^{x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\alpha$

Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=a_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\alpha+\cdots$
One-term outer expansion: $=\frac{a_{0} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}+\alpha$
where the integral is evaluated as follows. Putting $t=\tau / \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau=\sqrt{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} d t=\sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

according to (3.25). Equating (12.137) and (12.138) yields

$$
\beta e^{-1}=\frac{a_{0} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}+\alpha \quad \text { or } \quad a_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1}-\alpha\right)
$$

Hence, the outer and inner expansions are matchable and the results are mathematically consistent, thereby justifying our assumption that the boundary layer is at the origin. Substituting for $a_{0}$ in (12.136), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1}-\alpha\right) \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\cdots \tag{12.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we determine a single composite uniform expansion by adding the outer expansion (12.132) to the inner expansion (12.139) and subtracting from the result their common part (12.137). Thus, we have

$$
y^{c}=\beta e^{x-1}+\alpha+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1}-\alpha\right) \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau-\beta e^{-1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\beta e^{x-1}-\left(\beta e^{-1}-\alpha\right)\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau\right)+\cdots \tag{12.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 12.10 shows that the composite expansion $y^{c}$ is very close to the exact solution $y^{e}$ even for $\epsilon=0.2$. When $\epsilon=0.1$, the composite expansion is indistinguishable from the exact solution.

## EXAMPLE 4

As a fourth example, we consider a case in which $p_{1}(x)$ has a simple zero at a point inside the interval $[0,1]$, thereby leading to an interior "boundary layer." Thus, we consider the differential equation


Figure 12-10. Comparison of the composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ obtained by numerically integrating (12.131) and (12.102) for $\epsilon=0.2, \alpha=4.0, \beta=1.0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) y^{\prime}-\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) y=0 \tag{12.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions (12.102). In this case, $p_{1}(x)=x-\frac{1}{2}$ is negative for $x<\frac{1}{2}$ and positive for $x>\frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, our expectation about the location of the boundary layer needs to be examined more closely. It turns out that there are no boundary layers at the end points. Instead, there is a boundary layer at $x=\frac{1}{2}$. This statement is checked a posteriori.

Seeking an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots
$$

we obtain from (12.141) that

$$
\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}-\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) y_{0}=0
$$

Its general solution is

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{x}
$$

which is expected to be valid everywhere except in a small neighborhood surrounding $x=\frac{1}{2}$. In the interval $x>\frac{1}{2}, y^{o}$ must satisfy the boundary condition $y^{o}(1)=\beta$. Hence, $c_{0} e=\beta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{r}^{o}=\beta e^{x-1}+\cdots \tag{12.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $r$ indicates the right part of the interval. In the interval $x<$ $\frac{1}{2}, y^{\circ}$ must satisfy the boundary condition $y^{\circ}(0)=\alpha$. Hence, $c_{0}=\alpha$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}^{o}=\alpha e^{x}+\cdots \tag{12.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $l$ indicates the left part of the interval.
Next, we investigate the neighborhood of $x=\frac{1}{2}$ by introducing the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (12.141) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\xi \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}-\epsilon^{\nu} \xi y^{i}=0 \tag{12.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the limiting form of (12.145) depends on the value of $\nu$. As before, we choose the distinguished limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\xi \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$. As in the preceding section, the general solution of (12.146) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=b_{0}+a_{0} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau \tag{12.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lower limit is taken to be $\xi=0$ corresponding to the assumed location $x=\frac{1}{2}$ of the boundary layer. The constants $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ need to be determined by matching inner and outer expansions.

To match the one-term outer expansion (12.142) with the one-term inner expansion (12.147), we proceed as follows:
One-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \beta e^{x-1}$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\beta e^{e^{1 / 2 \xi}-(1 / 2)}$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\beta e^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\epsilon^{1 / 2} \xi+\cdots\right)$
One-term inner expansion: $\quad=\beta e^{-1 / 2}$
One-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim b_{0}+a_{0} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau$
Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=b_{0}+a_{0} \int_{0}^{[x-(1 / 2)] / \epsilon^{1 / 2}} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau$

Expanded for s nall $\epsilon: \quad=b_{0}+a_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\cdots$
One-term outcr expansion: $\quad=b_{0}+\frac{a_{0} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}$
where the integral was evaluated in the preceding example. Equating (12.148) and (12.149), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}+\frac{a_{0} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}=\beta e^{-1 / 2} \tag{12.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an equation governing $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$.
To determine a second equation for $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$, we match the inner expansion (12.147) with the outer expansion (12.143). Following the asymptotic matching procedure, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{l}^{o}\right)^{i}=\alpha e^{1 / 2} \\
& \left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=b_{0}+a_{0} \int_{0}^{-\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau=b_{0}-a_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}-\frac{a_{0} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}=\alpha e^{1 / 2} \tag{12.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (12.150) and (12.151) yields

$$
b_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}+\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right) \quad a_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}-\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}+\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}-\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right) \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau+\cdots \tag{12.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we cannot form a single composite expansion that is uniformly valid over the whole interval. Instead, we form two composite expansions, one valid in $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and the other valid in $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. Thus, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{l}^{c}= & y_{l}^{o}+y^{i}-\left(y_{l}^{o}\right)^{i} \\
= & \alpha e^{x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}+\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\beta e^{-1 / 2}-\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right) \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau-\alpha e^{1 / 2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{l}^{c}=\alpha e^{x}+\left(\beta e^{-1^{\prime} 2}-\alpha e^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau\right)+\cdots \tag{12.153}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 12-11. Comparison of the composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ obtained by numerically integrating (12.141) and (12.102) for $\varepsilon=0.1, \alpha=1.0$, and $\beta=1.0$.

Similarly, we form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{r}^{c}=\beta e^{x-1}+\left(\alpha e^{1 / 2}-\beta e^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\xi} e^{-(1 / 2) \tau^{2}} d \tau\right)+\cdots \tag{12.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 12-11 shows that $y^{c}$ is very close to the exact solution $y^{e}$ for $\epsilon=0.1$.
In this example, $p_{1}(x)$ is monotonically increasing, and there is only an interior boundary layer. When $p_{1}(x)$ is monotonically decreasing, the situation is more complicated and boundary layers may exist at the boundaries in addition to an interior point. Currently, this problem is being vigorously investigated by many researchers.

### 12.6. Problems with Two Boundary Layers

In the preceding sections, we considered problems having one boundary layer. In this section, we consider a problem with two boundary layers; specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \epsilon^{2} y^{i v}-(1+x)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha & y^{\prime}(0)=\beta & y(1)=\gamma \quad y^{\prime}(1)=\delta \tag{12.156}
\end{array}
$$

In this case, the order of the highest derivative is greater than the order of the second term by two, suggesting that there are two boundary layers, one at each end. This suggestion will be verified a posteriori by checking the mathematical consistency of the results.

## OUTER EXPANSION

We seek a two-term outer expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.157) into (12.155) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(1+x)^{2} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=1  \tag{12.158}\\
& -(1+x)^{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{12.159}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (12.158) can be rewritten as

$$
y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{1}{(1+x)^{2}}
$$

which can be integrated to give

$$
y_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{1+x}+A_{0}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+B_{0} \tag{12.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are arbitrary constants. The general solution of (12.159) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=A_{1} x+B_{1} \tag{12.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+B_{0}+\epsilon\left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{12.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this outer expansion is not expected to satisfy any of the boundary conditions. Hence, it needs to be matched with two boundary-layer expansions, one valid near $x=0$ and the other valid near $x=1$.

INNER EXPANSION NEAR $x=0$
In this case, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (12.155), denote the inner expansion near $x=0$ by the superscript $i$, and obtain

$$
\epsilon^{2-4 \nu} \frac{d^{4} y^{i}}{d \xi^{4}}-\epsilon^{-2 \nu}\left(1+\epsilon^{\nu} \xi\right)^{2} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}=1
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the distinguished limit corresponds to $\nu=1$. Then, $y^{i}$ is governed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} y^{i}}{d \xi^{4}}-\left(1+2 \epsilon \xi+\epsilon^{2} \xi^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}=\epsilon^{2} \tag{12.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a two-term inner expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=Y_{0}(\xi)+\epsilon Y_{1}(\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.165) into (12.164) and equating like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{0}^{i v}-Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{12.166}\\
& Y_{1}^{i v}-Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=2 \xi Y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{12.167}
\end{align*}
$$

This inner expansion must satisfy the boundary conditions at $x=0$, corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\xi}=0$. Then, $\boldsymbol{y}(0)=\alpha$ transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}(0)=\alpha \tag{12.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d \xi} \frac{d \xi}{d x}=\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d y}{d \xi}
$$

the boundary condition $y^{\prime}(0)=\beta$ transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}(0)=\epsilon \beta \tag{12.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.165) into (12.168) and (12.169) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{0}(0)=\alpha & Y_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
Y_{1}(0)=0 & Y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\beta \tag{12.171}
\end{array}
$$

We note that the effect of $\beta$ appears at first order and this is the reason we are determining two terms in the expansion.

The general solution of $(12.166)$ is

$$
Y_{\sigma}=a_{0}+b_{0} \xi+c_{0} e^{-\xi}+d_{0} e^{\xi}
$$

where the constant $d_{0}$ must be zero; otherwise, $Y_{0}$ would grow exponentially with $\xi$, making it unmatchable with the outer expansion. Then, the boundary conditions (12.170) demand that

$$
a_{0}+c_{0}=\alpha \quad b_{0}-c_{0}=0
$$

Hence, $b_{0}=c_{0}$ and $a_{0}=\alpha-c_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\alpha+c_{0}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right) \tag{12.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, it is advantageous to perform the matching at this stage because $c_{0}$ turns out to be zero. To match a one-term outer expansion with a one-term inner expansion, we take $m=n=1$ in (12.97) and proceed as follows:

One-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+B_{0}$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\ln (1+\epsilon \xi)+\epsilon A_{0} \xi+B_{0}$
Expanded for small $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\epsilon \xi+\epsilon A_{0} \xi+B_{0}+\cdots \tag{12.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

One-term inner expansion: $\quad=B_{0}$

One-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim \alpha+c_{0}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right)$
Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=\alpha+c_{0}\left(e^{-x / \epsilon}+\frac{x}{\epsilon}-1\right)$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\alpha-c_{0}+\frac{c_{0} x}{\epsilon}+$ EST
One-term outer expansion: $\quad=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}\alpha & \text { if } & c_{0}=0 \\ \frac{c_{0} x}{\epsilon} & \text { if } & c_{0} \neq 0\end{array}\right.$
Equating (12.173) and (12.174) demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=0 \quad B_{0}=\alpha \tag{12.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\alpha \tag{12.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $Y_{0}$ in (12.167) gives

$$
Y_{1}^{i v}-Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
Y_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} \xi+c_{1} e^{-\xi}+d_{1} e^{\xi}
$$

Again, $d_{1}$ must be zero; otherwise, $Y_{1}$ would grow exponentially with $\xi$, making it unmatchable with the outer expansion. The boundary conditions (12.171) demand that

$$
a_{1}+c_{1}=0 \quad b_{1}-c_{1}=\beta
$$

Hence, $a_{1}=-c_{1}$ and $b_{1}=c_{1}+\beta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=\beta \xi+c_{1}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right) \tag{12.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\alpha+\epsilon\left[\beta \xi+c_{1}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{12.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we match the two-term outer expansion with the two-term inner expansion and proceed as follows:

Two-term outer expansion:

$$
y \sim \ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+\alpha+\epsilon\left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right)
$$

Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\ln (1+\epsilon \xi)+\epsilon A_{0} \xi+\alpha+\epsilon\left(\epsilon A_{1} \xi+B_{1}\right)$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\epsilon \xi+\epsilon A_{0} \xi+\alpha+\epsilon^{2} A_{1} \xi+\epsilon B_{1}+\cdots$
Two-term inner expansion: $\quad=\alpha+\epsilon\left(\xi+A_{0} \xi+B_{1}\right)$
Two-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim \alpha+\varepsilon\left[\beta \xi+c_{1}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right)\right]$

Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=\alpha+\epsilon\left[\frac{\beta x}{\epsilon}+c_{1}\left(e^{-x / \epsilon}+\frac{x}{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\alpha+\beta x+c_{1} x-\epsilon c_{1}+$ EST
Two-term outer expansion: $\quad=\alpha+\beta x+c_{1} x-\epsilon c_{1}$
Expressing (12.179) in terms of $x$ and equating it to (12.180), we have

$$
\alpha+x+A_{0} x+\epsilon B_{1}=\alpha+\beta x+c_{1} x-\epsilon c_{1}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+A_{0}=\beta+c_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{1}=-c_{1} \tag{12.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

INNER EXPANSION NEAR $x=1$
In this case, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\frac{1-x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=1-\epsilon^{\nu} \zeta \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (12.155), denote the inner expansion near $x=1$ by the superscript $I$, and obtain

$$
\epsilon^{2-4 \nu} \frac{d^{4} y^{I}}{d \zeta^{4}}-\epsilon^{-2 \nu}\left(2-\epsilon^{\nu} \zeta\right)^{2} \frac{d^{2} y^{I}}{d \zeta^{2}}=1
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the distinguished limit corresponds to $\nu=1$. Then, $y^{I}$ is governed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} y^{I}}{d \zeta^{4}}-\left(4-4 \epsilon \zeta+\epsilon^{2} \zeta^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2} y^{I}}{d \zeta^{2}}=\epsilon^{2} \tag{12.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a two-term expansion for $y^{I}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{I}=\tilde{Y}_{0}(\zeta)+\epsilon \tilde{Y}_{1}(\zeta)+\cdots \tag{12.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.184) into (12.183) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{Y}_{0}^{i v}-4 \tilde{Y}_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{12.185}\\
& \tilde{Y}_{1}^{i v}-4 \tilde{Y}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-4 \zeta \tilde{Y}_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{12.186}
\end{align*}
$$

The present inner expansion must satisfy the boundary conditions at $x=1$, corresponding to $\zeta=0$. Then, $y(1)=\gamma$ transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(0)=\gamma \tag{12.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d \zeta} \frac{d \zeta}{d x}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d y}{d \zeta}
$$

the boundary condition $y^{\prime}(1)=\delta$ transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y^{I}}{d \xi}(0)=-\epsilon \delta \tag{12.188}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.184) into (12.187) and (12.188) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{Y}_{0}(0)=\gamma & \tilde{Y}_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
\tilde{Y}_{1}(0)=0 & \tilde{Y}_{1}^{\prime}(0)=-\delta \tag{12.190}
\end{array}
$$

The general solution of $(12.185)$ is

$$
\tilde{Y}_{0}=\tilde{a}_{0}+\tilde{b}_{0} \zeta+\tilde{c}_{0} e^{-2 \xi}+\tilde{d}_{0} e^{2 \zeta}
$$

where $\tilde{d}_{0}$ must be zero; otherwise, $\tilde{Y}_{0}$ would grow exponentially with $\zeta$ and could not be matched with the outer expansion. Then, the boundary conditions (12.189) demand that

$$
\tilde{a}_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0}=\gamma \quad \tilde{b}_{0}-2 \tilde{c}_{0}=0
$$

Hence, $\tilde{b}_{0}=2 \tilde{c}_{0}$ and $\tilde{a}_{0}=\gamma-\tilde{c}_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}_{0}=\gamma+\tilde{c}_{0}\left(e^{-2 \zeta}+2 \zeta-1\right) \tag{12.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we match a one-term outer expansion with a one-term inner expansion and proceed as follows:

One-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+\alpha$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\ln (2-\epsilon \zeta)+A_{0}(1-\epsilon \zeta)+\alpha$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\ln 2-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \zeta+A_{0}-\epsilon A_{0} \zeta+\alpha+\cdots$
One-term inner expansion: $\quad=A_{0}+\alpha+\ln 2$.
One-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim \gamma+\tilde{c}_{0}\left(e^{-2 \xi}+2 \zeta-1\right)$
Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=\gamma+\tilde{c}_{0}\left(e^{-2 \epsilon^{-1}(1-x)}+\frac{2(1-x)}{\epsilon}-1\right)$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\gamma+\frac{2 \tilde{c}_{0}(1-x)}{\epsilon}-\tilde{c}_{0}+$ EST
One-term outer expansion: $\quad=\left\{\begin{array}{clc}\gamma & \text { if } & \tilde{c}_{0}=0 \\ 2 \frac{\tilde{c}_{0}(1-x)}{e} & \text { if } & \tilde{c}_{0} \neq 0\end{array}\right.$
Equating (12.192) and (12.193) demands that $\tilde{c}_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}+\alpha+\ln 2=\gamma \quad \text { or } \quad A_{0}=\gamma-\alpha-\ln 2 \tag{12.194}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\gamma \tag{12.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\tilde{Y}_{0}$ in (12.186), we have

$$
\tilde{Y}_{1}^{\prime U}-4 \tilde{Y}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\tilde{Y}_{1}=\tilde{a}_{1}+\tilde{b}_{1} \xi+\tilde{c}_{1} e^{-2 \xi}+\tilde{d}_{1} e^{2 \xi}
$$

Again, $\tilde{d}_{1}$ must be zero in order that the inner expansion be matchable with the outer expansion. Then, the boundary conditions (12.190) demand that

$$
\tilde{a}_{1}+\tilde{c}_{1}=0 \quad \tilde{b}_{1}-2 \tilde{c}_{1}=-\delta
$$

Hence, $\tilde{a}_{1}=-\tilde{c}_{1}$ and $\tilde{b}_{1}=2 \tilde{c}_{1}-\delta$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}_{1}=-\delta \zeta+\tilde{c}_{1}\left(e^{-2 \zeta}+2 \zeta-1\right) \tag{12.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we match the two-term outer expansion with the two-term inner expansion and proceed as follows:

Two-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim \ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+\alpha+\epsilon\left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right)$
Rewritten in inner variable: $\quad=\ln (2-\epsilon \zeta)+A_{0}(1-\epsilon \zeta)+\alpha$

$$
+\epsilon\left(A_{1}-\epsilon A_{1} \zeta+B_{1}\right)
$$

Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\ln 2-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \zeta+A_{0}-\epsilon A_{0} \xi+\alpha$ $\left.+\epsilon A_{1}-\epsilon^{2} A_{1}\right\}+\epsilon B_{1}+\cdots$
Two-term inner expansion: $\quad=A_{0}+\alpha+\ln 2+\epsilon\left(A_{1}+B_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta-A_{0} \zeta\right)$

Two-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim \gamma+\epsilon\left[-\delta \zeta+\tilde{c}_{1}\left(e^{-2 \zeta}+2 \zeta-1\right)\right]$
Rewritten in outer variable: $\quad=\gamma+\epsilon\left\{-\frac{\delta(1-x)}{\epsilon}+\tilde{c}_{1}\left[e^{-2 \epsilon^{-1}(1-x)}\right.\right.$

$$
\left.\left.+\frac{2(1-x)}{\epsilon}-1\right]\right\}
$$

Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=\gamma-\delta(1-x)+2 \tilde{c}_{1}(1-x)-\epsilon \tilde{c}_{1}+$ EST
Two-term outer expansion: $\quad=\gamma+\left(2 \tilde{c}_{1}-\delta\right)(1-x)-\epsilon \tilde{c}_{1}$
Expressing (12.197) in terms of $x$ and equating it to (12.198), we have

$$
A_{0}+\alpha+\ln 2-\left(\frac{1}{2}+A_{0}\right)(1-x)+\epsilon\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right)=\gamma+\left(2 \tilde{c}_{1}-\delta\right)(1-x)-\epsilon \tilde{c}_{1}
$$

which yields (12.194) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2}-A_{0}=2 \tilde{c}_{1}-\delta \quad A_{1}+B_{1}=-\tilde{c}_{1} \tag{12.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $A_{0}$ from (12.194) into (12.181), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=-c_{1}=-\gamma+\alpha+\beta-1+\ln 2 \tag{12.200}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas substituting for $A_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ from (12.194) and (12.200) into (12.199), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{c}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\delta-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\ln 2\right)  \tag{12.201}\\
& A_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \alpha+2 \beta-3 \gamma+\delta-\frac{5}{2}+3 \ln 2\right) \tag{12.202}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, all the arbitrary constants in the outer and inner expansions have been expressed in terms of the boundary values.

Finally, a composite expansion can be obtained as follows:

$$
y^{c}=y^{o}+y^{i}+y^{I}-\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}-\left(y^{I}\right)^{o}
$$

where $\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}$ and $\left(y^{I}\right)^{o}$ are given by (12.180) and (12.198). The result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{c}= & \ln (1+x)+A_{0} x+\alpha+\epsilon\left(A_{1} x+B_{1}\right)+\alpha+\epsilon\left[\beta \xi-B_{1}\left(e^{-\xi}+\xi-1\right)\right] \\
& +\gamma+\epsilon\left[-\delta \zeta+\tilde{c}_{1}\left(e^{-2 \zeta}+2 \zeta-1\right)\right]-\alpha-\beta x+B_{1} x-\epsilon B_{1}-\gamma \\
& -\left(2 \tilde{c}_{1}-\delta\right)(1-x)+\epsilon \tilde{c}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{c}= & \alpha+\ln (1+x)+(\gamma-\alpha-\ln 2) x+\epsilon\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \alpha+2 \beta-3 \gamma+\delta-\frac{5}{2}\right.\right. \\
& +3 \ln 2) x-(\gamma-\alpha-\beta+1-\ln 2)\left(1-e^{-x / \epsilon}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\delta-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\ln 2\right) e^{-2(1-x) / \epsilon}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.203}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure $12-12$ shows that $y^{c}$ is close to the exact solution.


Figure 12-12. Comparison of the composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ obtained by numerically integrating (12.155) and (12.156) for $\epsilon=0.2, \alpha=\beta=1.0$, and $\gamma=$ $\delta=-1.0$.

### 12.7. Multiple Decks

In all preceding examples, only one distinguished limit exists in a given boundary layer. In this section, we consider a problem in which more than one distinguished limit exist in a given boundary layer. When two distinguished limits exist, the resulting expansion consists of two inner expansions in addition to the outer expansion. The domains of validity of each of them are often called decks, and hence, the problem is usually referred to as a triple-deck problem. Thus, when two or more distinguished limits exist in a given boundary layer, one speaks of a multiple-deck problem. Here, we consider a case with two distinguished limits, that is, a triple-deck problem.
We consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+x^{3} y^{\prime}+\left(x^{3}-\epsilon\right) y=0  \tag{12.204}\\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta \tag{12.205}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the coefficient of $y^{\prime}$ is positive, the boundary layer is expected to be at the origin. Hence, the outer expansion must satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$ and it is not expected to satisfy the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$.

We seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{o}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots
$$

Substituting $y^{0}$ into (12.204) and $y(1)=\beta$ and equating the coefficient of $\epsilon^{0}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3} y_{0}^{\prime}+x^{3} y_{0}=0 \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta \tag{12.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution for $y_{0}$ is

$$
y_{0}=c_{0} e^{-x}
$$

It follows from $y_{0}(1)=\beta$ that $c_{0}=\beta e$. Hence,

$$
y_{0}=\beta e^{1-x}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=\beta e^{1-x}+\cdots \tag{12.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not satisfy the boundary condition at the origin.
As before, to investigate the neighborhood of the origin, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (12.204) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{3-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{2 \nu} \xi^{3} \frac{d y}{d \xi}+\left(\epsilon^{3 \nu} \xi^{3}-\epsilon\right) y=0 \tag{12.209}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the term $O\left(\epsilon^{3 \nu}\right)$ is small compared with $\epsilon^{2 \nu}$ since $\nu>0$. Hence, the dominant part of (12.209) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{3-2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{2 v} \xi^{3} \frac{d y}{d \xi}-\epsilon y+\cdots=0 \tag{12.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distinguished limits can be obtained by balancing any two terms in (12.210). Balancing the first and second terms demands that 3-2 $2=2 \nu$ or $\nu=\frac{3}{4}$. Then, (12.209) becomes

$$
\epsilon^{3 / 2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{3 / 2} \xi^{3} \frac{d y}{d \xi}+\left(\epsilon^{9 / 4} \xi^{3}-\epsilon\right) y=0
$$

whose dominant part is a trivial case $y=0$. Hence, this case must be discarded. Balancing the first and third terms in (12.210) demands that 3-2 $\nu=1$ or $\nu=1$. Then, (12.209) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{2} \xi^{3} \frac{d y}{d \xi}+\left(\epsilon^{3} \xi^{3}-\epsilon\right) y=0 \tag{12.211a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose dominant part is nontrivial, and hence, this case must be included. Balancing the second and third terms in (12.210) demands that $2 \nu=1$ or $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$. To distinguish this case from the preceding one, we use the variable $\zeta$ instead of $\xi$ so that $\xi=x / \epsilon$ and $\xi=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$. Then, (12.209) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \zeta^{2}}+\epsilon \zeta^{3} \frac{d y}{d \zeta}+\left(\epsilon^{3 / 2} \zeta^{3}-\epsilon\right) y=0 \tag{12.211b}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose dominant part is nontrivial, and hence, this case must also be included. The stretching $\xi=x / \epsilon$ corresponding to $\nu=1$ describes a deck (layer) close to the origin, which we refer to as the left deck. The stretching $\zeta=x / \epsilon^{1 / 2}$, corresponding to $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$, describes a deck that is between the left deck and the outer expansion (right deck). Consequently, we refer to this deck as the middle deck. In the case of viscous-inviscid interactions, these decks are usually referred to as the lower, middle, and upper decks, respectively.
It follows from (12.211a) that the leading term $Y_{0}$ in the left-deck expansion $y^{l}$ is governed by

$$
\frac{d^{2} Y_{0}}{d \xi^{2}}-Y_{0}=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
Y_{0}=a_{0} c^{-\xi}+b_{0} c^{\xi}
$$

where $b_{0}$ must be zero; otherwise, $Y_{0}$ would grow exponentially with $\xi$, making it unmatchable with either the middle or right deck. Since this deck is valid at the origin, it must satisfy the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$. But $x=0$ corresponds to $\xi=0$, hence, $y^{\prime}(0)=\alpha$. Then, it follows that $a_{0}=\alpha$, so that

$$
Y_{0}=\alpha e^{-\xi}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\alpha e^{-k}+\cdots \tag{12.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from ( 12.21 lb ) that the leading term $\widetilde{Y}_{0}$ in the middle-deck expan$\operatorname{sion} y^{m}$ is governed by

$$
\zeta^{3} \tilde{Y}_{0}^{\prime}-\tilde{Y}_{0}=0
$$

Separating variables, we have

$$
\frac{d \tilde{Y}_{0}}{\tilde{Y}_{0}}=\frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{3}}
$$

which upon integration gives

$$
\ln \tilde{Y}_{0}=-\frac{1}{2 \zeta^{2}}+\ln d_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
\tilde{Y}_{0}=d_{0} e^{-1 / 2 \xi^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{m}=d_{0} e^{-1 / 2 \xi^{2}}+\cdots \tag{12.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x=0$ corresponds to $\zeta=0$, it follows from (12.213) that $y^{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$, and hence, it cannot satisfy the boundary condition $y(0)=\alpha$. Therefore, the constant $d_{0}$ needs to be determined from matching $y^{m}$ with either $y^{l}$ or $y^{\circ}$.

We note that $y^{l}$ cannot be matched directly with $y^{0}$ because

$$
\left(y^{l}\right)^{o}=0 \quad\left(y^{o}\right)^{l}=\beta e
$$

Hence, $y^{m}$ must be used to bridge the gap between $y^{\prime}$ and $y^{o}$. To match $y^{m}$ with $y^{0}$, we note that

$$
\left(y^{m}\right)^{o}=d_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(y^{o}\right)^{m}=\beta e
$$

Hence, $d_{0}=\beta e$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{m}=\beta e^{1-1 / 2 \xi^{2}}+\cdots \tag{12.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

To match $y^{m}$ with $y^{l}$, we note that

$$
\left(y^{m}\right)^{l}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(y^{l}\right)^{m}=0
$$

and hence, $y^{m}$ and $y^{l}$ are matchable.
Finally, we form a composite expansion as follows:


Figure 12-13. Comparison of composite expansion $y^{c}$ with the exact solution $y^{e}$ obtained by numerically integrating (12.204) and (12.205) for $\epsilon=0.05, \alpha=2.0$, and $\beta=1.0$.

$$
y^{c}=y^{o}+y^{m}+y^{l}-\left(y^{o}\right)^{m}-\left(y^{l}\right)^{m}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=\beta e^{1-x}+\beta e^{1-\left(1 / 25^{2}\right)}+\alpha e^{-\xi}-\beta e+\cdots \tag{12.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12.215) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y^{c}\right)^{o} & =\beta e^{1-x}=y^{o} \\
\left(y^{c}\right)^{m} & =\beta e^{1-1 / 25^{2}}=y^{m} \\
\left(y^{c}\right)^{l} & =\alpha e^{-\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, we postulate that $y^{c}$ is valid everywhere in $[0,1]$. Figure 12-13 shows that $y^{c}$ is close to the exact solution.

### 12.8. Nonlinear Problems

To conclude this chapter, we consider two nonlinear problems.

## PROBLEM 1

As a first problem, we consider the case in which a contraction rather than a stretching transformation is needed. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{x} y^{\prime}+\epsilon y y^{\prime}=0 \quad 0<\epsilon \ll 1  \tag{12.216}\\
& y(1)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y(\infty)=1 \tag{12.217}
\end{align*}
$$

It turns out that the zeroth-order expansion is uniform and that the nonuniformity appears at first order. We note that the small parameter does not multiply the highest derivative. In this case, as in nonlinear-oscillation problems, the domain is infinite, thereby causing the nonuniformity.
We seek a two-term straightforward expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{12.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.218) into (12.216) and (12.217) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we have

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{x} y_{0}^{\prime}=0  \tag{12.219}\\
y_{0}(1)=0 \quad y_{0}(\infty)=1 \tag{12.220}
\end{gather*}
$$

Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{x} y_{1}^{\prime}=-y_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{12.221}\\
y_{1}(1)=0 \quad y_{1}(\infty)=0 \tag{12.222}
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying (12.219) by $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$, we rewrite it as

$$
x^{2} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 x y_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{2} \frac{d y_{0}}{d x}\right)=0
$$

which can be integrated once to yield

$$
x^{2} \frac{d y_{0}}{d x}=a_{0}
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{d y_{0}}{d x}=\frac{a_{0}}{x^{2}}
$$

which upon integration gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=-\frac{a_{0}}{x}+b_{0} \tag{12.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing the boundary conditions (12.220), we have

$$
-a_{0}+b_{0}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad b_{0}=1
$$

Hence, $a_{0}=b_{0}=1$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=1-\frac{1}{x} \tag{12.224}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is uniform because it satisfies the reduced differential equation and boundary conditions.

Substituting for $y_{0}$ in (12.221), we obtain

$$
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{x} y_{1}^{\prime}=-\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{x^{2}}=-\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{3}}
$$

which upon multiplication by $x^{2}$ becomes

$$
x^{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 x y_{1}^{\prime}=-1+\frac{1}{x}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{2} \frac{d y_{1}}{d x}\right)=-1+\frac{1}{x}
$$

Integrating once yields

$$
x^{2} \frac{d y_{1}}{d x}=-x+\ln x+a_{1}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{d y_{1}}{d x}=-\frac{1}{x}+\frac{\ln x}{x^{2}}+\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}
$$

Integrating again gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=-\ln x-\frac{\ln x}{x}-\frac{1}{x}-\frac{a_{1}}{x}+b_{1} \tag{12.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the boundary condition $y_{1}(1)=0$, we have $b_{1}=a_{1}+1$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=-\ln x-\frac{\ln x}{x}+b_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{12.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty, y_{1} \rightarrow-\ln x$, and hence, it cannot satisfy the second boundary condition $y_{1}(\infty)=0$ in (12.222). Consequently, the straightforward expansion, which we will call the outer expansion and denote by the superscript $o$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{o}=1-\frac{1}{x}+\epsilon\left[-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) \ln x+b_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{12.227}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not valid for large $x$.
To investigate the behavior of the solution for larpo $x$. we introduce the contraction transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=x \epsilon^{\nu} \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d x} & =\frac{d}{d \xi} \frac{d \xi}{d x}=\epsilon^{\nu} \frac{d}{d \xi} \\
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} & =\epsilon^{2 \nu} \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (12.216) becomes
whose distinguished limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\frac{2}{\xi} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}+y^{i} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to $\nu=1$. The resulting expansion we call the inner expansion and we have indicated it by the superscript $i$.
Instead of assuming the form of the expansion for $y^{i}$, finding and solving the equations for the different orders, and then matching with the outer expansion, one can use the outer expansion as a guide for determining the form of the inner expansion. This is very convenient in the case of partial-differential and differ-ential-integral equations, especially nonlinear equations. Moreover, in this case, the first term given by (12.224) is valid everywhere, and hence, it is valid in the inner region. Thus, expressing it in terms of the inner variable, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=1-\frac{\epsilon}{\xi} \tag{12.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $\xi$ being kept fixed yields $y_{0}=1$, which is the first term in the inner expansion. Moreover, the form of $y_{0}$ in (12.230) suggests that $y^{i}$ goes in powers of $\epsilon$. Hence, we seek an inner expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=1+\epsilon Y_{1}(\xi)+\cdots \tag{12.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12.231) into (12.229) and equating the coefficient of $\epsilon$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{2}{\xi}+1\right) Y_{1}^{\prime}=0 \tag{12.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the integrating factor

$$
\exp \left[\int\left(\frac{2}{\xi}+1\right) d \xi\right]=\exp (2 \ln \xi+\xi)=\xi^{2} e^{\xi}
$$

we rewrite (12.232) as

$$
\frac{d}{d \xi}\left(\xi^{2} e^{\xi} \frac{d Y_{1}}{d \xi}\right)=0
$$

Hence

$$
\xi^{2} e^{\xi} \frac{d Y_{1}}{d \xi}=c_{1}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d Y_{1}}{d \xi}=c_{1} \frac{e^{-\xi}}{\xi^{2}}
$$

which upon integration gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=c_{1} \int_{\infty}^{\xi} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau+d_{1} \tag{12.233}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. The inner expansion must satisfy the boundary condition at infinity, and this is the reason we set the lower limit equal to $\infty$ because $x=\infty$ corresponds to $\xi=\infty$. It follows from (12.217) and (12.231) that $Y_{1}(\infty)=0$, and hence, it follows from (12.233) that $d_{1}=0$. Then, (12.233) can be rewritten as

$$
Y_{1}=c_{1} \int_{\infty}^{\xi} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

so that (12.231) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=1-\epsilon c_{1} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau+\cdots \tag{12.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limits of integration have been interchanged so that the resulting integral is positive. The constant $c_{1}$ needs to be determined by matching the inner and outer expansions.

We match the two-term outer expansion (12.227) with the two-term inner expansion (12.234) and proceed as follows:
Two-term outer expansion: $\quad y \sim 1-\frac{1}{x}+\epsilon\left[-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) \ln x+b_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]$
Rewritten in inner variable: $=1-\frac{\epsilon}{\xi}+\epsilon\left[-\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{\xi}\right) \ln \left(\frac{\xi}{\epsilon}\right)+b_{1}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{\xi}\right)\right]$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=1-\frac{\epsilon}{\xi}+\epsilon \ln \epsilon-\epsilon \ln \xi+\epsilon b_{1}+\cdots$
$\overline{312}$ BOUNDARY-LAYER PROBLEMS
Two-term inner expansion: $=1-\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{\xi}+\ln \xi-\ln \epsilon-b_{1}\right)$
We note the presence of the logarithmic term in (12.235).
Two-term inner expansion: $\quad y \sim 1-\epsilon c_{1} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau$

Rewritten in outer variable: $=1-\epsilon c_{1} \int_{\epsilon x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau$
Expanded for small $\epsilon: \quad=1-\epsilon c_{1}\left[\frac{i-\epsilon x}{\epsilon x}+\gamma+\ln \epsilon x\right]+\cdots$
Two-term outer expansion: $=1-\frac{c_{1}}{x}-\epsilon c_{1}(-1+\gamma+\ln x+\ln \epsilon)$
where $\gamma$ is Euler constant. In expanding the integral, we used integration by parts as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\epsilon x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau & =-\left.\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}\right|_{\epsilon x} ^{\infty}-\int_{\epsilon x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau} d \tau \\
& =-\left[\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}+e^{-\tau} \ln \tau\right]_{\epsilon x}^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{x} \ln \tau e^{-\tau} d \tau+\int_{0}^{e} \ln \tau e^{-\tau} d \tau \\
& =\frac{e^{-\epsilon x}}{\epsilon x}+e^{-\epsilon x} \ln \epsilon x+\gamma+O(\epsilon \ln \epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressing $\xi$ in (12.235) in terms of $x$ and equating the result to (12.236), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{x}-\epsilon \ln x+\epsilon b_{1}=1-\frac{c_{1}}{x}-\epsilon c_{1}(-1+\gamma+\ln x+\ln \epsilon) \tag{12.237}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $c_{1}=1$ and $b_{1}=1-\gamma-\ln \epsilon$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{o}=1-\frac{1}{x}+\epsilon\left[-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) \ln x+(1-\gamma-\ln \epsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]+\cdots  \tag{12.238}\\
y^{\prime}=1-\epsilon \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau+\cdots \tag{12.239}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, we form a composite expansion by adding the outer expansion (12.238) and the inner expansion (12.239) and subtracting from the result their common part ( 12.236 ) with $c_{1}=1$. The result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{c}= & 1-\frac{1}{x}+\epsilon\left[-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) \ln x+(1-\gamma-\ln \epsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]+1 \\
& -\epsilon \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau-1+\frac{1}{x}+\epsilon(-1+\gamma+\ln x+\ln \epsilon)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{c}=1-\epsilon \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau^{2}} d \tau-\epsilon\left[\frac{\ln x}{x}+\frac{1-\gamma-\ln \epsilon}{x}\right]+\cdots \tag{12.240}
\end{equation*}
$$

## PROBLEM 2

As a second problem, we consider after Cole (1968)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime}-y=0 \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1  \tag{12.241}\\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta \tag{12.242}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0<\epsilon \ll 1$ and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent of $\epsilon$. In this case, the small parameter multiplies the highest derivative, and hence, a boundary layer is expected. However, the location of the boundary layer depends on the sign of the coefficient $y$ of $y^{\prime}$. But the value of $y$ is a function of its values $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at the boundaries. Hence, the location of the boundary layer depends on the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, as verified below.
We seek an outer expansion in the form

$$
y^{0}=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots
$$

Substituting for $y^{\circ}$ in (12.241) and (12.242) and equating the coefficients of $\epsilon^{0}$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{y_{0}} y_{0}^{\prime}-y_{0}=0  \tag{12.243}\\
y_{0}(0)=\alpha \quad y_{0}(1)=\beta \tag{12.244}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (12.243) provides two branches for the outer expansion, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=0 \tag{12.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=x+c_{0} \tag{12.246}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first branch must be discarded because it cannot satisfy general boundary conditions. Then, the secorid branch yields the two special outer solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{r}=x+\beta-1  \tag{12.247}\\
& y^{l}=x+\alpha \tag{12.248}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y^{r}$ satisfies the right boundary condition and $y^{l}$ satisfies the left boundary
condition. It follows from (12.247) and (12.248) that

$$
y^{r}(0)=\beta-1 \quad \text { and } \quad y^{l}(1)=\alpha+1
$$

Hence, if $\alpha \neq \beta-1, y^{\prime}$ is not valid near $x=0$ and $y^{1}$ is not valid near $x=1$. Hence, a boundary layer is needed. If the boundary layer is at the left end, $y^{I}$ is discarded; if the boundary layer is at the right end, $y^{r}$ is discarded; and if the boundary layer (shock layer) is in the interior of the interval, both $y^{r}$ and $y^{l}$ are needed. If $\alpha=\beta-1$, then $y^{r}=y^{l}=x+\beta-1$ satisfies the differential equation and boundary conditions, and hence, it is the exact solution.
When $\alpha \neq \beta-1$, a boundary layer develops somewhere in $[0,1]$. To investigate the behavior of $y$ in the boundary layer, we introduce the stretching transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{x-x_{b}}{\epsilon^{\nu}} \quad \text { or } \quad x=x_{b}+\epsilon^{\nu} \xi \quad \nu>0 \tag{12.249}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{b}$ is the location of the boundary layer, which is not known a priori. For linear problems, it is unnecessary to scale the dependent variable because the scale does not affect the solution. However, for a nonlinear problem, the dependent variable may need to be scaled. Thus, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=Y(\xi ; \epsilon)+\cdots=\frac{y(x ; \epsilon)}{\epsilon^{\lambda}} \tag{12.250}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is determined in the course of analysis. Substituting (12.249) and (12.250) into (12.241), we have

$$
\epsilon^{1-2 \nu+\lambda} \frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{2 \lambda-\nu y^{i}} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}-\epsilon^{\lambda} y^{i}=0
$$

or

$$
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+\epsilon^{\lambda+\nu-1} y^{i} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}-\epsilon^{2 \nu-1} y^{i}=0
$$

If $\lambda=0$, the distinguished limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+y^{i} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}=0 \tag{12.251}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to $\nu=1$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, the distinguished limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y^{i}}{d \xi^{2}}+y^{i} \frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi}-y^{i}=0 \tag{12.252}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to

$$
\lambda+\nu-1=0 \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \nu-1=0
$$

or

$$
\nu=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\frac{1}{2}
$$

It follows from (12.250) that, in the first case (i.e., $\lambda=0$ ), $y=O(1)$, whereas, in the second case (i.e., $\left.\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\right), y=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$. In the second case, the distinguished limit (12.252) is the same as the original differential equation. Thus, it needs to be integrated numerically and no simplification is achieved by carrying out the expansions. However, Cole (1968) pointed out that the boundary conditions are canonical so that the numerical integration can be done once for all problems. In this book, we consider only the first case in which $y=O(1), \nu=1$, and the distinguished inner limit (12.251) is simpler than the original differential equation.

To the first approximation, $y^{i}$ can be replaced by $Y$ in (12.251), which upon integration gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Y^{2}=\frac{1}{2} b \quad \text { or } \quad Y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b-Y^{2}\right) \tag{12.253}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is a constant of integration. It must be positive; otherwise, as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, $Y \rightarrow-\infty$, whereas as $\xi \rightarrow-\infty, Y \rightarrow \infty$, making $y^{i}$ unmatchable with the outer expansion(s). Then, separating variables in (12.253), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 d Y}{k^{2}-Y^{2}}=d \xi \tag{12.254}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is replaced with $k^{2}$, since it is positive. In integrating (12.254), we consider two cases: $Y^{2} \leqslant k^{2}$ and $Y^{2} \geqslant k^{2}$. In the first case, we assume that

$$
Y=k \tanh \theta \quad \text { so that } \quad d Y=k \operatorname{sech}^{2} \theta d \theta
$$

and obtain from (12.254) that

$$
\frac{2 k \operatorname{sech}^{2} \theta d \theta}{k^{2}-k^{2} \tanh ^{2} \theta}=d \xi=\frac{2}{k} d \theta
$$

Hence,

$$
\theta=\frac{1}{2} k(\xi+d)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=Y+\cdots=k \tanh \left[\frac{1}{2} k(\xi+d)\right]+\cdots \tag{12.255}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is a constant of integration. In the second case, we assume that

$$
Y=k \operatorname{coth} \theta \quad \text { so that } \quad d Y=-k \operatorname{cosech}^{2} \theta d \theta
$$

and obtain from (12.254) that

$$
-\frac{2 k \operatorname{cosech}^{2} \theta d \theta}{k^{2}-k^{2} \operatorname{coth}^{2} \theta}=d \xi=\frac{2}{k} d \theta
$$

Hence,

$$
\theta=\frac{1}{2} k(\xi+d)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=Y+\cdots=k \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{1}{2} k(\xi+d)\right]+\cdots \tag{12.256}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $k$ may be taken to be positive because tanh and coth are odd. The constants of integration $k$ and $d$ in either form of the inner expansion need to be determined from matching the inner and outer expansions. We consider the three possible locations of the boundary layer: at the left end, at the right end, and at an interior point.
When the boundary layer is at the left end, $x_{b}=0$; the outer solution (12.248) must be discarded, and the proper outer solution is (12.247). Next, this outer solution is matched with one of the forms of the inner expansion and conditions are obtained for the boundary layer to be at the left end. Expressing $y^{r}$ in terms of $\xi$ and expanding the result for small $\epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{0}\right)^{i}=\beta-1 \tag{12.257}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $y^{i}$ in terms of $x$, expanding for small $\epsilon$, and noting that $x$ is positive, we obtain from either (12.255) or (12.256) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{I}\right)^{o}=k>0 \tag{12.258}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $k=\beta-1$ and

$$
Y=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(\beta-1) \tanh \left[\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)(\xi+d)\right] & \text { if } & Y \leqslant \beta-1  \tag{12.259}\\
(\beta-1) \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)(\xi+d)\right] & \text { if } & Y \geqslant \beta-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the boundary layer is assumed to be at $x=0$ corresponding to $\xi=0$, it must satisfy $y(0)=\alpha$ or $Y(0)=\alpha$. Hence, it follows from (12.259) that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=(\beta-1) \tanh \left[\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1) d\right] \tag{12.260}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=(\beta-1) \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1) d\right] \tag{12.261}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12.258) and the behavior of tanh and coth in Figure 12-14 that $\beta$ must be greater than 1 so that the inner solution either descends or ascends to $\beta-1>0$. Moreover, if $\alpha>\beta-1$, the inner solution is given by a coth that descends from $\alpha$ to $\beta-1$. If $\alpha$ is less than $\beta-1$, it must also be greater than $-(\beta-1)$ so that the inner solution will be given by a tanh that ascends from $\alpha$ to $\beta-1$. These solutions are shown in Figure 12-15.
Next, we investigate the case in which the boundary layer is at the right end. In this case, $x_{b}=1$, the outer solution (12.247) must be discarded, and the proper outer solution is (12.248). To match this outer solution with one of the

(a) tanh $\zeta$


Fig̣ure 12-14. Behavior of tanh $\zeta$ and coth $\zeta$.
forms of the inner expansion, we express $y^{l}$ in terms of $\xi=(x-1) / \epsilon$, expand the result for small $\epsilon$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{o}\right)^{i}=\alpha+1 \tag{12.262}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we express $y^{i}$ in (12.255) and (12.256) in terms of $x$ and obtain

$$
Y=k \tanh \left[\frac{1}{2} k\left(\frac{x-1}{\epsilon}+d\right)\right]
$$



Figure 12-15. Behavior of the composite solution when the boundary layer is at $x=0$ for $e=0.1, \beta=3$ and: $(a) \alpha=-0.5 ;(b) \alpha=4$.

$$
Y=k \operatorname{coth}\left[\frac{1}{2} k\left(\frac{x-1}{\epsilon}+d\right)\right]
$$

letting $e \rightarrow 0$ and noting that $x<1$, we find that the argument tends to $-\infty$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{o}=-k \tag{12.263}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12.262) and (12.263) according to the matching principle, we have $k=-(\alpha+1)$. Hence,

$$
Y= \begin{cases}-(\alpha+1) \tanh \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)(\xi+d)\right] & Y \geqslant \alpha+1  \tag{12.264}\\ -(\alpha+1) \operatorname{coth}\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)(\xi+d)\right] & Y \leqslant \alpha+1\end{cases}
$$

which must satisfy the boundary condition $y(1)=\beta$ or $Y(0)=\beta$ because $x=1$ corresponds to $\xi=0$. Then, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=-(\alpha+1) \tanh \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1) d\right] \tag{12.265}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=-(\alpha+1) \operatorname{coth}\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1) d\right] \tag{12.266}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k$ is assumed to be positive, $\alpha+1$ must be negative. When $\beta<\alpha+1<0$, the inner solution is given by a coth so that it rises from $\beta$ to $\alpha+1$. When $|\beta|<$ $|\alpha+1|$ and $\alpha+1<0$, the inner solution is given by a tanh, which descends from $\beta$ to $\alpha+1$. These solutions are shown in Figure 12-16.

Finally, we investigate the case in which the boundary layer is at an interior point. In this case, both outęr solutions are needed and they must be matched with the inner solution. To match $y^{r}$ of (12.247) with $y^{i}$, we express it in terms of $\xi=\left(x-x_{b}\right) / \epsilon$, expand the result for small $\epsilon$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{r}\right)^{i}=x_{b}+\beta-1 \tag{12.267}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 12-16. Behavior of the composite solution when the boundary layer is at $x=1$ for $\epsilon=0.1 . \alpha=-3$ and: $(a) R=1 \cdot(h) A=-\Delta$

To match $y^{l}$ of (12.248) with $y^{l}$, we express it in terms of $\xi$, expand the result for small $\epsilon$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{l}\right)^{i}=x_{b}+\alpha \tag{12.268}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi=\left(x-x_{b}\right) / e$ tends to $-\infty$ to the left of $x_{b}$ and to $+\infty$ to the right of $x_{b}$, the inner solution must rise from $x_{b}+\alpha$ to $x_{b}+\beta-1$. Figure 12-14 shows that only tanh rises from $-k$ at $\xi=-\infty$ to $+k$ at $\xi=\infty$. Thus, the inner solution must be given by (12.255). Letting $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{r}=k \tag{12.269}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas letting $\xi \rightarrow-\infty$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{i}\right)^{l}=-k \tag{12.270}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $r$ and $l$ refer to the outer limits to the right and left of $x_{b}$, respectively. Equating (12.267) and (12.269), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{b}+\beta-1=k \tag{12.271}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas equating (12.268) and (12.270), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{b}+\alpha=-k \tag{12.272}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12.271) and (12.272) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{b}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha-\beta) \quad k=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1-\alpha) \tag{12.273}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inner solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{i}=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1-\alpha) \tanh \left[\frac{1}{4}(\beta-1-\alpha) \xi\right]+\cdots \tag{12.274}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the inner solution rises from $-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1-\alpha)$ to $\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1-\alpha)$, as shown in Figure 12-17, where $d=0$ because the shock is assumed to be centered at $x=x_{b}$ or $\xi=0$. Since $0<x_{b}<1$ and $k$ is assumed to be positive, it follows from (12.273) that the presence of a shock layer (i.e., an interior boundary layer) demands that

$$
0<\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha-\beta)<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta-1-\alpha>0
$$



Figure 12-17. Behavior of the composite expansion when the boundary layer is at an interior point for $\alpha=-2, \beta=1.8$, and $\epsilon=0.1$.


Figure 12-18. A diagram that summarizes the location of the boundary layer in the $\beta \alpha$-plane. or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<\alpha+\beta<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta>1+\alpha \tag{12.275}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 12-18 summarizes the locations of the boundary layer in the $\beta \alpha$-plane. The unshaded area corresponds to the case in which $y=O\left(\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ and the distinguished limit is given by (12.252). Hence, the solution needs to be determined numerically. This example shows that in a nonlinear boundary-layer problem, the location of the boundary layer is strongly dependent on the end values.

## Exercises

12.1. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+y=0 \\
& y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution.
(b) Use the method of matched asymptotic expansions to determine a firstorder uniform expansion. Compare your answer with the exact solution.
(c) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion. Compare your answer with those in (a) and (b).
12.2. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}+y=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution.
(b) Use the method of matched asymptotic expansions to determine a firstorder uniform expansion. Compare your answer with the exact solution.
(c) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion. Compare your answer with those in (a) and (b).
12.3. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution.
(b) Use the method of matched asymptotic expansions to determine a firstorder uniform expansion. Compare your answer with the exact solution.
(c) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion. Compare your answer with those in (a) and (b).

### 12.4. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution.
(b) Use the method of matched asymptotic expansions to determine a firstorder uniform expansion. Compare your answer with the exact solution.
(c) Use the method of multiple scales to determine a first-order uniform expansion. Compare your answer with those in (a) and (b).
12.5. Determine first-order (one-term) uniform expansions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon y^{\prime \prime} \pm(3 x+1) y^{\prime}=1 \\
& y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

12.6. Determine first-order uniform expansions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime} \pm y^{\prime}=2 x \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

In each case, compare your answer with the exact solution.
12.7. Determine first-order uniform expansions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime} \pm\left(2 x^{2}+x+1\right) y^{\prime}=4 x+1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

12.8. Determine first-order uniform expansions for
(a) $\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+x y=0$
$y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta$
(b) $\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-(1-x) y^{\prime}-(1-x) y=0$ $y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta$
12.9. Determine a first-order uniform expansions for

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y^{\prime}-x^{3} y & =0 \\
y(0)=\alpha & y(1)
\end{array}=\beta
$$

12.10. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+x^{n} y^{\prime}-x^{m} y=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Under what conditions will there be a boundary layer at the origw? Then, determine a first-order uniform expansion when a boundary layer exists there.
12.11. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-x y=0 \\
y(-1)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

12.12. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime \prime}-y^{\prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad y(1)=\gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution and use it to show that there is in general a boundary layer at each end.
(b) Determine a two-term uniform expansion and compare your answer with the exact solution.
12.13. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime \prime}-y^{\prime}+y=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad y(1)=\gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution and use it to show that there is in general a boundary layer at each end.
(b) Determine a two-term uniform expansion and compare your answer with the exact solution.
12.14. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime \prime}-(2 x+1) y^{\prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad y(1)=\gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

Determine a two-term uniform expansion.
12.15. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{i v}-y^{\prime \prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad y(1)=\gamma \quad y^{\prime}(1)=\delta
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Determine the exact solution and use it to show that there is in general a boundary layer at each end.
(b) Determine a two-term uniform expansion and compare your answer with the exact solution.
12.16. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon y^{\prime v}(2 x+1) y^{\prime \prime}=1 \\
y(0)=\alpha & y^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad y(1)=\gamma \quad y^{\prime}(1)=\delta
\end{array}
$$

Determine a two-term uniform expansion.
12.17. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y^{\prime}-\left(x^{2}+\epsilon^{1 / 2}\right) y=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that there are two distinguished limits. Then, develop a first-order tripledeck uniform solution.
12.18. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{r} u^{\prime}+\epsilon u u^{\prime}=0 \\
& u(1)=0 \quad u(\infty)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine a two-term uniform expansion.
12.19. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} u^{\prime}+\epsilon u u^{\prime}=0 \\
& u(1)=0 \quad u(\infty)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine a one-term uniform expansion. Note that the nonuniformity appears in the first term. Answer: $u^{0}=[\ln (1 / \epsilon)]^{-1} \ln r+\cdots$,

$$
u^{i}=1+\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \int_{\epsilon r}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau} d \tau+\cdots, u^{c}=u^{i}
$$

12.20. Consider the problem

$$
\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{2}=\frac{1-\epsilon}{x}+\frac{\epsilon}{1-x} \quad t(0)=0
$$

Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2} t^{c}= & \frac{2}{3} x^{3 / 2}+\epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2}-\ln 2+\frac{1}{2} \ln \epsilon+\frac{2}{3} x^{3 / 2}+x^{1 / 2}-\ln \left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\xi-\sqrt{\xi(\xi+1)}+\sinh ^{-1} \sqrt{\xi}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi=(1-x) / \epsilon$.
12.21. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-y y^{\prime}-y=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Determine a first-order uniform solution for the case $y=0(1)$.
12.22. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+y y^{\prime}-x y=0 \\
& y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion for the case $y=0(1)$.
12.23. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}-y^{2}=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion.
12.24. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime} \pm(2 x+1) y^{\prime}+y^{2}=0 \\
y(0)=\alpha \quad y(1)=\beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Determine a first-order uniform expansion.

## CHAPTER 13

## Linear Equations with Variable Coefficients

In this chapter, we consider linear differential equations with variable coefficients having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=F(x) y(x)+h(x) \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ and $h$ are column vectors with $n$ components and $F(x)$ is an $n \times n$ variable-coefficient matrix. We concentrate on determining the solutions of the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=F(x) y(x) \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because, once these are known, we can use the method of variation of parameters to determine a particular solution and then the general solution. If $y_{1}(x), y_{2}(x)$, $\cdots, y_{n}(x)$ are $n$ linearly independent vector solutions of (13.2), we use them to express the solution of the inhomogeneous problem (13.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=c_{1}(x) y_{1}(x)+c_{2}(x) y_{2}(x)+\cdots+c_{n}(x) y_{n}(x) \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{n}(x)$ are scalar functions of $x$ to be determined. Differentiating (13.3) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=c_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}+c_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}^{\prime} y_{n}+c_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}+c_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+c_{n} y_{n}^{\prime} \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (13.4) into (13.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}_{1}+c_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}_{n}+c_{1} y_{1}^{\prime} & +c_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+c_{n} y_{n}^{\prime} \\
& =c_{1} F \mathrm{y}_{1}+c_{2} F \mathrm{y}_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} F \mathrm{y}_{n}+\mathrm{h} \tag{13.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $y_{n}^{\prime}=F y_{n}$, (13.5) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}+c_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}^{\prime} y_{n}=h \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a system of $n$ linear equations for the $n$ scalars $c_{m}^{\prime}$. If the components of $y_{m}$ are $y_{m s}$, then (13.6) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \mathbf{c}^{\prime}=\mathbf{h} \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
y_{11} & y_{12} & \cdots & y_{1 n}  \tag{13.8}\\
y_{21} & y_{22} & \cdots & y_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
y_{n 1} & y_{n 2} & \cdots & y_{n n}
\end{array}\right] \quad c^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1}^{\prime} \\
c_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$ are linearly independent, the matrix $Y$ is nonsingular and has an inverse, which we denote by $Y^{-1}$. Multiplying (13.7) from the left by $Y^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}=Y^{-1} h \tag{13.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields a system of $n$ uncoupled equations for the $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Since $\mathbf{y}$ and $h$ are known functions, the solution of (13.8) can be obtained in quadratures as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\int Y^{-1}(x) h(x) d x \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, once the solutions of the homogeneous equation in (13.1) are known, a particular solution of (13.1) can be determined from (13.9). Consequently, we consider only the homogeneous solutions of (13.2). We begin with first-order scalar equations, then we take up second-order equations.

### 13.1. First-Order Scalar Equations

We begin with the case in which $y(x)$ and $F(x)$ are scalar functions because the exact solution of (13.2) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c e^{\int F(x) d x} \tag{13.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant of integration that can be determined from the initial condition.

If $F(x)$ has a Taylor series representation convergent for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R$, we say that $x_{0}$ is an ordinary point of the differential equation; otherwise, we say that $x_{0}$ is a singular point of the differential equation. Moreover, if $x$ is considered as a complex variable, then $F(x)$ is an analytic function, regular in the neighborhood $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R$ of $x_{0}$.

The point $x_{0}$ if finite can always be transferred to the origin by letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=x-x_{0} \tag{13.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (13.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d \xi}=F\left(\xi+x_{0}\right) y \tag{13.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F\left(\xi+x_{0}\right)$ has a Taylor series representation convergent for $|\xi|<R$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we consider the case in which the point under consideration is the origin.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F_{n}$ are constants, is a convergent series in $|x|<R$, then (13.10) becomes

$$
y=c \exp \left[\int\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} x^{n}\right) d x\right]=c \exp \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} \int x^{n} d x\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c \exp \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{n} x^{n+1}}{n+1}\right] \tag{13.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the series in the exponent is convergent because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{F_{n} x^{n+1} n}{(n+1)^{C} n-1 x^{n}}\right|=x \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{F_{n}}{F_{n-1}}\right|=\frac{x}{R} \tag{13.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (13.13) being a convergent series of radius $R$. Since $\exp (z)$ can be represented by a Taylor series convergent for all $z$, (13.14) can be represented by a Taylor series that converges in $|x|<R$.

If $F(x)$ has an isolated singularity that is a pole of order $N$ at $x=0$, then it can be represented by the Laurent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{1}{x^{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F_{n}$ are constants and $F_{0} \neq 0$. Substituting (13.16) into (13.10) yields

$$
y=c \exp \left[\int\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} x^{n-N}\right) d x .\right]=c \exp \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n} \int x^{n-N} d x\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
y= & c \exp \left[-\frac{F_{0}}{(N-1) x^{N-1}}-\frac{F_{1}}{(N-2) x^{N-2}}-\cdots-\frac{F_{N-2}}{x}+F_{N-1} \ln x\right. \\
& \left.+F_{N} x+\frac{1}{2} F_{N+1} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} F_{N+2} x^{3}+\cdots\right]  \tag{13.17}\\
\text { When } N & =1,(13.17) \text { becomes }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
y=c \exp \left[F_{0} \ln x+F_{1} x \div \frac{1}{2} F_{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} F_{3} x^{3}+\cdots\right]
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c x^{F_{0}} \exp \left[F_{1} x+\frac{1}{2} F_{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} F_{3} x^{3}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponential term can be represented in a power series in $x$, so that (13.18) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c x^{F_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are independent of $x$. When $N=2$, (13.17) can be rewritten as

$$
y=c \exp \left[-\frac{F_{0}}{x}+F_{1} \ln x+F_{2} x+\frac{1}{2} F_{3} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} F_{4} x^{3}+\cdots\right]
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c x^{F_{1}} \exp \left(-\frac{F_{0}}{x}\right) \exp \left[F_{2} x+\frac{1}{2} F_{3} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} F_{4} x^{3}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\exp \left(-F_{0} / x\right)$ cannot be expressed as a power series in $x$ or $x^{-1}$ because it tends to zero faster than any power of $x$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ if $F_{0}>0$ and it tends to infinity faster than any power of $x^{-1}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ if $F_{0}<0$. The last exponential in (13.20) can be expressed as a power series in $x$, so that (13.20) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c x^{F_{1}} e^{-F_{0} x^{-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are independent of $x$. Similarly, for $N \geqslant 2$, (13.17) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
y= & c x^{F_{N-1}} \exp \left[-\frac{F_{0}}{(N-1) x^{N-1}}-\frac{F_{1}}{(N-2) x^{N-2}}-\cdots-\frac{F_{N-2}}{x}\right] \\
& \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are independent of $x$.
Equations (13.19), (13.21), and (13.22) show that one can distinguish the pole of order one from those with order greater than one. When the pole is of order one, the form of the solution (13.19) differs from that in the case of an ordinary point by the factor $\boldsymbol{x}^{F_{0}}$. When the pole is of order greater than one, the form of the solution differs from that in the case of an ordinary point by an exponential factor that cannot be expanded in powers of $x$ in addition to the factor $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{0}}$, where $\sigma$ is a constant. When $N=1$, the origin is called a regular singular point, while when $N \geqslant 2$, the origin is called an irregular singular point.

It turns out that the above forms of the solution do not change when y is a column vector and $F$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. Next, we consider second-order equations.

### 13.2. Second-Order Equations

We consider the solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0 \tag{13.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the neighborhood of the origin. If $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are analytic functions, regular in the neighborhood of the origin, the origin is called an ordinary point of the differential equation. In this case, $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ can be expanded in convergent power series in $x$ with nonvanishing radii of convergence and $y$ possesses convergent power-series solutions in $x$. When either $p(x)$ or $q(x)$ or both are singular at the origin, the origin is called a singularity of the differential equation. If $p(x)$ has at most a pole of order one and $q(x)$ has at most a pole of order 2 at the origin, the origin is called a regular singular point. In this case, at least one of the solutions of (13.23) has the form (13.19). If $p(x)$ has a pole of order higher than one or $q(x)$ has a pole of order higher than 2 or both at the origin, the origin is called an irregular singular point and at least one of the solutions of (13.23) has the form (13.22). Next, we show how to determine the solutions of (13.23). To minimize the algebra, we consider special cases that show the characteristics of the solutions. In this section, we consider the case when the origin is an ordinary point of the differential equation.
Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+2 y=0 \tag{13.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $p(x)=x$ and $q(x)=2$, so that the origin is an ordinary point of (13.24) and $y$ can be expanded in a Taylor series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{13.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (13.25) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1} \tag{13.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (13.26) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2} \tag{13.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms proportional to $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ have disappeared. Substituting (13.25) through (13.27) into (13.24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n}+2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 \tag{13.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step involves equating coefficients of like powers of $x$. To accomplish it, we change the dummy summation index $n$ so that the powers of $x$ under the summation are the same. Thus, we let $m=n-2$ in the first summation and $m=$ $n$ in the second and third summations. The result is

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+2)(m+1) a_{m+2} x^{m}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m a_{m} x^{m}+2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} x^{m}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[(m+1)(m+2) a_{m+2}+(m+2) a_{m}\right] x^{m}=0 \tag{13.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating each of the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ to zero, we obtain the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+2}=-\frac{a_{m}}{m+1} \quad \text { for } \quad m=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{13.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.30) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=-a_{0} \quad a_{3}=-\frac{a_{1}}{2} \quad a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{3}=\frac{a_{0}}{3} \\
& a_{5}=-\frac{a_{3}}{4}=\frac{a_{1}}{2 \cdot 4} \quad a_{6}=-\frac{a_{4}}{5}=-\frac{a_{0}}{3 \cdot 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the odd and even coefficients are uncoupled; the even coefficients can be expressed in terms of $a_{0}$, whereas the odd coefficients can be expressed in terms of $a_{1}$. The result is two linearly independent solutions, one multiplied by $a_{0}$ and the other multiplied by $a_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=a_{0} y_{1}(x)+a_{1} y_{2}(x) \tag{13.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}(x) & =1-x^{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{3}-\frac{x^{6}}{3 \cdot 5}+\frac{x^{8}}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}+\cdots \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{m} m!x^{2 m}}{(2 m)!}  \tag{13.32}\\
y_{2}(x) & =x-\frac{x^{3}}{2}+\frac{x^{5}}{2 \cdot 4}-\frac{x^{7}}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{m} m!}=x e^{-(1 / 2) x^{2}} \tag{13.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the series in (13.33) converges because we are able to find its sum in closed form. Using the ratio test on (13.32), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \text { th term }}{(m-1) \text { th term }} & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-2)^{m} m!x^{2 m}(2 m-2)!}{(2 m)!(-2)^{m-1}(m-1)!x^{2 m-2}} \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-2 m x^{2}}{(2 m)(2 m-1)} \\
& =-x^{2} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 m-1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the series in (13.32) converges for all values of $x$. Therefore, the general solution of (13.24) is given by (13.31) for all values of $x$.

### 13.3. Solutions Near Regular Singular Points

In this case, $p(x)$ or $q(x)$ or both are singular at $x=0$ but $x p(x)$ and $x^{2} q(x)$ can be represented by Taylor series in powers of $x$. The simplest possible equation of this kind occurs when

$$
p(x)=p_{0} x^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad q(x)=q_{0} x^{-2}
$$

where $p_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ are constants, so that (13.23) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{p_{0}}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{x^{2}} y=0 \tag{13.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is called Euler's equation and its exact solution can be found easily, as shown next.

## THE EULER EQUATION

Equation (13.34) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+p_{0} x y^{\prime}+q_{0} y=0 \tag{13.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which belongs to the class of differential equations where in each term the power of $x$ is the same as the order of the derivative of $y$. Such equations have solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x^{\sigma} \tag{13.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a constant called the index to be determined from the equation. Substituting (13.36) into (13.35) gives

$$
\sigma(\sigma-1) x^{\sigma}+p_{0} \sigma x^{\sigma}+q_{0} x^{\sigma}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}+\left(p_{0}-1\right) \sigma+q_{0}=0 \tag{13.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually called the indicial equation. The roots of the quadratic equation (13.37) can be easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-p_{0}\right) \pm\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{2}-q_{0}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{13.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are different, then $x^{\sigma_{1}}$ and $x^{\sigma_{2}}$ are two linearly independent solutions and the general solution of (13.35) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c_{1} x^{\sigma_{1}}+c_{2} x^{\sigma_{2}} \tag{13.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. If $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-p_{0}\right)$, then $\left(1-p_{0}\right)^{2}=$ $4 q_{0}$, and the above procedure yields only one of the two possible linearly independent solutions, namely

$$
y_{1}(x)=x^{\sigma}
$$

The second linearly independent solution can be determined by letting

$$
y_{2}(x)=u(x) y_{1}(x)
$$

where the function $u(x)$ needs to be determined. Substituting $y_{2}$ into (13.35) gives

$$
x^{2} y_{1} u^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{2} y_{1}^{\prime} u^{\prime}+x^{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime} u+p_{0} x u^{\prime} y_{1}+p_{0} x u y_{1}^{\prime}+q_{0} u y_{1}=0
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y_{1} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 x^{2} y_{1}^{\prime}+p_{0} x y_{1}\right) u^{\prime}=0 \tag{13.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $y_{1}$ is a solution of (13.35). Equation (13.40) is a first-order equation in $u^{\prime}$. Thus, this method is general and can be used to determine a second linearly independent solution of a second-order equation once one of the solutions is known. Substituting for $y_{1}$ in (13.40) gives

$$
x^{\sigma_{1}+2} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 \sigma_{1}+p_{0}\right) x^{\sigma_{1}+1} u^{\prime}=0
$$

or

$$
x u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{x}=0
$$

$$
\ln u^{\prime}+\ln x=0
$$

Thus,

$$
u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{x} \quad \text { then } \quad u=\ln x
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x)=x^{\sigma_{1}} \ln x \tag{13.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution (13.41) can be obtained by using the following alternate method. Putting $y=x^{\sigma}$ in (13.35) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+p_{0} x y^{\prime}+q_{0} y=\left[\sigma^{2}+\left(p_{0}-1\right) \sigma+q_{0}\right] x^{\sigma}=\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2} x^{\sigma} \tag{13.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}$. Differentiating (13.42) with respect to $\sigma$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \sigma}\right)+p_{0} x \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \sigma}\right)+q_{0} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \sigma}=2\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right) x^{\sigma}+\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2} x^{\sigma} \ln x \tag{13.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$ in (13.42) and (13.43) shows that $y$ and $\partial y / \partial \sigma$ satisfy the differential equation when $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$. Thus, the occurrence of the factor $\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}$ makes $y$ and $\partial y / \partial \sigma$ satisfy the differential equation when $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$. Therefore, one of the solutions is $y_{1}=x^{\sigma_{1}}$, whereas the second solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2} & =\left.\frac{\partial y}{\partial \sigma}\right|_{\sigma=\sigma_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(x^{\sigma}\right)\right|_{\sigma=\sigma_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(e^{\sigma \ln x}\right)\right|_{\sigma=\sigma_{1}} \\
& =\left.e^{\sigma \ln x} \ln x\right|_{\sigma=\sigma_{1}}=x^{\sigma_{1}} \ln x
\end{aligned}
$$

in agreement with (13.41).
The above example shows that the case of equal indices needs special treatment. As shown below, even the case of different indices may need special treatment if $\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}$ is an integer. Next, we consider equations more general than the Euler equation beginning with the case of unequal indices differing by a noninteger.

THE CASE $\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1} \neq$ INTEGER
We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 x y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime}+y=0 \tag{13.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $p(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{-1}$ and $q(x)=\frac{1}{4} x^{-1}$ so that $x=0$ is a regular singular point. Hence, (13.44) possesses solutions of the so-called Frobenius (after Frobenius) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x^{\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n} \tag{13.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y$ Into (13.44) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1} \\
 \tag{13.46}\\
+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
\end{array}
$$

The leading term in each of the first two summations in (13.46) is proportional to $x^{\sigma-1}$, whereas the leading term in the last summation is proportional to $x^{\sigma}$. Hence, the dominant term that yields the indices can be obtained by setting the coefficient of $x^{\sigma-1}$ to zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \sigma(\sigma-1) a_{0}+2 \sigma a_{0}=0 \tag{13.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (13.46) becomes

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(\sigma+n)(2 \sigma+2 n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
$$

The power of $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be made the same in the two summations by putting $n-1=$ $m$ in the first summation and $n=m$ in the second summation. The result is

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[2\left(\sigma+m^{2}+1\right)(2 \sigma+2 m+1) a_{m+1}+a_{m}\right] x^{\sigma+m}=0
$$

which, upon equating each of the coefficients of $x^{\sigma+m}$ to zero, yields the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=-\frac{a_{m}}{2(\sigma+m+1)(2 \sigma+2 m+1)} \tag{13.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.47) that either $a_{0}=0$ (trivial solution) or

$$
4 \sigma^{2}-4 \sigma+2 \sigma=0
$$

Thus,

$$
4 \sigma^{2}-2 \sigma=0 \quad \text { then } \quad \sigma=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}
$$

In this case, the indices are unequal and differ by $\frac{1}{2}$, which is not an integer. Hence, we expect to obtain two linearly independent solutions, one corresponding to each index.
Putting $\sigma=0$ in (13.48), we have

$$
a_{m+1}=-\frac{a_{m}}{2(m+1)(2 m+1)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2} \quad a_{2}=-\frac{a_{1}}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}=\frac{a_{0}}{4!} \\
& a_{3}=-\frac{a_{2}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}=-\frac{a_{0}}{6!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, when $a_{0}=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}(x) & =1-\frac{x}{2!}+\frac{x^{2}}{4!}-\frac{x^{3}}{6!}+\frac{x^{4}}{8!}-\cdots \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^{m}}{(2 m)!} \tag{13.49}
\end{align*}
$$

This series converges for all $\boldsymbol{x}$ because

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \text { th term }}{(m-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-x)^{m}(2 m-2)!}{(2 m)!(-x)^{m-1}}=0
$$

Putting $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$ in (13.48), we have

$$
a_{m+1}=-\frac{a_{m}}{2(m+1)(2 m+3)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3} \quad a_{2}=-\frac{a_{1}}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5}=\frac{a_{0}}{5!} \\
& a_{3}=-\frac{a_{2}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7}=-\frac{a_{0}}{7!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, when $a_{0}=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}(x) & =x^{1 / 2}\left(1-\frac{x}{3!}+\frac{x^{2}}{5!}-\frac{x^{3}}{7!}+\frac{x^{4}}{9!}-\cdots\right) \\
& =x^{1 / 2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^{m}}{(2 m+1)!} \tag{13.50}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be shown to converge for all values of $x$. Thus, in this case as in the case of the Euler equation, two linearly independent solutions are obtained, one corresponding to each index.

## THE CASE $\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}=$ INTEGER

We consider two equations. The solutions of one of them can be obtained using the above procedure, whereas the solutions of the second are obtained by using a modification of the above procedure. We begin with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 x y^{\prime}+y=0 \tag{13.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding as before, we assume that $y(x)$ has the form in (13.45) and find from (13.51) that

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-2}
$$

$$
+2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+7}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[1+3(\sigma+n)-(\sigma+n)^{2}\right] a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0 \tag{13.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant terms in (13.52) are the coefficients of $x^{\sigma-2}$ and $x^{\sigma-1}$, corresponding to $n=0$ and 1 in the first summation. Setting these coefficients equal to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma(\sigma-1) a_{0}=0  \tag{13.53}\\
& (\sigma+1) \sigma a_{1}=0 \tag{13.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (13.52) becomes

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[1+3(\sigma+n)-(\sigma+n)^{2}\right] a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
$$

As before, we make the powers of $x$ the same in these summations. To this end, we put $n-2=m$ in the first summation and $n=m$ in the second summation and obtain

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{(\sigma+m+2)(\sigma+m+1) a_{m+2}+\left[1+3(\sigma+m)-(\sigma+m)^{2}\right] a_{m}\right\} x^{\sigma+m}=0
$$

Then, equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+2}=-\frac{1+3(\sigma+m)-(\sigma+m)^{2}}{(\sigma+m+2)(\sigma+m+1)} a_{m} \tag{13.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.53) that either $\sigma=0$ or 1 if $a_{0} \neq 0$. When $\sigma=0$, (13.54) is automatically satisfied and $a_{1}$ is arbitrary. When $\sigma=1$, (13.54) demands that $a_{1}=0$.
When $\sigma=0,(13.55)$ reduces to

$$
a_{m+2}=-\frac{1+3 m-m^{2}}{(m+1)(m+2)} a_{m}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{2}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2} & a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{4}=\frac{a_{0}}{8} & a_{6}=\frac{a_{4}}{10}=\frac{a_{0}}{80} \\
a_{3}=-\frac{a_{1}}{2} & a_{5}=-\frac{a_{3}}{20}=\frac{a_{1}}{40} & a_{7}=\frac{3 a_{5}}{14}=\frac{3 a_{1}}{560}
\end{array}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=a_{0}\left[1-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{8}+\frac{x^{6}}{80}+\cdots\right]+a_{1}\left[x-\frac{x^{3}}{2}+\frac{x^{5}}{40}+\frac{3 x^{7}}{560}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contains two arbitrary constants, so that it must be the complete general solution with the series in brackets constituting two linearly independent solutions of (13.51). One can easily show, using the ratio test, that both series in (13.56) converge for $|x|<1$.

When $\sigma=1$, it follows from (13.54) that $a_{1}=0$ and from (13.55) that

$$
a_{m+2}=-\frac{1+3(m+1)-(m+1)^{2}}{(m+2)(m+3)} a_{m}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{3}=a_{5}=a_{7}=\cdots=a_{2 n+1}=0 \\
& a_{2}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2} \quad a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{20}=\frac{a_{0}}{40} \quad a_{6}=\frac{3 a_{4}}{14}=\frac{3 a_{0}}{560}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a_{0} x\left[1-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{40}+\frac{3 x^{6}}{560}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a constant multiple of the second solution in (13.56). As mentioned before, (13.56) is the general solution of (13.51).

Next, we consider a case that needs a modification of the above procedure. Thus, we consider Bessel's equation of order unity

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-1\right) y=0 \tag{13.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, substituting (13.45) into (13.58) gives
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n} & \\
& +\left(x^{2}-1\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+n)^{2}-1\right] a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+2}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right) a_{0} x^{\sigma}+\sigma(\sigma+2) a_{1} x^{\sigma+1} & +\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+n)^{2}-1\right] a_{n} x^{\sigma+n} \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+2}=0 \tag{13.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting the coefficients of the dominant terms $x^{\sigma}$ and $x^{\sigma+1}$ in (13.59) equal to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sigma^{2}-1\right) a_{0}=(\sigma-1)(\sigma+1) a_{0}=0  \tag{13.60a}\\
& {\left[(\sigma+1)^{2}-1\right] a_{1}=\sigma(\sigma+2) a_{1}=0} \tag{13.60b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (13.59) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+\grave{n})^{2}-1\right] a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+2}=0 \tag{13.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The powers of $x$ in these summations can be made identical by letting $n-2=m$ in the first summation and $n=m$ in the second summation. The result is

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left[(\sigma+m+2)^{2}-1\right] a_{m+2}+a_{m}\right\} x^{\sigma+m+2}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each of the powers of $x$ to zero leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+2}=-\frac{a_{m}}{(\sigma+m+2)^{2}-1}=-\frac{a_{m}}{(\sigma+m+1)(\sigma+m+3)} \tag{13.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.60a) that either $\sigma=1$ or -1 if $a_{0} \neq 0$. Then, it follows from (13.60b) that $a_{1}=0$, and hence, $a_{3}=a_{5}=a_{7}=\cdots=a_{2 n+1}=0$. Putting $\sigma=-1$ in (13.62) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+2}=-\frac{a_{m}}{m(m+2)} \tag{13.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $m=0$ in (13.63), we find that $a_{2}$ is infinite. Thus, the above procedure does not yield a solution when $\sigma=-1$ without modification.

When $\sigma=1$, it follows from (13.62) that

$$
a_{m+2}=\frac{a_{m}}{(m+2)(m+4)}
$$

Hence,

$$
a_{2}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 4} \quad a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{4 \cdot 6}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6} \quad a_{6}=-\frac{a_{4}}{6 \cdot 8}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 8}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x)=a_{0} x\left[1-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \cdot 4}+\frac{x^{4}}{2 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6}-\frac{x^{6}}{2 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 8}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is one of the solutions of (13.58), and it is usually denoted by $J_{1}(x)$ when $a_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$.

To determine a second linearly independent solution for (13.58), we may follow one of the procedures used to determine the second solution of the Euler equation in the case of equal indices. Here, we use the second procedure and solve for the $a_{m}$ in terms of $a_{0}$ without substituting for $\sigma$. From (13.62),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=-\frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)} \quad a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{(\sigma+3)} \frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+5)}=\frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)} \\
& a_{6}=-\frac{a_{4}}{(\sigma+5)(\sigma+7)}=-\frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)^{2}(\sigma+7)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x)= & x^{\sigma}\left[a_{0}-\frac{a_{0} x^{2}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)}+\frac{a_{0} x^{4}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{a_{0} x^{6}}{(\sigma+1)(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)^{2}(\sigma+7)}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.65}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, if we set $\sigma=-1$ in (13.65), the coefficients become infinite, owing to the factor $\sigma+1$ in the denominators. To circumvent this difficulty, we replace $a_{0}$ with $b(\sigma+1)$ and obtain from (13.65) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=b x^{\sigma}\left[(\sigma+1)-\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma+3}+\frac{x^{4}}{(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)}-\frac{x^{6}}{(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)^{2}(\sigma+7)}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when substituted into the differential equation (13.58), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-1\right) y=b(\sigma+1)^{2}(\sigma-1) x^{\sigma} \tag{13.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Altematively, one can arrive at (13.67) from (13.59) by putting $a_{0}=(\sigma+1) b$, noting that $a_{1}=0$, and using (13.61). As in the case of the Euler equation with equal indices, the occurrence of the squared factor $(\sigma+1)^{2}$ on the right-hand side of (13.67) makes $y$ and $\partial y / \partial \sigma$ satisfy the differential equation when $\sigma=-1$. Setting $\sigma=-1$ in (13.66) and putting $b=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x)=x^{-1}\left[-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4}-\frac{x^{6}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.68a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a multiple of (13.64). Differentiating (13.66) with respect to $\sigma$ and setting $b=1$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} & =\ln x y_{1}+x^{\sigma}\left\{1+\frac{x^{2}}{(\sigma+3)^{2}}-\left[\frac{2}{(\sigma+3)^{3}(\sigma+5)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{(\sigma+3)^{2}(\sigma+5)^{2}}\right] x^{4}+\cdots\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, upon putting $\sigma=-1$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x)=y_{1}(x) \ln x+x^{-1}\left[1+\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}-\frac{5 x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.68b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (13.64) and (13.68b) are two linearly independent solutions of (13.58). Using the ratio test, one can easily show that the series in $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ converge for all values of $x$.

THE CASE $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{1}$
As an example, we consider Bessel's equation of order zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+x y=0 \tag{13.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, substituting (13.45) into (13.69) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+1}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2} a_{0} x^{\sigma-1}+(\sigma+1)^{2} a_{1} x^{\sigma}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+1}=0 \tag{13.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting the coefficients of the first two dominant terms $x^{\sigma-1}$ and $x^{\sigma}$ equal to zero, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} a_{0} & =0  \tag{13.71a}\\
(\sigma+1)^{2} a_{1} & =0 \tag{13.71b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (13.70) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n+1}=0 \tag{13.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon putting $n-2=m$ in the first summation and $n=m$ in the second summation, can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} .\left[(\sigma+m+2)^{2} a_{m+2}+a_{m}\right] x^{\sigma+m+1}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero yields the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+2}=-\frac{a_{m}}{(\sigma+m+2)^{2}} \tag{13.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.71a) that the two indices are equal to zero if $a_{0} \neq 0$ and it follows from (13.71b) that $a_{1}=0$. Hence, only one solution of (13.69) can be obtained by the straightforward Frobenius procedure. Thus, we first determine $y$ as a function of $\sigma$. To this end, we find from (13.73) that

$$
a_{3}=a_{5}=a_{7}=\cdots=a_{2 n+1}=0
$$

and

$$
a_{2}=-\frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+2)^{2}} \quad a_{4}=-\frac{a_{2}}{(\sigma+4)^{2}}=\frac{a_{0}}{(\sigma+2)^{2}(\sigma+4)^{2}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a_{0} x^{\sigma}\left[1-\frac{x^{2}}{(\sigma+2)^{2}}+\frac{x^{4}}{(\sigma+2)^{2}(\sigma+4)^{2}}-\frac{x^{6}}{(\sigma+2)^{2}(\sigma+4)^{2}(\sigma+6)^{2}}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (13.74) into (13.69) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+x y=a_{0} \sigma^{2} x^{\sigma-1} \tag{13.75}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Alternatively, one can arrive at (13.75) from (13.70) by noting that $a_{1}=0$ and using (13.72). As before, the occurrence of the squared factor $\sigma^{2}$ in (13.75) makes $y$ and $\partial y / \partial \sigma$ satisfy the differential equation when $\sigma=0$.

Putting $\sigma=0$ and setting $a_{0}=1$ in (13.74) leads to the $\cdot$ following solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=J_{0}(x)=1-\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}+\frac{x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}-\frac{x^{6}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2}}+\cdots \tag{13.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (13.74) with respect to $\sigma$ and setting $a_{0}=1$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \sigma}=y \ln x+x^{\sigma}\left\{\frac{2 x^{2}}{(\sigma+2)^{3}}-\left[\frac{2}{(\sigma+2)^{3}(\sigma+4)^{2}}+\frac{2}{(\sigma+2)^{2}(\sigma+4)^{3}}\right] x^{4}+\cdots\right\}
$$

which, upon setting $\sigma=0$, yields the second solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=y_{1} \ln x+\frac{x^{2}}{4}-\frac{3 x^{4}}{128}+\cdots \tag{13.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio te: . $2 n \in$ easily show that the series in $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ converge for all values of $\lambda$

### 13.0 Singular:t. at i...

 n.: in :ne: : : : ucd of a finite point. In this section, we consider solutions
 $\therefore$ s.eat $\cdots: \therefore$ and the type of the singularity, one usually transforms infinity act:ae :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x}=\frac{d}{d z} \frac{d z}{d x}=-\frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{d}{d z}=-z^{2} \frac{d}{d z} \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{2}{x^{3}} \frac{d}{d z}+\frac{1}{x^{4}} \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}=2 z^{3} \frac{d}{d z}+z^{4} \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (13.23) becomes

$$
2 z^{3} \frac{d y}{d z}+z^{4} \frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}-z^{2} p\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{d y}{d z}+q\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) y=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\left[\frac{2}{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}} p\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right] \frac{d y}{d z}+\frac{1}{z^{4}} q\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) y=0 \tag{13.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, infinity is an ordinary point of (13.23) if $z=0$ is an ordinary point of (13.78). Thus, infinity is an ordinary point of (13.23) if

$$
\frac{2}{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}} p\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{z^{4}} q\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)
$$

are analytic functions, regular in the neighborhood of $z=0$; otherwise, infinity is a singular point of the original equation. These conditions correspond to

$$
2 x-x^{2} p(x) \quad \text { and } \quad x^{4} q(x)
$$

being expandable in convergent Taylor series in inverse powers of $x^{-1}$ (i.e., Laurent series).
Consider for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{2}{x}+\frac{3}{x^{2}}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{x^{4}}+\frac{2}{x^{5}}\right) y=0 \tag{13.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the transformation $z=x^{-1}$, it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}-3 \frac{d y}{d z}+(2 z+1) y=0 \tag{13.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=0$ is an ordinary point of (13.80), infinity is an ordinary point of (13.79). Therefore, the solutions of (13.79) can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{x^{n}} \tag{13.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are constants and the series converges.
As a second example, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{3}{x^{2}}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{x^{3}}+\frac{2}{x^{4}}\right) y=0 \tag{13.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the transformation $z=x^{-1}$, it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{z}-3\right) \frac{d y}{d z}+\left(\frac{1}{z}+2\right) y=0 \tag{13.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=0$ is a regular singular point of (13.83), infinity is a regular singular point of (13.82). Therefore, at least one of the solutions of (13.82) can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x^{\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{x^{n}} \tag{13.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{n}$ are constants and the series converges. The second solution has the form (13.84) or it can be determined from (13.84) as in the preceding section.

As a third example, we consider Bessel's equation of order zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+x y=0 \tag{13.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the transformation $z=x^{-1}$, it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{d y}{d z}+\frac{1}{z^{4}} y=0 \tag{13.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=0$ is an irregular singular point of (13.86), infinity is an irregular singular point of (13.85). Approximations to the solutions of second-order differential equations in the neighborhood of an irregular singular point are discussed in the next section.

### 13.5. Solutions Near an Irregular Singular Point

As discussed in Section 13.1, if $x=0$ is an irregular singular point of (13.23), its solutions have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=e^{\Lambda(x)} x^{\sigma} u(x) \tag{13.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x)$ can be expressed as a power series in $x^{m / n}$, which need not be convergent, and $\Lambda(x)$ is a polynomial in $x^{-m / n}$, where $m$ and $n$ are prime integers. When $n=1$, the solution is called a normal solution, whereas when $n \neq 1$ the solution is called a subnormal solution. In this section, we consider three ex-amples-one having a normal solution, one having a subnormal solution, and one having an irregular singular point at infinity.

## EXAMPLE 1

As a first example, we consider the following equation, which possesses a normal solution near the origin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-(1-3 x) y^{\prime}+y=0 \tag{13.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The origin is an irregular singular point. To determine the form of $\Lambda(x)$, we determine first its leading term. Assuming the leading term in $\Lambda(x)$ to be $\lambda x^{-\nu}$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim e^{\lambda x^{-\nu}} \tag{13.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime} \sim-\frac{\lambda \nu}{x^{\nu+1}} e^{\lambda x^{-\nu}} \quad y^{\prime \prime} \sim\left[\frac{\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}}{x^{2 \nu+2}}+\frac{\lambda \nu(\nu+1)}{x^{\nu+2}}\right] e^{\lambda x^{-\nu}} \tag{13.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (13.88) and dividing by $x^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}}{x^{2 \nu+2}}+\frac{\lambda \nu(\nu+1)}{x^{\nu+2}}+\frac{\lambda \nu}{x^{\nu+3}}-\frac{3 \lambda \nu}{x^{\nu+2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\cdots=0 \tag{13.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{-2 \nu-2} \gg x^{-\nu-2}$ and $x^{-\nu-3} \gg x^{-\nu-2}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, extracting the dominant terms in (13.91) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}}{x^{2 \nu+2}}+\frac{\lambda \nu}{x^{\nu+3}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\cdots=0 \tag{13.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we need to equate the coefficients of the powers of $x$. The result depends on the value of $\nu$. In this case, it appears that there are three choices:

$$
2 \nu+2=\nu+3 \text { or } 2 \nu+2=2 \text { or } \nu+3=2
$$

Thus, it appears that

$$
\nu=1 \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \quad \text { or } \quad-1
$$

However, only the largest value should be chosen; otherwise, the dominant term in (13.92) will not be balanced. For example, if we choose $\nu=0$, then the first term is $O\left(x^{-2}\right)$, the second term is $O\left(x^{-3}\right)$, and the third term is $O\left(x^{-2}\right)$. Consequently, one cannot choose $\lambda$ to eliminate the dominant term, which in this case is the second term. The choice $\nu=-1$ would make $\Lambda(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, and hence, make $\exp [\Lambda(x)]$ expressible in powers of $x$, which can be absorbed into $u(x)$.
With $\nu=1$, the first two terms in (13.92) are the dominant terms. They can be balanced by setting ${ }^{\text {. }}$

$$
\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}+\lambda \nu=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda^{2}+\lambda=0
$$

so that either $\lambda=0$ or -1 .
When $\lambda=0$, we substitute

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n} \tag{13.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (13.88) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}-(1-3 x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n-0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}-\sum_{n}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}=0 \tag{13.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant term is proportional to $x^{\sigma-1}$, which corresponds to $n=0$ in the second summation. Setting the coefficient of $x^{\sigma-1}$ equal to zero, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma a_{0}=0 \tag{13.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 346 LINEAR EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

Then, (13.94) becomes

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x_{0}^{\sigma+n-1}=0
$$

which, upon putting $n=m$ in the first summation and $m=n-1$ in the second summation, becomes

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+m+1)^{2} a_{m}-(\sigma+m+1) a_{m+1}\right] x^{\sigma+m}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=(\sigma+m+1) a_{m} \tag{13.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.95) that $\sigma=0$ if $a_{0} \neq 0$. Then, it follows from (13.96) that

$$
a_{m+1}=(m+1) a_{m}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=a_{0} \quad a_{2}=2 a_{1}=2 a_{0} \quad a_{3}=3 a_{2}=3!a_{0} \\
& a_{4}=4 a_{3}=4!a_{0} \quad a_{5}=5 a_{4}=5!a_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
y_{1}(x)=a_{0}\left[1+x+2!x^{2}+3!x^{3}+4!x^{4}+5!x^{5}+\cdots\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x) \sim a_{0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m!x^{m} \tag{13.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the ratio test, one can easily show that the series in (13.97) diverges for all values of $x$, and hence, an asymptotic sign instead of an equality sign was used. The divergence of the series is not surprising because the origin is an irregular singular point.

When $\lambda=-1$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=v(x) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{13.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (13.88) so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=\left(v^{\prime}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} v\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) \\
& y^{\prime \prime}=\left(v^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{x^{2}} v^{\prime}-\frac{2}{x^{3}} v+\frac{1}{x^{4}} v\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (13.88), we have

$$
x^{2} v^{\prime \prime}+2 v^{\prime}-\frac{2}{x} v+\frac{1}{x^{2}} v-v^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x^{2}} v+3 x v^{\prime}+\frac{3}{x} v+v=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} v^{\prime \prime}+(1+3 x) v^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{x}+1\right) v=0 \tag{13.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the exponential part $\exp [\Lambda(x)]$ was taken out of $y(x)$, the solution for $v(x)$ is sought in the Frobenius form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n} \tag{13.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (13.100) into (13.99) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1} \\
&+3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0 \tag{13.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting the leading term in (13.101) equal to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(o+1) a_{0}=0 \tag{13.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (13.101) becomes

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1) a_{n} x^{\sigma+n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n+1)^{2} a_{n} x^{\sigma+n}=0
$$

which, upon putting $n-1=m$ in the first summation and $n=m$ in the second summation, becomes

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+m+2) a_{m+1}+(\sigma+m+1)^{2} a_{m}\right] x^{\sigma+m}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=-\frac{(\sigma+m+1)^{2}}{\sigma+m+2} a_{m} \tag{13.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.102) that $\sigma=-1$ when $a_{0} \neq 0$, and then it follows from (13.103) that

$$
a_{m+1}=-\frac{m^{2}}{m+1} a_{m}
$$

Hence, $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=a_{m}=0$ for $m \neq 0$, and the series (13.100) terminates. Therefore, when $a_{0}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{x} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{13.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the exponent in (13.104) involves inverse powers of $x, y_{2}(x)$ is a normal solution.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider the following equation that possesses subnormal solutions near the origin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3} y^{\prime \prime}-x^{2} y^{\prime}-y=0 \tag{13.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the origin is an irregular singular point, the solutions of (13.105) have the form (13.87). To determine the form of $\Lambda(x)$, we assume that the leading term has the form (13.89). Substituting (13.89) and (13.90) into (13.105) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}}{x^{2 \nu-1}}+\frac{\lambda \nu(\nu+1)}{x^{\nu-1}}+\frac{\lambda \nu}{x^{\nu-1}}-1+\cdots=0 \tag{13.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose dominant terms are the first term and perhaps the last. Balancing these terms demands that $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda^{2} \nu^{2}=1$. Hence, $\lambda= \pm 2$. Setting $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ in (13.106) confirms our stipulation that the first and last terms are the dominant terms.
With the above choice, $y$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=e^{ \pm 2 x^{-1 / 2}} v(x) \tag{13.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the exponent in (13.107) involves fractional inverse powers of $x$, the solution is called a subnormal solution. It follows from (13.107) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime} & =\left(v^{\prime} \mp x^{-3 / 2} v\right) \exp \left( \pm 2 x^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime} & =\left(v^{\prime \prime} \mp 2 x^{-3 / 2} v^{\prime}+x^{-3} v \pm \frac{3}{2} x^{-5 / 2} v\right) \exp \left( \pm 2 x^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (13.105), we obtain

$$
x^{3} v^{\prime \prime} \mp 2 x^{3 / 2} v^{\prime}+v \pm \frac{3}{2} x^{1 / 2} v \cdots x^{2} v^{\prime} \pm x^{1 / 2} v-v=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3} v^{\prime \prime}-\left(x^{2} \pm 2 x^{3 / 2}\right) v^{\prime} \pm \frac{5}{2} x^{1 / 2} v=0 \tag{13.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we seek the solutions of (13.108) in powers of $x^{1 / 2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{(o+n) / 2} \tag{13.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when substituted into (13.108), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{4}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-2) a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+2) / 2}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+2) / 2} \\
& \mp 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+1) / 2} \pm \frac{5}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+1) / 2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n-4) a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+2) / 2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \mp \sigma \mp n\right) a_{n} x^{(\sigma+n+1) / 2}=0 \tag{13.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant term in (13.110) is the one proportional to $x^{(0+1) / 2}$, corresponding to $n=0$ in the second summation. Setting this term equal to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \mp \sigma\right) a_{0}=0 \tag{13.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $m=n$ in the first summation and $n-1=m$ in the second summation, we rewrite (13.110) as

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{4}(\sigma+m)(\sigma+m-4) a_{m}+\left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \mp \sigma \mp m \mp 1\right) a_{m+1}\right\} x^{(\sigma+m+2) / 2}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=-\frac{(\sigma+m)(\sigma+m-4)}{4\left( \pm \frac{5}{2} \mp \sigma \mp m \mp 1\right)} a_{m} \tag{13.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.111) that $\sigma=\frac{5}{2}$ when $a_{0} \neq 0$. Then, (13.112) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}= \pm \frac{\left(m+\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{4(m+1)} a_{m} \tag{13.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\mp \frac{3 \cdot 5}{16} a_{0} \quad a_{2}=\mp \frac{7 \cdot 1}{16 \cdot 2} a_{1}=\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{16^{2} \cdot 2} a_{0} \\
& a_{3}= \pm \frac{9 \cdot 1}{16 \cdot 3} a_{2}= \pm \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{16^{3} \cdot 3!} a_{0} \\
& a_{4}= \pm \frac{11 \cdot 3}{16 \cdot 4} a_{3}=\frac{3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{16^{4} \cdot 4!} a_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{1}(x) \sim e^{2 x^{-1 / 2} x^{5 / 4}\left[1-\frac{3 \cdot 5 x^{1 / 2}}{16}+\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 x}{16^{2} \cdot 2}+\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 x^{3 / 2}}{16^{3} \cdot 3!}\right.} \\
\left.\quad+\frac{3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 x^{2}}{16^{4} \cdot 4!}+\cdots\right]
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
y_{2}(x) \sim e^{-2 x^{-1 / 2} x^{5 / 4}\left[1+\frac{3 \cdot 5 x^{1 / 2}}{16}+\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 x}{16^{2} \cdot 2}-\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 x^{3 / 2}}{16^{3} \cdot 3!}\right.} \\
\left.+\frac{3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 x^{2}}{16^{4} \cdot 4!}+\cdots\right]
\end{array}
$$

Using the ratio test in (13.109), we have

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m+1) \text { th term }}{m \text { th term }}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{m+1} x^{(o+m+1) / 2}}{a_{m} x^{(o+m) / 2}}=x^{1 / 2} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{m+1}}{a_{m}} \rightarrow \pm \infty
$$

according to (13.113). Hence, the series (13.114) and (13.115) are asymptotic and for this reason an asymptotic rather than an equality sign was used.

## EXAMPLE 3.

As a third example, we consider an approximation for the solutions of Bessel's equation of order zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+x y=0 \tag{13.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $x$. As shown in Section 13.4, infinity is an irregular singular point. Hence, the solutions of (13.116) for large $x$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=e^{\wedge(x)} x^{0} u(x) \tag{13.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a polynomial in $x^{m / n}$ with $m$ and $n$ being prime integers and $u(x)$ can be expressed in a series in inverse powers of $x^{m / n}$.

To determine the form of $\Lambda$, we assume that the leading term has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim e^{\lambda x^{\nu}} \tag{13.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
y^{\prime} \sim \lambda \nu x^{\nu-1} e^{\lambda x^{\nu}} \quad y^{\prime \prime} \sim\left[\lambda^{2} \nu^{2} x^{2 \nu-2}+\lambda \nu(\nu-1) x^{\nu-2}\right] e^{\lambda x^{\nu}}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (13.116) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \nu^{2} x^{2 \nu-1}+\lambda \nu(\nu-1) x^{\nu-1}+\lambda \nu x^{\nu-1}+x+\cdots=0 \tag{13.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$, the dominant terms are the first and last terms in (13.119), which, when balanced, yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda= \pm i \tag{13.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\lambda=i$, we put

$$
y=e^{i x} v(x)
$$

in (13.116) and obtain

$$
x\left(v^{\prime \prime}+2 i v^{\prime}-v\right)+v^{\prime}+i v+x v=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x v^{\prime \prime}+(2 i x+1) v^{\prime}+i v=0 \tag{13.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we seek a solution for (13.121) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{-a-n} \tag{13.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)(\sigma+n+1) a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n-1}-2 i & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n} \\
& -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n) a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n-1}+i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\sigma+n)^{2} a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n-1}+i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-2 \sigma-2 n) a_{n} x^{-\sigma-n}=0 \tag{13.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading term is proportional to $x^{-\sigma}$, corresponding to $n=0$ in the second summation, which, when set equal to zero, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-2 \sigma) a_{0}=0 \tag{13.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, letting $m=n$ in the first summation and $n-1=m$ in the second summation, we rewrite (13.123) as

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[(\sigma+m)^{2} a_{m}-i(2 \sigma+2 m+1) a_{m+1}\right] x^{-\sigma-m-1}=0
$$

Equating the coefficient of each power of $x$ to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=-\frac{i(\sigma+m)^{2}}{2 \sigma+2 m+1} a_{m} \tag{13.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.124) that $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$ when $a_{0} \neq 0$, and then it follows from (13.125) that

$$
a_{m+1}=-\frac{i\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{2(m+1)} a_{m}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{i a_{0}}{4 \cdot 2} \quad a_{2}=-\frac{3^{2} i a_{1}}{4 \cdot 2^{2}}=-\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2} a_{0}}{4^{2} \cdot 2^{3}} \\
& a_{3}=-\frac{5^{2} i a_{2}}{4 \cdot 3!}=\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} i a_{0}}{4^{3} \cdot 2^{3} \cdot 3!} \\
& a_{4}=-\frac{7^{2} i a_{3}}{4 \cdot 2 \cdot 4}=\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} a_{0}}{4^{4} \cdot 2^{4} \cdot 4!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $a_{10}=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
y= & e^{i x} x^{-1 / 2}\left[1-\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 x} i-\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2}}{4^{2} \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 2!x^{2}}+\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2}}{4^{3} \cdot 2^{3} \cdot 3!x^{3}} i\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2}}{4^{4} \cdot 2^{4} \cdot 4!x^{4}}+\cdots\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{13.126}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the ratio of two successive terms

$$
-\frac{i(2 m+1)^{2}}{8(m+1) x} \rightarrow-i \infty \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
$$

the series in (13.126) diverges for all values of $x$. However, for large values of $x$, it is an asymptotic expansion because the leading terms diminish very rapidly as $m$ increases. Using $\lambda=-i$ yields the complex conjugate.

Separating (13.126) into real and imaginary parts yields the following two linearly independent solutions of (13.116):

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1} \sim x^{-1 / 2}(u \cos x+v \sin x)  \tag{13.127}\\
& y_{2} \sim x^{-1 / 2}(u \sin x-v \cos x) \tag{13.128}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=1-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2}}{4^{2} \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 2!x^{2}}+\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2}}{4^{4} \cdot 2^{4} \cdot 4!x^{4}}+\cdots  \tag{13.129}\\
& v(x)=\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2 x}-\frac{1^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2}}{4^{3} \cdot 2^{3} \cdot 3!x^{3}}+\cdots \tag{13.130}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim A y_{1}+B y_{2} \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{13.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constants to be determined from the usual initial conditions
$J_{0}(0)=1$ and $J_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0$. However, (13.131) is not valid for small $x$ and, in fact, it blows up as $x \rightarrow 0$. Hence, the initial conditions cannot be applied directly to the above asymptotic development. To circumvent difficulties of this type, one usually tries to determine an integral representation of the function under consideration that satisfies the initial conditions. Then, by determining the leading term in the asymptotic development of the integral, one can relate the constants in representations, such as in (13.131), to the initial conditions.

An integral representation of $J_{0}(x)$ can be found as follows. We expand the function $\exp (i x \sin \theta)$ in ascending powers of $x$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{i x \sin \theta} & =1+\frac{i x \sin \theta}{1!}+\frac{(i x \sin \theta)^{2}}{2!}+\frac{(i x \sin \theta)^{3}}{3!}+\cdots \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i x \sin \theta)^{n}}{n!} \tag{13.132}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \text {th term }}{(n-1) \text { th term }}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(i x \sin \theta)^{n}(n-1)!}{n!(i x \sin \theta)^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

the series (13.132) converges for all values of $x$. Integrating both sides with respect to $\theta$ from 0 to $2 \pi$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i x \sin \theta} d \theta=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i x)^{n}}{n!} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{n} \theta d \theta \tag{13.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, it follows from (A38) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{n} \theta d \theta & =0 \quad \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
& =1 \text { if } n=0 \\
& =\frac{(n-1)(n-3) \cdots 3 \cdot 1}{n(n-2) \cdots 4 \cdot 2} \quad \text { if } n \text { is even }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, it follows from (13.133) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i x \sin \theta} d \theta=1-\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}+\frac{x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}-\frac{x^{6}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2}}+\cdots \tag{13.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the series on the right-hand side of (13.134) is $J_{0}(x)$ according to (13.76),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i x \sin \theta} d \theta \tag{13.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired integral representation.
Next, we determine the leading term in the asymptotic development of (13.135) for large $x$. To accomplish this, we use the method of stationary phase and note that the stationary points are given by $\cos \theta=0$ or $\theta= \pm \frac{1}{2} \pi$. Since in the neighborhood of $\theta= \pm \frac{1}{2} \pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta= \pm\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta \mp \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{13.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

the leading term in the asymptotic development of the integral is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{i x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) i x r^{2}} d t+\frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{-i x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 2) i x t^{2}} d t \tag{13.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t=\theta \pm \frac{1}{2} \pi$, according to Section 3.4. The integrals in (13.137) can be transformed into Laplace integrals by rotating the contours of integration by the angles $-\frac{1}{4} \pi$ and $\frac{1}{4} \pi$, respectively. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{i x} \int_{-\infty e^{-(1 / 4) i \pi}}^{\infty e^{-(1 / 4) i \pi}} e^{-(1 / 2) i x t^{2}} d t+\frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{-i x} \int_{-\infty e}^{\infty} e^{(1 / 4) i \pi} e^{(1 / 2) i x t^{2}} d t \tag{13.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t=\sqrt{2} r x^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} i \pi\right)$ in the first integral and $t=\sqrt{2} \tau x^{-1 / 2} \exp$. $\left(\frac{1}{4} i \pi\right)$ in the second integral, we rewrite (13.138) as

$$
J_{0}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2 x}} e^{i(x-(1 / 4) \pi]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2}} d r+\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2 x}} e^{-i \mid x-(1 / 4) \pi]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{2}} d \tau
$$

or

$$
J_{0}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi x}}\left\{e^{i|x-(1 / 4) \pi|}+e^{-i|x-(1 / 4) \pi|}\right\}
$$

on account of (3.25). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{13.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (13.127) through (13.130) that

$$
y_{1} \sim x^{-1 / 2} \cos x \quad \text { and } \quad y_{2} \sim x^{-1 / 2} \sin x
$$

Hence, it follows from (13.131) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0} \sim x^{-1 / 2}(A \cos x+B \sin x) \tag{13.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (13.139) and (13.140), we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\cos x \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi+\sin x \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi\right)=A \cos x+B \sin x
$$

so that

$$
A=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi \quad B=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi
$$

Substituting for $A$ and $B$ in (13.131) and using (13.127) and (13.128), we obtain

$$
J_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[u\left(\cos x \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi+\sin x \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+v\left(\sin x \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi-\cos x \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left[u \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+v \sin \left(x-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{13.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (13.76) and (13.141) are two different representations of the same function $J_{0}(x)$. The first representation involves a series that converges for all values of $x$, whereas the second series diverges for all values of $x$. As mentioned in Chapter 1, although the convergent series (13.76) provides an excellent representation for small values of $x$, it is useless from the computational point of view for very large values of $x$, owing to the finite word length of modern computers. In fact, any attempt to evaluate $J_{0}(x)$ for large $x$ from the convergent series using a computer fails beyond a given value of $x$; this value depends on the skill of the programmer. However, although the divergent series (13.141) is useless for small values of $x$, it is an excellent representation of $J_{0}(x)$ for large $x$. In fact, its accuracy increases with increasing $x$.

## Exercises

13.1. Determine two linearly independent solutions of each of the following equations:
(a) $x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-y=0$
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-y=0$
(d) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x y^{\prime}-4 y=0$
(e) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0$
13.2. Determine three linearly independent solutions of each of the following equations:
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 x y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime}=0$
(b) $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
(c) $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
(d) $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+7 x y^{\prime}-7 y=0$
13.3. Determine two linearly independent solutions of each of the following equations near the origin and determine the radius of convergence for each series:
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}-x y=0$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-2 y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-2 x y=0$
(d) $y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}-y=0$
13.4. Consider the Hermite equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+\gamma y=0
$$

Determine two linearly independent solutions of this equation in power series near the origin and show that one of them terminates if $\gamma=2 n$, where $n=0,1$, 2, $\cdots$.
13.5. Consider the Legendre equation

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+\gamma y=0
$$

Determine two linearly independent solutions of this equation in power series near the origin and show that one of them terminates if $\gamma=n(n+1), n=0$, $1,2, \cdots$.
13.6. Determine two linearly independent solutions in power series near the origin of the Tschebycheff equation

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+\gamma y=0
$$

and show that one of them terminates if $\gamma=n^{2}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$.
13.7. Determine two linearly independent solutions in power series near the origin for each of the following equations:
(a) $4 x y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime}-y=0$
(b) $\left(2 x+x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-6 x y=0$
(c) $9 x(1-x) y^{\prime \prime}-12 y^{\prime}+4 y=0$
(d) $2 x(1-x) y^{\prime \prime}+(1-x) y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
13.8. Determine two linearly independent solutions in power series near the origin for each of the following equations:
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x(x-1) y^{\prime}-x y=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-4\right) y=0$
(c) $\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+2 y=0$
(d) $x(1-x) y^{\prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}-y=0$
(e) $y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y=0$
(f) $\left(2+x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+(1+x) y=0$
13.9. Determine two linearly independent solutions in power series near the origin for each of the following equations:
(a) $x y^{\prime \prime}+(1+x) y^{\prime}+2 y=0$
(b) $\left(x-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+(1-x) y^{\prime}-y=0$
(c) $\left(x-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+(1-5 x) y^{\prime}-4 y=0$
(d) $4\left(x^{4}-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+8 x^{3} y^{\prime}-y=0$
13.10. Determine two linearly independent solutions in power series near the origin for each of the following equations:
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y^{\prime}-2 y=0$
(b) $x y^{\prime \prime}-(1+x) y^{\prime}+2(1-x) y=0$
13.11. Show that the origin is an apparent singularity for the equation

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-\left(4 x+\lambda_{1} x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(4-\lambda_{2} x\right) y=0
$$

when (a) $\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{1}$, (b) $\lambda_{2}=-2 \lambda_{1}$, and (c) $\lambda_{3}=-3 \lambda_{1}$. (Hint: Show that none of the solutions are singular at $\boldsymbol{x}=0$.)
13.12. Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=x^{-1 / 3}\left[1+\frac{3}{3!} x+\frac{9}{6!} x^{2}+\frac{27}{9!} x^{3}+\cdots\right] \\
& y_{2}=\frac{1}{1!}+\frac{3}{4!} x+\frac{9}{7!} x^{2}+\frac{27}{10!} x^{3}+\cdots \\
& y_{3}=x^{1 / 3}\left[\frac{1}{2!}+\frac{3}{5!} x+\frac{9}{8!} x^{2}+\frac{27}{11!} x^{3}+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are linearly independent solutions of

$$
9 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+27 x y^{\prime \prime}+8 y^{\prime}-y=0
$$

13.13. Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}+\frac{x^{3}}{2^{2} \cdot 3^{2}}+\cdots \\
& y_{2}=y_{1} \ln x+2\left[-x-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{2} \cdot 3^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots\right] \\
& y_{3}=2 y_{2} \ln x-y_{1}(\ln x)^{2}+\left[6 x+\left(\frac{6}{2^{2}}+\frac{8}{2^{3}}+\frac{6}{2^{4}}\right) x^{2}+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are three linearly independent solutions of

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime \prime}+(1-x) y^{\prime}-y=0
$$

13.14. Show that

$$
y=c_{1} \exp \left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)+c_{2} \exp \left(-x-\frac{1}{x}\right)
$$

is the general solution of

$$
x^{4}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{3} y^{\prime}-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3} y=0
$$

## 358 LINEAR EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

Show that both the origin and infinity are irregular singular points of this equation.
13.15. Determine two linearly independent solutions near the origin for each of the following equations:
(a) $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime}+x(1-2 x) y^{\prime}-2 y=0$
(b) $x^{4} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{3} y^{\prime}-y=0$
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2(1-x) y^{\prime}-y=0$
13.16. Determine two linearly independent solutions for large $x$ for each of the following equations:
(a) $16 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+32 x y^{\prime}-(4 x+5) y=0$
(b) $x y^{\prime \prime}+2(1-x) y^{\prime}-y=0$
(c) $4 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+8 x y^{\prime}-\left(4 x^{2}+3\right) y=0$
13.17. Consider the modified Bessel equation of zeroth order

$$
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-x y=0
$$

(a) Show that it has the following bounded solution at the origin:

$$
I_{0}(x)=1+\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}}+\frac{x^{4}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}+\frac{x^{6}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2}}+\cdots
$$

Determine the second solution.
(b) Determine an asymptotic solution for large $x$; it involves an arbitrary constant.
(c) Show that

$$
I_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{x \sin \theta} d \theta
$$

(d) Determine the asymptotic expansion for large $x$ of the integral in (c) and use it to determine the constant in (b).
13.18. Consider Bessel's equation of order one

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-1\right) y=0
$$

(a) Show that one of the solutions of this equation can be expressed as

$$
J_{1}(x)=\frac{x}{2}\left[1-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \cdot 4}+\frac{x^{4}}{2 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6}-\cdots\right]
$$

which converges for all $x$. Determine the second solution.
(b) Expand $\sin \theta \exp (i x \sin \theta)$ in powers of $x$, integrate the result with respect to $\theta$ from 0 to $2 \pi$, and obtain

$$
J_{1}(x)=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin \theta e^{i x \sin \theta} d \theta
$$

(c) Determine the asymptotic expansion of the solution of the equation for large $x$; it involves two arbitrary constants.
(d) Determine the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of the integral in (b) for large $x$ and use it to determine the constants in (c).
13.19. Determine approximations to three linearly independent solutions for large $x$ for each of the following equations:
(a) $x^{6} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 x^{5} y^{\prime \prime}-y=0$
(b) $x y^{\prime \prime \prime}-(2 x+1) y^{\prime \prime}-(1+x) y^{\prime}+(2 x+3) y=0$
13.20. Consider Bessel's equation of order $\nu$

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) y=0
$$

(a) Show that

$$
J_{\nu}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(x / 2)^{2 n+\nu}}{n!\Gamma(\nu+n+1)}
$$

When $\nu$ is different from an integer show that

$$
J_{-\nu}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(x / 2)^{2 n-\nu}}{n!\Gamma(-\nu+n+1)}
$$

(b) Show that for large $x$

$$
y \sim A y_{1}+B y_{2}
$$

where

$$
y_{1} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} e^{i x}\left[1+\frac{c_{1}}{x}+\frac{c_{2}}{x^{2}}+\cdots\right] \quad y_{2}=\bar{y}_{1}
$$

(c) Use the integral representation

$$
J_{\nu}(x)=\frac{2\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\nu-(1 / 2)} \cos x t d t \quad \nu>-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and show that

$$
J_{\nu}(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos \left(x-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

(d) Use the result in (c) to determine $A$ and $B$ in (b).

## CHAPTER 14

## Differential Equations with a Large Parameter

In this chapter, we discuss approximations to the solutions of homogeneous second-order differential equations containing a large parameter having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x ; \lambda) y^{\prime}+q(x ; \lambda) y=0 \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a dimen-ionless parameter that is much much bigger than one. Equation (14.1) can be transformed into one without the first derivative by making the substitution

$$
y(x)=P(x) u(x)
$$

so that

$$
y^{\prime}=P^{\prime} u+P u^{\prime} \quad y^{\prime \prime}=P^{\prime \prime} u+2 P^{\prime} u^{\prime}+P u^{\prime \prime}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (14.1) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime \prime} u+2 P^{\prime} u^{\prime}+P u^{\prime \prime}+p P^{\prime} u+p P u^{\prime}+q P u=0 \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting the coefficient of $u^{\prime}$ equal to zero, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 P^{\prime}+p P=0 \tag{14.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (14.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{P^{\prime \prime}}{P}+\frac{p P^{\prime}}{P}+q\right] u=0 \tag{14.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating variables in (14.3), we have

$$
\frac{d P}{P}=-\frac{1}{2} p d x
$$

which, upon integration, gives

$$
\ln P=-\frac{1}{2} \int p d x
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int p d x\right] \tag{14.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{2} p \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int p d x\right], \\
P^{\prime \prime} & =\left(-\frac{1}{2} p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4} p^{2}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int p d x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and (14.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\left[q-\frac{1}{4} p^{2}-\frac{1}{2} p^{\prime}\right] u=0 \tag{14.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we need only to investigate the solutions of the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(x ; \lambda) y=0 \tag{14.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because equations of the form (14.1) can be transformed into this standard form. As an example, consider Bessel's equation of zeroth order

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+x y=0 \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $p=x^{-1}$ and $q=1$, and (14.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right) u=0 \tag{14.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the standard form is convenient for obtaining the asymptotic solution for large $x$.
In this chapter, we investigate the special class

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda^{2} q_{1}(x)+q_{2}(x)\right] y=0 \tag{14.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

of (14.7), which is usually referred to as Liouville's problem. We start with the WKB pproximation, then the Liouville-Green transformation, and finally the Langer transformation in case of turning or transition points.

### 14.1. The WKB Approximation

We assume that $q_{1}(x)$ is differentiable and $q_{2}(x)$ is continuous in the interval of interest. Dividing (14.10) by $\lambda^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} y^{\prime \prime}+q_{1} y+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} q_{2} y=0 \tag{14.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in (14.11) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} y=0 \tag{14.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields the trivial solution. Therefore, we cannot determine an approximation to the solution of (14.10) by seeking solutions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=y_{0}(x)+\frac{1}{\lambda} y_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{14.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we did in the preceding chapters.
To motivate the method that can be used, we consider the simple problem in which $q_{1}$ is a constant and $q_{2}=0$. Then, the solution of (14.10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c_{1} e^{i \lambda \sqrt{q_{1}} x}+c_{2} e^{-i \lambda \sqrt{q_{1}} x} \tag{14.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants. When $q_{1}$ is negative, the solution of (14.10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=c_{1} e^{\lambda \sqrt{-q_{1} x}}+c_{2} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{-q_{1} x}} \tag{14.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In either case, two linearly independent solutions of (14.10) can be expressed in exponential forms with the parameter $\lambda$ appearing in the exponents. Hence, instead of seeking a straightforward expansion in inverse powers of $\lambda$, we seek an expansion for $y$ in (14.10) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=e^{\lambda G(x, \lambda)} \tag{14.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ has a straightforward expansion in inverse powers of $\lambda$. Differentiating (14.16) twice yields

$$
y^{\prime}=\lambda G^{\prime} e^{\lambda G} \quad y^{\prime \prime}=\left(\lambda^{2} G^{\prime 2}+\lambda G^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{\lambda G}
$$

Substituting for $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in the linear equation (14.10), we transform it into the following nonlinear equation:

$$
\lambda^{2} G^{\prime 2}+\lambda G^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} q_{1}+q_{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime 2}+q_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda} G^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} q_{2}=0 \tag{14.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed above, we seek a straightforward expansion for $G$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; \lambda)=G_{0}(x)+\frac{1}{\lambda} G_{1}(x)+\cdots \tag{14.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14.18) into (14.17), we have

$$
\left(G_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\lambda} G_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots\right)^{2}+q_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(G_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\lambda} G_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} q_{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}^{\prime 2}+\frac{2}{\lambda} G_{0}^{\prime} G_{1}^{\prime}+q_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda} G_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots=0 \tag{14.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $\lambda^{0}$ and $\lambda^{-1}$ to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}^{\prime 2}+q_{1} & =0  \tag{14.20}\\
G_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 G_{0}^{\prime} G_{1}^{\prime} & =0 \tag{14.21}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (14.20) that $G_{0}^{\prime 2}=-q_{1}$, so that

$$
G_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 
\pm i \sqrt{q_{1}} & \text { if } & q_{1}>0  \tag{14.22}\\
\pm \sqrt{-q_{1}} & \text { if } & q_{1}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then,

$$
G_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 
\pm i \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x & \text { if } & q_{1}>0  \tag{14.23}\\
\pm \int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d x & \text { if } & q_{1}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

To solve (14.21), we divide it first by $2 G_{0}^{\prime}$ and obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{G_{0}^{\prime}}+G_{1}^{\prime}=0
$$

which, upon integration, gives

$$
G_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \ln G_{0}^{\prime}=0
$$

The constant of integration is not needed as it becomes clear below. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=-\ln \sqrt{G_{0}^{\prime}} \tag{14.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $G_{0}$ and $G_{1}$ in (14.18) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G= \pm i \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\ln \sqrt{ \pm \mathrm{i}}+\ln \sqrt[4]{q_{1}}\right]+\cdots \text { if } q_{1}>0 \tag{14.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G= \pm \int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d x-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\ln \sqrt{ \pm 1}+\ln \sqrt[4]{-q_{1}}\right]+\cdots \text { if } q_{1}<0 \tag{14.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14.25) into (14.16), we have

$$
y=\exp \left\{ \pm i \lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x-\left[\ln \sqrt{ \pm i}+\ln \sqrt[4]{q_{1}}\right]+\cdots\right\}
$$

which, upon using the fact that $\exp (-\ln z)=z^{-1}$, can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{\exp \left[ \pm i \lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x\right]}{\sqrt{ \pm i} \sqrt[4]{q_{1}}} \tag{14.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (14.27) provides two linearly independent approximate solutions of (14.10). Expressing the exponentials in terms of trigonometric functions, we can write an approximation to the general solution of (14.10) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{c_{1} \cos \left[\lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x\right]}{\sqrt[4]{q_{1}}} \tag{14.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. Substituting (14.26) into (14.16) and following steps similar to the above, we can write an approximation to the general solution of (14.10) for the case $q_{1}<0$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{c_{1} \exp \left[\lambda \int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d x\right]+c_{2} \exp \left[-\lambda \int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d x\right]}{\sqrt[4]{-q_{1}}} \tag{14.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (14.28) and (14.29) are usually referred to as the WKB approximation after Wentzel, Kramers, and Brillouin. We note that (14.28) and (14.29) break down at or near the zeros of $q_{1}(x)$. These zeros are called tuming or transition points. Turning point problems are discussed in Sections 14.5 and 14.6.

As an example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}(1+x)^{2} y=0 \tag{14.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $q_{1}=(1+x)^{2}>0$ and (14.28) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{c_{1} \cos \left[\lambda\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\lambda\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{1+x}} \tag{14.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-\lambda^{2}(1+x)^{2} y=0 \tag{14.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $q_{1}=-(1+x)^{2}$ and (14.29) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{c_{1} \exp \left[\lambda\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)\right]+c_{2} \exp \left[-\lambda\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{1+x}} \tag{14.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 14.2. The Liouville-Green Transformation

In this section, we consider an alternative to the derivation in the preceding section. This involves the use of the so-called Liouville-Green transformation to transform both the dependent and independent variables as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\phi(x) \quad v(z)=\psi(x) y(x) \tag{14.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are to be chosen so that (14.10) is transformed into an equation whose dominant part has constant coefficients. It follows from (14.34) that

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x) & =\frac{v(z)}{\psi(x)}  \tag{14.35}\\
\frac{d y}{d x} & =-\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}} v+\frac{1}{\psi} \frac{d v}{d x}=-\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}} v+\frac{1}{\psi} \frac{d v}{d z} \frac{d z}{d x}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =-\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}} v+\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\psi} \frac{d v}{d z}  \tag{14.36}\\
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} & =-\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right) v-\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}} \frac{d v}{d z} \frac{d z}{d x}+\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\psi}\right) \frac{d v}{d z}+\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\psi} \frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}} \frac{d z}{d x} \\
& =\frac{\phi^{\prime 2}}{\psi} \frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi}-\frac{2 \phi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right) \frac{d v}{d z}-\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{2}}-\frac{2 \psi^{\prime 2}}{\psi^{3}}\right) v \tag{14.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $y$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in (14.10), we obtain

$$
\frac{\phi^{\prime 2}}{\psi} \frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi}-\frac{2 \phi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right) \frac{d v}{d z}-\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{2}}-\frac{2 \psi^{\prime 2}}{\psi^{3}}\right) v+\left(\lambda^{2} q_{1}+q_{2}\right) \frac{v}{\psi}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+\frac{1}{\phi^{\prime 2}}\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 \phi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}}{\psi}\right) \frac{d v}{d z}+\left[\frac{\lambda^{2} q_{1}}{\phi^{\prime 2}}+\frac{q_{2}}{\phi^{\prime 2}}-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi \phi^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2 \psi^{\prime 2}}{\psi^{2} \phi^{\prime 2}}\right] v=0 \tag{14.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned above, the functions $\phi$ and $\psi$ are to be chosen so that the dominant part of the transformed equation (14.38) has constant coefficients. To this end, we force the coefficient of $d v / d z$ to be zero by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 \phi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}}{\psi}=0 \tag{14.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (14.39) can be solved by separating the variables; that is,

$$
\frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{2 \phi^{\prime}}=\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ln \phi^{\prime}=\ln \psi
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sqrt{\phi^{\prime}} \tag{14.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (14.38) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\lambda^{2} q_{1}}{\phi^{\prime 2}}+\delta\right) v=0 \tag{14.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{q_{2}}{\phi^{\prime 2}}-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi \phi^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2 \psi^{\prime 2}}{\psi^{2} \phi^{\prime 2}} \tag{14.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (14.41) has two variable-coefficient terms, namely $q_{1} / \phi^{\prime 2}$ and $\delta$.
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Since we have imposed only the condition (14.40) on $\phi$ and $\psi$, we still have the freedom of imposing another condition. We use the second condition to make the dominant term in (14.41), namely $\lambda^{2} q_{1} / \phi^{\prime 2}$, constant, thereby transforming (14.10) into an equation whose dominant part has constant coefficients. Thus, we put $\lambda^{2} q_{1} / \phi^{\prime 2}=$ constant. Without loss of generality, the constant can be taken to be 1 if $q_{1}>0$ and -1 if $q_{1}<0$. Hence,

$$
\phi^{\prime 2}=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\lambda^{2} q_{1} & \text { if } & q_{1}>0 \\
-\lambda^{2} q_{1} & \text { if } & q_{1}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then,

$$
z=\phi=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 
\pm \lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d x & \text { if } & q_{1}>0  \tag{14.43}\\
\pm \lambda \int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d x & \text { if } & q_{1}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and it follows from (14.40) that

$$
\psi=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda^{1 / 2} \sqrt[4]{q_{1}} & \text { if } & q_{1}>0  \tag{14.44}\\
\lambda^{1 / 2} \sqrt[4]{-q_{1}} & \text { if } & q_{1}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

With the above choice, ( 14.41 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}} \pm v=-\delta v \tag{14.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive and negative signs correspond to the cases of positive and negative $q_{1}$, respectively.

To the first approximation, we can neglect the small term - $\delta v$ on the righthand side of (14.45) and obtain

$$
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}} \pm v \approx 0
$$

whose general solution can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
v=c_{1} \cos z+c_{2} \sin z & \text { if } & q_{1}>0 \\
v=c_{1} e^{z}+c_{2} e^{-z} & \text { if } & q_{1}<0 \tag{14.47}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting for $z, \psi$, and $v$ in (14.35), we obtain to the first approximation (14.28) when $q_{1}>0$ and (14.29) when $q_{1}<0$.

### 14.3. Eigenvalue Problems

In this section, we use the WKB approximation to determine the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of some second-order differential equations with variable coefficients. As an example, we consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} q_{1}(x) y=0 \tag{14.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0 \tag{14.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $\lambda$ and $q_{1}>0$ in $\left[0,11\right.$. Since $q_{1}>0$, the general solution of (14.48) is given to the first approximation by (14.28). Next, we need to satisfy the boundary conditions in (14.49). To facilitate the satisfaction of these conditions, we take the lower limits of the integrals in (14.28), without loss of generality, to be the left end of the interval of interest; in this case, it is $x=0$. Thus, we rewrite (14.28) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \approx \frac{c_{1} \cos \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]}{\sqrt[4]{q_{1}(x)}} \tag{14.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is a dummy variable of integration. Putting $y(0)=0$ in (14.50) gives

$$
0 \approx \frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt[4]{q_{1}(0)}} \quad \text { or } \quad c_{1}=0
$$

so that (14.50) becomes

$$
y \approx c_{2} q_{1}^{-1 / 4} \sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]
$$

Imposing the boundary condition $y(1)=0$ yields

$$
0=c_{2}\left[q_{1}(1)\right]^{-1 / 4} \sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]
$$

For a nontrivial solution, $c_{2} \neq 0$, and hence,

$$
\sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau=n \pi \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

where $n=0$ is excluded because it corresponds to the trivial solution. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=n \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]^{-1} \tag{14.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the eigenfunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n} \approx q_{1}^{-1 / 4} \sin \left[\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right] \tag{14.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $q_{1}(x)=(1+x)^{2}$

$$
\lambda_{n}=n \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1}(1+\tau) d \tau\right]^{-1}=\frac{2}{3} n \pi
$$

Table $14-1$ compares the approximate eigenvalues with those obtained by a combination of a numerical integration of the original problem and a NewtonRaphson iteration technique. The agreement is very good even for the lowest eigenvalue, which is about 2.0604 , a not very large number. As expected, the accuracy of the perturbation solution improves as the eigenvalue increases.
As a second example, we consider (14.48) subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0 \tag{14.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

To impose the first boundary condition, we differentiate (14.50) with respect to $x$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime} \approx & -\lambda \sqrt[4]{q_{1}}\left\{c_{1} \sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.-c_{2} \cos \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]\right\}+O(1) \tag{14.54}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the terms that arise from differentiating $q_{1}^{-1 / 4}$ are $O(1)$, and hence, small compared with the terms that arise from differentiating the circular functions because they are $O(\lambda)$. Imposing the condition $y^{\prime}(0)=0$ demands that $c_{2}=0$, so that (14.50) becomes

$$
y \approx c_{1} q_{1}^{-1 / 4} \cos \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]
$$

Then, imposing the condition $y(1)=0$ demands that

TABLE 14-1. Comparison of Perturbationally and Numerically Calculated Eigenvalues

| Eigenvalue Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Perturbation Result | 2.0944 | 4.1888 | 6.2832 | 8.3776 | 10.4720 | 12.5664 | 14.6608 |
| Numerical Result | 2.0604 | 4.1686 | 6.2691 | 8.3668 | 10.4632 | 12.5590 | 14.6545 |
| Error (\%) | 1.65 | 0.49 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 |

TABLE 14-2. Comparison of Perturbationally and Numerically Calculated Eigenvalues

| Eigenvalue Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perturbation Result | 1.0472 | 3.1416 | 5.2360 | 7.3304 | 9.4248 | 11.5192 | 13.6136 |
| Numerical Result | 1.1879 | 3.2089 | 5.2793 | 7.3621 | 9.4497 | 11.5397 | 13.6310 |
| Error (\%) | 11.84 | 2.10 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.13 |

$$
\cos \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right]^{-1} \tag{14.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the eigenfunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=q_{1}^{-1 / 4} \cos \left[\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau\right] \tag{14.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $q_{1}=(1+x)^{2}$,

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1}(1+\tau) d \tau\right]^{-1}=\frac{2}{3}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi
$$

Table 14-2 compares the approximate eigenvalues with those obtained by a combination of a numerical integration technique and a Newton-Raphson iteration technique. As expected, the accuracy of the approximate solution improves as the eigenvalue increases. In fact, the error in the approximate third eigenvalue is less than $1 \%$.

### 14.4. Equations with Slowly Varying Coefficients

In this section, we consider equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+q_{1}(\tau) y=0 \tag{14.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=\epsilon t$ and $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter. Thus, $\tau$ is a slow variable compared with $t$. Changing the independent variable from $t$ to $\tau$, we find that
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{d y}{d \tau} \frac{d \tau}{d t}=\epsilon \frac{d y}{d \tau} \\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}=e^{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \tau^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (14.57) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \tau^{2}}+q_{1}(\tau) y=0 \tag{14.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon=\lambda^{-1}$, we rewrite (14.58) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \tau^{2}}+\lambda^{2} q_{1}(\tau) y=0 \tag{14.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the same form as (14.10) so that the WKB approximation in Section 14.1 holds for this case. Since

$$
\lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d \tau=\epsilon \lambda \int \sqrt{q_{1}} d t=\int \sqrt{q_{1}} d t
$$

we rewrite (14.28) and (14.29) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y \approx q_{1}^{-1 / 4}\left\{c_{1} \cos \left[\int \sqrt{q_{1}} d t\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\int \sqrt{q_{1}} d t\right]\right\} \quad q_{1}>0  \tag{14.60}\\
& y \approx\left(-q_{1}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left[c_{1} e^{\int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d t}+c_{2} e^{-\int \sqrt{-q_{1}} d t}\right] \quad q_{1}<0 \tag{14.61}
\end{align*}
$$

### 14.5 Turning-Point Problems

As discussed in Section 14.1, the WKB approximations (14.28) and (14.29) break down at or near the zeros of $q_{1}(x)$. These points are called turning or transition points. For example, when $q_{1}=1-x^{3},(14.28)$ and (14.29) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(1)} \approx \frac{c_{1} \cos \left[\lambda \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\lambda \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau\right]}{\sqrt[4]{1-x^{3}}} \quad \text { if } x<1 \tag{14.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper limit is taken to be 1 so that the integrals will be positive and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(2)} \approx \frac{a_{1} \exp \left[\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau\right]+a_{2} \exp \left[-\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau\right]}{\sqrt[4]{x^{3}-1}} \quad \text { if } x>1 \tag{14.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ are constants and the lower limit is taken to be 1 , so that the integrals will be positive. In turning-point problems, it is convenient to set one of the limits in the integrals to be the location of the turning point. Thus, (14.62) and (14.63) provide two different representations of the same function $y(x)$, one valid for $x>1$ and one valid for $x<1$. Since our differential equation is of second order, it can only support two constants, and hence, the $a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ must be related. One method of determining the relations between these constants involves determining an expansion valid near $x=1$ (an inner expansion) and matching it to (14.62) and (14.63) separately. An alternate method involves the Langer transformation and it is discussed in the next section.
To determine an expansion valid near $x=1$, we introduce a stretching transformation, so that the region close to $x=1$ is magnified to $O(1)$. To this end, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=(x-1) \lambda^{\nu} \quad \text { so that } \quad x=1+\lambda^{-\nu} \xi \tag{14.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ must be greater than zero for (14.64) to be a stretching transformation. The value of $\nu$ will be chosen later. The original derivatives become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d \xi} \frac{d \xi}{d x}=\lambda^{\nu} \frac{d y}{d \xi} \\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\lambda^{2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (14.10) with $q_{1}=1-x^{3}$ and $q_{2}=0$ becomes

$$
\lambda^{2 \nu} \frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\lambda^{2}\left[1-\left(1+\lambda^{-\nu} \xi\right)^{3}\right] y=0
$$

or

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}+\lambda^{2-2 \nu}\left(-3 \lambda^{-\nu} \xi-3 \lambda^{-2 \nu} \xi^{2}-\lambda^{-3 \nu} \xi^{3}\right) y=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}-3 \lambda^{2-3 \nu} \xi\left(1+\lambda^{-\nu} \xi+\frac{1}{3} \lambda^{-2 \nu} \xi^{2}\right) y=0 \tag{14.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the second and third terms in the parentheses tend to zero because $\nu>0$ and (14.65) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}-3 \lambda^{2-3 \nu} \xi y=0 \tag{14.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, there are three possibilities. If $\nu>\frac{2}{3}, \lambda^{2-3 \nu} \rightarrow 0$ and (14.66) tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}=0 \tag{14.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu<\frac{2}{3}, \lambda^{2-3 \nu} \rightarrow \infty$ and (14.66) tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi y=0 \tag{14.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu=\frac{2}{3}, \lambda^{2-3 \nu}=1$ and (14.66) tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d \xi^{2}}-3 \xi y=0 \tag{14.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last limit is the least degenerate limit and it includes the first two cases as special cases. Moreover, the first two cases correspond to the indefinite limits $\nu>\frac{2}{3}$ and $\nu<\frac{2}{3}$, whereas the third case corresponds to the definite (distinguished) limit $\nu=\frac{2}{3}$. Therefore, the appropriate limit is the third (14.69), corresponding to $\nu=\frac{2}{3}$.
Apart from the factor 3, (14.69) is the so-called Airy equation whose solutions are well-known. To use these solutions, we find it convenient to modify the transformation (14.64), so that the factor 3 disappears from the limiting equation. Thus, instead of (14.64), we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=3^{1 / 3}(x-1) \lambda^{2 / 3} \quad \text { or } \quad x=1+3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} z \tag{14.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (14.69) is replaced with the standard form of the Airy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}-z y=0 \tag{14.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of (14.71) is usually expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(3)}=b_{1} A i(z)+b_{2} B i(z) \tag{14.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are arbitrary constants and $A i$ and $B i$ are the Airy functions of the first and second kind, respectively. This solution needs to be matched with the WKB solutions (14.62) and (14.63). This requires the asymptotic expansions of $\operatorname{Ai}(z)$ and $B i(z)$ for large positive $z$ (i.e., $x>1$ ) for matching with (14.63) and for large negative $z$ (i.e., $x<1$ ) for matching with (14.62).

If we determine the asymptotic expansions from the differential equation (14.71), we find that the leading terms in $y$ are exponentially growing and decaying for large positive $z$ and sinusoidally varying for large negative $z$. In fact, using the WKB approximation (14.28) and (14.29) with $q_{1}=-z$, we have

$$
y \sim z^{-1 / 4}\left[\tilde{c}_{1} \cos \left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)+\tilde{c}_{2} \sin \left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow-\infty
$$

and

$$
y \sim z^{-1 / 4}\left[\tilde{a}_{1} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}+\tilde{a}_{2} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}\right] \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty
$$

But again, we do not know the relations among the $\tilde{a}_{n}$ and $\tilde{c}_{n}$ and the $a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ on the one hand and the $b_{n}$ on the other hand. To circumvent this difficulty, one usually represents the solutions of (14.71) in integral form, and then determines the leading asymptotic terms of these integrals as we did in Example 3 of Section 13.5.
Using a modified Laplace transform, one can obtain the integral representations of two linearly independent solutions of the Airy equations. The Airy functions $A i$ and $B i$ are usually defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A i(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} t^{3}+z t\right) d t  \tag{14.73}\\
& B i(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}+z t\right)+\sin \left(\frac{1}{3} t^{3}+z t\right)\right] d t \tag{14.74}
\end{align*}
$$

The leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $\operatorname{Ai(z)}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ is obtained in Section 3.5 by using the saddle-point method. Thus, it follows from (3.239) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(z) \sim \frac{e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} z^{1 / 4}} \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty \tag{14.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the method of stationary phase, one can obtain the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $\operatorname{Ai}(z)$ as $z \rightarrow-\infty$. It follows from Exercise 3.21 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1 / 4}} \sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right] \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow-\infty \tag{14.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Laplace's method and integration by parts to determine the leading terms in the integrals in (14.74), we find that (Exercise 3.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B i(z) \sim \frac{e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} z^{1 / 4}} \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty \tag{14.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Laplace's method and the method of stationary phase to determine the leading terms in the integrals in (14.74), we find that (Exercise 3.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B i(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1 / 4}} \cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right] \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow-\infty \tag{14.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic expansions (14.75) through (14.78) provide the relatinns connecting the $\ddot{c}_{n}, a_{n}$, and $b_{n}$. Letting $z \rightarrow \infty$ in (14.72) and uking (14.75) and (14.77), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim \frac{b_{1} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} z^{1 / 4}}+\frac{b_{2} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} z^{1 / 4}} \tag{14.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas, letting $z \rightarrow-\infty$ in (14.72) and using (14.76) and (14.78), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim \frac{b_{1}}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1 / 4}} \sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right]+\frac{b_{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{1 / 4}} \cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right] \tag{14.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the relations connecting the $a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ in the WKB approximations (14.62) and (14.63), we need to match these expansions with (14.72), which is valid near the turning point. To match (14.62) with (14.72), we express the former in terms of $z$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. In this case $x<1$; hence, we express $x$ in terms of $z$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ with $z$ being fixed. Thus, we write

$$
\lambda \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau=\lambda \int_{1+3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} 2}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau
$$

which, upon putting $\tau=1+3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} t$, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau= & \lambda \int_{z}^{0}\left[-3^{2 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} t-3^{1 / 3} \lambda^{-4 / 3} t^{2}+\cdots\right]^{1 / 2} 3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} d t \\
& \approx \int_{z}^{0}(-t)^{1 / 2} d t=\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ z \text { fixed }}} y^{(1)}=\frac{c_{1} \cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}\right]}{3^{1 / 6} \lambda^{-1 / 6}(-z)^{1 / 4}} \tag{14.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply the matching condition, we need to express $y^{(3)}$ in (14.72) in terms of $x$ for $x<1$ and take the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ with $x$ being fixed. This process is the same as taking the limit of $y^{(3)}$ as $z \rightarrow-\infty$; the result is (14.80). Equating (14.81) and (14.80) according to the matching principle, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi^{1 / 2} \lambda^{1 / 6} 3^{-1 / 6}\left\{c_{1} \cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}\right]+c_{2} \sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}\right]\right\} \\
&=b_{1} \sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right]+b_{2} \cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using trigonometric identities for the sum of two angles and equating the coefficients of $\cos \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{2 / 3}\right]$ and $\sin \left[\frac{2}{3}(-z)^{2 / 3}\right]$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=3^{1 / 6} \pi^{-1 / 2} \lambda^{-1 / 6}\left[b_{1} \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi+b_{2} \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi\right] \\
& c_{2}=3^{1 / 6} \pi^{-1 / 2} \lambda^{-1 / 6}\left[b_{1} \cos \frac{1}{4} \pi-b_{2} \sin \frac{1}{4} \pi\right] \tag{14.82}
\end{align*}
$$

To match (14.63) with (14.72), we express $x$ in (14.63) in terms of $z$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ with $z$ being fixed but positive to correspond to $x>1$. To this end, we write

$$
\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau=\lambda \int_{1}^{1+3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} z} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau
$$

which, upon putting $\tau=1+3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} t$, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau & =\lambda \int_{0}^{2}\left[3^{2 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} t+3^{1 / 3} \lambda^{-4 / 3} t^{2}+\cdots\right]^{1 / 2} 3^{-1 / 3} \lambda^{-2 / 3} d t \\
& \approx \int_{0}^{2} t^{1 / 2} d t=\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, it follows from (14.63) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ z \text { fixed }}} y^{(2)}=3^{-1 / 6} \lambda^{1 / 6} z^{-1 / 4}\left[a_{1} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}+a_{2} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}\right] \tag{14.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we need to express $z$ in (14.72) in terms of $x$ and take the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ with $x$ being fixed but larger than 1 . This is equivalent to taking the limit of $y^{(3)}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The result is given by (14.79). Equating (14.79) and (14.83) according to the matching principle, we obtain

$$
3^{-1 / 6} \lambda^{1 / 6} \pi^{1 / 2}\left[a_{1} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}+a_{2} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}\right]=\frac{1}{2} b_{1} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}+b_{2} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}
$$

which, upon equating the coefficients of each of the exponentials on both sides, leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=3^{1 / 6} \lambda^{-1 / 6} \pi^{-1 / 2} b_{2} \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} 3^{1 / 6} \lambda^{-1 / 6} \pi^{-1 / 2} b_{1} \tag{14.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary, the solution to our problem is given by the three separate expansions (14.62) valid for $x<1$, (14.63) valid for $x>1$, and (14.72) valid in the neighborhood of $x=1$. These expansions were matched using the asymptotic developments of the integral representations of the Airy functions. This matching produced the relations (14.82) and (14.84) connecting the coefficients $a_{n}$, $b_{n}$, and $c_{n}$ in the three expansions. One can form a composite expansion as in Chapter 12 to provide a single uniformly valid expansion. However, the present procedure is clumsy and one can use alternatively the Langer transformation to produce a single expansion in terms of the Airy functions that is valid everywhere including the neighborhood of the turning point. This method is discussed next.

### 14.6. The Langer Transformation

The gist of Langer's transformation is to transform the dependent and independent variables as in (14.34) and to choose $\phi$ and $\psi$ so that the dominant part of the transformed equation has the simplest possible form and, at the same time. its solutions have oualitativelv the same hehavior as the solutions of the
original equation. For example, when $q_{1}>0$ everywhere in the interval of interest, the solutions of the original equation (14.10) are oscillatory, and hence, $\phi$ and $\psi$ must be chosen so that the dominant part of the transformed equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+v=0 \tag{14.85a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the simplest possible equation with oscillatory solutions. When $q_{1}<0$ everywhere in the interval of interest, one of the solutions of the original equation (14.10) grows exponentially with $x$, whereas the other decays exponentially with $\boldsymbol{x}$. Hence, $\phi$ and $\psi$ must be chosen so that the dominant part of the transformed equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}-v=0 \tag{14.85b}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the simplest possible equation with exponentially growing and decaying solutions. However, when $q_{1}$ changes sign once in the interval of interest, such as the case $1-x^{3}$ discussed in the preceding section, the solutions of the original equation (14.10) are oscillatory for $x<1$ and exponentially growing and decaying for $x>1$. Hence, $\phi$ and $\psi$ must be chosen so that the dominant part of the transformed equation has solutions whose behavior changes from oscillatory to exponentially growing and decaying at a given point. The simplest possible equation with these properties is the Airy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}-z v=0 \tag{14.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

discussed in the preceding section. When $z>0$, the solutions of (14.86) are growing and decaying with $z$, whereas when $z<0$ its solutions are oscillatory.
The above discussion provides an explanation of the breakdown of the WKB approximation or Liouville-Green transformation. In Sections 14.1 and 14.2, we insisted on representing the solutions of (14.10) in terms of either the elementary circular functions or the elementary exponential functions. Since neither of these elementary functions represents the solutions of turning-point problems, the WKB approximations must fail in regions containing the turning points. A uniformly valid expansion for all $x$ must be expressed in terms of nonelementary functions that have the same qualitative behavior as the solutions of the original equation.
Alternatively, the breakdown of the WKB approximation in the neighborhood of a turning point may be explained by the fact that the transformation (14.34) is singular at a turning point. According to the Liouville-Green transformation, $\psi \propto \sqrt[4]{q_{1}}$. Since $q_{1}$ vanishes at a turning point, $\psi$ also vanishes there. Consequently, (14.35) ceases to be valid near a turning point because we are dividing by a zero. Thus, to obtain a uniformly valid expansion, we have to insist on the
transformation being regular everywhere in the interval of interest. Hence $\psi$ must be regular and have no zeros in the interval of interest. Then, it follows from (14.40) that $\phi^{\prime}$ must be regular and have no zeros in the interval of interest. Consequently, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} q_{1}=\phi^{\prime 2} \zeta(z) \tag{14.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (14.41) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+\zeta(z) v=-\delta v \tag{14.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

and choose the simplest possible function $\zeta(z)$ that yields a nonsingular transformation. In order that $\phi^{\prime}$ be regular and have no zeros in the interval of interest, $\zeta(z)$ must have the same number, type, and order of singularities and zeros as $q_{1}$.
For example, if $q_{1}(x)$ is regular and has only a simple zero (simple turning point) such as $1-x^{3}$, then $\zeta(z)$ must be chosen to be regular and have only a simple zero. The simplest possible function that satisfies these requirements is $\zeta(z)=z$. If $q_{1}(x)$ is regular and has only a double zero at a point in the interval of interest (i.e., turning point of order 2 ), $\zeta(z)$ must be chosen to be regular and have only a double zero. The simplest possible function satisfying these requirements is $\zeta(z)=z^{2}$. If $q_{1}(x)$ is regular and has only a zero of order $n$ (i.e., turning point of order $n$ ), $\zeta(z)$ must be chosen to be $z^{n}$. If $q_{1}(x)$ has two zeros at $x=a$ and $b$, where $b>a$, of order $m$ and $n$, then one puts

$$
\zeta(z)=z^{m}(1-z)^{n}
$$

A third explanation of the breakdown of the WKB approximation and the Liouville-Green transformation is as follows. The term $\delta v$ in (14.45) is small compared with the other terms only away from a turning point. At a turning point, $\delta$ is singular and hence

$$
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}} \pm v=0
$$

is not the dominant part of the transformed equation, as we have assumed. In the example discussed in the preceding section, $q_{1}=1-x^{3}$ so that

$$
\psi=O\left[\lambda^{1 / 2}(x-1)^{1 / 4}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime 2}=O\left[\lambda^{2}(x-1)\right] \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

according to (14.43) and (14.44). Then, it follows from (14.42) that

$$
\delta \approx 0\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\lambda^{2}(x-1)^{3 / 2}
\end{array}\right] \text { as } x \cdot 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda \rightarrow m
$$

Hence, $\delta$ is small compared with 1 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ only when $x$ is away from 1 , that is the turning point. Thus, to ensure the uniformity of the resulting expansion, one
inspects the neglected terms in the transformed equation to make sure that they are small compared with the kept terms.

The above discussion shows that, in the case of a simple turning point (i.e., $q_{1}$ lian unly a mimplo zoro in the Interval of intereat ), $5(z)$ niust be chosen to be regular and have only a simple zero. The simplest possible choice is $\zeta= \pm z$. Here, we use $\zeta=-2$ so that the dominant equation will be the standard Airy equation (14.86). Thus, it follows from (14.87) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \phi^{\prime 2}=-\lambda^{2} q_{1} \tag{14.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $z=\phi$ according to (14.34). To solve (14.89), we first take the square root of both sides and obtain

$$
\phi^{1 / 2} \phi^{\prime}= \pm \lambda \sqrt{-q_{1}(x)}
$$

which, upon separation of variables, becomes

$$
\phi^{1 / 2} d \phi= \pm \lambda \sqrt{-q_{1}(x)} d x
$$

Integrating once, we have

$$
\frac{2}{3} \phi^{3 / 2}= \pm \lambda \int^{x} \sqrt{-q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau
$$

where $\tau$ is a dummy variable of integration. It is convenient to use the location of the turning point, say $x=\mu$, as one of the limits of integration. Then, one writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} 2^{3 / 2}=\frac{2}{3} \phi^{3 / 2}= \pm \lambda \int_{\mu}^{x} \sqrt{-q_{1}(\tau)} d \tau \tag{14.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (14.89) that

$$
\phi^{\prime 2}=-\lambda^{2} \phi^{-1} q_{1}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\lambda^{1 / 2} \phi^{-1 / 4}\left(-q_{1}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{14.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (14.40).
As before, the general solution of (14.86) can be expressed in terms of the Airy functions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)=\tilde{c}_{1} A i(z)+\tilde{c}_{2} B i(z) \tag{14.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14.91) and (14.92) into (14.35) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim z^{1 / 4}\left(-q_{1}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left[c_{1} A i(z)+c_{2} B i(z)\right] \tag{14.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $\lambda^{-1 / 2}$ has been absorbed into the constants of integration $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.

Next, we check the regularity of the transformation and the order of magnitude of the neglected term $\delta$ in the transformed equation (14.41). As $x \rightarrow \mu$, $q_{1}=O(x-\mu)$ because $\mu$ is assumed to be a simple turning point. Then, it follows from (14.90) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{2}{3} \phi^{3 / 2} \rightarrow \pm \lambda \int_{\mu}^{x}\left[-q_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)(\tau-\mu)+\cdots\right]^{1 / 2} d \tau \approx \pm \lambda \sqrt{-q_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)} \int_{\mu}^{x}(\tau-\mu)^{1 / 2} d \tau \\
= \pm \frac{2}{3} \lambda \sqrt{-q_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)}(x-\mu)^{3 / 2}
\end{array}
$$

Hence,

$$
\phi=O\left[\lambda^{2 / 3}(x-\mu)\right]
$$

and hence

$$
\phi^{\prime}=O\left(\lambda^{2 / 3}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \mu \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then,

$$
\psi=\sqrt{\phi^{\prime}}=O\left(\lambda^{1 / 3}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \mu \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

proving that the transformation is regular everywhere including the turning point. Substituting the above estimates for $\phi$ and $\psi$ in (14.42), we find that $\delta=$ $O\left(\lambda^{-4 / 3}\right)$ for all values of $x$, making it small compared with the kept terms in (14.86). Therefore, the single expansion (14.93) with $z$ given by (14.90) is valid everywhere including the turning point.

### 14.7. Eigenvalue Problems with Turning Points

In this section, we apply the results of the preceding section to two eigenvalue problems. First, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{3}\right) y=0  \tag{14.94}\\
y(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty \tag{14.95}
\end{gather*}
$$

which is a prototype of problems that arise in quantum mechanics. Here, $q_{1}=$ $1-x^{3}$ and (14.90) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}=\frac{2}{3} \phi^{3 / 2}=\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau \tag{14.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive sign was used so that $z$ is positive when $x>1$. Hence, as $x \rightarrow \infty, z \rightarrow \infty$, and (14.93) tends to

$$
y \sim \frac{\left(x^{3}-1\right)^{-1 / 4}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[\frac{1}{2} c_{1} e^{-(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}+c_{2} e^{(2 / 3) z^{3 / 2}}\right]
$$

according to (14.75) and (14.77). Since $\exp \left[\frac{2}{2} z^{3 / 2}\right]$ tends to infinity much
faster than $\left(x^{3}-1\right)^{-1 / 4}$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the boundedness condition in (14.95) demands that $c_{2}=0$. Hence, (14.93) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim c_{1} z^{1 / 4}\left(x^{3}-1\right)^{-1 / 4} A i(z) \tag{14.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

lmposing the boundary condition $y(0)=0$, we have

$$
c_{1}[z(0)]^{1 / 4} A i[z(0)]=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i[z(0)]=0 \tag{14.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z$ is a function of $\lambda$, the roots of (14.98) provide the desired eigenvalues. It follows from (14.96) that

$$
\frac{2}{3}[z(0)]^{3 / 2}=\lambda \int_{1}^{0} \sqrt{\tau^{3}-1} d \tau=-i \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0)=-\lambda^{2 / 3}\left[\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau\right]^{2 / 3} \tag{14.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $z(0) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A i[z(0)] \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}[-z(0)]^{1 / 4}} \sin \left\{\frac{2}{3}[-z(0)]^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right\} \tag{14.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (14.76). Using (14.99) and (14.100) in (14.98), we have

$$
\sin \left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau+\frac{1}{4} \pi\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau+\frac{1}{4} \pi=n \pi \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\left(n-\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{3}} d \tau\right]^{-1} \tag{14.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the eigenfunctions are given by (14.97) with $z$ defined by (14.96) and the eigenvalues are defined by (14.101). Table $14-3$ compares the approximate expression (14.101) for the eigenvalues with those obtained by a combination

TABLE 14-3. Comparison of Perturbationally and Numerically Calculated Eigenvalues

| Eigenvalue Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perturbation Result | 2.892 | 6.535 | 10.27 | 14.00 |
| Numerical Result | 2.807 | 6.540 | 10.27 | 14.00 |

of a numerical integration technique and a Newton-Raphson iteration technique. The agreement is very good, even for the lowest eigenvalue, which is about 2.807 , a not very large number. The error in the first eigenvalue is $3 \%$, whereas that in the second eigenvalue is $0.08 \%$. The third eigenvalue is correct to four significant figures.

As a second example, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y=0  \tag{14.102}\\
& y(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0 \tag{14.103}
\end{align*}
$$

which arises from the problem of heat transfer in a two-dimensional duct carrying a fully developed laminar flow. In this case, $q_{1}=1-x^{2}$ and (14.102) has two turning points, one at $x=1$ and the other at $x=-1$. However, there is only one turning point, namely $x=1$, within the interval $[0,1]$ of interest. Since the interval of interest is finite, it is more convenient to express the solution in terms of the Bessel functions rather than the Airy functions.

In this case, $q_{1}=1-x^{2}$ and we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)=\phi^{\prime 2} \phi \tag{14.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the dominant part of the transformed equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d z^{2}}+z v=0 \tag{14.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (14.104) is taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}=\frac{2}{3} \phi^{3 / 2}=-\lambda \int_{1}^{x} \sqrt{1-\tau^{2}} d \tau=\lambda \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{2}} d \tau=\lambda H \tag{14.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the negative sign is taken so that $z$ will be positive in $[0,1]$. The general solution of (14.105) can be expressed in terms of Bessel's functions of order $\frac{1}{3}$ as in Exercise 14.3. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sqrt{z}\left[\tilde{c}_{1} J_{-1 / 3}\left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)+\check{c}_{2} J_{1 / 3}\left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \tag{14.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (14.104) that

$$
\phi^{\prime}=\lambda \phi^{-1 / 2} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}
$$
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 and hence,$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\lambda^{1 / 2} z^{-1 / 4}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{14.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (14.40). Substituting (14.107) and (14.108) into (14.35) and using (14.106), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim H^{1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\left[c_{1} J_{-1 / 3}(\lambda H)+c_{2} J_{1 / 3}(\lambda H)\right] \tag{14.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

To impose the condition $y(1)=0$, we need the limit of (14.109) as $x \rightarrow 1$. To this end, we note that as $x \rightarrow 1$

$$
H=\int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{2}} d \tau \rightarrow \sqrt{2} \int_{x}^{1}(1-\tau)^{1 / 2} d \tau=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2}(1-x)^{3 / 2}
$$

Moreover, it follows from Exercise 13.20 that

$$
J_{-1 / 3}=O\left(H^{-1 / 3}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad J_{1 / 3}=O\left(H^{1 / 3}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad H \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence,

$$
J_{-1 / 3}=O(1-x)^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{1 / 3}=O(1-x)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow 1
$$

Consequently,

$$
y=O\left\{(1-x)^{3 / 4}(1-x)^{-1 / 4}\left[c_{1}(1-x)^{-1 / 2}+c_{2}(1-x)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}
$$

or

$$
y=c_{1} O(1)+c_{2} O(1-x) \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow i
$$

Hence, the condition $y(1)=00$ demands that $c_{1}=0$, and (14.109) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \sim c_{2} H^{1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} J_{1 / 3}(\lambda H) \tag{14.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing the condition $y(0)=0$ yields the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ where $\lambda_{n}$ is a root of

$$
J_{1 / 3}\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1-\tau^{2}} d \tau\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1 / 3}\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi \lambda\right)=0 \tag{14.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda$ is large, the argument of the Bessel function is large and

$$
J_{1 / 3}(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos \left(z-\frac{5}{12} \pi\right) \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty
$$

according to Exercise 13.20. Then, in place of (14.111), we have

$$
\frac{1}{4} \pi \lambda-\frac{5}{12} \pi=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

TABLE 14-4. Comparison of Perturbationally and Numerically Calculated Eigenvalues

| Eigenvalue Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perturbation Result | 3.6667 | 7.6667 | 11.6667 | 15.6667 | 19.6667 | 23.6667 |
| Numerical Result | 3.6723 | 7.6688 | 11.6679 | 15.6675 | 19.6673 | 23.6672 |
| Error (\%) | 0.152 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 |

Therefore, the eigenfunctions are given by (14.110), whereas the eigenvalues are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=4\left(n+\frac{11}{12}\right) \tag{14.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table $14-4$ compares the approximate eigenvalues with those obtained by a combination of a numerical integration technique and a Newton-Raphson iteration technique. The agreement is excellent even for the lowest eigenvalue, which is about 3.6723 , a not very large number. As expected, the accuracy of the approximate solution increases rapidly as the eigenvalue increases. In fact, the fifth approximate eigenvalue is correct to five significant figures.

## Exercises

14.1. Consider Bessel's equation of order $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) y=0
$$

Introduce a transformation to eliminate the first derivative and obtain

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+u=0
$$

Then, show that

$$
J_{1 / 2}(x)=x^{-1 / 2}\left(c_{1} \sin x+c_{2} \cos x\right)
$$

14.2. Consider the differential equation

$$
x^{2} \zeta^{\prime \prime}+x \xi^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) \zeta=0
$$

governing the cylindrical function $\zeta_{\nu}(x)$. Let $x=\gamma z^{\beta}$ and $\zeta_{\nu}=z^{\alpha-\beta \nu} u(z)$ so that

$$
u=z^{\beta \nu-\alpha} \zeta_{\nu}\left(\gamma z^{\beta}\right)
$$

Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \zeta}{d x}=\left[z^{\alpha-\beta \nu} \frac{d u}{d z}+(\alpha-\beta \nu) z^{\alpha-\beta \nu-1} u\right] \frac{d z}{d x} \\
& \frac{d z}{d x}=\frac{z^{1-\beta}}{\gamma \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x^{2}}= & {\left[z^{\alpha-\beta \nu} \frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+2(\alpha-\beta \nu) z^{\alpha-\beta \nu-1} \frac{d u}{d z}\right.} \\
& \left.+(\alpha-\beta \nu)(\alpha-\beta \nu-1) z^{\alpha-\beta \nu-2} u\right] \frac{z^{2-2 \beta}}{\gamma^{2} \beta^{2}} \\
& +\left[z^{\alpha-\beta \nu} \frac{d u}{d z}+(\alpha-\beta \nu) z^{\alpha-\beta \nu-1} u\right] \frac{(1-\beta)}{\gamma^{2} \beta^{2}} z^{1-2 \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, show that $u$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
z^{2} \frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+(2 \alpha-2 \beta \nu+1) z \frac{d u}{d z}+\left[\beta^{2} \gamma^{2} z^{2 \beta}+\alpha(\alpha-2 \nu \beta)\right] u=0
$$

and hence, $u$ can be expressed in terms of cylindrical functions as above.
14.3. Consider the Airy equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+z u=0
$$

Use the results of the preceding exercise to express the general solution of this equation as

$$
u=\sqrt{z}\left[c_{1} J_{-1 / 3}\left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)+c_{2} J_{1 / 3}\left(\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right)\right]
$$

Hint: Rewrite equation as

$$
z^{2} \frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+z^{3} u=0
$$

Compare this equation with that in the preceding exercise and put

$$
2 \alpha-2 \nu \beta+1=0 \quad \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}=1 \quad 2 \beta=3 \quad \alpha(\alpha-2 \nu \beta)=0
$$

14.4. Use the results of Exercise 14.2 to show that the general solution of

$$
\frac{d^{2} u}{d z^{2}}+z^{n} u=0
$$

is

$$
u=\sqrt{z}\left[c_{1} J_{\nu}\left(\frac{2}{n+2} z^{(n+2) / 2}\right)+c_{2} J_{-\nu}\left(\frac{2}{n+2} z^{(n+2) / 2}\right)\right]
$$

where $\nu=(n+2)^{-1}$.
14.5. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}+2 x+2\right) y=0 \quad 0<\epsilon \ll 1 \\
y(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that

$$
\epsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{x^{2}+2 x+2} d x
$$

14.6. Show that the large eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} f(x) u=0 \quad f(x)>0 \\
u(0)=0 \quad u(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

are given by

$$
\lambda_{n}=n \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{f(x)} d x\right]^{-1}
$$

14.7. Show that the large eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} f(x) u=0 \quad f(x)>0 \\
u(0)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

are given by

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{f(x)} d x\right]^{-1}
$$

14.8. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} y=0 \\
y(1)=0 \quad y(2)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{2}{3} n \pi
$$

14.9. Show that the large eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} f(x) u=0 & f(x) & >0 \\
u^{\prime}(a)=0 & u(b)=0 & b>a
\end{array}
$$

are given by

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi\left[\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{f(x)} d x\right]^{-1}
$$

14.10. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y=0 \\
& y^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Show that the eigenvalues are given by

$$
\lambda_{n}=4\left(n+\frac{5}{12}\right)
$$

## 386 DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A LARGE PARAMETER

14.11. Apply the WKB method directly to

$$
x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+\lambda^{2} x\left(1-x^{2}\right) y=0 \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Indicate the validity of the resulting approximation.
14.12. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) f(x) y=0 \\
y(0)=0 \quad y(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f(x)=f(-x)>0$ in [ 0,1$]$. This problem describes heat transfer in a twodimensional duct carrying fully developed turbulent flow. Show that

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{11}{12}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) f(\tau)} d \tau\right]^{-1}
$$

14.13. Consider the problem in the preceding exercise but with the boundary conditions

$$
y^{\prime}(0)=y(1)=0
$$

Show that

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(n+\frac{5}{12}\right) \pi\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) f(\tau)} d \tau\right]^{-1}
$$

14.14. Consider the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2} y=0
$$

Show that, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
y \sim H^{1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[c_{1} J_{1 / 4}(\lambda H)+c_{2} J_{-1 / 4}(\lambda H)\right]
$$

for $x>-1$ where

$$
H=\int_{1}^{x}\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) d \tau=x-1-\frac{1}{3}(x-1)^{3}
$$

14.15. Consider the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} q(x) y=0
$$

where $q(\mu)=q^{\prime}(\mu)=0$ but $q^{\prime \prime}(\mu) \neq 0$. Show that, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
y \sim H^{1 / 2} q^{-1 / 4}\left[c_{1} J_{1 / 4}(\lambda H)+c_{2} J_{-1 / 4}(\lambda H)\right]
$$

where

$$
H=\int_{\mu}^{x} \sqrt{q} d x
$$

14.16. Consider

$$
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+(2 x+1) y^{\prime}+2 y=0 \quad 0<\epsilon \ll 1
$$

Introduce a transformation to eliminate the middle term and then apply the WKB approximation to the resulting equation.
14.17. Consider

$$
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+(2 x+1) y^{\prime}+2 y=0 \quad \text { for } \quad 0<\epsilon \ll 1
$$

Seek a solution in the form $y=\exp \left[\epsilon^{-1} G(x ; \epsilon)\right]$ and determine two terms in the expansion of $G$.

## CHAPTER 15

## Solvability Conditions

In applying perturbation methods such as the method of multiple scales, one obtains problems that need to be solved in succession. Usually the first-order problem is homogeneous, whereas the higher-order problems are linear and inhomogeneous. To determine the dependence on the slow scales, one investigates the higher-order problems and imposes conditions that make the expansion uniform. For simple nonlinear vibration problems, the above process leads us to the elimination of secular and small-divisor terms. When we were dealing with only one-degree-of-freedom systems, it was easy for us to determine the conditions for the elimination of the secular and small-divisor terms. All we had to do was to set each of the coefficients of the terms that produce secular terms equal to zero. However, for mutidegree-of-freedom systems where the governing equations are coupled, eliminating the terms that lead to secular terms is a little bit more involved. This problem and its application to two-degree-of-freedom systems constitutes the first part of this chapter.

In other problems, the nonuniformity in the expansion may manifest itself in our inability to satisfy all the boundary conditions, leading to inconsistencies. The inconsistency is eliminated by imposing certain conditions, which are referred to as solvability or consistency or integrability or compatibility conditions. These conditions are derived for the case of second-order inhomogeneous differential equations with various boundary conditions. The results are then applied to two simple eigenvalue problems, waves in ducts with sinusoidal walls and vibrations of near circular membranes. In Sections 15-10 and 15-11, the solvability conditions are derived for the case of fourth-order inhomogeneous equations with various boundary conditions. The results are applied to two problems; one arises from the problem of stability of boundary layers and the other arises from the problem of vibrations of near annular plates. Then, in Section 15-12, the theory is applied to a fourth-order degenerate eigenvalue problem. A differential system of equations is treated in Section 15-13, general systems of first-order differential equations are treated in Section 15-14, and a differential system with interfacial boundary conditions is treated in Section

15-15. Integral equations are treated in Section 15-16, and partial-differential equations are treated in Section 15-17.

### 15.1. Algebraic Equations

Let us consider the system of two algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}-x_{2} & =b_{1}  \tag{15.1}\\
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & =b_{2} \tag{15.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that this system of equations will not have a solution unless $b_{2}=2 b_{1}$. For multiplying the first equation by 2, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}=2 b_{1} \tag{15.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15.2) with (15.3), we conclude that $b_{2}=2 b_{1}$ if (15.1) and (15.2) are to be consistent. If $b_{2} \neq 2 b_{1},(15.1)$ and (15.2) are contradictory, and hence, they do not possess a solution. However, when $b_{2}=2 b_{1}$, (15.1) and (15.2) are redundant and only one of them is needed. Then, one can solve say for $x_{2}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=x_{1}-b_{1} \tag{15.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that there are an infinite number of possible solutions.
If we set the $b_{n}=0$ in (15.1) and (15.2), we find that the homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}-x_{2}=0 \\
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

have nontrivial solutions consisting of $x_{2}=x_{1}$.
Let us modify the system of equations so that the homogeneous equations do not have nontrivial solutions and take as an example

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}-x_{2} & =b_{1}  \tag{15.5}\\
2 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & =b_{2} \tag{15.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (15.5) by 2 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}=2 b_{1} \tag{15.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (15.6) and (15.7) yields

$$
4 x_{1}=b_{2}+2 b_{1}
$$

whereas subtracting (15.7) from (15.6) yields

$$
4 x_{2}=b_{2}-2 b_{1}
$$

Hence, the solution of (15.5) and (15.6) is

$$
x_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(b_{2}+2 b_{1}\right) \quad x_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(b_{2}-2 b_{1}\right)
$$

which oxists for all values of $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$. In this case, the homogeneous equations (15.5) and (15.6) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}-x_{2} & =0 \\
2 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which have only the trivial solution. The system (15.1) and (15.2) requires a solvability condition on the constants $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ for solutions to exist and the homogeneous system admits a nontrivial solution. However, the system (15.5) and (15.6) does not require a solvability condition on the constants $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ and the homogeneous system admits only the trivial solution.
Next, let us consider the third-order system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}=b_{1}  \tag{15.8}\\
& -2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=b_{2}  \tag{15.9}\\
& 3 x_{1}+x_{2}-4 x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{15.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (15.9) and (15.10) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}=b_{2}+b_{3} \tag{15.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15.8) and (15.11), we conclude that they are consistent only when

$$
b_{2}+b_{3}=b_{1}
$$

which is the solvability condition. Then, (15.8) through (15.10) are redundant and only two of them are needed. Taking (15.8) and (15.9), we can solve for $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in terms of $x_{3}$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{3}+\frac{1}{5}\left(b_{1}-2 b_{2}\right) \quad x_{2}=x_{3}+\frac{1}{5}\left(2 b_{1}+b_{2}\right) \tag{15.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields an infinite number of possible solutions. Substituting (15.12) into ( 15.10 ) shows that $b_{1}-b_{2}=b_{3}$, which is the above derived solvability condition. In this case, the homogeneous equations (15.8) through (15.10) have the nontrivial solutions $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}$.
The preceding discussion indicates that, when the homogeneous equations have a nontrivial solution, the inhomogeneous equations have a solution if and only if the inhomogeneous parts satisfy a solvability condition. Next, we illustrate this for the general system of algebraic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b} \tag{15.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an $N \times N$ matrix and x and b are $N \times 1$ column vectors. This system has a unique solution for all b's if and only if the homogeneous svstem

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mathrm{x}=0 \tag{15.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the trivial solution. If the homogeneous system has a nontrivial solution, then the inhomogeneous system will not have a solution unless the components of $\mathbf{b}$ satisfy certaln solvability conditions. These solvability conditions can be determined by the process of elimination. Alternatively, they can be determined by manipulating the so-called augmented matrix $B$. It is defined as the $N \times(N+$ 1) matrix consisting of inserting $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{N}$ as a new column into the so-called coefficient matrix $A$. Thus, if

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 N}  \tag{15.15}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 N} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{N 1} & a_{N 2} & \cdots & a_{N N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then,

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 N} & b_{1}  \tag{15.16}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 N} & b_{2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{N 1} & a_{N 2} & \cdots & a_{N N} & b_{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The solvability condition can be stated as follows: $A$ set of linear algebraic equations possesses a solution if and only if the rank of the augmented matrix $B$ is equal to the rank of the coefficient matrix $A$.
We note that the homogeneous system (15.14) has a nontrivial solution if and only if the determinant of the coefficient matrix vanishes, that is,

$$
|A|=0
$$

Then, the rank of $A$ is less than $N$, and hence, the rank of $B$ is less than $N$. Consequently, the determinant of any $N \times N$ matrix formed from $B$ by eliminating one of the columns must be zero. This condition, for example,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1 N} & b_{1}  \tag{15.17}\\
a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2 N} & b_{2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{N 2} & a_{N 3} & \cdots & a_{N N} & b_{N}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

yields a solvability condition. This solvability condition can be interpreted in the following alternate way. If $|A| \neq 0$, one can use Cramer's rule to solve for $x_{1}$, $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$. For example,

$$
x_{1}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{lllll}
b_{1} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1 N}  \tag{15.18}\\
b_{2} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2 N} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
b_{N} & a_{N 2} & a_{N 3} & \cdots & a_{N N}
\end{array}\right|}{|A|}
$$

If $|A|=0,(15.18)$ shows that $x_{1}$ is infinite, and hence, the system of equations (15.13) is not solvable unless the determinant in the numerator also vanishes. In this case, we have a zero over zero, which is indeterminate.
The solvability condition of (15.13) when the homogeneous system has a nontrivial solution can be expressed in the following alternate way. We form the transpose of (15.13) and multiply the result from the right with the column vector $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$, where the overbar indicates the complex conjugate and $\mathbf{u}$ is an $N \times 1$ column vector called the adjoint and defined below. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \mathbf{x})^{T_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}}=\mathbf{b}^{T_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}} \tag{15.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $T$ indicates the transpose, that is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 N} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 N} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{N 1} & a_{N 2} & \cdots & a_{N N}
\end{array}\right]^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{N 1} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{N 2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{1 N} & a_{2 N} & \cdots & a_{N N}
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\cdot \\
b_{N}
\end{array}\right]^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{N}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $(A x)^{T}=\mathbf{x}^{T} A^{T},(15.19)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\mathbf{x}^{T} A^{T_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}=\mathrm{b}^{T_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}}, ~}
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathbf{x}^{T} \overline{\overline{A^{T}}}=\mathbf{b}_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{T} \overline{A^{*} \mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{b}^{T_{\mathbf{u}}} \tag{15.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A *=\bar{A}^{T}$ is referred to as the adjoint matrix of $A$. The matrix $A$ is called self-adjoint if $A^{*}=A$, that is, it is either a Hermitian matrix or a symmetric matrix, depending on whether $A$ is complex or real. If the homogeneous system (15.13) has a nontrivial solution $x$, then $|A|=\left|A^{*}\right|=0$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} \mathbf{u}=0 \tag{15.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

have a nontrivial solution.
Having defined $u$ by ( 15.21 ), we return to the inhomogeneous system (15.13), that is, $b \neq 0$. Using (15.21) in (15.20), we obtain the following form for the solvability conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{b}^{T_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}=0} \tag{15.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is any solution of the adjoint system. In other words, the solvability
conditions demand that the right-hand side of $(15.13)$ be orthogonal to every solution of the adjoint homogeneous problem.

If we define the inner product of the column vectors $u$ and $v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{u}^{r_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}}} \tag{15.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $(15.19)$ and (15.20) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})=\left(\mathbf{x}, A^{*} \mathbf{u}\right)=(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u}) \tag{15.24a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, (15.22) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u})=0 \tag{15.24b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although we have only shown necessity, ( 15.24 b ) is a sufficient condition for (15.13) to have a solution. As an example, we consider (15.8) through (15.10). In this case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 2 & -3 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 1 & -4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{15.25}\\
& A^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -2 & 3 \\
2 & 1 & 1 \\
-3 & 1 & -4
\end{array}\right] \tag{15.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and $A^{*}=A^{T}$ because $A$ is real. Then, the adjoint system is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -2 & 3  \tag{15.27}\\
2 & 1 & 1 \\
-3 & 1 & -4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u_{1}-2 u_{2}+3 u_{3}=0 \\
2 u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}=0 \\
-3 u_{1}+u_{2}-4 u_{3}=0 \tag{15.28c}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (15.28) have a nontrivial solution because the homogeneous system (15.8) through (15.10) has a nontrivial solution. Adding (15.28a) to 2 times (15.28b), we have

$$
5 u_{1}+5 u_{3}=0
$$

whereas subtracting ( 15.28 c ) from ( 15.28 b ), we have

$$
5 u_{1}+5 u_{3}=0
$$

Hence, $u_{3}=-u_{1}$. Then, it follows from (15.28b) that $u_{2}=-u_{1}$, and hence, the solution of the adjoint problem can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{u}=c\left[\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
-1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Imposing the condition that $\mathbf{b}$ be orthogonal to the solution of the adjoint homogeneous problem, we find that the solvability condition is

$$
b_{1}-b_{2}-b_{3}=0
$$

in agreement with that obtained above by using elimination of variables.
We note that the form (15.17) is convenient for our use, and consequently it is frequently used in this book.
Next we apply the above results to two problems involving the vibration of two-degree-of-freedom gyroscopic systems.

### 15.2. Nonlinear Vibrations of Two-Degree-of-Freedom Gyroscopic Systems

We consider the free oscillations of a two-degree-of-freedom gyroscopic system with quadratic nonlinearities. Specifically, we consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\dot{u}_{2}+2 u_{1}=2 u_{1} u_{2} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}-\dot{u}_{1}+2 u_{2}=u_{1}^{2} \tag{15.29}
\end{align*}
$$

for small but finite amplitudes.
We seek a uniform expansion by using the method of multiple scales in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\epsilon u_{11}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \\
& u_{2}=\epsilon u_{21}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon^{2} u_{22}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{15.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{0}=t, T_{1}=\epsilon t$, and $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter that characterizes the amplitude of oscillation. Substituting (15.30) into (15.29) and using (5.45), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{11}+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\right)+\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{21}+\epsilon^{2} u_{22}\right) \\
&+2\left(\epsilon u_{11}+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\right)+\cdots=2\left(\epsilon u_{11}+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{21}+\epsilon^{2} u_{22}\right)+\cdots \\
&\left(D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{21}+\epsilon^{2} u_{22}\right)-\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon u_{11}+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\right) \\
&+2\left(\epsilon u_{21}+\epsilon^{2} u_{22}\right)+\cdots=\left(\epsilon u_{11}+\epsilon^{2} u_{12}\right)^{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ in these equations, we have
Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{11}+D_{0} u_{21}+2 u_{11}=0 \tag{15.31a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{21}-D_{0} u_{11}+2 u_{21}=0 \tag{15.31b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Order $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{12}+D_{0} u_{22}+2 u_{12}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{11}-D_{1} u_{21}+2 u_{11} u_{21} \\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{22}-D_{0} u_{12}+2 u_{22}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{21}+D_{1} u_{11}+u_{11}^{2} \tag{15.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (15.31) constitute a system of two coupled differential equations with constant coefficients. Hence, their solutions can be obtained by letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{11}=c_{1} e^{i \omega T_{0}} \quad u_{21}=c_{2} e^{i \omega T_{0}} \tag{15.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.33) into (15.31) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(2-\omega^{2}\right) c_{1}+i \omega c_{2} & =0 \\
-i \omega c_{1}+\left(2-\omega^{2}\right) c_{2} & =0 \tag{15.34}
\end{align*}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (15.34) must be zero; that is,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
2-\omega^{2} & i \omega \\
-i \omega & 2-\omega^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(2-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}-\omega^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\omega^{4}-5 \omega^{2}+4=0=\left(\omega^{2}-4\right)\left(\omega^{2}-1\right)
$$

so that $\omega=1$ and 2 , where the frequencies are defined with the positive sign. When $\omega=1$, it follows from the first equation in (15.34) that

$$
c_{1}+i c_{2}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad c_{2}=i c_{1}
$$

When $\omega=2$, it follows from the first equation in (15.34) that

$$
-2 c_{1}+2 i c_{2}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad c_{2}=-i c_{1}
$$

Therefore, the general solution of (15.31) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{11}=A_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}+A_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-2 i T_{0}}  \tag{15.35}\\
& u_{21}=i A_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}-i \bar{A}_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}-i A_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}+i \bar{A}_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-2 i T_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the one-degree-of-freedom case, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are undetermined at this level of approximation; they are determined at the next level of approximation by imposing the solvability conditions.
Substituting (15.35) into (15.32), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{12}+D_{0} u_{22}+2 u_{12}= & -2 i A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}-4 i A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}-i A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}} \\
& +i A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c+2\left(A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{1} e^{-i T_{0}}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}\right)\left(i A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}\right. \\
& -i \bar{A}_{1} e^{\left.-i T_{0}-i A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+i \bar{A}_{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}\right)} \\
D_{0}^{2} u_{22}-D_{0} u_{12}+2 u_{22}= & 2 A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}-4 A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}+A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}} \\
& +A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c+\left(A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{1} e^{-i T_{0}}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebraic manipulations and simplifications, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{12}+D_{0} u_{22}+2 u_{12}= & -i\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}} \\
& +i\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}+c c+\mathrm{NST}}  \tag{15.36}\\
D_{0}^{2} u_{22}-D_{0} u_{12}+2 u_{22}= & \left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}} \\
& +\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c+\mathrm{NST} \tag{15.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the homogeneous parts of $(15.36)$ and (15.37) have solutions proportional to $\exp \left( \pm i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left( \pm 2 i T_{0}\right)$, the inhomogeneous terms proportional to $\exp$ ( $\pm i T_{0}$ ) and $\exp \left( \pm 2 i T_{0}\right)$ will produce secular terms in $u_{12}$ and $u_{22}$. We note that each of (15.36) and (15.37) contains terms proportional to $\exp \left( \pm i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left( \pm 2 i T_{0}\right)$, and hence it is not necessary to set the coefficient of each of these terms equal to zero. In fact, if we do that, we will end up with four complex incompatible equations goveming $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. Therefore, to eliminate these secular terms (i.e., to determine the solvability conditions), we seek a particular solution free of secular terms corresponding to $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(2 i T_{0}\right)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{12}=P_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+P_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}} \\
& u_{22}=Q_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+Q_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}} \tag{15.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (15.38) into (15.36) and (15.37), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{1}+i Q_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\left(-2 P_{2}+2 i Q_{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}=} & -i\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}} \\
& +i\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}} \\
\left(-i P_{1}+Q_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\left(-2 i P_{2}-2 Q_{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}= & \left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}} \\
& +\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficients of each of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(2 i T_{0}\right)$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}+i Q_{1}=-i\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) \tag{15.39a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
-i P_{1}+Q_{1} & =3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}  \tag{15.39b}\\
-2 P_{2}+2 i Q_{2} & =i\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{15.40}\\
-2 i P_{2}-2 Q_{2} & =-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (15.39) constitute a system of two inhomogeneous algebraic equations for $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$. Their homogeneous parts have a nontrivial solution because the determinant of their coefficient matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \\
-i & 1
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Then, their solvability condition can be written either as

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -i\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) \\
-i & 3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}
\end{array}\right|=0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-i\left(3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}\right) & i \\
3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

In the two-dimensional case, the two conditions yield the same result. But for a higher-dimensional space, they may not. In this case, either condition yields

$$
\cdot 3 A_{1}^{\prime}+2 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}+3 A_{1}^{\prime}+4 A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=-A_{2} \overline{A_{1}} \tag{15.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the determinant of the coefficient matrix of the system of equations ( 15.40 ) is zero, and hence they have a solution if and only if the following solvability condition is satisfied:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & i\left(-3 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{2}\right) \\
-2 i & -3 A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} A_{1}^{2} \tag{15.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (15.41) and (15.42) are the equations describing the modulation of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ with $T_{1}$. As in the case of one-degree-of-freedom systems, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are usually expressed in polar form and (15.41) and (15.42) are separated into real and imaginary parts. Once these equations are solved, the first-order solution is completed. We will not present these details in here and refer the reader to the book of Nay feh and Mook (1979).

### 15.3. Parametrically Excited Gyroscopic Systems

We consider the parametrically excited simple linear two-degree-of-freedom system. Thus, we consider the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\dot{u}_{2}+2 u_{1}+2 \epsilon \cos \Omega t\left(f_{11} u_{1}+f_{12} u_{2}\right)=0 \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}-\dot{u}_{1}+2 u_{2}+2 \epsilon \cos \Omega t\left(f_{21} u_{1}+f_{22} u_{2}\right)=0 \tag{15.43}
\end{align*}
$$

for sinull e. Ilero, $\$ 2$ is assumed to be positive for definiteness. Using the method of multiple scales, we seek a uniform expansion in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=u_{10}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{11}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots  \tag{15.44}\\
& u_{2}=u_{20}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\epsilon u_{21}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting ( 15.44 ) into ( 15.43 ), using (5.45), and expressing $\cos \Omega t$ as $\cos \cdot$ $\Omega T_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}\right)\left(u_{10}+\epsilon u_{11}\right)+\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}\right)\left(u_{20}+\epsilon u_{21}\right) \\
& \quad+2\left(u_{10}+\epsilon u_{11}\right)+2 \epsilon \cos \Omega T_{0}\left(f_{11} u_{10}+f_{12} u_{20}\right)+\cdots=0 \\
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+2 \epsilon D_{0} D_{1}\right)\left(u_{20}+\epsilon u_{21}\right)-\left(D_{0}+\epsilon D_{1}\right)\left(u_{10}+\epsilon u_{11}\right) \\
& \\
& +2\left(u_{20}+\epsilon u_{21}\right)+2 \epsilon \cos \Omega T_{0}\left(f_{21} u_{10}+f_{22} u_{20}\right)+\cdots=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ we have
Order $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} u_{10}+D_{0} u_{20}+2 u_{10}=0  \tag{15.45}\\
& D_{0}^{2} u_{20}-D_{0} u_{10}+2 u_{20}=0
\end{align*}
$$

## Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{11}+D_{0} u_{21}+2 u_{11}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{10}-D_{1} u_{20}-2 \cos \Omega T_{0}\left(f_{11} u_{10}+f_{12} u_{20}\right) \tag{15.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{21}-D_{0} u_{11}+2 u_{21}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{20}+D_{1} u_{10}-2 \cos \Omega T_{0}\left(f_{21} u_{10}+f_{22} u_{20}\right) \tag{15.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the preceding section, the general solution of (15.45) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{10}=A_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i T_{0}}+A_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}+\bar{A}_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-2 i T_{0}} \\
& u_{20}=i A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}-i \bar{A}_{1} e^{-i T_{0}}-i A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+i \bar{A}_{2} e^{-2 i T_{0}} \tag{15.48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are to be determined from the solvability conditions at the next level of approximation. Substituting (15.48) into (15.46) and (15.47) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{2} u_{11}+D_{0} u_{21}+2 u_{11}= & -3 i A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}-3 i A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c \\
& -\left(e^{\left.i \Omega T_{0}+e^{-i \Omega T_{0}}\right)\left(f_{11} A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}\right.}\right. \\
& +f_{11} A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+i f_{12} A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-i f_{12} A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c\right) \\
D_{0}^{2} u_{21}-D_{0} u_{11}+2 u_{21}= & 3 A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}-3 A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c} \\
& -\left(e^{\left.i \Omega T_{0}+e^{-i \Omega T_{0}}\right)\left(f_{21} A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}\right.}\right. \\
& +f_{21} A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}+i f_{22} A_{1} e^{i T_{0}}} \\
& \left.-i f_{22} A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+c c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{11}+D_{0} u_{21}+2 u_{11}= & -3 i A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}}-3 i A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}-\left(f_{11}+i f_{12}\right) A_{1} \\
& \cdot\left[e^{i(1+\Omega) T_{0}}+e^{i(1-\Omega) T_{0}}\right]-\left(f_{11}-i f_{12}\right) A_{2} \\
& \cdot\left[e^{i(2+\Omega) T_{0}}+e^{i(2-\Omega) T_{0}}\right]+c c  \tag{15.49}\\
D_{0}^{2} u_{21}-D_{0} u_{11}+2 u_{21}= & 3 A_{1}^{\prime} e^{i T_{0}-3 A_{2}^{\prime} e^{2 i T_{0}}-\left(f_{21}+i f_{22}\right) A_{1}} \\
& \cdot\left[e^{i(1+\Omega) T_{0}}+e^{i(1-\Omega) T_{0}}\right]-\left(f_{21}-i f_{22}\right) A_{2} \\
& \cdot\left[e^{i(2+\Omega) T_{0}}+e^{i(2-\Omega) T_{0}}\right]+c c \tag{15.50}
\end{align*}
$$

When $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are constants, as in the straightforward-expansion case, the right-hand sides of (15.49) and (15.50) contain terms that may lead to secular or small-divisor terms, depending on the value of $\Omega$. Secular terms will appear in $u_{11}$ and $u_{21}$ if any of the exponents in (15.49) and (15.50) is equal to either $\pm 1$ or $\pm 2$, because $\exp \left( \pm i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left( \pm 2 i T_{0}\right)$ are solutions of the homogeneous problem. Thus, secular terms will appear in $u_{11}$ and $u_{21}$ if

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1+\Omega= \pm 2 & 1-\Omega= \pm 2 & 1+\Omega= \pm 1 & 1-\Omega= \pm 1 \\
2+\Omega= \pm 2 & 2-\Omega= \pm 2 & 2+\Omega= \pm 1 & 2-\Omega= \pm 1
\end{array}
$$

or if $\Omega=0$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 . When the equality sign is replaced with an approximate sign, small-divisor rather than secular terms will appear in the solution. Next, we consider the case $\Omega \approx 3$.

To eliminate the small-divisor terms when $\Omega \approx 3$, we first tranform them into secular terms by introducing the detuning parameter $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=3+\epsilon \sigma \tag{15.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega T_{0}=3 T_{0}+\epsilon \sigma T_{0}=3 T_{0}+\sigma T_{1} \tag{15.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting ( 15.52 ) into (15.49) and (15.50), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{11}+D_{0} u_{21}+2 u_{11}= & -\left[3 i A_{1}^{\prime}+\left(f_{11}+i f_{12}\right) \bar{A}_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{2}}\right] e^{i T_{0}} \\
& -\left[3 i A_{2}^{\prime}+\left(f_{11}-i f_{12}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}\right] e^{2 i T_{0}} \\
& + \text { terms proportional to }\left(e^{4 i T_{0}}, e^{s i T_{0}}\right)+c c \tag{15.53}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} u_{21}-D_{0} u_{11}+2 u_{21}= & {\left[3 A_{1}^{\prime}-\left(f_{21}+i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{2} e^{i 6 T_{1}}\right] e^{i T_{0}} } \\
& -\left[3 A_{2}^{\prime}+\left(f_{21}-i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}\right] e^{2 i T_{0}} \\
& + \text { terms proportional to }\left(e^{i i T_{0}}, e^{s i T_{0}}\right)+c c \tag{15.54}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the solvability conditions, we seek a particular solution free of secular terms corresponding to the terms proportional to $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp$. ( $2 i T_{0}$ ) in (15.53) and (15.54) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{11}=P_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+P_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}  \tag{15.55}\\
& u_{21}=Q_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+Q_{2}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{2 i T_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the preceding section, substituting (15.55) into (15.53) and (15.54) and equating each of the coefficients of $\exp \left(i T_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(2 i T_{0}\right)$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1}+i Q_{1} & =-3 i A_{1}^{\prime}-\left(f_{11}+i f_{12}\right) \bar{A}_{2} e^{i 0 T_{1}}  \tag{15.56}\\
-i P_{1}+Q_{1} & =3 A_{1}^{\prime}-\left(f_{21}+i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \\
-2 P_{2}+2 i Q_{2} & =-3 i A_{2}^{\prime}-\left(f_{11}-i f_{12}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \\
-2 i P_{2}-2 Q_{2} & =-3 A_{2}^{\prime}-\left(f_{21}-i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i 0 T_{1}} \tag{15.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the homogeneous equations ( 15.56 ) have a nontrivial solution, the inhomogeneous equations have a solution if and only if the following solvability condition is satisfied:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \left.-3 i A_{1}^{\prime}-\left(f_{11}+i f_{12}\right)\right] \bar{A}_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \\
-i & 3 A_{1}^{\prime}-\left(f_{21}+i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

This condition can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{6}\left[f_{21}-f_{12}+i\left(f_{11}+f_{22}\right)\right] \bar{A}_{2} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \tag{15.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solvability condition for (15.57) is

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
-2 & -3 i A_{2}^{\prime}-\left(f_{11}-i f_{12}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i \sigma T_{1}} \\
-2 i & -3 A_{2}^{\prime}-\left(f_{21}-i f_{22}\right) \bar{A}_{1} e^{i \sigma T_{1}}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{6}\left[f_{21}-f_{12}-i\left(f_{11}+f_{22}\right)\right] \bar{A}_{1} e^{k 0 T_{1}} \tag{15.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (15.58) and (15.59) describe the modulation of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ with $T_{1}$. We refer the reader to the book of Nayfeh and Mook (1979) for the details of their analysis.

### 15.4. Second-Order Differential Systems

In this section, we consider the solvability conditions for inhomogeneous linear second-order differential equations subject to general inhomogeneous bour:dary conditions. Thus, we consider

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{2}(x) y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+p_{0}(x) y=f(x) \quad a \leqslant x \leqslant b  \tag{15.60}\\
\alpha_{11} y^{\prime}(a)+\alpha_{12} y(a)+\alpha_{13} y^{\prime}(b)+\alpha_{14} y(b)=\beta_{1}  \tag{15.61}\\
\alpha_{21} y^{\prime}(a)+\alpha_{22} y(a)+\alpha_{23} y^{\prime}(b)+\alpha_{24} y(b)=\beta_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the boundary conditions are linearly independent; that is, the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24}
\end{array}\right]
$$

has a rank of two, and hence, there exists at least one $2 \times 2$ nonsingular submatrix. In other words, at least one of the determinants

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta_{12} & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22}
\end{array}\right| & \Delta_{13}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{13} \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{23}
\end{array}\right|
\end{array}
$$

is different from zero. The boundary conditions (15.61) are mixed or nonseparable. A boundary condition is called mixed or nonseparable if it involves the value of the function, its derivative, or both at both ends.

In this section, we consider the case in which $\Delta_{13} \neq 0$. Solving (15.61) for $y^{\prime}(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(b)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(a)=\gamma_{11} y(a)+\gamma_{12} y(b)+\delta_{1}  \tag{15.62}\\
& y^{\prime}(b)=\gamma_{21} y(a)+\gamma_{22} y(b)+\delta_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{11}=-\frac{\Delta_{23}}{\Delta_{13}} \quad \gamma_{12}=\frac{\Delta_{34}}{\Delta_{13}} \quad \gamma_{21}=-\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{13}} \quad \gamma_{22}=-\frac{\Delta_{14}}{\Delta_{13}} \\
\delta_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1} \alpha_{23}-\beta_{2} \alpha_{13}}{\Delta_{13}} \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{\beta_{2} \alpha_{11}-\beta_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\Delta_{13}} \tag{15.63}
\end{gather*}
$$

To motivate the discussion, we consider the simple problem

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y^{\prime \prime}+\pi^{2} y=\pi \sin \pi x  \tag{15.64}\\
y(0)=\beta_{1} & y(1)=\beta_{2}
\end{array}
$$

In this case, the boundary conditions are separable. The homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{\prime \prime}+\pi^{2} y=0  \tag{15.65}\\
y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{array}
$$

has the nontrivial colution $y=\sin \pi x$. Hence, the-inhomogeneous problem ( 15.64 ) witl not have a solution unless a solvabillty condition is satisfied. To determine this solvability condition, we proceed to find the solution of (15.64) if it exists. As in Appendix B, the general solution of the equation in (15.64) consists of the superposition of a particular solution and the homogeneous solution. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c_{1} \sin \pi x+c_{2} \cos \pi x-\frac{1}{2} x \cos \pi x \tag{15.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. Imposing the boundary conditions in (15.64), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2} & =\beta_{1} \\
-c_{2}+\frac{1}{2} & =\beta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations are inconsistent and hence the original problem (15.64) does not have a solution unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{15.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired solvability condition. Then, the solution of (15.64) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=c_{1} \sin \pi x+\beta_{1} \cos \pi x-\frac{1}{2} x \cos \pi x \tag{15.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, one need not follow the above procedure to determine the solvability conditions, especially if one is not interested in actually determining the solutions, as is the case in perturbation-type problems. In such cases, we use the concept of adjoint as follows. We multiply $(15.60)$ by the function $u(x)$, which is called an adjoint solution and is specified later, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} u y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1} u y^{\prime}+p_{0} u y=f u \tag{15.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (15.69) term by term from $x=a$ to $x=b$ (i.e., the interval of interest where the boundary conditions are enforced) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p_{2} u y^{\prime \prime} d x+\int_{a}^{b} p_{1} u y^{\prime} d x+\int_{a}^{b} p_{0} u y d x=\int_{a}^{b} f u d x \tag{15.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we integrate by parts the integrals involving derivatives of $y$ to transfer the derivatives to $u$. Thus,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p_{2} u y^{\prime \prime} d x=\left.p_{2} u y^{\prime}\right|_{a} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y^{\prime} d x
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y^{\prime} d x=\left.\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y\right|_{a} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime} y d x
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p_{2} u y^{\prime \prime} d x=\left[p_{2} u y^{\prime}-\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y\right]_{a}^{b}+\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime} y d x \tag{15.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p_{1} u y^{\prime} d x=\left.p_{1} u y\right|_{a} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime} y d x \tag{15.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.71) and (15.72) into (15.70), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[p_{2} u y^{\prime}-\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y+p_{1} u y\right]_{a}^{b}+\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime} y d x-\int_{a}^{b}\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime} y d x } \\
&+\int_{a}^{b} p_{0} u y d x=\int_{a}^{a} f u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left[p_{2} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right) u^{\prime}+\left(p_{0}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right) u\right] y d x \\
&+\left\{p_{2} u y^{\prime}+\left[\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u-p_{2} u^{\prime}\right] y\right\}_{a}^{b}=\int_{a}^{b} f u d x \tag{15.73}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation governing the adjoint $u$ of the homogeneous part of (15.60) is defined by setting the coefficient of $y$ in the integrand of the left-hand side of (15.73) equal to zero. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right) u^{\prime}+\left(p_{0}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right) u=0 \tag{15.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is usually called the adjoint equation of the homogeneous equation (15.60). To determine the boundary conditions needed to define $u$, we consider the homogeneous problem in which $f=0$ and $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=0$. Then, (15.73) and (15.62) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p_{2} u y^{\prime}+\left[\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u-p_{2} u^{\prime}\right] y\right\}_{x=b}-\left\{p_{2} u y^{\prime}+\left[\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u-p_{2} u^{\prime}\right] y\right\}_{x=a}=0 \tag{15.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(a)=\gamma_{11} y(a)+\gamma_{12} y(b)  \tag{15.76}\\
& y^{\prime}(b)=\gamma_{21} y(a)+\gamma_{22} y(b)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $y^{\prime}(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(b)$ in (15.75) and collecting the coefficients of $y(a)$ and $y(b)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left.\gamma_{21} p_{2} u\right|_{x=b}-\left.\left(\gamma_{11} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=a}+\left.p_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=a}\right] y(a)} \\
& \quad-\left[\left.\gamma_{12} p_{2} u\right|_{x=a}-\left.\left(\gamma_{22} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=b}+\left.p_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=b}\right] y(b)=0 \tag{15.77}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that the coefficients of $y(a)$ and $y(b)$ in ( 15.77 ) vanish independently, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\gamma_{21} p_{2} u\right|_{x=b}-\left.\left(\gamma_{11} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=a}+\left.p_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=a}=0  \tag{15.78}\\
& \left.\gamma_{12} p_{2} u\right|_{x=a}-\left.\left(\gamma_{22} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=b}+\left.p_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=b}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the adjoint $u$ is defined by the adjoint system consisting of (15.74) and the boundary conditions (15.78).

The homogeneous differential equation (15.60) is said to be self-adjoint if it is the same as its adjoint (15.74). They are the same if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}=p_{1} \quad p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}=0 \tag{15.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $p_{1}=p_{2}^{\prime}$. In this case the homogeneous part of (15.60) is

$$
p_{2} y^{\prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime} y^{\prime}+p_{0} y=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{2} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} y=0 \tag{15.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its adjoint (15.74) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u=0 \tag{15.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions (15.78) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}(a)=\gamma_{11} u(a)-\gamma_{21} p_{2}(b) p_{2}^{-1}(a) u(b)  \tag{15.82}\\
& u^{\prime}(b)=-\gamma_{12} p_{2}(a) p_{2}^{-1}(b) u(a)+\gamma_{22} u(b)
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the boundary conditions on $u$ are in general different from the homogeneous boundary conditions (15.76) on $y$ unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma_{21} p_{2}(b) p_{2}^{-1}(a)=\gamma_{12} \quad \text { or } \quad \gamma_{21} p_{2}(b)=-\gamma_{12} p_{2}(a) \tag{15.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the conditions (15.79) and (15.83) being satisfied, the adjoint differential equation ( 15.81 ) and its boundary conditions ( 15.82 ) are identical to the original homogeneous differential equation ( 15.60 ) and its homogeneous boundary conditions ( 15.62 ). Such systems are called self-adjoint systems.

If a second-order homogeneous differential equation is not self-adjoint (i.e., $p_{1} \neq p_{2}^{\prime}$ ), we can always transform it into a self-adjoint equation by multiplying it with an appropriate function $v$. To determine $v$, we multiply the homogeneous part of (15.60) with $v$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} v y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1} v y^{\prime}+p_{0} v y=0 \tag{15.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order that (15.84) be self-adjoint,

$$
p_{1} v=\left(p_{2} v\right)^{\prime}=p_{2} v^{\prime}+p_{2}^{\prime} v
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}-\frac{p_{2}^{\prime}}{p_{2}}
$$

or

$$
\ln v=\int \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}} d x-\ln p_{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{p_{2}} e^{\int\left(p_{1} / p_{2}\right) d x} \tag{15.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should mention that it is not always possible to transform a differential equation of order higher than two into a self-adjoint equation.

Having defined the adjoint system (15.74) and (15.78), we return to the inhomogeneous system (15.60) and (15.62), to determine the solvability condition. With $u$ satisfying (15.74), (15.73) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[p_{2} u y^{\prime}+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y-p_{2} u^{\prime} y\right]_{x=b}-\left[p_{2} u y^{\prime}+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y\right.} \\
&\left.-p_{2} u^{\prime} y\right]_{x=a}=\int_{a}^{b} f u d x \tag{15.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $y^{\prime}(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(b)$ from (15.62) into (15.86), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\delta_{2} p_{2} u\right|_{x=b}-\left.\delta_{1} p_{2} u\right|_{x=a}+\left[\left.\gamma_{21} p_{2} u\right|_{x=b}-\left.\left(\gamma_{11} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=a}\right. \\
&\left.+\left.p_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=a}\right] y(a)-\left[\left.\gamma_{12} p_{2} u\right|_{x=a}-\left.\left(\gamma_{22} p_{2}+p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u\right|_{x=b}\right. \\
&\left.+\left.n_{2} u^{\prime}\right|_{x=b}\right] y(b)=\int_{a}^{b} f u d x \tag{15.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the terms in the square brackets vanish according to (15.78), (15.87) reduces to the desired solvability condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2} p_{2}(b) u(b)-\delta_{1} p_{2}(a) u(a)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) u(x) d x \tag{15.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is a solution of the adjoint system consisting of (15.74) and (15.78).
As a special case, let us consider the inhomogeneous Sturm-Llouville problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[p(x) y^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+q(x) y-\lambda r(x) y=f(x)}  \tag{15.89a}\\
y^{\prime}(a)=\gamma_{11} y(a)+\gamma_{12} y(b)  \tag{15.89b}\\
y^{\prime}(b)=\gamma_{21} y(a)+\gamma_{22} y(b) \tag{15.89c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the condition (15.83) is satisfied and $r(x)>0$ on $[a, b]$. If $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue of the homogeneous problem (i.e., the homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution), the inhomogeneous problem has a unique solution for every continuous $f(x)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the homogeneous problem (i.e., the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution), the inhomogeneous problem does not have a solution unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) u(x) d x=0 \tag{15.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $f(x)$ is orthogonal to the eigenfunctions $u(x)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$. These results constitute the so-called Fredholm's alternative theorem:

For a given value of $\lambda$, either the inhomogeneous problem (15.89) has a unique solution for each continuous $f$, or else the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution.

In the following section, we consider the general boundary conditions (15.61). In Sections 15.6 and 15.7, we apply the theory to two eigenvalue problems, and in Section 15.8 we apply the theory to sound waves in a duct with sinusoidal walls. In Section 15.9 , we modify the present theory to treat a case with a regular singular point and apply it to the vibrations of nearly circular membranes.

### 15.5. General Boundary Conditions

## ADJOINT OPERATOR

We let $L$ be the second-order differential operator that is defined by the second-order differential equation (15.60), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(y) \equiv\left[p_{2}(x) \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+p_{1}(x) \frac{d}{d x}+p_{0}\right] y \tag{15.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{2}^{\prime \prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}$, and $p_{0}$ are continuous over the interval $[a, b]$. If $y(x)$ and $u(x)$ are any two functions possessing two continuous derivatives over [ $a, b$ ], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{x} u L(y) d x=\int_{a}^{x}\left[\left(p_{2} u\right) y^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{1} u\right) y^{\prime}+\left(p_{0} u\right) y\right] d x \quad a \leqslant x \leqslant b \tag{15.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the preceding section, integrating (15.92) by parts to transfer the derivatives from $y$ to $u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{x} u L(y) d x=\left[p_{2} u y^{\prime}-\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} y+p_{1} u y\right]_{a}^{x}+\int_{a}^{x}\left[\left(p_{2} u\right)^{n}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u\right] y d x \tag{15.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the operator in the integrand on the right-hand side of (15.93) by $L^{*}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u) \equiv\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u=\left[p_{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left(2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right) \frac{d}{d x}+p_{0}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right] u \tag{15.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (15.93) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{x}\left[u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)\right] d x=\left[p_{2}\left(u y^{\prime}-u^{\prime} y\right)+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y\right]_{a}^{x} \tag{15.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $L^{*}$ is called the adjoint operator corresponding to the operator $L$. Multiplying (15.94) by $y$ and integrating the result by parts to transfer the derivatives from $u$ to $y$, one can easily show that $L$ is the adjoint operator corresponding to the operator $L^{*}$. Thus, $L$ and $L^{*}$ are adjoint to each other.

As in the preceding section, we call the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=0 \tag{15.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

the adjoint of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(y)=0 \tag{15.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and vice versa.
If $L=L^{*}$, we say that the operator $L$ is self-adjoint and the differential equation $L(y)=0$ is self-adjoint. Comparing (15.91) and (15.94), we conclude that $L=L^{*}$ if and only if

$$
2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}=p_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}=0
$$

Thus, $L$ is self-adjoint if and only if $p_{1}=p_{2}^{\prime}$. Then,

$$
L=L^{*}=p_{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+p_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d}{d x}+p_{0}
$$

As in the preceding section, any second-order differential equation of the form (15.97) can be put in a self-adjoint form by multiplying it by $v$ defined in (15.85).

Differentiating (15.95) with respect to $x$, we obtain Lagrange's identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)=\frac{d}{d x}\left[p_{2}\left(u y^{\prime}-u^{\prime} y\right)+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y\right] \tag{15.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression in the square brackets is called the bilinear concomitant of $u$ and $y$ because, for a given $y$, it is linear in $u$ whereas, for a given $u$, it is linear in $y$. Putting $x=b$ in (15.95), we obtain Green's identity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)\right] d x=\left[p_{2}\left(u y^{\prime}-u^{\prime} y\right)+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y\right]_{a}^{b} \tag{15.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (15.99) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
R= & {\left[p_{2}\left(u y^{\prime}-u^{\prime} y\right)+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right) u y\right]_{a}^{b}=p_{2}(b) u(b) y^{\prime}(b)-p_{2}(b) u^{\prime}(b) y(b) } \\
& +\left[p_{1}(b)-p_{2}^{\prime}(b)\right] u(b) y(b)-p_{2}(a) u(a) y^{\prime}(a)+p_{2}(a) u^{\prime}(a) y(a) \\
& -\left[p_{1}(a)-p_{2}^{\prime}(a)\right] u(a) y(a)=u_{b}^{T} P y_{b} \tag{15.100}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{u}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime}(a) \\
u(a) \\
u^{\prime}(b) \\
u(b)
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{y}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y^{\prime}(a) \\
y(a) \\
y^{\prime}(b) \\
y(b)
\end{array}\right] \\
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \mathrm{p}_{2}(a) & 0 & 0 \\
-p_{2}(a) & p_{2}^{\prime}(a)-p_{1}(a) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -p_{2}(b) \\
0 & 0 & p_{2}(b) & p_{1}(b)-p_{2}^{\prime}(b)
\end{array}\right] \tag{15.101}
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that

$$
|P|=\left[p_{2}(a) p_{2}(b)\right]^{2}
$$

and hence $P$ is a nonsingular matrix. Substituting (15.100) into (15.99) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)\right] d x=\mathbf{u}_{b}^{T} P y_{b} \tag{15.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

ADJOINT HOMOGENEOUS SYSTEM
Since the general boundary conditions ( 15.61 ) involve linear combinations of the components $y^{\prime}(a), y(a), y^{\prime}(b)$, and $y(b)$ of $y_{b}$, we introduce a linear non-
singular transformation from $y_{b}$ to $Y$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=a y_{b} \tag{15.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
Y_{1}  \tag{15.104}\\
Y_{2} \\
Y_{3} \\
Y_{4}
\end{array}\right] \quad Q=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} \\
\alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\
\alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We note that the above transformation can be accomplished in an infinite number of ways, depending on the choice of $Q$. We already narrowed down this choice by requiring the first two rows in $Q$ to be the same as the $\alpha_{i j}$ in (15.61). This choice left the last two rows in $\mathbb{Q}$ arbitrary, except that they are linearly independent from each other and from the first two rows so that $|Q| \neq 0$. For a given nonzero $y_{b}$, the last two rows in $Q$ can be chosen to yield any desired nonzero values for $Y_{3}$ and $Y_{4}$. This observation is used later to determine the adjoint boundary conditions.
Since $|Q| \neq 0$, we can invert the transformation (15.103) and obtain

$$
y_{b}=Q^{-1} Y
$$

Then, (15.102) can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)\right] d x=u_{b}^{T} P Q^{-1} \mathbf{Y}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(y)-y L^{*}(u)\right] d x=\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathrm{Y}=U_{1} Y_{1}+U_{2} Y_{2}+U_{3} Y_{3}+U_{4} Y_{4} \tag{15.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}^{T}=\mathbf{u}_{b}^{T} P Q^{-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{U}=\left(Q^{-1}\right)^{T} P^{T} \mathbf{u}_{b} \tag{15.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bilinear form $U^{T} \mathbf{Y}$ in (15.105) is referred to as the canonical representation of the bilinear form on the right-hand side of (15.102).
To determine the boundary conditions defining the adjoint $u$ of $y$, we put $L(y)=0$ and $L, *(u)=0 \operatorname{in}(15.105)$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1} Y_{1}+U_{2} Y_{2}+U_{3} Y_{3}+U_{4} Y_{4}=0 \tag{15.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15.103) and (15.61) that the homogeneous boundary conditions on $y$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=\alpha_{11} y^{\prime}(a)+\alpha_{12} y(a)+\alpha_{13} y^{\prime}(b)+\alpha_{14} y(b)=0 \tag{15.108a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{2}=\alpha_{21} y^{\prime}(a)+\alpha_{22} y(a)+\alpha_{23} y^{\prime}(b)+\alpha_{24} y(b)=0 \tag{15,108b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (15.107) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{3} Y_{3}+U_{4} Y_{4}=0 \tag{15.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned above, if $y_{b} \neq 0$, the last two rows in $\mathbb{C}$ can be chosen so that $Y_{3}$ and $Y_{4}$ can assume any desired values other than both zero. In particular, the last rows in $Q$ can be chosen so that $Y_{3}=1$ and $Y_{4}=0$, and hence, it follows from (15.109) that $U_{3}=0$. Similarly, the last two rows in $\mathbb{Q}$ can be chosen so that $Y_{3}=0$ and $Y_{4}=1$, and hence, it follows from (15.109) that $U_{4}=0$. Therefore, the system adjoint to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(y)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{1}=Y_{2}=0 \tag{15.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad U_{3}=U_{4}=0 \tag{15.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{3}$ and $U_{4}$ are related to the components $u^{\prime}(a), u(a), u^{\prime}(b)$, and $u(b)$ of $u_{b}$ by (15.106). The system (15.110) is said to be self-adjoint if and only if $L=L^{*}$ and each of $U_{3}$ and $U_{4}$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}\left(u_{b}\right)$ and $Y_{2}\left(u_{b}\right)$; in other words $u(x) \propto y(x)$.

Since the two boundary conditions (15.61) are linearly independent, at least one of the determinants

$$
\Delta_{i j}=\alpha_{1 i} \alpha_{2 j}-\alpha_{1 j} \alpha_{2 i} \quad i \neq j
$$

of the $2 \times 2$ submatrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1 i} & \alpha_{1 j} \\
\alpha_{2 i} & \alpha_{2 j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

must be different from zero. Let us assume that $\Delta_{13} \neq 0$ so that we can compare the results with those in the preceding section. Then, we choose $Y_{3}$ and $Y_{4}$ such that the rows of $Q$ are linearly independent. For instance, we let $Y_{3}=y(a)$ and $Y_{4}=-y(b)$ so that the matrix $(Q$ becomes

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14}  \tag{15.112}\\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows from (15.112) that $|\mathcal{Q}|=-\Delta_{13} \neq 0$. Then,

$$
\left(Q^{-1}\right)^{T}=-\frac{1}{\Delta_{13}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-\alpha_{23} & 0 & \alpha_{21} & 0  \tag{15.113}\\
\alpha_{13} & 0 & -\alpha_{11} & 0 \\
\Delta_{23} & -\Delta_{13} & \Delta_{12} & 0 \\
\Delta_{34} & 0 & -\Delta_{14} & \Delta_{13}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Substituting (15.101) and (15.113) into (15.106) we have

$$
U=-\frac{1}{\Delta_{13}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \alpha_{23} p_{2}(a) & 0 & \alpha_{21} p_{2}(b)  \tag{15.114}\\
0 & -\alpha_{13} p_{2}(a) & 0 & -\alpha_{11} p_{2}(b) \\
-\Delta_{13} p_{2}(a) & -\Delta_{23} p_{2}(a)-\Delta_{13}\left[p_{2}^{\prime}(a)-p_{1}(a)\right] & 0 & \Delta_{12} p_{2}(b) \\
0 & -\Delta_{34} p_{2}(a) & -\Delta_{13} p_{2}(b) & -\Delta_{14} p_{2}(b)+\Delta_{13}\left[p_{1}(b)-p_{2}^{\prime}(b)\right]
\end{array}\right] u
$$

Therefore, the boundary conditions on $u$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{3}=p_{2}(a) u^{\prime}(a)+\left[p_{2}(a)-p_{1}(a)+\frac{\Delta_{23}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(a)\right] u(a)-\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(b) u(b)=0 \\
& U_{4}=p_{2}(b) u^{\prime}(b)+\frac{\Delta_{34}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(a) u(a)-\left[p_{1}(b)-p_{2}^{\prime}(b)-\frac{\Delta_{14}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(b)\right] u(b)=0 \tag{15.115a}
\end{align*}
$$

which are identical with (15.78) obtained in the preceding section on account of ( 15.63 ).

In order that the system (15.60) and (15.61) be self-adjoint, $L=L^{*}$ and each of $U_{3}$ and $U_{4}$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{b}\right)$ and $Y_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}_{b}\right)$. As discussed above, $L=L^{*}$ if and only if $p_{1}=p_{2}^{\prime}$. Then, (15.115) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}(a)+\frac{\Delta_{23}}{\Delta_{13}} u(a)-\frac{\Delta_{12} p_{2}(b)}{\Delta_{13} p_{2}(a)} u(b)=0  \tag{15.116}\\
& u^{\prime}(b)+\frac{\Delta_{34} p_{2}(a)}{\Delta_{13} p_{2}(b)} u(a)+\frac{\Delta_{14}}{\Delta_{13}} u(b)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (15.61) for $y^{\prime}(a)$ and $y^{\prime}(b)$ when $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=0$ and replacing $y$ with $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}(a)=-\frac{\Delta_{23}}{\Delta_{13}} u(a)+\frac{\Delta_{34}}{\Delta_{13}} u(b)  \tag{15.117}\\
& u^{\prime}(b)=-\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\Delta_{13}} u(a)-\frac{\Delta_{14}}{\Delta_{13}} u(b)
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (15.116) and (15.117), we conclude that they are identical if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}(a) \Delta_{34}=p_{2}(b) \Delta_{12} \tag{15.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the condition (15.83) obtained in the preceding section. Therefore, the system is self-adjoint if and only if $p_{1}=p_{2}^{\prime}$ and condition (15.118) is satisfied.
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## SOLVABILITY CONDITION

Having defined the adjoint system, we return to the inhomogeneous system (15.60) and (15.61). Using the definition (15.111) of the adjoint, we rewrite Green's identity (15.105) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u L(y) d x=Y_{1} U_{1}+Y_{2} U_{2} \tag{15.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $L(y)=f(x), Y_{1}=\beta_{1}$, and $Y_{2}=\beta_{2}$; therefore, it follows from (15.119) that the solvability condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1} U_{1}+\beta_{2} U_{2}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) u(x) d x \tag{15.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare (15.120) with the solvability condition (15.88) obtained in the preceding section, we use the relations of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ to $u_{b}$ from (15.114), that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{23} p_{2}(a)}{\Delta_{13}} u(a)-\frac{\alpha_{21} p_{2}(b)}{\Delta_{13}} u(b)  \tag{15.121}\\
& U_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{13} p_{2}(a)}{\Delta_{13}} u(a)+\frac{\alpha_{11} p_{2}(b)}{\Delta_{13}} u(b)
\end{align*}
$$

Then, ( 15.120 ) becomes

$$
\frac{\alpha_{11} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{21} \beta_{1}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(b) u(b)-\frac{\alpha_{23} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{13} \beta_{2}}{\Delta_{13}} p_{2}(a) u(a)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) u(x) d x
$$

which is identical with (15.88) on account of (15.63).
Comparing the development in this section with that in the preceding section, we conclude that the algebra is less involved in the preceding section. Consequently, when one faces general boundary conditions, such as those given by (15.61), we recommend that one solve for two of the end values in terms of the other two as we did in (15.62) and then proceed as in the preceding section.

### 15.6. A Simple Eigenvalue Problem

We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda+\epsilon g(x)] y=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1 \\
y(0)=0 \quad y(\pi)=0 \tag{15.122}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue. Since $\lambda$ is a function of the parameter $\epsilon$, we seek a first-order uniform expansion by using the method of strained parameters and
expand both $\lambda$ and $y$ in powers of $\epsilon$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots  \tag{15.123}\\
& \lambda=\lambda_{0}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (15.123) into (15.122), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\left[\lambda_{0}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}+\epsilon g\right]\left(y_{0}+\epsilon y_{1}\right)+\cdots=0 \\
y_{0}(0)+\epsilon y_{1}(0)+\cdots=0 \quad y_{0}(\pi)+\epsilon y_{1}(\pi)+\cdots=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ yields
Order $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} y_{0}=0  \tag{15.124}\\
y_{0}(0)=y_{0}(\pi)=0
\end{array}
$$

Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} y_{1} & =-g y_{0}-\lambda_{1} y_{0}  \tag{15.125}\\
y_{1}(0) & =y_{1}(\pi)=0 \tag{15.126}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of the differential equation in (15.124) can be written as

$$
y_{0}=c_{1} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} x+c_{2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} x
$$

Imposing the boundary condition $y_{0}(0)=0$ leads to $c_{1}=0$. Then, imposing the boundary condition $y_{0}(\pi)=0$ leads to

$$
c_{2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} \pi=0
$$

Hence, for a nontrivial solution (i.e., $c_{2} \neq 0$ )

$$
\sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} \pi=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} \pi=n \pi \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

Hence, to zeroth order, the eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\sin n x \tag{15.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=n^{2} \tag{15.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.127) and (15.128) into (15.125), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} y_{1}=-g(x) \sin n x-\lambda_{1} \sin n x \tag{15.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the homogeneous first-order problem (15.129) and (15.126) has a nontrivial solution, the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if a solvability condition is satisfied. Instead of applying the general results of the preceding section, we find it more instructive to derive the condition again. In (15.129),
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$p_{2}=1$ and $p_{1}=0$ so that $p_{2}^{\prime}=p_{1}$ and (15.118) is satisfied so that the problem is self-adjoint. Hence, the solution $u$ of the adjoint problem can be taken as $\|=y_{0}=\sin n x$. Multiplying (15.129) with $u$ and integrating the result by parts fiom $x=0$ to $x=\pi$, we obiain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} y_{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} u\right) d x+\left[y_{1}^{\prime} u-y_{1} u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{\pi}=-\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[g(x)+\lambda_{1}\right] u \sin n x d x \tag{15.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u=\sin n x$ and $y_{1}(0)=0$ and $y_{1}(\pi)=0$, the left-hand side vanishes and the solvability condition becomes

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} g(x) \sin ^{2} n x d x+\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2} n x d x=0
$$

Since the second integral is $\frac{1}{2} \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(x) \sin ^{2} n x d x \tag{15.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.127), (15.128), and (15.131) into (15.123), we find that to the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\sin n x+0(\epsilon) \\
& \lambda=n^{2}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} g(x) \sin ^{2} n x d x+0\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{15.132}
\end{align*}
$$

### 15.7. A Degenerate Eigenvalue Problem

We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda+\epsilon f(x)] y=0, \epsilon \ll 1  \tag{15.133}\\
& y(0)=y(1), y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

As in the preceding section, we seek a first-order uniform expansion by expanding both $y$ and $\lambda$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x ; \epsilon) & =y_{0}(x)+\epsilon y_{1}(x)+\cdots \\
\lambda & =\lambda_{0}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}+\cdots \tag{15.134}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (15.134) into (15.133) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} y_{0}=0  \tag{15.135}\\
y_{0}(0)=y_{0}(1) \quad y_{0}^{\prime}(0)=y_{0}^{\prime}(1)
\end{gather*}
$$

## Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} y_{1}=-\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right) y_{0}  \tag{15.136}\\
y_{1}(0)=y_{1}(1) \quad y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}^{\prime}(1)
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution of the equation in (15.135) can be written as

$$
y_{0}=a_{1} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} x+a_{2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} x
$$

Imposing the boundary conditions in (15.135), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=a_{1} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}+a_{2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} \\
& a_{2}=-a_{1} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}+a_{2} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}-1\right) a_{1}+\sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} a_{2} & =0  \tag{15.137}\\
-\sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}} a_{1}+\left(\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}-1\right) a_{2} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (15.137) must be zero, that is,

$$
\left(\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}-1\right)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}=0
$$

which for real $\lambda_{0}$ demands that

$$
\sin \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{0}}=1
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=4 n^{2} \pi^{2} \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{15.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows from (15.137) that $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are arbitrary. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=a_{1} \cos 2 n \pi x+a_{2} \sin 2 n \pi x \tag{15.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$.
Thus for every $\lambda_{0}=4 n^{2} \pi^{2}$, where $n \geqslant 1$, there are two different eigenfunctions, namely $\cos 2 n \pi x$ and $\sin 2 n \pi x$. Eigenvalue problems having two or more eigenfunctions corresponding to the same eigenvalue are called degenerate eigenvalue problems. The degeneracy is a result of the symmetry of the problem and it may be removed by the presence of an asymmetry in the problem. As discussed below, the term $f(x) y(x)$ in the present example may produce such an asymmetry to remove the degeneracy.

Substituting (15.139) into the equation in (15.136) and recalling that $\lambda_{0}=$ $4 n^{2} \pi^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} y_{1}=-\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right)\left(a_{1} \cos 2 n \pi x+a_{2} \sin 2 n \pi x\right) \tag{15.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the homogeneous problem governing $y_{1}$ is the same as (15.135) and since the latter has a nontrivial solution, the inhomogeneous problem governing $y_{1}$ has a solution only if solvability conditions are satisfied. To determine these solvability conditions, we multiply ( 15.140 ) by $u(x)$, integrate the result by parts from $x=0$ to $x=1$ to transfer the derivatives from $y_{1}$ to $u$, and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[y_{1}^{\prime} u-y_{1} u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{1}+\int_{0}^{1} y_{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} u\right) d x=} & -\int_{0}^{1} u\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right)\left(a_{1} \cos 2 n \pi x\right. \\
& \left.+a_{2} \sin 2 n \pi x\right) d x \tag{15.141}
\end{align*}
$$

To define the adjoint function $u$, we first consider the homogeneous problem, that is, we put $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $f=0$. Then, the adjoint equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} u=0 \tag{15.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (15.141) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\prime}(1) u(1)-y_{1}(1) u^{\prime}(1)-y_{1}^{\prime}(0) u(0)+y_{1}(0) u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{15.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $y_{1}(0)=y_{1}(1)$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)$; hence, (15.143) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u(1)-u(0)] y_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\left[u^{\prime}(1)-u^{\prime}(0)\right] y_{1}(1)=0 \tag{15.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $y_{1}(1)$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}(1)$ vanishes independently, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1)=u(0) \quad u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime}(0) \tag{15.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the homogeneous system (15.136) is self-adjoint. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sin 2 n \pi x \quad \text { or } \quad \cos 2 n \pi x \tag{15.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having defined the adjoint problem, we return to the inhomogeneous system. Using (15.142) and (15.145), we reduce Green's identity (15.141) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} u(x)\left[\lambda_{1}+f(x)\right]\left(a_{1} \cos 2 n \pi x+a_{2} \sin 2 n \pi x\right) d x=0 \tag{15.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields the desired solvability conditions. We note that (15.147) should hold for all possible values of $u(x)$ that satisfy the adjoint problem. In the present example, when $n>1, u(x)=\sin 2 n \pi x$ or $\cos 2 n \pi x$. Hence, the inhomogeneous problem governing $y_{1}$ has a solution only if (15.147) is satisfied when $u(x)=$ $\sin 2 n \pi x$ or $\cos 2 n \pi x$.
Putting $u(x)=\sin 2 n \pi x$ in (15.147), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{12} a_{1}+\left(\lambda_{1}+f_{11}\right) a_{2}=0 \tag{15.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas putting $u(x)=\cos 2 n \pi x$ in (15.147), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{1}+f_{22}\right) a_{1}+f_{12} a_{2}=0 \tag{15.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}=2 \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \sin ^{2} 2 n \pi x d x \quad f_{22}=2 \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \cos ^{2} 2 n \pi x d x \\
& f_{12}=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \sin 4 n \pi x d x
\end{aligned}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (15.148) and (15.149) must be equal to zero, that is,

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}+f_{11}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}+f_{22}\right)-f_{12}^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(f_{11}+f_{22}\right) \lambda_{1}+f_{11} f_{22}-f_{12}^{2}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{(1)} \text { or } \lambda_{1}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{11}+f_{22}\right) \mp \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{22}\right)^{2}+4 f_{12}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{15.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (15.149) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(m)}+f_{22}}{f_{12}} a_{1} \tag{15.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to the first approximation either

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{(1)}=\cos 2 n \pi x-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(1)}+f_{22}}{f_{12}} \sin 2 n \pi x+O(\epsilon) \\
& \lambda^{(1)}=4 n^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left\{f_{11}+f_{22}+\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{22}\right)^{2}+4 f_{12}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{15.152}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{(2)}=\cos 2 n \pi x-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(2)}+f_{22}}{f_{12}} \sin 2 n \pi x+O(\epsilon) \\
& \lambda^{(2)}=4 n^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left\{f_{11}+f_{22}-\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{22}\right)^{2}+4 f_{12}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}+O\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{15.153}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, if $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}$ is different from $\lambda_{1}^{(2)}$ (i.e., $f_{11} \neq f_{22}$ and $f_{12} \neq 0$ ), the degeneracy will be removed because corresponding to each eigenvalue $\lambda^{(m)}$ there
is only one eigenfunction $y^{(m)}$. If $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}=\lambda_{1}^{(2)}$, the degeneracy may be removed at higher order.

### 15.8. Acoustic Waves in a Duct with Sinusoidal Walls

We consider the porblem of linear harmonic acoustic wave propagation in a two-dimensional duct (waveguide) with sinusoidally varying walls. The problem can be stated mathematically as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{x x}+\phi_{y y}+\omega^{2} \phi=0  \tag{15.154}\\
\phi_{y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0  \tag{15.155}\\
\phi_{y}=\epsilon k_{w} \phi_{x} \cos k_{w} x \quad \text { at } \quad y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x \tag{15.156}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega$ and $k_{w}$ are constants and $\epsilon$ is a small dimensionless parameter. Except for the form of the boundary conditions, this problem is a prototype for electromagnetic and elastic waves in waveguides.
As a first step to obtaining a uniform first-order expansion, we carry out a straightforward expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\phi_{0}(x, y)+\epsilon \phi_{1}(x, y)+\cdots \tag{15.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the boundary condition (15.156) is imposed at $y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x$, and hence, $\epsilon$ appears in the argument of $\phi$ as well as in the coefficients. Since the usual procedure in perturbation methods is to equate coefficients of equal powers of $\epsilon$, we will not be able to do that unless $\epsilon$ is removed from the argument. To accomplish this, we perform what is usually referred to as the transfer of the boundary condition. In this case, we transfer the boundary condition from $y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x$ to $y=1$ by a Taylor series expansion. We write $\phi_{y}$ at $y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x$ in (15.156) as

$$
\phi_{y}\left(x, 1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x\right)
$$

Expanding it in a Taylor series about $y=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{y}\left(x, 1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x\right)= & \phi_{y}(x, 1)+\phi_{y y}(x, 1) \epsilon \sin k_{w} x \\
& +\frac{1}{2!} \phi_{y y y}(x, 1) \epsilon^{2} \sin ^{2} k_{w} x \\
& +\frac{1}{3!} \phi_{y y y y}(x, 1) \epsilon^{3} \sin ^{3} k_{w} x+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we expand $\phi_{x}$ at $y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x$ as

$$
\phi_{x}\left(x, 1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x\right)=\phi_{x}(x, 1)+\phi_{x y}(x, 1) \epsilon \sin k_{w} x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{2!} \phi_{x y y}(x, 1) \epsilon^{2} \sin ^{2} k_{w} x \\
& +\frac{1}{3!} \phi_{x y y y}(x, 1) \epsilon^{3} \sin ^{3} k_{w} x+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these Taylor-series expansions into (15.156), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{y}(x, 1)+\epsilon \phi_{y y}(x, 1) \sin k_{w} x=\epsilon k_{w} \phi_{x}(x, 1) \cos k_{w} x+\cdots \tag{15.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

thereby transferring the boundary condition from $y=1+\epsilon \sin k_{w} x$ to $y=1$ and removing $\epsilon$ from the arguments of $\phi_{y}$ and $\phi_{x}$. Now, we are ready to carry out the straightforward expansion.
Substituting (15.157) into (15.154), (15.155), and (15.158), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{0 x x}+\epsilon \phi_{1 x x}+\phi_{0 y y}+\epsilon \phi_{1 y y}+\omega^{2} \phi_{0}+\epsilon \omega^{2} \phi_{1}+\cdots=0 \\
\phi_{0 y}(x, 0)+\epsilon \phi_{1 y}(x, 0)+\cdots=0 \\
\phi_{0 y}(x, 1)+\epsilon \phi_{1 y}(x, 1)+\epsilon \phi_{0 y y}(x, 1) \sin k_{w} x=\epsilon k_{w} \phi_{0 x}(x, 1) \cos k_{w} x+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

Equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$ leads to

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{0 x x}+\phi_{0 y y}+\omega^{2} \phi_{0}=0  \tag{15.159}\\
\phi_{0 y}(x, 0)=0  \tag{15.160}\\
\phi_{0 y}(x, 1)=0 \tag{15.161}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{1 x x}+\phi_{1 y y}+\omega^{2} \phi_{1}=0  \tag{15.162}\\
\phi_{1 y}(x, 0)=0  \tag{15.163}\\
\phi_{1 y}(x, 1)=-\phi_{0 y y}(x, 1) \sin k_{w} x+k_{w} \phi_{0 x}(x, 1) \cos k_{w} x \tag{15.164}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since (15.159) through (15.161) have constant coefficients, they can be solved by separation of variables. To this end, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=X(x) Y(y) \tag{15.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
X^{\prime \prime} Y+X Y^{\prime \prime}+\omega^{2} X Y=0  \tag{15.166}\\
X(x) Y^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{15.167}\\
X(x) Y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{15.168}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Dividing (15.166) by $X Y$ and rearranging, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{X^{\prime \prime}}{X}=\frac{Y^{\prime \prime}}{Y}+\omega^{2} \tag{15.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left-hand side of (15.169) is a function of $x$ only and its right-hand side is a function of $y$ only, we conclude that each side must be a constant, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{X^{\prime \prime}}{X} & =b  \tag{15.170}\\
\frac{Y^{\prime \prime}}{Y}+\omega^{2} & =b \tag{15.171}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (15.170) can be rewritten as

$$
X^{\prime \prime}+b X=0
$$

For propagating waves, $X$ must be sinusoidal, and hence, $b$ must be positive. Usually one puts $b=k^{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=e^{ \pm i k x} \tag{15.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k$ is called the wavenumber. Putting $b=k^{2}$, we rewrite (15.171) as

$$
Y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\omega^{2}-k^{2}\right) Y=0
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=c_{1} \sin \sqrt{\omega^{2}-k^{2}} y+c_{2} \cos \sqrt{\omega^{2}-k^{2}} y \tag{15.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X(x) \neq 0$, it follows from (15.167) and (15.168) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}(0)=Y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{15.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Imposing these conditions in (15.173), we find that $c_{1}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\omega^{2}-k^{2}}=n \pi \quad \text { so that } \quad k_{n}^{2}=\omega^{2}-n^{2} \pi^{2} \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{15.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\cos n \pi y \tag{15.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.172) and (15.176) into (15.165), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=e^{i k_{n} x} \cos n \pi y \quad \text { or } \quad e^{-1 k_{n} x} \cos n \pi y \tag{15.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one of these solutions corresponds to a wave propagating in one direction and the other solution corresponds to a wave propagating in the opposite direction. The solution corresponding to a given $n$ is called the $n$th mode. Let us take the case with the positive sign.

Substituting (15.177) with the positive sign into (15.164), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1 y}(x, 1)= & (-1)^{n} n^{2} \pi^{2} e^{i k_{n} x} \sin k_{w} x+i(-1)^{n} k_{n} k_{w} e^{i k_{n} x} \cos k_{w} x \\
= & (-1)^{n} n^{2} \pi^{2} e^{i k_{n} x}-\frac{1}{2} i\left(e^{i k_{w} x}-e^{-i k_{w} x}\right) \\
& +i(-1)^{n} k_{n} k_{w} e^{i k_{n} x} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i k_{w} x}+e^{-i k_{w} x}\right) \\
= & \zeta_{1} e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}+\zeta_{2} e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x} \tag{15.178}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{n} i\left(k_{n} k_{w}-n^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \quad \zeta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{n} i\left(k_{n} k_{w}+n^{2} \pi^{2}\right) \tag{15.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the solution of (15.162), (15.163), and (15.178) for $\phi_{1}$, we note that the boundary condition (15.178) is inhomogeneous and its form suggests that the variables be separated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\Phi_{1}(y) e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}+\Phi_{2}(y) e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x} \tag{15.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting ( 15.180 ) into (15.162), (15.163), and (15.178), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\Phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \Phi_{1}\right] e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}+\left[\Phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \Phi_{2}\right] e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x} } & =0 \\
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(0) e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}+\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(0) e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x} & =0 \\
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(1) e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}+\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(1) e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x}= & \zeta_{1} e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x} \\
& +\zeta_{2} e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}^{2}=\omega^{2}-\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right)^{2} \quad \alpha_{2}^{2}=\omega^{2}-\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right)^{2} \tag{15.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of each of the exponentials on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \Phi_{1}=0  \tag{15.182}\\
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \Phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)=\zeta_{1} \\
\Phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \Phi_{2}=0  \tag{15.183}\\
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \Phi_{2}^{\prime}(1)=\zeta_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (15.182) that the general solution for $\Phi_{1}$ is

$$
\Phi_{1}=c_{1} \cos \alpha_{1} y+c_{2} \sin \alpha_{1} y
$$

Imposing the boundary conditions in (15.182), we find that

$$
c_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{1}=-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}
$$

Hence,
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$$
\Phi_{1}=-\frac{\zeta_{1} \cos \alpha_{1} y}{\alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}
$$

Similarly, the solution of $\mathbf{( 1 5 . 1 8 3 )}$ can be found to be

$$
\Phi_{2}=-\frac{\zeta_{2} \cos \alpha_{2} y}{\alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=-\frac{\zeta_{1} \cos \alpha_{1} y}{\alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}} e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}-\frac{\zeta_{2} \cos \alpha_{2} y}{\alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}} e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x} \tag{15.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.177) and (15.184) into (15.157), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \cos n \pi y e^{i k_{n} x}-\epsilon\left[\frac{\zeta_{1} \cos \alpha_{1} y}{\alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}} e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\zeta_{2} \cos \alpha_{2} y}{\alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}} e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x}\right]+\cdots \tag{15.185}
\end{align*}
$$

Inspection of the expansion (15.185) shows that it breaks down if $\sin \alpha_{1}=O(\epsilon)$ or $\sin \alpha_{2}=O(\epsilon)$. If either $\sin \alpha_{1}$ or $\sin \alpha_{2}=0$, the second term tends to infinity. When $\sin \alpha_{l}=O(\epsilon)$, a small-divisor term appears, making the expansion nonuniform. Since $\sin \alpha_{l}=0$ implies that $\alpha_{l}=m \pi, m=0,1,2, \ldots$, the straightforward expansion breaks down when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}-\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right)^{2} \approx m^{2} \pi^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \omega^{2}-\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right)^{2} \approx m^{2} \pi^{2} \tag{15.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (15.181). But $\omega^{2}-m^{2} \pi^{2}=k_{m}^{2}$ according to (15.175); hence, (15.186) can be expressed as

$$
\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right)^{2} \approx k_{m}^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right)^{2} \approx k_{m}^{2}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{w} \approx \pm k_{n} \pm k_{m} \tag{15.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the straightforward expansion breaks down whenever the wavenumber of the wall undulations is approximately equal to the sum or difference of the wavenumbers $k_{m}$ and $k_{n}$ of two propagating modes, that is, whenever a combination resonance exists.
To determine an expansion valid when $k_{w} \approx k_{n}-k_{m}$, we introduce a detuning parameter $\sigma$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{w}=k_{n}-k_{m}+\epsilon \sigma \tag{15.188}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we use the method of multiple scales and seek the expansion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y ; \epsilon)=\phi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y ; \epsilon\right)=\phi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y\right)+\epsilon \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y\right)+\cdots \tag{15.189}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}=x$ and $x_{1}=e x$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+e \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}+2 e \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{0} \partial x_{1}}+\cdots \tag{15.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.190) and (15.189) into (15.154), (15.155), and (15.158) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}+\omega^{2} \phi_{0}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0 \quad \frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 \tag{15.191}
\end{gather*}
$$

Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\omega^{2} \phi_{1}=-2 \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial x_{0} \partial x_{1}}  \tag{15.192}\\
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0  \tag{15.193}\\
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial y^{2}} \sin k_{w} x_{0}+k_{w} \frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial x_{0}} \cos k_{w} x_{0} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 \tag{15.194}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sin k_{w} x$ and $\cos k_{w} x$ are expressed in terms of $x_{0}$, implying that $k_{w}$ is assumed to be away from zero.

The solution of (15.191) can be obtained by separating variables as done above. However, instead of making $\phi_{0}$ contain only one mode, we make $\phi_{0}$ contain the two interacting modes, namely the $m$ th and $n$th modes, and hence, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=A_{n}\left(x_{1}\right) \cos n \pi y e^{i k_{n} x_{0}}+A_{m}\left(x_{1}\right) \cos m \pi y e^{i k_{m} x_{0}} \tag{15.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{m}$ and $k_{n}$ are defined by (15.175) and $A_{n}$ and $A_{m}$ are determined by imposing the solvability conditions at the next level of approximation. Substituting (15.195) into (15.192) and (15.194), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}=\zeta_{1 n} A_{n} e^{i\left(k_{n}+k_{w}\right) x_{0}+\zeta_{2 n} A_{n} e^{i\left(k_{n}-k_{w}\right) x_{0}}} \tag{15.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\zeta_{1 m} A_{m} e^{i\left(k_{m}+k_{w}\right) x_{0}}+\zeta_{2 m} A_{m} e^{i\left(k_{m}-k_{w}\right) x_{0}} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 \tag{15.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{1 n}$ and $\zeta_{2 n}$ are defined by (15.179) and $\zeta_{1 m}$ and $\zeta_{2 m}$ are also defined by ( 15.179 ) if $n$ is replaced by $m$.

To determine the solvability conditions for the first-order problem, we first substitute (15.188) into (15.197) to convert any small-divisor terms into secular terms and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}= & \zeta_{1 m} A_{n} e^{i\left(2 k_{n}-k_{m}+\epsilon \sigma\right) x_{0}}+\zeta_{2 n} A_{n} e^{i\left(k_{m}-\epsilon \sigma\right) x_{0}}+\zeta_{1 m} A_{m} e^{i\left(k_{n}+\epsilon \sigma\right) x_{0}} \\
& +\zeta_{2 m} A_{m} e^{i\left(2 k_{m}-k_{n}-\epsilon \sigma\right) x_{0}} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}= & \zeta_{1 n} A_{n} e^{i \sigma x_{1}} e^{i\left(2 k_{n}-k_{m}\right) x_{0}}+\zeta_{2 n} A_{n} e^{-i \sigma x_{1}} e^{i k_{m} x_{0}}+\zeta_{1 m} A_{m} e^{i \sigma x_{1}} e^{i k_{n} x_{0}} \\
& +\zeta_{2 m} A_{m} e^{-i \sigma x_{1}} e^{i\left(2 k_{m}-k_{n}\right) x_{0}} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 \tag{15.198}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that only the terms proportional to $\exp \left(i k_{m} x_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(i k_{n} x_{0}\right)$ in (15.196) and (15.198) may lead to inconsistencies or incompatabilities and solvability conditions must be imposed on them. These solvability conditions can be obtained by seeking a particular solution corresponding to these terms in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\Phi_{n}\left(x_{1}, y\right) e^{i k_{n} x_{0}}+\Phi_{m}\left(x_{1}, y\right) e^{i k_{m} x_{0}} \tag{15.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.199) into (15.196), (15.193), and (15.198) and equating the coefficients of $\exp \left(i k_{n} x_{0}\right)$ and $\exp \left(i k_{m} x_{0}\right)$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{n}}{\partial y^{2}}+n^{2} \pi^{2} \Phi_{n}=-2 i k_{n} A_{n}^{\prime} \cos n \pi y  \tag{15.200}\\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{n}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0 \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{n}}{\partial y}=\zeta_{1 m} A_{m} e^{i o x_{1}} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{m}}{\partial y^{2}}+m^{2} \pi^{2} \Phi_{m}=-2 i k_{m} A_{m}^{\prime} \cos m \pi y  \tag{15.201}\\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{m}}{\partial y}=0
\end{gather*} \quad \text { at } \quad y=0 \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{m}}{\partial y}=\zeta_{2 n} A_{n} e^{-l o x_{1}} \quad \text { at } \quad y=1 .
$$

where use has been made of (15.175). Thus, determining the solvability conditions for $\phi_{1}$ has been transformed into determining the solvability conditions for $\Phi_{n}$ and $\Phi_{m}$.
The equation in (15.200) is self-adjoint because $p_{2}=1$ and $p_{1}=0$. The solution $u$ of the adjoint problem can be taken as $\cos n \pi y$. Multiplying the equation
in (15.200) by $u(y)$ and integrating the result by parts from $y=0$ to $y=1$ to transfer the derivatives from $\Phi_{n}$ to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} \pi^{2} u\right) \Phi_{n} d y+\left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{n}}{\partial y} u-\Phi_{n} u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{1}=-2 i k_{n} A_{n}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} u \cos n \pi y d y \tag{15.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u=\cos n \pi y$, (15.202) simplifies to

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \Phi_{n}}{\partial y} \cos n \pi y\right|_{0} ^{1}=-i \delta k_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
\delta=1 \quad \text { if } \quad n \geqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=2 \text { if } n=0
$$

Using the boundary conditions in (15.200), we have

$$
(-1)^{n} \zeta_{1 m} A_{m} e^{i \sigma x_{1}}=-i \delta k_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\prime}=(-1)^{n} i \zeta_{1 m} k_{n}^{-1} \delta^{-1} A_{m} e^{i \sigma x_{1}} \tag{15.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $m \neq 0$, the solvability condition for (15.201) can be found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}^{\prime}=(-1)^{m} i \zeta_{2 n} k_{m}^{-1} A_{n} e^{-i a x_{1}} \tag{15.204}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=a_{n} e^{i \gamma_{1} x_{1}} \quad A_{m}=a_{m} e^{i \gamma_{2} x_{1}} \tag{15.205}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}, a_{m}, \gamma_{1}$, and $\gamma_{2}$ are constants, then it follows from (15.204) and (15.205) that

$$
\begin{align*}
i \gamma_{1} a_{n} & =(-1)^{n} i \zeta_{1 m} k_{n}^{-1} \delta^{-1} a_{m}  \tag{15.206}\\
i \gamma_{2} a_{m} & =(-1)^{m} i \zeta_{2 n} k_{m}^{-1} a_{n}  \tag{15.207}\\
\gamma_{2} & =\gamma_{1}-\sigma \tag{15.208}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $\gamma_{2}$ and $a_{m}$ from (15.206) through (15.208) yields

$$
\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}-\sigma\right)=(-1)^{n+m}\left(k_{n} k_{m} \delta\right)^{-1} \zeta_{1 m} \zeta_{2 n} .
$$

or

$$
\gamma_{1}^{2}-\dot{\sigma} \gamma_{1}-(-1)^{n+m}\left(k_{n} k_{m} \delta\right)^{-1} \zeta_{1 m} \zeta_{2 n}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma \mp\left[\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{2}+(-1)^{n+m}\left(k_{n} k_{m} \delta\right)^{-1} \zeta_{1 m} \zeta_{2 n}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{15.209}
\end{equation*}
$$
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which when substituted into (15.205) defines the amplitudes of the two interacting modes.

### 15.9. Vibrations of Nearly Circular Membranes

We consider the linear vibrations of nearly circular membranes. In dimensionless quantities, the mathematical statement of the problem is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{15.210}\\
w<\infty \quad \text { at } \quad r=0  \tag{15.211}\\
w=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=1+\epsilon f(\theta) \tag{15.212}
\end{gather*}
$$

where 1 is the mean radius so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\theta) d \theta=0 \tag{15.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

For time harmonic variations, we let

$$
w(r, \theta, t)=\phi(r, \theta) \cos (\omega t+\tau)
$$

where $\omega$ is the dimensionless frequency. Substituting (15.214) into (15.210) through (15.212), we separate the time variations and obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\omega^{2} \phi=0  \tag{15.215}\\
\phi<\infty \quad \text { at } \quad r=0  \tag{15.216}\\
\phi=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=1+\epsilon f(\theta) \tag{15.217}
\end{gather*}
$$

As in the preceding section, we need to transfer the boundary condition (15.217) from $r=1+\epsilon f(\theta)$ to $r=1$ by using a Taylor-series expansion. Thus, we rewrite (15.217) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(1, \theta)+\epsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(1, \theta) f(\theta)+\cdots=0 \tag{15.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine a uniform first-order expansion for $\phi$, we use the method of strained parameters and expand both $\phi$ and $\omega$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi & =\phi_{0}(r, \theta)+\epsilon \phi_{1}(r, \theta)+\cdots  \tag{15.219}\\
\omega & =\omega_{0}+\epsilon \omega_{1}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (15.219) into (15.215), (15.216), and (15.218) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{0}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\omega_{0}^{2} \phi_{0}=0  \tag{15.220}\\
\phi_{0}(0, \theta)<\infty  \tag{15.221}\\
\phi_{0}(1, \theta)=0 \tag{15.222}
\end{gather*}
$$

Order $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\omega_{0}^{2} \phi_{1}=-2 \omega_{0} \omega_{1} \phi_{0}  \tag{15.223}\\
\phi_{1}(0, \theta)<\infty  \tag{15.224}\\
\phi_{1}(1, \theta)=-\frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial r}(1, \theta) f(\theta) \tag{15.225}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of the zeroth-order problem can be obtained by separating the variables. Thus, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=R(r) \Theta(\theta) \tag{15.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (15.220) and obtain

$$
R^{\prime \prime} \Theta+\frac{1}{r} R^{\prime} \Theta+\frac{1}{r^{2}} R \Theta^{\prime \prime}+\omega_{0}^{2} R \Theta=0
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{1}{R}\left(r^{2} R^{\prime \prime}+r R^{\prime}+r^{2} \omega_{0}^{2} R\right)+\frac{\Theta^{\prime \prime}}{\Theta}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\Theta^{\prime \prime}}{\Theta}=-\beta \quad \frac{1}{R}\left(r^{2} R^{\prime \prime}+r R^{\prime}+\omega_{0}^{2} r^{2} R\right)=\beta
$$

The solution for $\Theta$ is

$$
\Theta=c_{1} \cos \sqrt{\beta} \theta+c_{2} \sin \sqrt{\beta} \theta
$$

In order that $\Theta$, and hence, $\phi_{0}$ be single-valued functions, $\sqrt{\beta}=n$ where $n$ is an integer. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\tilde{c_{1}} \cos n \theta+\tilde{c_{2}} \sin n \theta \tag{15.227}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation governing $R$ becomes

$$
r^{2} R^{\prime \prime}+r R^{\prime}+\left(\omega_{0}^{2} r^{2}-n^{2}\right) R=0
$$

which is a Bessel's equation of order $n$ whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=c_{3} J_{n}\left(\omega_{0} r\right)+c_{4} Y_{n}\left(\omega_{0} r\right) \tag{15.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.226) into (15.221) and (15.222), we find that $R(0)<\infty$ and $R(1)=0$. Since $Y_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ (see Section 13.3), the boundary condition $R(0)<\infty$ demands that $c_{4}=0$. Then, the boundary condition $R(1)=0$ demands that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}\left(\omega_{0}\right)=0 \tag{15.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\Omega_{n m} \tag{15.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\Omega_{n m}$ are the roots of $J_{n}(\Omega)=0$. Therefore,

$$
\phi_{0}=J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left[c_{1} \cos n \theta+c_{2} \sin n \theta\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left(A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right) \tag{15.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $A_{n m}$ are complex constants. The solution for a given $n$ and $m$ is called the $n m$ th mode and the total solution involves summation of the contributions for all modes. Here, we determine the effect of the deviation from a circular geometry on the frequency $\Omega_{n m}$ of the $n m$ th mode.
We note that, for a given frequency $\Omega_{n m}$, there are two possible modes of oscillation, namely

$$
J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) \cos n \theta \quad \text { and } \quad J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) \sin n \theta
$$

Consequently, we speak of the circular membrane as a degenerate system because there are more than one eigenfunction (mode shape) corresponding to a given eigenvalue (frequency). The degeneracy is a result of the symmetry and it can be removed by introducing asymmetries as shown below.
Substituting (15.231) into (15.223) and (15.225) and setting $\omega_{0}=\Omega_{n m}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\Omega_{n m}^{2} \phi_{1}=-2 \Omega_{n m} \omega_{1} J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left(A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(1, \theta)=-\Omega_{n m} J_{n}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) f(\theta)\left[A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right] \tag{15.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed further, wo expand $f(\theta)$ in a Fourier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta)=\sum_{-\infty}^{-} f_{q} e^{i q \theta} \quad f_{q}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\theta) e^{-i q \theta} d \theta \tag{15.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}=0$ on account of (15.213). We separate the $\theta$ variations from $\phi_{1}$ by
expanding it in a Fourier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{k}(r) e^{i k \theta} \tag{15.235}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.235) into (15.232), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k}\left[\Phi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}+\left(\Omega_{n m^{-}}^{2}-\frac{k^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)\right. & \left.\Phi_{k}\right] e^{i k \theta} \\
& =-2 \Omega_{n m} \omega_{1} J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left[A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which, upon multiplying by $\exp (-i s \theta)$ and integrating from $\theta=0$ to $\theta=2 \pi$, yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} \Phi_{n}^{\prime}+\left(\Omega_{n m}^{2}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \Phi_{n}=-2 \Omega_{n m} \omega_{1} A_{n m} J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)  \tag{15.236}\\
\Phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} \Phi_{s}^{\prime}+\left(\Omega_{n m}^{2}-\frac{s^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \Phi_{s}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad s \neq n \tag{15.237}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (15.234) and (15.235) into (15.233), we have

$$
\sum_{k} \Phi_{k}(1) e^{i k \theta}=-\Omega_{n m} J_{n}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) \sum_{q}\left[A_{n m} f_{q} e^{i(q+n) \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} f_{q} e^{i(q-n) \theta}\right]
$$

which, upon multiplying by $\exp (-i s \theta)$ and integrating from $\theta=0$ to $\theta=2 \pi$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}(1)=-\Omega_{n m} J_{n}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right)\left[A_{n m} f_{s-n}+\bar{A}_{n m} f_{s+n}\right] \tag{15.238}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.235) into (15.224), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}(0)<\infty \tag{15.239}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $s \neq \pm n$, one can uniquely solve (15.237) through (15.239) for $\Phi_{s}$ because the homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution. Since we are stopping at this order, we need not solve for $\Phi_{s}$. When $s=n$, the homogeneous problem (15.236), (15.238), and (15.239) has a nontrivial solution, and hence the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if a solvability condition is satisfied. To determine this solvability condition, we first multiply (15.236) by $r$ to make it self-adjoint. The result can be rewritten in the self-adjoint form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r \Phi_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(s \Omega_{n m}^{2} r \frac{n^{2}}{r}\right) \Phi_{n}=-2 s_{n m} \omega_{1} A_{n m} r J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) \tag{15.240}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, putting $s=n$ in (15.238), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(1)=-\Omega_{n m} f_{2 n} J_{n}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) \bar{A}_{n m} \tag{15.241}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 430 SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS

because $f_{0}=0$ according to (15.213). We note that ( 15.240 ) has a regular singular point at the origin and that the boundary condition (15.239) is a boundedness rather than a definite condition. Hence, we present the details of determining the adjoint and the solvability condition.
Multiplying (15.240) by $u(r)$ and integrating the result by parts from $r=0$ to $r=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{n}\left[\left(r u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\Omega_{n m}^{2} r-\frac{n^{2}}{r}\right) u\right] & d r+\left[r u \Phi_{n}^{\prime}-r u^{\prime} \Phi_{n}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& =-2 \Omega_{n m} \omega_{1} A_{n m} \int_{0}^{1} r u_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) d r \tag{15.242}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the adjoint, we first consider the homogeneous problem. As before, we set the coefficient of $\Phi_{n}$ in the integrand on the left-hand side of ( 15.242 ) equal to zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\Omega_{n m}^{2} r-\frac{n^{2}}{r}\right) u=0 \tag{15.243}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for the homogeneous case, $(15.242)$ becomes

$$
\left[r u \Phi_{n}^{\prime}-r u^{\prime} \Phi_{n}\right]_{0}^{1}=0
$$

But for the homogeneous problem, $\Phi_{n}(1)=0$ and $\Phi_{n}(0)<\infty$; hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1) \Phi_{n}^{\prime}(1)-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[r u \Phi_{n}^{\prime}-r u^{\prime} \Phi_{n}\right]=0 \tag{15.244}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that the two terms in (15.244) vanish independently, that is,

$$
u(1)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[r u \Phi_{n}^{\prime}-r u^{\prime} \Phi_{n}\right]=0
$$

The second condition is satisfied if $u(0)<\infty$. Hence, the boundary conditions on $u$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad u(0)<\infty \tag{15.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the problem is self-adjoint and the solution of the adjoint problem can be taken to be $u=J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)$.

Returning to the inhomogeneous problem, we obtain from (15.242) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n m}^{2} J_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) f_{2 n} \bar{A}_{n m}=-2 \Omega_{n m} \omega_{1} A_{n m} \int_{0}^{1} r J_{n}^{2}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) d r \tag{15.246}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} r J_{n}^{2}(\alpha r) d r=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} J_{n}^{\prime 2}(\alpha r)+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\left(1-\frac{n^{2}}{\alpha^{2} r^{2}}\right) J_{n}^{2}(\alpha r) \tag{15.247}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\alpha=\Omega_{n m}$ and using the fact that $J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right)=0$, we obtain from (15.247) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} r J_{n}^{2}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) d r=\frac{1}{2} J_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) \tag{15.248}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15.248) in (15.246) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n m} f_{2 n} \bar{A}_{n m}=-\omega_{1} A_{n m} \tag{15.249}
\end{equation*}
$$

To analyze (15.249), we express $A_{n m}$ and $f_{2 n}$ in polar form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n m}=\frac{1}{2} a_{n m} e^{i \beta_{n m}} \quad f_{2 n}=F_{2 n} e^{i \nu_{2 n}} \tag{15.250}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=-\Omega_{n m} F_{2 n} e^{i\left(\nu_{2 n}-2 \beta_{n m}\right)} \tag{15.251}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega_{1}$ is real, it follows from ( 15.251 ) that

$$
\nu_{2 n}-2 \beta_{n m}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \pi
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n m}=\frac{1}{2} \nu_{2 n} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\nu_{2 n}-\pi\right) \tag{15.252}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows from (15.251) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=-\Omega_{n m} F_{2 n} \quad \text { or } \quad \Omega_{n m} F_{2 n} \tag{15.253}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.250), (15.252), and (15.253) into (15.219), (15.231), and (15.214) and noting that $\omega_{0}=\Omega_{n m}$, we obtain to the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& w^{(1)}=a_{n m} J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) \cos \left(n \theta+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{2 n}\right) \cos \left(\omega^{(1)} t+\tau\right)+\cdots  \tag{15.254}\\
& w^{(2)}=a_{n m} J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) \sin \left(n \theta+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{2 n}\right) \cos \left(\omega^{(2)} t+\tau\right)+\cdots \tag{15.255}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{(1)}=\Omega_{n m}\left(1-\epsilon F_{2 n}\right)+\cdots  \tag{15.256}\\
& \omega^{(2)}=\Omega_{n m}\left(1+\epsilon F_{2 n}\right)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

We note that, in the circular case, $\beta_{n m}$ is arbitrary, and hence, there are two eigenfunctions $\sin n \theta$ and $\cos n \theta$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\Omega_{n m}$. However, in the near circular case, there are two different eigenfunctions corresponding to the two different eigenvalue $\omega^{(1)}$ and $\omega^{(2)}$ if $F_{2 n} \neq 0$. If $F_{2 n}=0$, one needs to continue the expansion to higher order in order that the degeneracy may be removed.
15.10. A Fourth-Order Differential System

We consider in this section the adjoint and solvability conditions for problems consisting of linear inhomogeneous fourth-order ordinary-differential equations and inhomogeneous boundary conditions. Thus, we consider

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{4}(x) \phi^{i v}+p_{3}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) \phi^{\prime}+p_{0}(x) \phi=f(x)  \tag{15.257}\\
\phi(0)=\beta_{1} \quad \phi^{\prime}(0)=\beta_{2} \quad \phi(1)=\beta_{3} \quad \phi^{\prime}(1)=\beta_{4} \tag{15.258}
\end{gather*}
$$

A system consisting of a fourth-order differential equation and general mixed boundary conditions is discussed in the next section, whereas a fourth-order eigenvalue problem is discussed in Section 15.12.

To determine the solvability conditions for (15.257) and (15.258), we multiply (15.257) by the adjoint $u(x)$, which is specified below, integrate the result term by term from $x=0$ to $x=1$ (i.e., the interval of interest where the boundary conditions are enforced), and obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{1} p_{4} u \phi^{i v} d x+\int_{0}^{1} p_{3} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime} d x+\int_{0}^{1} p_{2} u \phi^{\prime \prime} d x+\int_{0}^{1} p_{1} u \phi^{\prime} d x+\int_{0}^{1} p_{0} u \phi d x \\
=\int_{0}^{1} u f d x
\end{array}
$$

Next, we integrate by parts the integrals in (15.259) to transfer the derivatives from $\phi$ to $u$. To this end, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} p_{4} u \phi^{i v} d x= & \left.p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime} d x=\left[p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime \prime} d x=\left[p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{1} \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi^{\prime} d x=\left[p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi\right]_{0}^{1}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{4} u\right)^{i v} \phi d x \\
\int_{0}^{1} p_{3} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime} d x= & {\left[p_{3} u \phi^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime} \phi\right]_{0}^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi d x } \\
\int_{0}^{1} p_{2} u \phi^{\prime \prime} d x= & {\left[p_{2} u \phi^{\prime}-\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime} \phi\right]_{0}^{1}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime} \phi d x }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p_{1} u \phi^{\prime} d x=\left.p_{1} u \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime} \phi d x
$$

With these expressions, we can rewrite $(15.259)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} \phi\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{i v}-\right. & \left.\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u\right] d x \\
& +\left\{p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{3} u\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{2} u\right] \phi^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{1} u\right] \phi\right\}_{0}^{1}=\int_{0}^{1} f u d x(15.26 \tag{15.260}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, the differential equation describing the adjoint $u$ is obtained by setting the coefficient of $\phi$ in the integrand on the left-hand side of (15.260) equal to zero. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{4} u\right)^{i v}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u=0 \tag{15.261}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the adjoint homogeneous differential equation to (15.257).
In order that (15.257) be self-adjoint, ( 15.261 ) must be the same as the homogeneous equation (15.257). Expanding the derivatives in (15.261) and rearrang. ing, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{4} u^{i v}+\left(4 p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3}\right) u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(6 p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-3 p_{3}^{\prime}\right. & \left.+p_{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime}+\left(4 p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 p_{3}^{\prime \prime}+2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& +\left(p_{4}^{i v}-p_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}+p_{0}\right) u=0 \tag{15.262}
\end{align*}
$$

In order that (15.262) be the same as the homogeneous equation (15.257),

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3}=p_{3} \quad 6 p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-3 p_{3}^{\prime}+p_{2}=p_{2} \\
4 p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 p_{3}^{\prime \prime}+2 p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}=p_{1} \quad p_{4}^{i v}-p_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}-p_{1}^{\prime}+p_{0}=p_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
p_{3}=2 p_{4}^{\prime} \quad p_{3}^{\prime}=2 p_{4}^{\prime \prime} \quad p_{1}=2 p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{3}{2} p_{3}^{\prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}=-p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Then, (15.257) becomes

$$
p_{4} \phi^{i v}+2 p_{4}^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \phi^{\prime}+p_{0} \phi=0
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(p_{4} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d x^{2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d x}\left[\left(p_{2}-p_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{d \phi}{d x}\right]+p_{0} \phi=0 \tag{15.26.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, any fourth-order self-adjoint homogeneous differential equation can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(A_{2} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d x^{2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d x}\left(A_{1} \frac{d \phi}{d x}\right)+A_{0} \phi=0 \tag{15.264}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, whereas a cecond-order differential equation can be multiplied by a factor to make it self-adjoint as in (15.84), a differential equation of order higher than two cannot always be made self-adjoint.
To determine the boundary conditions needed to specify $u$, we consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $f=0$ and $\beta_{n}=0$ ). Thus, using (15.261), we obtain from ( 15.260 ) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{3} u\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{2} u\right] \phi^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{1} u\right] \phi\right\}_{0}^{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

But for the homogeneous problem, $\phi(0)=\phi^{\prime}(0)=\phi(1)=\phi^{\prime}(1)=0$; hence

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.p_{4} u\right|_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)-\left.\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{3} u\right]\right|_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(1)-\left.p_{4} u\right|_{0} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \\
&+\left.\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{3} u\right]\right|_{0} \phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0 \tag{15.265}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $\phi^{\prime \prime}(0), \phi^{\prime \prime}(1), \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)$, and $\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)$ in (15.265) vanish independently. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=0 \quad u(1)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{15.266}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the adjoint problem is defined by (15.261) subject to the boundary conditions (15.266).
To determine the solvability conditions, we return to (15.260), use the definition of $u$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p_{4} u^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}-\left(p_{4} u^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 p_{4}^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-p_{3} u^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi\right]_{0}^{1}=\int_{0}^{1} f u d x \tag{15.267}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15.258), we rewrite (15.267) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left(p_{4} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{4}-p_{4} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \beta_{3}-3 p_{4}^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}+p_{3} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}\right)\right|_{1}-\left(p_{4} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{2}-p_{4} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \beta_{1}\right. \\
\left.-3 p_{4}^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}+p_{3} u^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}\right)\left.\right|_{0}=\int_{0}^{1} f u d x \tag{15.268}
\end{align*}
$$

For every nontrivial solution $u$ of the adjoint homogeneous problem, (15.268) provides a solvability condition. Clearly, if the adjoint homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution, $(15.268)$ is satisfied identically for all values of $\beta_{n}$ and $f(x)$. Next, we apply the above results to two examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

Working with the streamfunction and using the method of multiple scales to determine the stability of nonparallel flows over a flat surface, one obtains the
inhomogeneous Orr-Sommerfeld problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}-k^{2}\right)^{2} \phi-i R(U k-\omega)\left(\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d y^{2}}-k^{2} \phi\right)+i k R \frac{d^{2} U}{d y^{2}} \phi=f(y)  \tag{15.269}\\
\phi(0)=0 \quad \frac{d \phi}{d y}(0)=0 \\
\phi, \frac{d \phi}{d y} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty \tag{15.270}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R, k$, and $\omega$ are independent of $y$, and $U$ and $f$ are known functions of $y$. Moreover, the homogeneous problem is an eigenvalue problem having a nontrivial solution. Hence, the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if a solvability condition is satisfied.

Expanding the derivatives, we rewrite (15.269) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{i U}-\left(2 k^{2}+i k R U-i \omega R\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left(k^{4}+i k^{3} R U-i \omega k^{2} R+i k R U^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi=f \tag{15.271}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{4}=1 \quad p_{3}=0 \quad p_{2}=-\left(2 k^{2}+i k R U-i \omega R\right) \quad p_{1}=0 \\
p_{0}=k^{4}+i k^{3} R U-i \omega k^{2} R+i k R U^{\prime \prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, it follows from (15.261) that the adjoint homogeneous equation is

$$
u^{i v}-\left[\left(2 k^{2}+i k R U-i \omega R\right) u\right]^{\prime \prime}+\left(k^{4}+i k^{3} R U-i \omega k^{2} R+i k R U^{\prime \prime}\right) u=0
$$

or

$$
u^{i v}-\left(2 k^{2}+i k R U-i \omega R\right) u^{\prime \prime}-2 i k R U^{\prime} u^{\prime}+\left(k^{4}+i k^{3} R U-i \omega k^{2} R\right) u=0
$$

which can be rearranged to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}-k^{2}\right)^{2} u-i R(k U-\omega)\left(\frac{d^{2} u}{d y^{2}}-k^{2} u\right)-2 i k R \frac{d U}{d y} \frac{d u}{d y}=0 \tag{15.272}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions on $u$ are

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
u(0)=0 & u^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{15.273}\\
u, u^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

Having defined the adjoint $u$, we substitute the boundary conditions (15.270) into (15.268) and take the upper limit to be $\infty$ instead of 1 . Then, the solvability condition reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f u d y=0 \tag{15.274}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE 2

The analysis of the vibration of nearly annular plates with clamped edges by using the method of strained parameters leads to the following inhomogeneous problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2}-\omega_{n m}^{2}\right] \phi=f(r)}  \tag{15.275}\\
\phi(a)=\beta_{1} \quad \phi^{\prime}(a)=\beta_{2} \quad \phi(b)=\beta_{3} \quad \phi^{\prime}(b)=\beta_{4} \tag{15.276}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b>a$ and the $\beta_{n}, n$, and $\omega_{n m}$ are constants. The homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution so that the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if a solvability condition is satisfied.

Instead of expanding the operator in (15.275) and applying the solvability condition developed in this section, we show that it is more convenient to work directly with ( 15.275 ) because it can be made self-adjoint by its multiplication with the factor $r$. Thus, we multiply (15.275) with $r u(r)$, where $u(r)$ is a solution of the adjoint homogeneous problem to be specified below, integrate the result from $r=a$ to $r=b$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} r u\left[\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2}-\omega_{n m}^{2}\right] \phi d r=\int_{a}^{b} r u f d r \tag{15.277}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}} \phi=\psi \tag{15.278}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b} r u\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \psi d r= & \int_{a}^{b}\left[u \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \psi}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2} u \psi}{r}\right] d r \\
= & {\left[r u \frac{d \psi}{d r}-r \frac{d u}{d r} \psi\right]_{a}^{b} } \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \psi\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d u}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2} u}{r}\right] d r  \tag{15.279}\\
\int_{a}^{b} \psi\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d u}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2} u}{r}\right] d r= & \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \phi}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{r} \phi\right]\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d u}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}} u\right] d r
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \phi}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{r} \phi\right] \chi d r \\
= & {\left[r \frac{d \phi}{d r} \chi-r \phi \frac{d \chi}{d r}\right]_{a}^{b} } \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \phi\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \chi}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{r} \chi\right] d r \tag{15.280}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d u}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2} u}{r^{2}}=\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) u \tag{15.281}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.281) into (15.280), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b} \psi\left[\frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d u}{d r}\right)-\frac{n^{2} u}{r}\right] d r= & {\left[r \frac{d \phi}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}-\frac{n^{2} u}{r^{2}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-r \phi \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}-\frac{n^{2} u}{r^{2}}\right)\right]_{a}^{b} \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} r \phi\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2} u d r \tag{15.282}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (15.282) into (15.279) and then substituting the result into (15.277), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{a}^{b} r \phi\left[\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2}-\omega_{n m}^{2}\right] u d r+\left[r u \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}} \phi\right)\right. \\
-r \frac{d u}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi}{d r}-\frac{n^{2} \phi}{r^{2}}\right)+r \frac{d \phi}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}-\frac{n^{2} u}{r^{2}}\right) \\
 \tag{15.283}\\
\left.-r \phi \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}-\frac{n^{2} u}{r^{2}}\right)\right]_{a}^{b}=\int_{a}^{b} r u f d r
\end{array}
$$

To specify the adjoint $u$, we consider first the homogeneous problem for which $f=0$ and $\beta_{n}=0$. Then, setting the coefficient of $\phi$ in the integrand in (15.283) equal to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2}-\omega_{n m}^{2}\right] u=0 \tag{15.284}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to the homogeneous equation (15.275), and hence, it is self-
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adjoint. Putting the $\beta_{n}=0$ in (15.276) and substituting the result into (15.283) with $f=0$, we have

$$
\left[r u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+u \phi^{\prime \prime}-r u^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}\right]_{a}^{b}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b u(b) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)+\left[u(b)-b u^{\prime}(b)\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}(b)-a u(a) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)-\left[u(a)-a u^{\prime}(a)\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}(a)=0 \tag{15.285}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $\phi^{\prime \prime}(a), \phi^{\prime \prime}(b), \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)$, and $\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)$ in (15.285) vanish independently, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u(b)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(a)=u^{\prime}(b)=0 \tag{15.286}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15.284) and (15.286) with (15.275) and (15.276), we conclude that the homogeneous problem is self-adjoint.
Having defined the adjoint homogeneous problem, we return to the inhomogeneous problem to determine the solvability condition. Substituting (15.284), (15.286), and (15.276) into (15.283), we obtain the solvability condition.
$b \beta_{4} u^{\prime \prime}(b)-b \beta_{3} u^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)-\beta_{3} u^{\prime \prime}(b)-a \beta_{2} u^{\prime \prime}(a)+a \beta_{1} u^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)+\beta_{1} u^{\prime \prime}(a)=\int_{a}^{b} r u f d r$

### 15.11. General Fourth-Order Differential Systems

In this section, we consider the solvability condition of

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{4}(x) \phi^{i v}+p_{3}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) \phi^{\prime}+p_{0} \phi=f(x) \quad a \leqslant x \leqslant b  \tag{15.288}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{8} \alpha_{i j} \phi_{j}=\beta_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2,3, \text { and } 4 \tag{15.289}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $\phi_{j}$ are the components $\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(a), \phi^{\prime \prime}(a), \phi^{\prime}(a), \phi(a), \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(b), \phi^{\prime \prime}(b), \phi^{\prime}(b)$, and $\phi(b)$ of the column vector $\phi_{b}$. We assume that the boundary conditions in (15.289) are linearly independent, that is, there exists at least one $4 \times 4$ nonsingular submatrix of $\left[\alpha_{i j}\right]$.

To determine the adjoint problem, we denote the operator on the left-hand side of $(15.288)$ by $L$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\phi)=p_{4} \phi^{i v}+p_{3} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+p_{1} \phi^{\prime}+p_{0} \phi \quad a \leqslant x \leqslant b \tag{15.290}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{4}^{i v}, p_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}, p_{2}^{\prime \prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}$, and $p_{0}$ are continuous over the interval $[a, b]$. If $\phi(x)$
and $u(x)$ are any two functions possessing four continuous derivatives over $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u L(\phi) d x=\int_{a}^{b}\left[p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime}+p_{3} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2} u \phi^{\prime \prime}+p_{1} u \phi^{\prime}+p_{0} u \phi\right] d x \tag{15.291}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (15.291) by parts to transfer the derivatives from $\phi$ to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b} u L(\phi) d x= & \int_{a}^{b} \phi\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{i v}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u\right] d x \\
& +\left\{p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{3} u\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{2} u\right] \phi^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\left(p_{4} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime}-p_{1} u\right] \phi\right\}_{a}^{b} \tag{15.292}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote the operator in the integrand on the right-hand side of $(15.292)$ by $L^{*}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=\left(p_{4} u\right)^{i v}-\left(p_{3} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(p_{2} u\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(p_{1} u\right)^{\prime}+p_{0} u \tag{15.293}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (15.292) can be rewritten as the following Green's identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(\phi)-\phi L^{*}(u)\right] d x= & \left\{p_{4} u \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[p_{4} u^{\prime}+\left(p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3}\right) u\right] \phi^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& +\left[p_{4} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3}\right) u^{\prime}+\left(p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-p_{3}^{\prime}+p_{2}\right) u\right] \phi^{\prime} \\
& -\left[p_{4} u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(3 p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3}\right) u^{\prime \prime}+\left(3 p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-2 p_{3}^{\prime}+p_{2}\right) u^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right) u\right] \phi\right\}_{a}^{b} \tag{15.294}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $L^{*}$ is called the adjoint operator corresponding to the operator $L$. One can easily verify that $L$ is adjoint to $L^{*}$ so that $L$ and $L^{*}$ are adjoint to each other. As in the preceding section, we call the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=0 \tag{15.295}
\end{equation*}
$$

the adjoint of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\phi)=0 \tag{15.296}
\end{equation*}
$$

and vice versa. If $L=L^{*}$, we say that the operator $L$ is self-adjoint, and the differential equation $L(\phi)=0$ is self-adjoint. Comparing (15.290) and (15.293), we conclude, as in the preceding section, that $L$ is self-adjoint if and only if

$$
p_{3}=2 p_{4}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{1}=p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

Then, $(15.290)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\phi)=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(p_{4} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d x^{2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d x}\left[\left(p_{2}-p_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{d \phi}{d x}\right]+p_{0} \phi \tag{15.297}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (15.294) is called a bilinear concomitant of $\phi$ and $u$ because for a given $\phi$ it is linear in $u$ and for a given $u$ it is linear in $\phi$. Using vector and matrix notation, we rewrite ( 15.294 ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(\phi)-\phi L^{*}(u)\right] d x=u_{b}^{T} P \phi_{b} \tag{15.298}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{b}$ has been defined earlier, $u_{b}$ is a column vector whose components are $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(a), u^{\prime \prime}(a), u^{\prime}(a), u(a), u^{\prime \prime \prime}(b), u^{\prime \prime}(b), u^{\prime}(b)$, and $u(b)$, and $P$ is defined by

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{a} & 0  \tag{15.299}\\
0 & -\Lambda_{b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\Lambda_{a, b}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & p_{4}  \tag{15.300}\\
0 & 0 & -p_{4} & 3 p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3} \\
0 & p_{4} & p_{3}-2 p_{4}^{\prime} & 3 p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-2 p_{3}^{\prime}+p_{2} \\
-p_{4} & p_{4}^{\prime}-p_{3} & p_{3}^{\prime}-p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-p_{2} & p_{4}^{\prime \prime}-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{x=a, b}
$$

We note that $|P|=\left[p_{4}(a) p_{4}(b)\right]^{4} \neq 0$, and hence, $P$ is a nonsingular matrix.
To determine the adjoint boundary conditions, we transform the right-hand side into a canonical bilinear form. To this end, we introduce a linear nonsingular transformation from $\phi_{b}$ to $\Phi$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\mathbb{Q} \phi_{b} \tag{15.301}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an $8 \times 8$ constant-coefficient matrix whose elements are $\alpha_{i j}$. We choose the first four rows to be the same as those in (15.289). The last four rows are arbitrary, except that they are linearly independent of each other and of the first four rows. As a consequence, for a given nonzero $\phi_{b}$, the last four rows can be chosen to produce any desired nonzero values for $\Phi_{5}, \Phi_{6}, \Phi_{7}$, and $\Phi_{8}$. This fact will be used later to define the adjoint of the above homogeneous system. The transformation ( 15.301 ) can be inverted to yield

$$
\phi_{b}=Q^{-1} \Phi
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u L(\phi)-\phi L^{*}(u)\right] d x=\mathbf{u}_{b}^{T} P Q^{-1} \Phi=\mathbf{U}^{T_{\Phi}} \Phi \tag{15.302}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}^{T}=\mathbf{u}_{b}^{T} P \mathrm{Q}^{-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{U}=\left(\mathbb{Q}^{-1}\right)^{T} P^{T} \mathbf{u}_{b} \tag{15.303}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15.289) and (15.301) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} \alpha_{i j} \phi_{j}=\beta_{i} \quad i=1,2,3,4 \tag{15.304}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the adjoint problem, we consider the homogeneous case (i.e., $\beta_{i}=$ 0 ) and set the left-hand side of ( 15.302 ) equal to zero. The result is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{8} U_{i} \Phi_{i}=0 \quad \Phi_{i}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{5} \Phi_{5}+U_{6} \Phi_{6}+U_{7} \Phi_{7}+U_{8} \Phi_{8}=0 \tag{15.305}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned above, for a given nonzero $\phi_{b}$, the last four rows in $Q$ can be chosen to produce any desired values for $\Phi_{5}, \Phi_{6}, \Phi_{7}$, and $\Phi_{8}$ in (15.301). Thus, we can choose the last four rows in $Q$ so that $\Phi_{5}=1$ and $\Phi_{6}=\Phi_{7}=\Phi_{8}=$ 0 . Then, it follows from (15.305) that $U_{5}=0$. Similailly, we can choose the last four rows in $Q$ so that $\Phi_{6}=1$ and $\Phi_{5}=\Phi_{7}=\Phi_{8}=0$. Then, it follows from (15.305) that $U_{6}=0$. Using similar arguments, we can show that $U_{7}=U_{8}=0$. Therefore, the problem adjoint to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\phi)=0 \quad \Phi_{1}=\Phi_{2}=\Phi_{3}=\Phi_{4}=0 \tag{15.306}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=0 \quad U_{5}=U_{6}=U_{7}=U_{8}=0 \tag{15.307}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having defined the adjoint problem, we return to the inhomogeneous problem to determine the solvability condition. With (15.307), Green's identity (15.302) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u L(\phi) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{4} U_{i} \Phi_{i} \tag{15.308}
\end{equation*}
$$

But it follows from (15.288) and (15.304) that $L(\phi)=f(x)$ and $\Phi_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i=$ $1,2,3$, and 4 ; therefore, it follows from (15.308) that the solvability condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u(x) f(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \beta_{i} U_{i} \tag{15.309}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 15.12. A Fourth-Order Eigenvalue Problem

As an application of the theory in the preceding two sections, we consider the eigenvalue problem
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$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{i v}+10 \phi^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda+\epsilon f(x)] \phi=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1  \tag{15.310}\\
\phi(0)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=\phi(\pi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

We seek a first-order unlform expansion to (15.310) by using the method of strained parameters in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(x ; \epsilon) & =\phi_{0}(x)+\epsilon \phi_{1}(x)+\cdots  \tag{15.311}\\
\lambda & =\lambda_{0}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting ( 15.311 ) into ( 15.310 ) and equating coefficients of like powers of $\epsilon$, we obtain

Order $\epsilon^{0}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{0}^{i v}+10 \phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} \phi_{0}=0  \tag{15.312}\\
\phi_{0}(0)=\phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\phi_{0}(\pi)=\phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0
\end{array}
$$

Order $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1}^{i v}+10 \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} \phi_{1} & =-\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right) \phi_{0}  \tag{15.313}\\
\phi_{1}(0)=\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\phi_{1}(\pi) & =\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0 \tag{15.314}
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily verify that the solution of (15.312) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=\sin n x \quad \lambda_{0}=n^{2}\left(10-n^{2}\right) \tag{15.315}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $n=1$ and 3 produce the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=9$. Hence, $\sin x$ and $\sin 3 x$ correspond to the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=9$ and the problem is degenerate when $n=1$ or 3 . For all other $n$, there is only one eigenfunction corresponding to each eigenvalue and the problem is nondegenerate. Both cases are considered below.

Since the homogeneous first-order problem (15.313) and (15.314) is the same as the zeroth-order problem (15.312) and since the latter has a nontrivial solution, the inhomogeneous first-order problem has a solution only if solvability conditions are satisfied. To determine the solvability conditions, we need first to determine the adjoint problem. To this end, we multiply (15.313) by $u(x)$, integrate the result by parts from $x=0$ to $x=\pi$ to transfer the derivatives from $\phi_{1}$ to $u$, and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}\left(u^{i v}+10 u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} u\right) d x & +\left[\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} u-\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime}+\phi_{1}^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-\phi_{1} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]_{0}^{\pi} \\
& +10\left[\phi_{1}^{\prime} u-\phi_{1} u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{\pi}=-\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right) \phi_{0} u d x \tag{15.316}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the adjoint equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i v}+10 u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} u=0 \tag{15.317}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to the homogeneous equation (15.313). This is not surprising because the homogeneous equation (15.313) has the form (15.263). To determine the adjoint boundary conditions, we consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $f=0$ ) so that ( 15.316 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} u-\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime}+\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+10 u\right)-\phi_{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}+10 u^{\prime}\right)\right]_{0}^{\pi}=0 \tag{15.318}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the boundary conditions (15.314) in (15.318), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\pi) u(\pi)+\phi_{1}^{\prime}(\pi)\left[u^{\prime \prime}(\pi)+10 u(\pi)\right]-\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) u(0) \\
&-\phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)\left[u^{\prime \prime}(0)+10 u(0)\right]=0 \tag{15.319}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\pi), \phi_{1}^{\prime}(\pi), \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)$, and $\phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)$ in (15.319) vanish independently, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u(\pi)=u^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0 \tag{15.320}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the first-order problem is self-adjoint.
We return to the inhomogeneous problem, use the definition of the adjoint problem, and obtain from (15.316) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\lambda_{1}+f\right) \phi_{0} u d x=0 \tag{15.321}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every solution $u$ of the adjoint problem. Next, we consider the degenerate and nondegenerate cases starting with the second case.

## NONDEGENERATE CASE

In this case, $n \neq 1$ or 3 and the solution of the zeroth-order problem is given by (15.315). Then, the solution of the adjoint problem is $u=\sin n x$, which when put in (15.321) yields

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\lambda_{1}+f(x)\right] \sin ^{2} n x d x=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\lambda_{1}=-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin ^{2} n x d x
$$

and it follows from (15.311) that, to the first approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\sin n x+O(\epsilon) \tag{15.322a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=n^{2}\left(10-n^{2}\right)-\frac{2 \epsilon}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin ^{2} n x d x+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{15.322b}
\end{equation*}
$$

## DEGENERATE CASE

In this case, $n=1$ or 3 and the solution of the zeroth-order problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=a_{1} \sin x+a_{3} \sin 3 x \quad \lambda_{0}=9 \tag{15.323}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ are independent at this level of approximation, a manifestation of the degeneracy. With $\lambda_{0}=9$, the solution of the adjoint problem is $u=\sin x$ or $\sin 3 x$. Putting (15.323) in (15.321), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\lambda_{1}+f(x)\right]\left(a_{1} \sin x+a_{3} \sin 3 x\right) u(x) d x=0 \tag{15.324}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all possible solutions of the adjoint problem. In this case, there are two possible solutions. Putting $u=\sin x$ and $\sin 3 x$, respectively, in (15.324), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\lambda_{1}+f(x)\right]\left(a_{1} \sin x+a_{3} \sin 3 x\right) \sin x d x=0 \\
& \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\lambda_{1}+f(x)\right]\left(a_{1} \sin x+a_{3} \sin 3 x\right) \sin 3 x d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda_{1}+f_{11}\right) a_{1}+f_{13} a_{3}=0  \tag{15.325}\\
& f_{13} a_{1}+\left(\lambda_{1}+f_{33}\right) a_{3}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin ^{2} x d x \quad f_{33}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin ^{2} 3 x d x \\
& f_{13}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f \sin x \sin 3 x d x
\end{aligned}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix in (15.325) must vanish, that is,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1}+f_{11} & f_{13} \\
f_{13} & \lambda_{1}+f_{33}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(f_{11}+f_{33}\right) \lambda_{1}+f_{11} f_{33}-f_{13}^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(1)}, \lambda_{1}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{11}+f_{33}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{33}\right)^{2}+4 f_{13}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{15.326}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
a_{3}=-\frac{\lambda_{1}+f_{11}}{f_{13}} a_{1}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (15.311) that, to the first approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{(1)}=\sin x-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(1)}+f_{11}}{f_{13}} \sin 3 x+\cdots \\
& \lambda^{(1)}=9-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(f_{11}+f_{33}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{33}\right)^{2}+4 f_{13}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\cdots \tag{15.327}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{(2)}=\sin x-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(2)}+f_{11}}{f_{13}} \sin 3 x+\cdots \\
& \lambda^{(2)}=9-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(f_{11}+f_{33}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left[\left(f_{11}-f_{33}\right)^{2}+4 f_{13}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\cdots \tag{15.328}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the degeneracy is removed from the problem at first order if $\lambda_{1}^{(1)} \neq \lambda_{1}^{(2)}$, that is, if $f_{11} \neq f_{33}$ or $f_{13} \neq 0$.

### 15.13. A Differential System of Equations

In this section, we consider the solvability conditions for a special system of first-order ordinary-differential equations. In the next section, we consider a general system of first-order equations, whereas in Section 15.15 we consider two systems of differential equations with interfacial boundary conditions.

In analyzing the propagation and attenuation of sound waves in an annular duct carrying compressible mean flows, one may encounter the following inhomogeneous problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
-i\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{4}+i k \rho_{0} \phi_{1}+\frac{i m \rho_{0}}{r} \phi_{3}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \rho_{0} \phi_{2}\right) & =f_{1}(r)  \tag{15.329}\\
-i \rho_{0}\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{1}+\rho_{0} u_{0}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+i k \phi_{5} & =f_{2}(r)  \tag{15.330}\\
-i \rho_{0}\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{2}+\phi_{3}^{\prime} & =f_{3}(r)  \tag{15.331}\\
-i \rho_{0}\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{3}+\frac{i m}{r} \phi_{5} & =f_{4}(r)  \tag{15.332}\\
-i \rho_{0}\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{6}+\rho_{0} T_{0}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+i(\gamma-1)\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right) \phi_{5} & =f_{5}(r) \tag{15.333}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\phi_{5}}{p_{0}}=\frac{\phi_{4}}{\rho_{0}}+\frac{\phi_{6}}{T_{0}} &  \tag{15.334}\\
\phi_{2}-\beta_{1} \phi_{5} & =\alpha_{1} \quad \text { at } \quad r=R_{1}  \tag{15.335}\\
\phi_{2}-\beta_{2} \phi_{5} & =\alpha_{2} \quad \text { at } \quad r=R_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}, \rho_{0}, p_{0}, T_{0}$, and $f_{n}(r)$ are known functions of $r$ and $\omega, m, k, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$, and $\gamma$ are independent of $r$. We note that four of the equations are algebraic equations. In the analysis, the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution so that the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if a solvability condition is satisfied.

To determine the solvability condition, we multiply (15.329) with $\psi_{1}$, (15.330) with $\psi_{2},(15.331)$ with $\psi_{3},(15.332)$ with $\psi_{4},(15.333)$ with $\psi_{5}$, and (15.334) with $\psi_{6}$. Then, we add the resulting equations, integrate the result by parts from $r=R_{1}$ to $r=R_{2}$ to transfer the derivatives from the $\phi_{n}$ to the $\psi_{n}$, and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} i \rho_{0} \phi_{1}\left[-\hat{\omega} \psi_{2}+k \psi_{1}\right] d r+\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \rho_{0} \phi_{2}\left[-i \hat{\omega} \psi_{3}+u_{0}^{\prime} \psi_{2}-r \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{\psi_{1}}{r}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+T_{0}^{\prime} \psi_{5}\right] d r+\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} i \rho_{0} \phi_{3}\left[-\hat{\omega} \psi_{4}+\frac{m}{r} \psi_{1}\right] d r+\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \phi_{4}\left[-i \hat{\omega} \psi_{1}\right. \\
&\left.-T_{0} p_{0} \psi_{6}\right] d r+\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \phi_{5}\left[i k \psi_{2}-\psi_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{i m}{r} \psi_{4}+i(\gamma-1) \hat{\omega} \psi_{5}\right. \\
&\left.+\rho_{0} T_{0} \psi_{6}\right] d r+\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \rho_{0} \phi_{6}\left[-i \hat{\omega} \psi_{5}-p_{0} \psi_{6}\right] d r+\left[\rho_{0} \phi_{2} \psi_{1}+\phi_{5} \psi_{3}\right]_{R_{1}^{2}}^{R_{2}} \\
&=\sum_{n=1}^{5} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \psi_{n} f_{n} d r \tag{15.336}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\omega}=\omega-k u_{0}$. As before, to define the adjoint, we first consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $\alpha_{n}=0$ and $f_{n}=0$ ). We note that the integrands have been arranged so that the coefficients of the $\phi_{n}$ are separated. This is because the adjoint equations are defined by setting the coefficient of each $\phi_{n}$ equal to zero. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
-\hat{\omega} \psi_{2}+k \psi_{1} & =0  \tag{15.337}\\
-i \hat{\omega} \psi_{3}+u_{0}^{\prime} \psi_{2}-r \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{\psi_{1}}{r}\right)+T_{0}^{\prime} \psi_{5} & =0  \tag{15.338}\\
-\hat{\omega} \psi_{4}+\frac{m}{r} \psi_{1} & =0 \tag{15.339}
\end{align*}
$$

## GENERAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS OF FIRST-ORDER EQUATIONS

$$
\begin{align*}
i \hat{\omega} \psi_{1}+T_{0} p_{0} \psi_{6} & =0  \tag{15.340}\\
i k \psi_{2}-\psi_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{i m}{r} \psi_{4}+i(\gamma-1) \hat{\omega} \psi_{5}+\rho_{0} T_{0} \psi_{6} & =0  \tag{15.341}\\
i \hat{\omega} \psi_{5}+p_{0} \psi_{6} & =0 \tag{15.342}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations ( 15.337 ) through ( 15.342 ) are the equations adjoint to the homogeneous equations ( 15.329 ) through (15.334). To determine the boundary conditions on the $\psi_{n}$, we set the $f_{n}=0$ in (15.336) and use (15.337) through (15.342). The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{0} \phi_{2} \psi_{1}+\phi_{5} \psi_{3}\right]_{R_{1}^{2}}^{R}=0 \tag{15.343}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\alpha_{n}=0$ in (15.335) and then substituting for $\phi_{2}$ in terms of $\phi_{5}$, we rewrite (15.343) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{0} \beta_{2} \psi_{1}+\psi_{3}\right)_{r=R_{2}} \phi_{5}\left(R_{2}\right)-\left(\rho_{0} \beta_{1} \psi_{1}+\psi_{3}\right)_{r=R_{1}} \phi_{5}\left(R_{1}\right)=0 \tag{15.344}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $\phi_{5}\left(R_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{5}\left(R_{1}\right)$ in (15.344) vanish independently. The result is

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\psi_{3}+\rho_{0} \beta_{2} \psi_{1}=0 & \text { at } & r=R_{2}  \tag{15.345}\\
\psi_{3}+\rho_{0} \beta_{1} \psi_{1}=0 & \text { at } & r=R_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the adjoint homogeneous problem is specified by equations (15.337) through (15.342) subject to the boundary conditions (15.345).

Returning to the inhomogeneous problem, we use (15.337) through (15.342) in (15.336) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{0} \phi_{2} \psi_{1}+\phi_{5} \psi_{3}\right]_{R_{1}^{2}}^{R_{2}}=\sum_{n=1}^{s} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \psi_{n} f_{n} d r \tag{15.346}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\psi_{3}$ from (15.345) in terms of $\psi_{1}$ and substituting for $\phi_{2}$ from (15.335) in terms of $\phi_{5}$, we rewrite (15.346) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2} \rho_{0}\left(R_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\left(R_{2}\right)-\alpha_{1} \rho_{0}\left(R_{1}\right) \psi_{1}\left(R_{1}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{5} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} f_{n} \psi_{n} d r \tag{15.347}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the required solvability condition.

### 15.14. General Differential Systems of First-Order Equations

We consider the solvability conditions for the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d x}-A(x) \phi=f(x) \tag{15.348}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{i}(0)=\beta_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m  \tag{15.349}\\
\phi_{i}(1)=\beta_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, n
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi$ and f are column vectors with $n$ components, $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, and the $\beta_{l}$ are known constants. The boundary conditions need not be disjoint as in (15.349). The theory is applicable to any $n$ linearly independent combinations of $\phi_{i}(0)$ and $\phi_{i}(1)$. Two general cases are considered at the end of this section.
We assume that the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution so that the inhomogeneous problem will have a solution only if solvability conditions are satisfied. To determine the solvability conditions of (15.348) and (15.349), we multiply the $i$ th equation in (15.348) with $\psi_{i}$ and add the results. This step is equivalent to multiplying (15.348) from the left with $\psi^{T}$, where $\psi^{T}$ is the transpose of the adjoint column vector $\psi$ with $n$ components. Thus, we have

$$
\psi^{T} \frac{d \phi}{d x}-\psi^{T} A \phi=\psi^{T} \mathbf{f}
$$

which, upon integration from $x=0$ to $x=1$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \frac{d \phi}{d x} d x-\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} A \phi d x=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{r} f d x \tag{15.350}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts the first integral on the left-hand side of (15.350) to transfer the derivative from $\phi$ to $\psi^{T}$, we obtain

$$
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \psi^{T}}{d x} \phi d x-\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} A \phi d x=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathrm{f} d x
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{d \psi^{T}}{d x}+\psi^{T} A\right) \phi d x=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathbf{f} d x \tag{15.351}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint equations are defined by setting the coefficient of $\phi$ in the integrand in ( 15.351 ) equal to zero and obtaining

$$
\frac{d \psi^{r}}{d x}+\psi^{T} A=0
$$

Taking the transpose, we have

$$
\left(\frac{d \psi^{T}}{d x}\right)^{T}+\left(\psi_{A}\right)^{T}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \psi}{d x}+A^{T_{\psi}}=0 \tag{15.352}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15.348) and (15.352), we conclude that the differential equations are self-adjoint if $A=-A^{T}$. To determine the boundary conditions on $\psi$, we consider the homogeneous problem. Setting $\mathbf{f}=0$ in (15.351) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=0 \tag{15.353}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi_{1} \phi_{1}+\psi_{2} \phi_{2}+\cdots+\psi_{n} \phi_{n}\right]_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{15.354}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\beta_{i}=0$ in (15.349) and substituting the result into (15.354), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}(1) \phi_{1}(1)+\psi_{2}(1) \phi_{2}(1) & +\cdots+\psi_{m}(1) \phi_{m}(1)-\psi_{m+1}(0) \phi_{m+1}(0) \\
& -\psi_{m+2}(0) \phi_{m+2}(0)-\cdots-\psi_{n}(0) \phi_{n}(0)=0 \tag{15.355}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we define the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of the $\phi_{i}(1)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and the $\phi_{i}(0)$ for $i=m+1, m+2$, $\ldots, n$ vanish independently. The result is

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\psi_{i}(0)=0 & \text { for } & i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, n  \tag{15.356}\\
\psi_{l}(1)=0 & \text { for } & i=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

Returning to the inhomogeneous problem, we substitute (15.349), (15.352), and (15.356) into (15.351) and obtain
$\beta_{m+1} \psi_{m+1}(1)+\beta_{m+2} \psi_{m+2}(1)+\cdots+\beta_{n} \psi_{n}(1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta_{1} \psi_{1}(0)-\beta_{2} \psi_{2}(0)-\cdots-\beta_{m} \psi_{m}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} f d x \tag{15.357}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the desired solvability condition.
Instead of the boundary conditions (15.349), let us consider the general boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(0)=\Omega \phi(1)+\beta \tag{15.358}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}$ is an $n \times n$ constant matrix and $\beta$ is a column vector with $n$ components. In this case, all preceding equations up to (15.354) still hold. Thus, considering the homogeneous problem (i.e., $\mathrm{f}=0$ and $\beta=0$ ), we find from (15.353) and (15.358) that

$$
\psi^{T}(1) \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) \phi(0)=\psi^{T}(1) \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) Q \phi(1)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi^{T}(1)-\psi^{T}(0) Q\right] \phi(1)=0 \tag{15.359}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of the $\phi_{i}(1)$ vanish independently. The result is

$$
\psi^{T}(1)-\psi^{T}(0) Q=0
$$

which, upon taking the transpose, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(1)=\mathbb{Q}^{T} \psi(0) \tag{15.360}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to the inhomogeneous problem, we use (15.352) in (15.351) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{T}(1) \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) \phi(0)=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathrm{f} d x \tag{15.361}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\phi(0)$ from (15.358) into (15.361), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{T}(1) \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) Q \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) \beta=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathrm{f} d x \tag{15.362}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15.360), we find that the first two terms on the left-hand side of ( 15.362 ) vanish, and hence, it reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi^{T}(0) \beta=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathrm{f} d x \tag{15.363}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired solvability condition. Problems with similar boundary conditions can be treated the same way.
Finally, we consider the most general linear boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{i j} \phi_{j}=\beta_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{15.364}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\phi_{j}$ are the $2 n$ components of the boundary column vector $\phi_{b}$ whose first $n$ components are given by $\phi(1)$ and whose last $n$ components are given by $\phi(0)$. Again in this case, all equations up to (15.354) still hold. To determine the adjoint boundary conditions, we introduce a linear nonsingular transformation from $\phi_{b}$ to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=Q \phi_{b} \tag{15.365}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}$ is a $2 n \times 2 n$ constant coefficient matrix whose first $n$ rows are the same as those in $(15.364)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{i j} \phi_{i}=\beta_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{15.366}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the last $n$ rows are arbitrary, except that they must be linearly independent of each other and of the first $n$ rows. Thus, if $\phi_{b} \neq 0$, they can be chosen to produce any desired values for $\Phi_{i}, i \geqslant n+1$.

It follows from (15.353) that

$$
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=\psi^{T}(1) / \phi(1)-\psi^{T}(0) I \phi(0)=0
$$

or

$$
\left[\psi^{T}(1) \psi^{T}(0)\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi(1) \\
\phi(0)
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=\psi_{b}^{T} P \phi_{b}=0 \tag{15.367}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
0 & -I
\end{array}\right]
$$

Solving (15.365) for $\phi_{b}$, we have

$$
\phi_{b}=Q^{-1} \Phi
$$

Hence, (15.367) becomes

$$
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=\psi_{b}^{T} P Q^{-1} \Phi=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=\Psi^{T} \Phi=0 \tag{15.368}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{T}=\psi_{b}^{T} P Q^{-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \Psi=\left(Q^{-1}\right)^{T} P^{T} \psi_{b} \tag{15.369}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from ( 15.366 ) that the homogeneous boundary conditions are $\Phi_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Hence, ( 15.368 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} \Psi_{i} \Phi_{i}=0 \tag{15.370}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the last $n$ rows in $\mathbb{G}$ can be chosen so that the last $\Phi_{i}, i=n+1, \ldots, 2 n$ can assume any nonzero values, it follows from (15.370) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{i}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n \tag{15.371}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having defined the adjoint equations (15.352) and boundary conditions ( 15.371 ), we return to the inhomogeneous problem to determine the solvability conditions. Using (15.352) and the fact that $\left.\psi^{T} \phi\right|_{0} ^{1}=\Psi^{T} \Phi$, we obtain from (15.351) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{T} \Phi=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T_{f}} d x \tag{15.372}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (15.366) and (15.370) into (15.372), we obtain the desired solvability condition

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \Psi_{i}=\int_{0}^{1} \psi^{T} \mathrm{f} d x
$$

for every possible solution of the adjoint problem.

### 15.15. Differential Systems with Interfacial Boundary Conditions

In analyzing the propagation of waves on the interfaces of composite materials, one may encounter inhomogeneous differential equations and inhomogeneous conditions imposed at the interfaces. In this section, we consider, as an example, the solvability condition for the inhomogeneous problem that arises from the coupling of torsional modes in a clad-rod having a sinusoidally perturbed corecladding interface. Thus, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} \phi_{1}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi_{1}}{d r}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{1}=f_{1}(r) \quad 0 \leqslant r \leqslant a  \tag{15.373}\\
& \frac{d^{2} \phi_{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi_{2}}{d r}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{2}=f_{2}(r) \quad a \leqslant r \leqslant b  \tag{15.374}\\
& \phi_{1}(0)<\infty \quad \phi_{2}(b)-b \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b)=0 \\
& \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}=\beta_{1} \quad \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\mu_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-\mu_{2} \phi_{1}=\beta_{2} \quad \text { at } \quad r=a \tag{15.375}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}^{2}, \gamma_{n}^{2}, \mu_{n}, \beta_{n}, a$, and $b$ are constants.
As discussed in Section 15.9, the homogeneous equations in (15.373) and ( 15.374 ) can be made self-adjoint by multiplying each with $r$. Thus, to determine the solvability condition for (15.373) through (15.375), we multiply (15.373) by $r u_{1}(r)$, integrate the result from $r=0$ to $r=a$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a}\left[u_{1}\left(r \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2} r-\frac{1}{r}\right) \phi_{1} u_{1}\right] d r=\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r \tag{15.376}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon integration by parts, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-r u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}\right]_{0}^{a}+\int_{0}^{a} \phi_{1}\left[\left(r u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2} r-\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{1}\right] d r=\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r \tag{15.377}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we multiply (15.374) by $r u_{2}(r)$, integrate the result from $r=a$ to $r=b$, and obtain

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left[u_{2}\left(r \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2} r+\frac{1}{r}\right) \phi_{2} u_{2}\right] d r=\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r
$$

which, upon integration by parts, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-r u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}\right]_{a}^{b}+\int_{a}^{b} \phi_{2}\left[\left(r u_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2} r+\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{2}\right] d r=\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r \tag{15.378}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we add (15.377) and (15.378) to obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[r u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-r u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}\right]_{a}^{b}+\left[r u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-r u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}\right]_{0}^{a}+\int_{0}^{a} \phi_{1}\left[\left(r u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2} r-\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{1}\right] d r} \\
 \tag{15.379}\\
{\left[+\int_{a}^{b} \phi_{2}\left[\left(r u_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2} r+\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{2}\right] d r=\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r+\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r\right.}
\end{array}
$$

To define the adjoint homogeneous problem, we consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $f_{n}=0$ and $\beta_{n}=0$ ). Then, the adjoint equations are defined by setting the integrands in (15.379) equal to zero, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2} r-\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{1}=0  \tag{15.380}\\
& \left(r u_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2} r+\frac{1}{r}\right) u_{2}=0 \tag{15.381}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, (15.379) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& b u_{2}(b) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b)-b u_{2}^{\prime}(b) \phi_{2}(b)-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[r u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-r u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}\right] \\
& \quad+a u_{1}(a) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a)-a u_{1}^{\prime}(a) \phi_{1}(a)-a u_{2}(a) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(a)+a u_{2}^{\prime}(a) \phi_{2}(a)=0 \tag{15.382}
\end{align*}
$$

Since ( 15.380 ) possesses a bounded solution and an unbounded solution and since $\phi_{1}(0)<\infty$, the term involving the limit as $r \rightarrow 0$ in (15.382) vanishes if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(0)<\infty \tag{15.383}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the homogeneous problem, it follows from (15.375) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{2}(b)=b \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b) \\
& \phi_{2}(a)=\phi_{1}(a), \phi_{2}^{\prime}(a)=\mu_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a)+\mu_{2} \phi_{1}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 454 SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS

Hence, ( 15.382 ) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
b\left[u_{2}(b)-b u_{2}^{\prime}(b)\right] \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b)+a\left[u_{1}(a)\right. & \left.-\mu_{1} u_{2}(a)\right] \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a) \\
& +a\left[u_{2}^{\prime}(a)-u_{1}^{\prime}(a)-\mu_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}(a)\right] \phi_{1}(a)=0 \tag{15.384}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we choose the adjoint boundary conditions such that each of the coefficients of $\phi_{2}^{\prime}(b), \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a)$, and $\phi_{1}(a)$ vanish independently. Hence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{2}(b)=b u_{2}^{\prime}(b) \quad u_{1}(a)=\mu_{1} u_{2}(a)  \tag{15.385}\\
u_{2}^{\prime}(a)-u_{1}^{\prime}(a)-\mu_{2} u_{2}(a)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, the adjoint problem is defined by (15.380) and (15.381) and boundary conditions (15.383) and (15.385). Comparing the adjoint problem with the original homogeneous problem, we conclude that, although the differential equations are self-adjoint, the homogeneous problem is not self-adjoint unless $\mu_{1}=1$.

Having defined the adjoint problem, we return to the inhomogeneous problem to determine the solvability condition. Using (15.380), (15.381), and (15.383) in (15.379), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
b u_{2}(b) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b)-b u_{2}^{\prime}(b) \phi_{2}(b) & +a u_{1}(a) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a)-a u_{1}^{\prime}(a) \phi_{1}(a)-a u_{2}(a) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(a) \\
& +a u_{2}^{\prime}(a) \phi_{2}(a)=\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r+\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r \tag{15.386}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $\phi_{2}(b), \phi_{2}(a)$, and $\phi_{2}^{\prime}(a)$ from (15.375) into (15.386), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b\left[u_{2}(b)-b u_{2}^{\prime}(b)\right] \phi_{2}^{\prime}(b) & +a\left[u_{1}(a)-\mu_{1} u_{2}(a)\right] \phi_{1}^{\prime}(a) \\
& +a\left[u_{2}^{\prime}(a)-u_{1}^{\prime}(a)-\mu_{2} u_{2}(a)\right] \phi_{1}(a)-a \beta_{2} u_{2}(a)-a \beta_{1} u_{2}^{\prime}(a) \\
& =\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r+\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

which, upon using ( 15.385 ), simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a \beta_{2} u_{2}(a)-a \beta_{1} u_{2}^{\prime}(a)=\int_{0}^{a} r u_{1} f_{1} d r+\int_{a}^{b} r u_{2} f_{2} d r \tag{15.387}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15.387) is the desired solvability condition.

### 15.16. Integral Equations

In this section, we consider the solvability condition of Fredholm's integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=f(s)+\lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(s, t) \phi(t) d t \tag{15.388}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the homogeneous problem (i.e., $f=0$ ) has a nontrivial solution. To determine the solvability condition for (15.388), we multiply it by $\psi(s)$, integrate the result from $s=a$ to $s=b$, and obtain

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \phi(s) \psi(s) d s=\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s+\lambda \int_{a}^{b}\left[\int_{a}^{b} K(s, t) \phi(t) d t\right] \psi(s) d s
$$

or

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \phi(s) \psi(s) d s=\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s+\lambda \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} K(s, t) \phi(t) \psi(s) d t d s
$$

which, upon interchanging the integrations with respect to $s$ and $t$ in the double integral, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \phi(s) \psi(s) d s-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} K(s, t) \psi(s) \phi(t) d s d t=\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s \tag{15.389}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s$ and $t$ are dummy variables of integration, they can be interchanged in the double integral so that (15.389) can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \psi(s) \phi(s) d s-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} K(t, s) \psi(t) \phi(s) d t d s=\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[\psi(s)-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(t, s) \psi(t) d t\right] \phi(s) d s=\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s \tag{15.390}
\end{equation*}
$$

To define the adjoint equation, we consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $f=0)$. Then, $(15.390)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left[\psi(s)-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(t, s) \psi(t) d t\right] \phi(s) d s=0 \tag{15.391}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the adjoint equation such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(s)=\lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(t, s) \psi(t) d t \tag{15.392}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing ( 15.392 ) with the homogeneous equation (15.388), we conclude that the adjoint equation differs from the homogeneous equation in that the integration is performed with respect to the first variable in the kernel $K(s, t)$. Therefore, the homogeneous equation is self-adjoint if and only if the kemel $K(s, t)$ is symmetric, that is, $K(s, t)=K(t, s)$.
Having defined the adjoint equation, we return to the inhomogeneous problem. Using (15.392) in (15.390), we obtain the desired solvability condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \psi(s) d s=0 \tag{13.393}
\end{equation*}
$$

which states that the inhomogeneous problem is solvable only if the inhomogeneous term $f(s)$ is orthogonal to every solution of the adjoint problem. It turms out, in this case, that (13.393) is also a sufficient condition for the inhomogeneous problem to have a solution. If the homogeneous problem does not have a nontrivial solution, (15.393) is satisfied automatically because $\psi(s) \equiv 0$. These statements constitute Fredholm's alternative theorem: either the integral equation (15.388) is soluble for any $f(s)$ and the homogeneous equation has only a trivial solution or the homogeneous equation has nontrivial solutions and the inhomogeneous equation is soluble if and only if the inhomogeneity is orthog. onal to every solution of the adjoint homogeneous problem.
As an application of the theory, we consider the case of a degenerate kernel. The kernel $K(s, t)$ is said to be degenerate if it can be expressed as a finite sum of products of functions of $s$ and $t$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}(s) \beta_{i}(t) \tag{15.394}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, ( 15.388 ) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=f(s)+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}(s) \int_{a}^{b} \beta_{i}(t) \phi(t) d t \tag{15.395}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (15.395), we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \beta_{i}(t) \phi(t) d t=x_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{15.396}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (15.395) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=f(s)+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \alpha_{i}(s) \tag{15.397}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (15.397) by $\beta_{j}(s)$, integrate the result from $s=a$ to $s=b$, and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \beta_{j}(s) \phi(s) d s=\int_{a}^{b} \beta_{j}(s) f(s) d s+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \int_{a}^{b} \alpha_{i}(s) \beta_{j}(s) d s \tag{15.398}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15.396) that the term on the left-hand side of $(15.398)$ is $x_{i}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=f_{j}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{j i} x_{i} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{15.399}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}=\int_{a}^{b} \beta_{j}(s) f(s) d s \quad a_{i i}=\int_{a}^{b} \beta_{j}(s) \alpha_{i}(s) d s \tag{15.400}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15.399) can be rewritten in matrix notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I-\lambda A] \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{f} \tag{15.401}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is an $N \times N$ identity matrix, $A$ in an $N \times N$ matrix whose elements are the $a_{j i}$, and x and f are column vectors with the components $x_{i}$ and $f_{i}$.

We note that the problem of solving the integral equation (15.388) has been transformed into the problem of solving the linear algebraic system of equations (15.401). As discussed in Section 15.1, if the homogeneous system of equations (15.401) has only the trivial solution, the inhomogeneous system has a unique solution for every $f$. On the other hand, if the homogeneous system (15.401) has a nontrivial solution (i.e., $|I-\lambda A|=0$ ), the inhomogeneous problem has a solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f})=0 \tag{15.402}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is any solution of the adjoint system

$$
\begin{equation*}
[I-\lambda A] * \mathbf{u}=0 \tag{15.403}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is real, (15.403) can be rewritten as

$$
\left[I-\lambda A^{T}\right] \mathbf{u}=0
$$

or in tensor notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i j} u_{i}=0 \tag{15.404}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the problem is self-adjoint if and only if $A$ is symmetric, that is, $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$, which happens if, for example,

$$
\alpha_{i}(s)=\beta_{i}(s)
$$

### 15.17. Partial-Differential Equations

In this section, we consider the solvability conditions for systems governed by partial- rather than ordinary-differential equations. The procedure in this case is similar to that followed In the case of ordinary-differential equations except that the integration is carried out over more than one dimension. We describe the procedure by applying it to two examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

In analyzing the propagation of acoustic waves in acoustically lined rectangular ducts with varying cross sections, one encounters the inhomogeneous problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\lambda \phi=f(y, z) \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant a \quad 0 \leqslant z \leqslant b  \tag{15.405}\\
\phi(0, z)=0, \phi(y, 0)=0  \tag{15.406}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-\alpha_{1} \phi=\beta_{1}(z) \quad \text { at } \quad y=a  \tag{15.407}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\alpha_{2} \phi=\beta_{2}(y) \quad \text { at } \quad z=b
\end{gather*}
$$

To determine the solvability condition for (15.405) through (15.407), we multiply (15.405) by $u(y, z)$ and integrate the result over the domain of interest; that is, $0 \leqslant y \leqslant a$ and $0 \leqslant z \leqslant b$. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a}\left[u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\lambda u \phi\right] d y d z=\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} u f d y d z \tag{15.408}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we integrate $(15.408)$ by parts to transfer the derivatives from $\phi$ to $u$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}} d y d z & =\int_{0}^{b}\left[\int_{0}^{a} u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}} d y\right] d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{b}\left[\left.\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{a}+\int_{0}^{a} \phi \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} d y\right] d z  \tag{15.409}\\
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}} d y d z & =\int_{0}^{a}\left[\int_{0}^{b} u \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}} d z\right] d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{a}\left[\left.\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{b}+\int_{0}^{b} \phi \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} d z\right] d y \tag{15.410}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (15.409) and (15.410), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a}\left\{u\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\lambda \phi\right]-\phi\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}+\lambda u\right]\right\} d y d z \\
=\left.\int_{0}^{b}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{a} d z+\left.\int_{0}^{a}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{b} d y \tag{15.411}
\end{array}
$$

which can be obtained alternatively from the general Green's identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint_{S}\left(u \nabla^{2} \phi-\phi \nabla^{2} u\right) d S=\oint_{\Gamma}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathrm{n}}-\phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathrm{n}}\right) d s \tag{15.412}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the boundary of $S$.
As before, we define the adjoint equation by setting the coefficient of $\phi$ in the integrand on the left-hand side of (15.411) equal to zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}+\lambda u=0 \tag{15.413}
\end{equation*}
$$

To define the adjoint boundary conditions, we consider the homogeneous problem (i.e., $f=0$ and $\beta_{n}=0$ ). With $f=0,(15.411)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{b}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{a} d z+\left.\int_{0}^{a}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{b} d y=0 \tag{15.414}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homogeneous boundary conditions $(15.407)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=\alpha_{1} \phi \quad \text { at } \quad y=a \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}=\alpha_{2} \phi \quad \text { at } \quad z=b \tag{15.415}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15.406) and (15.415) in (15.414), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{b}\left[\left.\left(\alpha_{1} u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) \phi\right|_{a}-\left.u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right|_{0}\right] d z+\int_{0}^{a}\left[\left.\left(\alpha_{2} u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right) \phi\right|_{b}\right. \\
\left.-\left.u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right|_{0}\right] d y=0 \tag{15.416}
\end{array}
$$

Since (15.416) should hold for all $\phi(y, z)$ satisfying the homogeneous equation (15.405) and homogeneous boundary conditions (15.406) and (15.407), each of the coefficients of

$$
\phi(a, z) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(0, z) \quad \phi(y, b) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}(y, 0)
$$

must vanish independently; that is,

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
u(0, z)=0 & u(y, 0)=0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\alpha_{1} u=0 & \text { at } \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-\alpha_{2} u=0 & \text { at } \tag{15.418}
\end{array} \quad z=b
$$

Having defined the adjoint problem, we return to the inhomogeneous problem. Using (15.405) and (15.413) in (15.411), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} u f d y d z=\left.\int_{0}^{b}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{a} d z+\left.\int_{0}^{a}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \phi\right)\right|_{0} ^{b} d y
$$

which, upon using (15.406), (15.407), (15.417), and (15.418), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} u f d y d z=\int_{0}^{b} \beta_{1}(z) u(a, z) d z+\int_{0}^{a} \beta_{2}(y) u(y, b) d y \tag{15.419}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the inhomogeneous problem has a solution only if (15.419) is satisfied for all possible solutions $u(y, z)$ of the adjoint problem defined by (15.413), (15.417), and (15.418). Comparing the adjoint problem with the original homogeneous problem, we find that they are identical, and hence, the problem is self-adjoint.

## EXAMPLE 2

As a second example, we consider the solvability condition of the inhomogeneous problem consisting of equation (15.223) and the boundary conditions (15.224) and (15.225). Rather than expanding $\phi_{1}$ and $f$ in Fourier series and reducing the governing equation into an ordinary-differential equation, we work directly with the partial-differential equation. To this end, we rewrite (15.223) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \phi_{1}+\omega_{0}^{2} \phi_{1}=-2 \omega_{0} \omega_{1} \phi_{0} \tag{15.420}
\end{equation*}
$$

Either we multiply ( 15.420 ) by $u(r, \theta)$ and integrate the result by parts or we use Green's identity (15.412). Since we performed the integration by parts in the preceding example, we apply Green's identity (15.412). Since there are solutions of (15.420) that are unbounded at the origin, we take $S$ to consist of the area bounded by $r=r_{0}$ and $r=1$, where $r_{0}$ is very small. Then, $d S=r d r d \theta$ and $d s=r d \theta$. Moreover, $\partial \phi / \partial n=\partial \phi / \partial r$ on $r=1$ and $\partial \phi / \partial n=-\partial \phi / \partial r$ on $r=r_{0}$ because n is the outward normal. Then, (15.412) becomes

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{r_{0}}^{1}\left(u \nabla^{2} \phi_{1}-\phi_{1} \nabla^{2} u\right) r d r d \theta=\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}-\phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=1} d \theta
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}-\phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=r_{0}} r_{0} d \theta \tag{15.421}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\nabla^{2} \phi_{1}$ from (15.420) into (15.421), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{r_{0}}^{1}-2 \omega_{0} \omega_{1} r \phi_{0} u d r d \theta-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{r_{0}}^{1} \phi_{1}\left[\nabla^{2} u+\omega_{0}^{2} u\right] r d r d \theta \\
& \quad=\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}-\phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=1} d \theta-\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}-\phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=r_{0}} r_{0} d \theta \tag{15.422}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, the adjoint equation is defined by setting the coefficient of $\phi_{1}$ in the integrand on the left-hand side of (15.422) equal to zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u+\omega_{0}^{2} u=0 \tag{15.423}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to the homogeneous equation $(1 \dot{5} .420)$, and hence, the latter is self-adjoint. Using " 15.423 ) and considering the homogeneous problem (i.e., $\omega_{1}=0$ and $f=0$ ), we obtain from (15.422) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} d \theta-\left.\lim _{r_{0} \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(u \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial r}-\phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=r_{0}} r_{0} d \theta=0 \tag{15.424}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi_{1}(0, \theta)<\infty$, the last term in (15.424) vanishes if $u(0, \theta)<\infty$. Since ( 15.424 ) should hold for all possible $\phi_{1}(r, \theta)$ satisfying the homogeneous equation (15.223) and homogeneous boundary conditions (15.224) and (15.225), the coefficient of $\partial \phi_{1} / \partial r$ must vanish, that is, $u(1, \theta)=0$. Thus the adjoint boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, \theta)<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad u(1, \theta)=0 \tag{15.425}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (15.423) and (15.425) with the homogeneous equations (15.223) through ( 15.225 ), we conclude that the problem is self-adjoint.
To determine the solvability condition, we return to the inhomogeneous problem. Using (15.423) and (15.425) and taking the limit as $r_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain from (15.422) that

$$
2 \omega_{0} \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} r \phi_{0} \mu d r d \theta=\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} d \theta
$$

which, upon using ( 15.225 ), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \omega_{0} \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} r \phi_{0} u d r d \theta=-\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial \phi_{0}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} f(\theta) d \theta \tag{15.426}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 462 SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS

Substituting for $\phi_{0}$ from (15.231) into (15.426) and recalling that $\omega_{0}=\Omega_{n m}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} & \int_{0}^{1} r J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left(A_{n m} e^{\ln \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-\ln \theta}\right) u d r \dot{d} \theta \\
& =-\left.J_{n}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} f(\theta) d \theta \tag{15.427}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the problem is self-adjoint,

$$
u=J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) e^{i n \theta} \quad \text { or } \quad J_{n}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right) e^{-i n \theta}
$$

and ( 15.427 ) should hold when $u$ is replaced by any one of them. Using the second solution for $u$, we obtain from $(15.427)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} r J_{n}^{2}\left(\Omega_{n m} r\right)\left(A_{n m}+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-2 i n \theta}\right) d r d \theta \\
&=-\Omega_{n m} J_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\Omega_{n m}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[A_{n m} f(\theta)+\bar{A}_{n m} e^{-2 i n \theta} f(\theta)\right] d \theta \tag{15.428}
\end{align*}
$$

Using ( 15.248 ) and the fact that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i m \theta} d \theta=0
$$

when $m$ is an integer, we obtain from (15.428) that

$$
\omega_{1} A_{n m}=-\Omega_{n m} f_{2 n} \bar{A}_{n m}
$$

which is identical with (15.249).

## Exercises

15.1. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\dot{u}_{2}+2 u_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{4} P_{n} e^{i n t} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}-\dot{u}_{1}+2 u_{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{4} Q_{n} e^{i n t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are constants.
15.2. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x}+\dot{y}+x & =P_{1} e^{2 i t}+P_{2} e^{i 2^{-1 / 2} t} \\
\ddot{y}-\frac{3}{2} \dot{x}+2 y & =Q_{1} e^{2 i t}+Q_{2} e^{i 2^{-1 / 2} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are constants.
15.3. Determine the solvability conditions for
(a) $u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} u=f(x)$

$$
u^{\prime}(0)=a \quad u(\pi)=b
$$

(b) $u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} u^{\prime}+k^{2} u=f(x)$

$$
u(a)=c_{1} \quad u(b)=c_{2}
$$

where $b>a>0$ and the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution.
15.4. Determine the solvability condition for the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} u^{\prime}+\left(\lambda^{2}-\frac{n^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) u & =f(x) \\
u(0)<\infty \quad u^{\prime}(a) & =g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $g$ are constants and $\lambda$ is a root of $J_{n}^{\prime}(\lambda a)=0$.
15.5. Determine the solvability condition for
(a) $\phi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{d^{2}} \phi=f(x)$

$$
\phi^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \phi^{\prime}(d)=\beta
$$

(b)

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}+\gamma_{n}^{2} \phi=f(x)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi+\gamma_{n} \varphi=\gamma(x) \\
\phi^{\prime}(0)=0 & \phi^{\prime}(1)-\alpha \phi(1)=\beta
\end{array}
$$

where $\gamma_{n} \tan \gamma_{n}=-\alpha$.
15.6. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\left(\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{b^{2}}\right) \phi=f(y, z) \\
\phi_{y}(0, z)=0 \quad \phi_{z}(y, 0)=0 \\
\phi_{y}(d, z)=\beta_{1} \quad \phi_{z}(y, b)=\beta_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

15.7. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi}{d r}+\left(r_{n m}^{2}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \phi=f(r) \\
\phi(0)<\infty \quad \phi^{\prime}(1)-\beta \phi(1)=\alpha
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma_{n m}$ is a root of $\gamma J_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma)-\beta J_{n}(\gamma)=0$.
15.8. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\gamma_{n m}^{2} \phi=f(r) e^{i n \theta}
$$

$$
\phi(0, \theta)<\infty \quad \phi_{r}(1, \theta)=\alpha e^{i n \theta}
$$

where $\gamma_{n m}$ is a root of $J_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma)=0$.
15.9. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}+\lambda u & =F(r) \\
u(a) & =u_{a} \\
u(b) & =u_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the homogeneous problem.
15.10. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{4} w-\lambda w=F(r) \\
w(0)<\infty \quad w(1)=\beta_{1} \quad w^{\prime}(1)=\beta_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

when $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the homogeneous problem and

$$
\nabla^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}
$$

15.11. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2} \phi_{1}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi_{1}}{d r}+\left(\alpha_{n}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{1}=f_{1}(r) \\
\frac{d^{2} \phi_{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \phi_{2}}{d r}-\left(\gamma_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{2}=f_{2}(r) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}=\mu_{1} \phi_{1}+\mu_{2} \phi_{2}, \phi_{2}^{\prime}=\mu_{3} \phi_{1}+\mu_{4} \phi_{2} \quad \text { at } \quad r=1 \\
\phi_{1}(0)<\infty \quad a \phi_{2}^{\prime}(a)-\phi_{2}(a)=0 \quad a>1
\end{gathered}
$$

where the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution.
15.12. Determine the solvability condition for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d r^{2}}+\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{T_{0}^{\prime}}{T_{0}}+\frac{2 k u_{0}^{\prime}}{\omega-k u_{0}}\right] \frac{d \phi}{d r}+\left[\frac{\left(\omega-k u_{0}\right)^{2}}{T_{0}}-k^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{r^{2}}\right] \phi=f(r) \\
\phi(0)<\infty \quad \phi^{\prime}-\beta \phi=\alpha \quad \text { at } \quad r=1
\end{gathered}
$$

when $T_{0}, u_{0}$, and $f(r)$ are known functions of $r ; \omega, k, \beta$, and $\alpha$ are constants; $m$ is an integer; and the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution.
15.13. In analyzing waves propagating in a, duct, one might encounter the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+5 \pi^{2} \phi=f(y) \sin 2 \pi x \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=\alpha \sin 2 \pi x \quad \text { at } \quad y=1
$$

Show that the solvability condition is

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \cos \pi y f(y) d y=-\alpha
$$

15.14. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[p(x, y) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[q(x, y) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right]+\lambda r(x, y) \phi=f(x, y) \\
\phi_{x}(0, y)=0 \quad \phi_{y}(x, 0)=0 \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(a, y)-\alpha_{1}(y) \phi(a, y)=\beta_{1}(y) \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, b)-\alpha_{2}(x) \phi(x, b)=\beta_{2}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

15.15. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x}-\dot{y}+2 x+3 \epsilon x^{2}+2 \epsilon y^{2} & =0 \\
\ddot{y}+\dot{x}+2 \delta y+4 \epsilon x y & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\delta=1+\epsilon \sigma$ and $\epsilon \ll 1$. Use the method of multiple scales to show that

$$
x=A_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i T_{0}}+A_{2} e^{2 i T_{0}}+\text { c.c. }+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3} i \sigma A_{2}-\frac{1}{2} i A_{1}^{2} \\
& A_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3} i \sigma A_{1}-i \bar{A}_{1} A_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

15.16. The free response of a two-degree of freedom system is governed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{u}_{2}+\delta u_{1}=\epsilon u_{1} u_{2} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \dot{u}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} u_{2}=\epsilon u_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $\delta=\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \sigma$. Show that the equations describing the complex amplitudes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3} i \sigma A_{2}+\frac{2}{3} A_{1}^{2} \\
& A_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3} i \sigma A_{1}-\frac{4}{3} A_{2} \bar{A}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

15.17. Use the method of multiple scales to determine the equations describing the amplitudes and the phases of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} u_{1}=\alpha_{1} u_{2} u_{3} \\
& \ddot{u}_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} u_{2}=\alpha_{2} u_{1} u_{3} \\
& \ddot{u}_{3}+\omega_{3}^{2} u_{3}=\alpha_{3} u_{1} u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 466 SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS

when $\omega_{3} \approx \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}$.
15.18. Consider the parametrically excited system of Section 15.3. Determine the equations describing the complex amplitudes when (a) $\Omega \approx 1$, (b) $\Omega \approx 2$, and $(c) \Omega \approx 4$.
15.19. Determine a first-order expansion for the eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda u=\epsilon f(x) u \\
u(0)=0 \quad u(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

when $\epsilon \ll 1$.
15.20. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda+\epsilon f(x)] u=0 \\
& u(0)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Show that

$$
\lambda=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \pi^{2}-2 \epsilon \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \sin ^{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi x d x+\cdots
$$

15.21. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{i v}+5 u^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda+\epsilon f(x)] u=0 \quad \epsilon \ll 1 \\
u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u(\pi)=u^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that when $\lambda \approx 4$

$$
\lambda=4+e \lambda_{1}+\cdots
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}^{2}+\left(f_{11}+f_{22}\right) \lambda_{1}+f_{11} f_{22}-f_{12}^{2}=0 \\
f_{11}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin ^{2} x d x \quad f_{22}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin ^{2} 2 x d x \\
f_{12}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin x \sin 2 x d x
\end{gathered}
$$

15.22. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
p_{2}(x) y^{n}+p_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+p_{0}(x) y=f(x)
$$

subject to the boundary conditions
(a) $y(0)=\beta_{1} \quad y(1)=\beta_{2}$
(b) $y^{\prime}(0)=\alpha_{1} y(0)+\beta_{1} \quad y^{\prime}(1)=\alpha_{2} y(1)+\beta_{2}$
(c) $y(0)=\alpha_{11} y^{\prime}(0)+\alpha_{12} y^{\prime}(1)$
$y^{\prime}(1)=\alpha_{21} y^{\prime}(0)+\alpha_{22} y^{\prime}(1)$
15.23. Determine the conditions under which

$$
p_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2} y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1} y^{\prime}+p_{0} y=0
$$

is self-adjoint. Ans. $p_{2}=\frac{3}{2} p_{3}^{\prime}, p_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-p_{2}^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
15.24. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
p_{3}(x) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}(x) y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+p_{0}(x) y=f(x)
$$

subject to the boundary conditions
(a) $y(0)=\beta_{1} \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta_{2} \quad y(1)=\beta_{3}$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}(0)=\alpha_{11} y(0)+\alpha_{12} y^{\prime}(0)+\alpha_{13} y(1)+\alpha_{14} y^{\prime}(1)$
$y^{\prime \prime}(1)=\alpha_{21} y(0)+\alpha_{22} y^{\prime}(0)+\alpha_{23} y(1)+\alpha_{24} y^{\prime}(1)$

$$
0=\alpha_{31} y(0)+\alpha_{32} y^{\prime}(0)+\alpha_{33} y(1)+\alpha_{34} y^{\prime}(1)
$$

15.25. Prove that any homogeneous self-adjoint sixth-order differential equation can be written in the form

$$
\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}}\left(A_{3} \frac{d^{3} y}{d x^{3}}\right)+\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(A_{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d x}\left(A_{1} \frac{d y}{d x}\right)+A_{0} y=0
$$

15.26. Prove that any homogeneous self-adjoint differential equation of order $2 m$ can be written in the form

$$
\frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}}\left(A_{m} \frac{d^{m} y}{d x^{m}}\right)+\frac{d^{m-1}}{d x^{m-1}}\left(A_{m-1} \frac{d^{m-1} y}{d x^{m-1}}\right)+\cdots+\frac{d}{d x}\left(A_{1} \frac{d y}{d x}\right)+A_{0} y=0
$$

15.27. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{5}(x) y^{v}+p_{4}(x) y^{i v}+p_{3}(x) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{2}(x) y^{\prime \prime}+p_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+p_{0} y=f(x) \\
& y(0)=\beta_{1} \quad y^{\prime}(0)=\beta_{2} \quad y^{\prime \prime}(0)=\beta_{3} \quad y(1)=\beta_{4} \quad y^{\prime}(1)=\beta_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

15.28. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\phi(s)=\lambda \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[\cos (s+t)+\epsilon K_{1}(s, t)\right] \phi(t) d t \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{(1)}=\cos s+\cdots \quad \phi^{(2)}=\sin s+\cdots \\
& \lambda^{(1)}=2 \pi^{-1}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}^{(1)}+\cdots \quad \lambda^{(2)}=-2 \pi^{-1}+\epsilon \lambda_{1}^{(2)}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine $\lambda_{1}^{(n)}$.
15.29. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for

$$
\phi(s)=\lambda \int_{-1}^{1}\left|s t+s^{2} t^{2}+\epsilon K_{1}(s, t)\right| \phi(t) d t \quad \epsilon \ll 1
$$

15.30. Determine a first-order uniform expansion for

$$
\phi(s)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left[s-t+\epsilon K_{1}(s, t) \mid \phi(t) \quad \epsilon \ll 1\right.
$$

15.31. Show that

$$
L(y)=\frac{d}{v_{3} d x} \cdot \frac{d}{v_{2} d x} \cdot \frac{y}{v_{1}}=0
$$

is self-adjuint if and only if $v_{3}= \pm v_{1}$.
15.32. Show that

$$
L(y)=\frac{d}{v_{4} d x} \cdot \frac{d}{v_{3} d x} \cdot \frac{d}{v_{2} d x} \cdot \frac{y}{v_{1}}=0
$$

is self-adjoint if and only if

$$
v_{4}= \pm v_{1} \quad v_{3}= \pm v_{2}
$$

15.33. Show that

$$
L(y)=\frac{d}{v_{n+1} d x} \cdot \frac{d}{v_{n} d x} \cdots \cdots \frac{d}{v_{3} d x} \cdot \frac{d}{v_{2} d x} \cdot \frac{y}{v_{1}}=0
$$

is self-adjoint if and only if

$$
v_{n+1}= \pm v_{1} \quad v_{n}= \pm v_{2} \quad v_{n-1}= \pm v_{3} \cdots
$$

15.34. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\omega^{2} \phi=0 \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}-\alpha \phi=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=a+\epsilon f(\theta) \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=b+\epsilon g(\theta)
\end{gathered}
$$

Determine a first-order expansion for $\omega$.
15.35. The incompressible flow past a wavy wall is governed by the mathematical problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{2} \phi=0 \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=-\epsilon k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \sin k x \quad \text { at } \quad y=\epsilon \cos k x \\
\phi \rightarrow U x \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that

$$
\phi=U\left[x+\epsilon \sin k x e^{-k y}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} k \sin 2 k x e^{-2 k y}+\cdots\right]
$$

Discuss the uniformity of this expansion.
15.36. The inviscid incompressible flow past a slightly distorted circular body is governed by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0 \\
\psi \rightarrow U r \sin \theta \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty \\
\psi=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=a\left(1-\epsilon \sin ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that

$$
\dot{\psi}=U\left(r-\frac{a^{2}}{r}\right) \sin \theta+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon U\left(\frac{3 a^{2}}{r} \sin \theta-\frac{a^{4}}{r^{3}} \sin 3 \theta\right)+\cdots
$$

Discuss the uniformity of this expansion.
15.37. Determine the solvability conditions for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{4} \phi-\lambda \phi=F(r, \theta) \\
\phi(a, \theta)=0 \quad \phi(b, \theta)=0 \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(a, \theta)=f(\theta) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(b, \theta)=f(\theta)
\end{gathered}
$$

15.38. In analyzing the nonparallel two-dimensional stability of incompressible flows past a sinusoidal wall, one encounters the inhomogeneous problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \alpha u+v^{\prime}=f_{1}(y) \\
-i(\omega-\alpha U) u+U^{\prime} v+i \alpha p-\frac{1}{R}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{2} u\right)=f_{2}(y) \\
-i(\omega-\alpha U) v+p^{\prime}-\frac{1}{R}\left(v^{n}-\alpha^{2} v\right)=f_{3}(y) \\
u(0)=c_{1} \quad v(0)=c_{2} \\
u, v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

where $U$ and $f_{n}$ are known functions of $y$, where $\omega, \alpha, R$, and $c_{n}$ are constants, and where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to $y$. When the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution, show that the solvability condition is

$$
-\sum_{n=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{n} f_{n}^{\prime} d y=-\frac{1}{R} c_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{R} c_{2} \phi_{3}^{\prime}(0)-c_{2} \varphi_{1}(0)
$$

where the $\phi_{n}$ satisfy the adjoint problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \alpha \phi_{2}-\phi_{3}^{\prime}=0 \\
i \alpha \phi_{1}-i(\omega-\alpha U) \phi_{2}-\frac{1}{R}\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{2} \phi_{2}\right)=0 \\
-\phi_{1}^{\prime}+U^{\prime} \phi_{2}-i(\omega-\alpha U) \phi_{3}-\frac{1}{R}\left(\phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{2} \phi_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \phi_{2}(0)=\phi_{3}(0)=0 \\
\phi_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty \quad n=2,3
\end{array}
$$

Hint: Multiply the governing equations by $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, and $\phi_{3}$, respectively; integrate each by parts from $y=0$ to $y=\infty$ to transfer the derivatives from $u, v$, and $p$ to the $\phi_{n}$; add the resulting equations; collect coefficients of $u, v$, and $p$; follow the steps in Section 15.13.
15.39. In analyzing the stability of growing three-dimensional incompressible boundary layers over flat surfaces, one encounters the inhomogeneous problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \alpha u+i \beta w+v^{\prime}=f_{1}(y) \\
-i \hat{\omega} u+U^{\prime} v+i \alpha p-\frac{1}{R}\left(u^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} u\right)=f_{2}(y) \\
-i \hat{\omega} v+p^{\prime}-\frac{1}{R}\left(v^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} v\right)=f_{3}(y) \\
-i \hat{\omega} w+i \beta p+\omega^{\prime} v-\frac{1}{R}\left(w^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} w\right)=f_{4}(y) \\
u=v=w=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=0 \\
u, v, w \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

where $\hat{\omega}=\omega-\alpha U-\beta W$ and $k^{2}=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$. Here $U, W$, and $f_{n}$ are known functions of $y$, and $\alpha, \beta, R$, and $\omega$ are constants. When the homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution, show that the solvability condition is .

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{n} \phi_{n} d y=0
$$

where the $\phi_{n}$ satisfy the adjoint problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \alpha \phi_{2}+i \beta \phi_{4}-\phi_{3}^{\prime}=0 \\
i \alpha \phi_{1}-i \hat{\omega} \phi_{2}-\frac{1}{R}\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} \phi_{2}\right)=0 \\
-\phi_{1}^{\prime}+U^{\prime} \phi_{2}-i \hat{\omega} \phi_{3}-\frac{1}{R}\left(\phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} \phi_{3}\right)+W^{\prime} \phi_{4}=0 \\
i \beta \phi_{1}-i \hat{\omega} \phi_{4}-\frac{1}{R}\left(\phi_{4}^{\prime \prime}-k^{2} \phi_{4}\right)=0 \\
\phi_{2}=\phi_{3}=\phi_{4}=0 \quad \text { at } y=0 \\
\phi_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow \infty \quad n=2,3,4
\end{array}
$$

15.40. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\phi_{x x}+\phi_{y y}+\lambda \phi=\epsilon x^{2} \phi
$$

$$
\phi(x, 0)=\phi(x, \pi)=\phi(0, y)=\phi(\pi, y)=0
$$

Determine first-order expansions when $\lambda$ is near 2 and 5 .

### 15.41. Consider the problem

$$
\nabla^{2} \phi+\lambda \phi=\epsilon f(x, y, z) \phi
$$

with $\phi$ vanishing on the surfaces of a cube of length $\pi$. Determine first-order expansions when $\lambda \approx 3$ and 6 if (a) $f=x^{2}$ and (b) $f=x^{2} y$.

## APPENDIX A

## Trigonometric Identities

## A.1. Basic Indentities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha=1  \tag{Al}\\
\tan \alpha=\frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \quad \cot \alpha=\frac{\cos \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \quad \tan \alpha=\frac{1}{\cot \alpha}  \tag{A2}\\
\sin (\alpha \pm \beta)=\sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta  \tag{A3}\\
\cos (\alpha \pm \beta)=\cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta \tag{A4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Adding the equations in (A3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \alpha \cos \beta=\frac{1}{2}[\sin (\alpha+\beta)+\sin (\alpha-\beta)] \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas subtracting the equations in (A3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \alpha \sin \beta=\frac{1}{2}[\sin (\alpha+\beta)-\sin (\alpha-\beta)] \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, adding and subtracting the equations in (A4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \alpha \cos \beta & =\frac{1}{2}[\cos (\alpha+\beta)+\cos (\alpha-\beta)]  \tag{A7}\\
\sin \alpha \sin \beta & =\frac{1}{2}[\cos (\alpha-\beta)-\cos (\alpha+\beta)]
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (A2) through (A4) that

$$
\tan (\alpha \pm \beta)=\frac{\sin (\alpha \pm \beta)}{\cos (\alpha \pm \beta)}=\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta}
$$

Dividing both numerator and denominator by $\cos \alpha \cos \beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan (\alpha \pm \beta)=\frac{\tan \alpha \pm \tan \beta}{1 \mp \tan \alpha \tan \beta} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot (\alpha \pm \beta)=\frac{\cot \alpha \cot \beta \mp 1}{\cot \beta \pm \cot \alpha} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let

$$
\alpha+\beta=x \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha-\beta=y
$$

so that

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{2}(x+y) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\frac{1}{2}(x-y)
$$

Then, (A5) through (A7) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin x+\sin y=2 \sin \frac{x+y}{2} \cos \frac{x-y}{2} \\
& \sin x-\sin y=2 \cos \frac{x+y}{2} \sin \frac{x-y}{2}  \tag{A10}\\
& \cos x+\cos y=2 \cos \frac{x+y}{2} \cos \frac{x-y}{2} \\
& \cos y-\cos x=2 \sin \frac{x+y}{2} \sin \frac{x-y}{2} \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $\beta=\alpha$ in (A5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin 2 \alpha=2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \tag{Al2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas putting $\beta=\alpha$ in (A7) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos 2 \alpha=2 \cos ^{2} \alpha-1  \tag{A13}\\
& \cos 2 \alpha=1-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

which, upon adding, yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 2 \alpha=\cos ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{2} \alpha \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To express $\sin 3 \alpha$ in terms of $\sin \alpha$, we put $\beta=2 \alpha$ in (A3) and obtain

$$
\sin 3 \alpha=\sin \alpha \cos 2 \alpha+\cos \alpha \sin 2 \alpha
$$

Using (A12) and (A13), we have

$$
\sin 3 \alpha=\sin \alpha\left(1-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)+2 \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \alpha
$$

But $\cos ^{2} \alpha=1-\sin ^{2} \alpha$; hence

$$
\sin 3 \alpha=\sin \alpha-2 \sin ^{3} \alpha+2 \sin \alpha\left(1-\sin ^{2} \alpha\right)
$$

or

$$
\sin 3 \alpha=3 \sin \alpha-4 \sin ^{3} \alpha
$$
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which, upon solving for $\sin ^{3} \alpha$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{3} \alpha=\frac{1}{4}(3 \sin \alpha-\sin 3 \alpha) \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

unl ldentity that is frequently used. Similarly, we can express $\cos 3 \alpha$ in terms of com $\alpha$ as follows. Wo put $\beta=2 \alpha \ln (A 4)$ and obtain

$$
\cos 3 \alpha=\cos \alpha \cos 2 \alpha-\sin \alpha \sin 2 \alpha
$$

which, upon using (A12) and (A13), becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos 3 \alpha & =\cos \alpha\left(2 \cos ^{2} \alpha-1\right)-2 \sin \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\
& =2 \cos ^{3} \alpha-\cos \alpha-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha \cos \alpha \\
& =2 \cos ^{3} \alpha-\cos \alpha-2\left(1-\cos ^{2} \alpha\right) \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

according to (A12). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 3 \alpha=4 \cos ^{3} \alpha-3 \cos \alpha \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{3} \alpha=\frac{1}{4}(3 \cos \alpha+\cos 3 \alpha) \tag{A18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is frequently used.

## A.2. Complex Quantities

Letting $x=i \theta$, where $i=\sqrt{-1}$, in (1.49), we have

$$
e^{i \theta}=1+\frac{i \theta}{1!}+\frac{(i \theta)^{2}}{2!}+\frac{(i \theta)^{3}}{3!}+\frac{(i \theta)^{4}}{4!}+\frac{(i \theta)^{5}}{5!}+\frac{(i \theta)^{6}}{6!}+\cdots
$$

But $i^{2}=-1$, hence

$$
e^{i \theta}=1+\frac{i \theta}{1!}-\frac{\theta^{2}}{2!}-\frac{i \theta^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\theta^{4}}{4!}+\frac{i \theta^{5}}{5!}-\frac{\theta^{6}}{6!}+\cdots
$$

which can be rearranged into

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \theta}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{2}}{2!}+\frac{\theta^{4}}{4!}-\frac{\theta^{6}}{6!}+\cdots\right)+i\left(\theta-\frac{\theta^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\theta^{5}}{5!}+\cdots\right) \tag{A19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the series in the parentheses with (1.47) and (1.48), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \theta}=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta \tag{A20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the complex conjugate of (A20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \theta}=\cos \theta-i \sin \theta \tag{A21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (A20) and (A21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right) \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (A21) from (A20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right) \tag{A23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show how one can use (A20) through (A23) to express $\cos ^{n} \theta$ and $\sin ^{n} \theta$ in Fourier series. It follows from (A22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos ^{3} \theta & =\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]^{3}=\frac{1}{8}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)^{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{8}\left(e^{3 i \theta}+3 e^{i \theta}+3 e^{-i \theta}+e^{-3 i \theta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

according to the binomial theorem. Rearranging, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos ^{3} \theta & =\frac{1}{8}\left(e^{3 i \theta}+e^{-3 i \theta}\right)+\frac{3}{8}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{8} \cdot 2 \cos 3 \theta+\frac{3}{8} \cdot 2 \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

according to (A22). Hence,

$$
\cos ^{3} \theta=\frac{1}{4}(\cos 3 \theta+3 \cos \theta)
$$

in agreement with (A18). It follows from (A23) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin ^{3} \theta & =\left[\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]^{3}=\frac{1}{8 i^{3}}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)^{3} \\
& =-\frac{1}{8 i}\left(e^{3 i \theta}-3 e^{i \theta}+3 e^{-i \theta}-e^{-3 i \theta}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{4} \frac{e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}}{2 i}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{e^{3 i \theta}-e^{-3 i \theta}}{2 i} \\
& =\frac{3}{4} \sin \theta-\frac{1}{4} \sin 3 \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

in agreement with (A16).
To expand $\cos ^{n} \theta$, for a general positive integer $n$, in a Fourier series, we note that

$$
\cos ^{n} \theta=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]^{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n}
$$

Letting $a=\exp (i \theta)$ and $b=\exp (-i \theta)$ in (1.39b), we have

$$
\left(e^{i \theta}+e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} e^{i(n-m) \theta} e^{-i m \theta}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} e^{i(n-2 m) \theta}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & e^{i n \theta}+n e^{i(n-2) \theta}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} e^{i(n-4) \theta}+\cdots+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \\
& X e^{-i(n-4) \theta}+n e^{-i(n-2) \theta}+e^{-i n \theta} \\
= & e^{i n \theta}+e^{-i n \theta}+n\left[e^{i(n-2) \theta}+e^{-i(n-2) \theta}\right] \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left[e^{i(n-4) \theta}+e^{-i(n-4) \theta}\right] \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!}\left[e^{i(n-6) \theta}+e^{-i(n-6) \theta}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos ^{n} \theta= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left[\cos n \theta+n \cos (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \cos (n-4) \theta\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} \cos (n-6) \theta+\cdots\right] \text { for odd } n-\quad \text { (A24) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos ^{n} \theta= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left[\cos n \theta+n \cos (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \cos (n-4) \theta+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{n!}{2\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!}\right] \text { for even } n \tag{A25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, to expand $\sin ^{n} \theta$, we note that

$$
\sin ^{n} \theta=\left[\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)\right]^{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n} i^{n}}\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n}
$$

Letting $a=\exp (i \theta)$ and $b=-\exp (-i \theta)$ in (1.39b), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n} & =\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{m} n!}{m!(n-m)!} e^{i(n-m) \theta} e^{-i m \theta} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{m} n!}{m!(n-m)!} e^{i(n-2 m) \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, when $n$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n}= & e^{i n \theta}-n e^{k(n-2) \theta}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} e^{x(n-4) \theta}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} e^{-k(n-4) \theta}-n e^{-k(n-2) \theta}+e^{-i n \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & e^{i n \theta}+e^{-i n \theta}-n\left[e^{i(n-2) \theta}+e^{-i(n-2) \theta}\right] \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2!}\left[e^{i(n-4) \theta}+e^{-i(n-4) \theta}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin ^{n} \theta= & \frac{2}{2^{n} i^{n}}\left[\cos n \theta-n \cos (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \cos (n-4) \theta\right. \\
& -\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} \cos (n-6) \theta+\cdots \\
& \left.+(-1)^{(1 / 2) n} \frac{n!}{2\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n!\right)}\right], \text { for even } n \tag{A26}
\end{align*}
$$

When $n$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{i \theta}-e^{-i \theta}\right)^{n}= & e^{i n \theta}-n e^{i(n-2) \theta}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} e^{i(n-4) \theta}+\cdots \\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} e^{-i(n-4) \theta}+n e^{-i(n-2) \theta}-e^{-i n \theta} \\
= & e^{i n \theta}-e^{-i n \theta}-n\left[e^{i(n-2) \theta}-e^{i(n-2) \theta}\right] \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2!}\left[e^{i(n-4) \theta}-e^{-i(n-4) \theta}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin ^{n} \theta= & \frac{1}{(2 i)^{n-1}}\left[\sin n \theta-n \sin (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \sin (n-4) \theta\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} \sin (n-6) \theta+\cdots\right] \quad \text { for odd } n \tag{A27}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $n=4$ in (A25), we have

$$
\cos ^{4} \theta=\frac{1}{8}(\cos 4 \theta+4 \cos 2 \theta+3)
$$

Putting $n=5$ in (A24), we have

$$
\cos ^{5} \theta=\frac{1}{16}(\cos 5 \theta+5 \cos 3 \theta+10 \cos \theta)
$$

Putting $n=4$ in (A26), we have

$$
\sin ^{4} \theta=\frac{1}{8}(\cos 4 \theta-4 \cos 2 \theta+3)
$$

Putting $n=5$ in (A27), we have

$$
\sin ^{5} \theta=\frac{1}{16}(\sin 5 \theta-5 \sin 3 \theta+10 \sin \theta)
$$
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To express $\cos ^{n} \theta \sin ^{m} \theta$ in a Fourier series, one can either use (A22) and (A23) directly or first express it in powers of $\cos \theta$ or $\sin \theta$ and then use (A22) and (A23).

## A.3. Integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \cos \alpha x \cos \beta x d x & =\frac{1}{2} \int \cos (\alpha+\beta) x d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \cos (\alpha-\beta) x d x \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta) x}{2(\alpha+\beta)}+\frac{\sin (\alpha-\beta) x}{2(\alpha-\beta)} & \beta \neq \alpha \\
\frac{\sin 2 \alpha x}{4 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2} x & \beta=\alpha\end{cases} \tag{A28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\int \sin \alpha x \sin \beta x d x=\frac{1}{2} \int \cos (\alpha-\beta) x d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \cos (\alpha+\beta) x d x
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}\frac{\sin (\alpha-\beta) x}{2(\alpha-\beta)}-\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta) x}{2(\alpha+\beta)} & \beta \neq \alpha  \tag{A29}\\ \frac{1}{2} x-\frac{\sin 2 \alpha x}{4 \alpha} & \beta=\alpha\end{cases}
$$

$$
\int \sin \alpha x \cos \beta x d x=\frac{1}{2} \int \sin (\alpha+\beta) x d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \sin (\alpha-\beta) x d x
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}-\frac{\cos (\alpha+\beta) x}{2(\alpha+\beta)}-\frac{\cos (\alpha-\beta) \dot{x}}{2(\alpha-\beta)} & \beta \neq \alpha  \tag{A30}\\ -\frac{\cos 2 \alpha x}{4 \alpha} & \beta=\alpha\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \cos ^{n} \theta \sin \theta d \theta=-\frac{\cos ^{n+1} \theta}{n+1} \tag{A31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \sin ^{n} \theta \cos \theta d \theta=\frac{\sin ^{n+1} \theta}{n+1} \tag{A32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of each of (A24) through (A27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \cos ^{n} \theta d \theta= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sin n \theta+\frac{n}{n-2} \sin (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!(n-4)} \sin (n-4) \theta\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!(n-6)} \sin (n-6) \theta+\cdots\right] \quad \text { for odd } n  \tag{A33}\\
\int \cos ^{n} \theta d \theta= & \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sin n \theta+\frac{n}{n-2} \sin (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!(n-4)} \sin (n-4) \theta\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\cdots+\frac{n!\theta}{2\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!}\right] \text { for even } n  \tag{A34}\\
\int \sin ^{n} \theta d \theta= & \frac{2}{2^{n} i^{n}}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sin n \theta-\frac{n}{n-2} \sin (n-2) \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!(n-4)} \sin (n-4) \theta\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{(1 / 2) n} n!\theta}{2\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!}\right] \text { for even } n  \tag{A35}\\
\int \sin ^{n} \theta d \theta= & \frac{1}{(2 i)^{n-1}}\left[-\frac{1}{n} \cos n \theta+\frac{n}{n-2} \cos (n-2) \theta\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{n(n-1)}{2!(n-4)} \cos (n-4) \theta+\cdots\right] \quad \text { for odd } n \tag{A36}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (A33) through (A36) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{n} \theta d \theta= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
\frac{n!\pi}{2^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}  \tag{A37}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{n} \theta d \theta= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
\frac{(-1)^{(1 / 2) n} n!\pi}{2^{n-1} i^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2} n\right)!} & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases} \tag{A38}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## Linear Ordinary-Differential Equations

A differential equation is an equation connecting the values of a function (called the dependent variable), the derivatives of this function, and certain known quantities. If the dependent variable is a function of a single variable (called the independent variable), the differential equation is called an ordinary-differential equation. If the dependent variable is a function of two or more independent variables, the differential equation is called a partial-differential equation. Thus, a general ordinary-differential equation is an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{d^{n} u}{d x^{n}}, \frac{d^{n-1} u}{d x^{n-1}}, \frac{d u^{n-2}}{d x^{n-2}}, \ldots, \frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}, \frac{d u}{d x}, u, x\right)=0 \tag{BI}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the order of the highest derivative is called the order of the differential equation. Thus, (B1) is of order $n$.
An ordinary-differential equation of order $n$ is said to be linear, if it is linear in the dependent variable $u$ and its derivatives $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, u^{(n-2)}, u^{(n-1)}, u^{(n)}$. Thus, the most general linear ordinary-differential equation of order $n$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x) \frac{d^{n} u}{d x^{n}}+p_{n-1}(x) \frac{d^{n-1} u}{d x^{n-1}}+\cdots+p_{1}(x) \frac{d u}{d x}+p_{0}(x) u=f(x) \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $p_{m}$, for $m=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, and $f$ are known functions of $x$. If $f(x) \equiv$ 0 , (B2) is called a homogeneous equation; otherwise, it is called an inhomogeneous equation.
Suppose that the $p_{m}(x)$, for $m=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, are continuous functions on an interval $I=\{x \mid a<x<b\}$ that includes the point $x_{0}$ and suppose that $p_{n}(x)$ does not vanish at any point in $I$, then for any real numbers $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$, there exists a unique solution $u(x)$ that satisfies (B2) everywhere in $I$ and satisfies the initial conditions

$$
u\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{0} \quad u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \ldots, u^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n-1}
$$

This is a statement of the fundamental existence theorem of linear ordinarydifferential equations.

It is convenient to express (B2) in operator form. To this end, we let $D$ denote the differential operator $d / d x$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D u & =\frac{d u}{d x}=u^{\prime} \\
D^{2} u & =D(D u)=D\left(u^{\prime}\right)=u^{\prime \prime} \\
D^{n} u & =D\left(D^{n-1} u\right)=D\left[u^{(n-1)}\right]=u^{(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we define $D^{0} u$ to be $u$. Hence, (B2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n} D^{n} u+p_{n-1} D^{n-1} u+\cdots+p_{1} D u+p_{0} D^{0} u=f(x) \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in the convenient abbreviated form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=f(x) \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $L$ is defined by means of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\dot{p}_{n} D^{n}+p_{n-1} D^{n-1}+\cdots+p_{1} D+p_{0} D^{0} \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $L$ is called a linear operator and it has some interesting properties. If $c$ is a constant and $u$ is any function that possesses at least $n$ derivatives, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(c u)=c L(u) \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(c u) & =p_{n}(c u)^{(n)}+p_{n-1}(c u)^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{1}(c u)^{\prime}+p_{0}(c u) \\
& =p_{n} c u^{(n)}+p_{n-1} c u^{(n-1)}+\cdots+c p_{1} u^{\prime}+p_{0} c u \\
& =c\left[p_{n} u^{(n)}+p_{n-1} u^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{1} u^{\prime}+p_{0} u\right]=c L(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are any two functions that possess at least $\boldsymbol{n}$ derivatives, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)-L\left(u_{1}\right)+L\left(u_{2}\right) \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)= & p_{n}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{(n)}+p_{n-1}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{1}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +p_{0}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=p_{n}\left[u_{1}^{(n)}+u_{2}^{(n)}\right]+p_{n-1}\left[u_{1}^{(n-1)}+u_{2}^{(n-1)}\right]+\cdots \\
& +p_{1}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime}\right)+p_{0}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=\left[p_{n} u_{1}^{(n)}+p_{n-1} u_{1}^{(n-1)}+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+p_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+p_{0} u_{1}\right]+\left[p_{n} u_{2}^{(n)}+p_{n-1} u_{2}^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{1} u_{2}^{\prime}+p_{0} u\right] \\
= & L\left(u_{1}\right)+L\left(u_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (B6) and (B7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}\right)=c_{1} L\left(u_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(u_{2}\right) \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in general that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}\right)=c_{1} L\left(u_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(\dot{u_{2}}\right)+\cdots+c_{m} L\left(u_{m}\right) \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{i}$ are constant.

## B.1. Homogeneous Equations

Putting $f(x) \equiv 0$ in (B4) yields the homogeneous $n$th order ordinary-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=0 \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{1}$ is a solution of (B10) and $c$ is any constant, then $c u_{1}$ is also a solution of (B10) because

$$
L\left(c u_{1}\right)=c L\left(u_{1}\right)=0
$$

## PRINCIPLE OF SUPERPOSITION

If $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are two solutions of (B10) and $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are any two constants, then $c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}$ is also a solution of ( B 10 ) because

$$
L\left(c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}\right)=c_{1} L\left(u_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(u_{2}\right)=c_{1} \cdot 0+c_{2} \cdot 0=0
$$

In general, if $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are solutions of (B10) and $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ are any constants, then

$$
c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}
$$

is also a solution of $(\mathrm{B10})$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}\right) & =c_{1} L\left(u_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(u_{2}\right)+\cdots+c_{m} L\left(u_{m}\right) \\
& =c_{1} \cdot 0+c_{2} \cdot 0+\cdots+c_{m} \cdot 0=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This property is usually referred to as the principle of superposition. The function $c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}$ is usually referred to as a linear combination of $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$.

## LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

Let $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{m}(x)$ be a set of functions defined on the interval $I=[a, b]$. This set is said to be linearly dependent, if there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$, not all zero, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} u_{1}(x)+c_{2} u_{2}(x)+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}(x)=0 \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ in $I$; otherwise, this set is said to be linearly independent. The restriction
that not all the $c_{l}$ are zero is essential because (B11) holds for any set if all the $c_{i}$ are zero. For example, the functions $2 x-1$ and $6 x-3$ are linearly dependent because

$$
-3 \cdot(2 x-1)+1 \cdot(6 x-3) \equiv 0
$$

However, the functions $2 x-1$ and $5 x-3$ are linearly independent because it is impossible to find two constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, not both zero, such that

$$
c_{1}(2 x-1)+c_{2}(5 x-3)=0
$$

An alternative but important method of testing for the linear dependence or independence of a set of functions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{m}(x)$ involves their Wronskian determinant, which is defined by

$$
W=W\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{m}  \tag{B12}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{m}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
u_{1}^{(m-1)} & u_{2}^{(m-1)} & \cdots & u_{m}^{(m-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If the functions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{m}(x)$ are linearly dependent on the interval $I$, then there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$, not all zero, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}=0 \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon differentiation ( $m-1$ ) times, yields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+c_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}^{\prime}=0 \\
c_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+c_{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot  \tag{B16}\\
c_{1} u_{1}^{(m-1)}+c_{2} u_{2}^{(m-1)}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}^{(m-1)}=0
\end{array}
$$

At each point $x$ in the interval $I$, (B13) through (B16) constitute a system of homogeneous linear algebraic equations for $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$. Since the $c_{i}$ are not all zero, the determinant of their coefficient matrix must be zero. But this determinant is the Wronskian determinant. Hence, $W(x)=0$ at every point in $I$. If the Wronskian determinant is not zero at any point in $I$, then (B14) through (B16) have only the trivial solution $c_{1}=c_{2}=\cdots=c_{m}=0$, and the functions $u_{1}(x)$, $u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{m}(x)$ are linearly independent. Hence, a set of functions is linearly dependent in $I$ if and only if their Wronskian determinant vanishes at every point in $I$. For example, the Wronskian determinant of the functions $2 x-1$ and $6 x-3$ is

$$
w=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
2 x-1 & 6 x-3 \\
2 & 6
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

and hence, they are linearly dependent. On the other hand, the Wronskian deter-
minant of the functions $2 x-1$ and $5 x-3$ is

$$
W=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
2 x-1 & 5 x-3 \\
2 & 5
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

and hence, they are linearly independent.

## GENERAL SOLUTION

Equation (B10) has no more than $n$ linearly independent solutions. For suppose that $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{N}(x)$, where $N>n$, are solutions of $(\mathrm{B} 10)$, then

$$
W\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)
$$

$$
=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n} & \cdots & u_{N}  \tag{B17}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{N}^{\prime} \\
u_{1}^{(n)} & u_{2}^{(n)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n)} & \cdots & u_{N}^{(n)} \\
u_{1}^{(\dot{N}-1)} & u_{2}^{(\dot{N}-1)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(\dot{N-1)}} & \cdots & u_{N}^{(\dot{N}-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is zero because (B10) can be used to express the $(n+1)$ th row as a linear combination of the first $n$ rows. Hence, the solutions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{N}(x)$, for $N>n$, are linearly dependent.

Next, we show that (B10) has exactly $n$ linearly independent solutions on the interval $I$. To this end, we note that the fundamental existence theorem shows that (B10) has $n$ unique solutions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)$ that satisfy the initial conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \quad u_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{1}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \\
u_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad u_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \quad u_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{2}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \\
u_{3}\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad u_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \quad u_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{3}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \\
u_{m}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{m}^{(m-2)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad u_{m}^{(m-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \\
u_{m}^{(m)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{m}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
u_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\cdots=u_{n}^{(n-2)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad u_{n}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1
$$

It can be easily shown that the Wronskian determinant of these $n$ solutions is unity at $x_{0}$. Thus, the Wronskian determinant of these solutions is not zero everywhere in $I$, and hence, they are linearly independent.
Any set of $n$ linearly independent solutions of ( B 10 ) is called a fundamental set and any linear combination of these solutions is a solution of (B10). Thus, if $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)$ is a fundamental set of $(\mathrm{B} 10)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=c_{1} u_{1}(x)+c_{2} u_{2}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} u_{n}(x) \tag{B18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$, is a solution of (B10) according to the principle of superposition. Since any solution of (B10) can be obtained from (B18) by a proper choice of the $c_{m}$, (B18) is called the general solution of (B10).
Before closing this section, we derive an expression that relates the value of the Wronskian determinant of a fundamental set at any point $x$ in $I$ to its value at any other point $x_{0}$. To this end, we write

$$
W=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n}  \tag{B19}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\dot{(\dot{n-1)}} & u_{2}^{(\dot{n-1})} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Differentiating (B19) with respect to $x$ and using the property of determinants, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{\prime}= & \left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\dot{0} & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
u_{1}^{(n-1)} & u_{2}^{(n-1)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots \\
u_{1}^{\prime \prime} & u_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots \\
\dot{0} & \cdots & u_{n} \\
u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
u_{1}^{(n-1)} & u_{2}^{(n-1)} & \cdots \\
\cdot & u_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right| \\
& +\cdots+\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n} \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\cdot(n) & \cdot(n) & \cdots & \cdot \\
u_{1}^{(n)} & u_{2}^{(n)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right| \tag{B20}
\end{align*}
$$

All determinants in (B20) except the last one vanish because of the presence of repeated rows. Hence,

$$
W^{\prime}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n}  \tag{B21}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\dot{(n)} & u_{1}^{(n)} & \cdots & \cdot \\
u_{1}^{(n)} & u_{2}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Using (B10) to express the $u_{m}^{(n)}$ in terms of $u_{m}, u_{m}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{m}^{(n-1)}$ and using properties of determinants, we rewrite (B21) as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
W^{\prime}=-\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n} \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\dot{\cdot} & \dot{0} & \cdots & \dot{\cdot} \\
u_{1}^{(n-1)} & u_{2}^{(n-1)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right| \\
-\frac{p_{n-2}}{p_{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n} \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
\dot{(\cdot n-2)} & u_{2}^{(n-2)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-2)} \\
u_{1}^{(n-2)} & u_{2}^{(n-2)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-2)}
\end{array}\right|
\end{array}
$$

$$
\cdots-\frac{p_{0}}{p_{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n}  \tag{B22}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} & u_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & u_{n}^{\prime} \\
u_{1}^{(n-2)} & u_{2}^{(n-2)} & \cdots & u_{n}^{(n-2)} \\
u_{1} & u_{2} & \cdots & u_{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

All the determinants in (B22) except the first one vanish owing to the presence of repeated rows. Moreover, comparing the first determinant in (B22) with that in (B19), we conclude that they are the same. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}=-\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_{n}} W \tag{B23}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=W\left(x_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{p_{n-1}(\tau)}{p_{n}(\tau)} d \tau\right] \tag{B24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relates $W(x)$ to $W\left(x_{0}\right)$.

## B.2. Inhomogeneous Equations

Equation (B10) is called the associated homogeneous equation of (B4). It turns out that we can solve the inhomogeneous equation (B4) if we can find the general solution of the associated homogeneous equation and if we can also find just one particular solution of (B4). Specifically, if $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)$ are $n$ linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation (B10) and if $u_{p}(x)$ is a particular solution of (B4), then the general solution of (B4) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} u_{n}+u_{p} \tag{B25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{n}$ are constants. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{c}=c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} u_{n} \tag{B26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the complementary function. Thus, the general solution of (B4) is the sum of a particular solution and the complementary function. First, we verify that every solution of the form (B25) is a solution of (B4). Since $L\left(u_{m}\right)=0$, for $m=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $I\left(u_{p}\right)=f$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}+u_{p}\right)= & c_{1} L\left(u_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(u_{2}\right)+\cdots+c_{m} L\left(u_{m}\right) \\
& +L\left(u_{p}\right)=f
\end{aligned}
$$

verifying that (B25) is a solution of (B4). Next, we show that every solution of (B4) is of the form (B25). Let $u(x)$ be any solution of (B4), then

$$
L(u)=f
$$

and since

$$
L\left(u_{p}\right)=f
$$

then,

$$
L\left(u-u_{p}\right)=L(u)-L\left(u_{p}\right)=f-f=0
$$

Hence, $u-u_{p}$ is a solution of the associated homogeneous equation $L(v)=0$. Consequently,

$$
v=u-u_{p}=c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}
$$

which yields (B25).
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=f^{(1)}(x)+f^{(2)}(x)+\cdots+f^{(k)}(x) \tag{B27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[u_{p}^{(l)}\right]=f^{(l)}(x) \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p}=u_{p}^{(1)}+u_{p}^{(2)}+\cdots+u_{p}^{(k)} \tag{B29}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(u_{p}\right) & =L\left[u_{p}^{(1)}+u_{p}^{(2)}+\cdots+u_{p}^{(k)}\right]=L\left[u_{p}^{(1)}\right]+L\left[u_{p}^{(2)}\right]+\cdots+L\left[u_{p}^{(k)}\right] \\
& =f^{(1)}(x)+f^{(2)}(x)+\cdots+f^{(k)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.3. Solutions of Homogeneous Equations with Constant Coefficients

In this section, we determine the general solutions of homogeneous equations with constant coefficients, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=0 \tag{B30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=D^{n}+p_{n-1} D^{n-1}+p_{n-2} D^{n-2}+\cdots+p_{2} D^{2}+p_{1} D+p_{0} \tag{B31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $p_{n}$ are constant. We associate with the operator $L$ a polynomial $P$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s)=s^{n}+p_{n-1} s^{n-1}+p_{n-2} s^{n-2}+\cdots+p_{2} s^{2}+p_{1} s+p_{0} \tag{B32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D)=D^{n}+p_{n-1} D^{n-1}+p_{n-2} D^{n-2}+\cdots+p_{2} D^{2}+p_{1} D+p_{0} \tag{B33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $P(D)$ a polynomial operator and rewrite (B30) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D) u=0 \tag{B34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
D e^{s x}=s e^{s x} \quad D^{2} e^{s x}=s^{2} e^{s x} \quad \cdots \quad D^{m} e^{s x}=s^{m} e^{s x}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D) e^{s x}=P(s) e^{s x} \tag{B35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomial $P(s)$ is called the auxiliary polynomial associated with the operator polynomial $P(D)$. It follows from (B35) that if $s_{m}$ is a root of $P(s)$, then $\exp \left(s_{m} x\right)$ is a solution of (B34). Hence, if $P(s)$ has the $n$ distinct real roots $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}$, each of the functions

$$
e^{s_{1} x}, e^{s_{2} x}, \ldots, e^{s_{n} x}
$$

is a solution of (B34). Since they are linearly independent, the general solution of (B34) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c_{1} e^{s_{1} x}+c_{2} e^{s_{2} x}+\cdots+c_{n} e^{s_{n} x} \tag{B36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{n}$ are constants.
For example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}-3 u^{\prime}+2 u=0 \tag{B37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be rewritten as

$$
\left(D^{2}-3 D+2\right) u=0
$$

The associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{2}-3 s+2=(s-2)(s-1)
$$

which has the roots $s=1$ and 2. Hence, each of the functions $\exp (x)$ and $\exp \cdot$ ( $2 x$ ) is a solution of (B37). Since they are linearly independent, the general solution of (B37) is

$$
u=c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}
$$

As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 u^{\prime \prime}-u^{\prime}-2 u=0 \tag{B38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{3}+2 s^{2}-s-2=(s-1)(s+1)(s+2)
$$

It has the roots $s=1,-1$, and -2 . Hence, each of the functions

$$
e^{x} e^{-x} \quad e^{-2 x}
$$

is a solution of (B38). Since they are linearly independent, the general solution

$$
u=c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{-x}+c_{3} e^{-2 x}
$$

We should note that, in the general case, the roots of the associated auxiliary polynomial are neither all real nor all distinct. Next, we discuss these other cases starting with the case of complex roots.

## THE CASE OF COMPLEX ROOTS

We consider a second-order equation whose associated auxiliary polynomial has the complex conjugate roots $\mu+i \omega$ and $\mu-i \omega$, where $\mu$ and $\omega$ are real. We note that if one of the roots is complex, the other root must be its complex conjugate because the auxiliary polynomial is real. Thus, each of the functions

$$
e^{(\mu+i \omega) x} \quad \text { and } \quad e^{(\mu-i \omega) x}
$$

is a solution of the equation. Since these solutions are linearly independent, the general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c_{1} e^{(\mu+i \omega) x}+c_{2} e^{(\mu-i \omega) x} \tag{B39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i \omega x} & =\cos \omega x+i \sin \omega x \\
e^{-i \omega x} & =\cos \omega x-i \sin \omega x
\end{aligned}
$$

we rewrite (B39) in real form as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =c_{1} e^{\mu x}(\cos \omega x+i \sin \omega x)+c_{2} e^{\mu x}(\cos \omega x-i \sin \omega x) \\
& =e^{\mu x}\left[\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) \cos \omega x+i\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) \sin \omega x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{\mu x}(A \cos \omega x+B \sin \omega x) \tag{B40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=c_{1}+c_{2}$ and $B=i\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)$ are arbitrary constants that can be considered real. Equation (B40) shows that each of the real and imaginary parts of either $\exp [(\mu+i \omega) x]$ or $\exp [(\mu-i \omega) x]$ is a solution.

Equation (B40) can be rewritten in one of the following convenient forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{\mu x} \cos (\omega x-\beta) \tag{B41}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=a e^{\mu x} \sin (\omega x+\theta) \tag{B42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a=\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \beta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{B}{A} \quad \theta=\frac{1}{2} \pi-\beta
$$

For example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=0 \tag{B43}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{2}+\omega_{0}^{2}
$$

It has the roots $s= \pm i \omega_{0}$. Hence, each of the functions $\exp \left(i \omega_{0} x\right)$ and $\exp \cdot$ $\left(-i \omega_{0} x\right)$ is a solution of (B43); equivalently, the real part $\cos \omega_{0} x$ and the imaginary part $\sin \omega_{0} x$ of $\exp \left(i \omega_{0} x\right)$ are solutions of (B43). Hence, the general solution of (B43) can be expressed in one of the following forms:

$$
u=A \cos \omega_{0} x+B \sin \omega_{0} x
$$

or

$$
u=a \cos \left(\omega_{0} x-\beta\right)
$$

or

$$
u=a \sin \left(\omega_{0} x+\theta\right)
$$

As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+2 u^{\prime}+5 u=0 \tag{B44}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{2}+2 s+5=(s+1+2 i)(s+1-2 i)
$$

Its roots are $s=-1-2 i$ and $s=-1+2 i$ so that each of the functions exp-$(-x-2 i x)$ and $\exp (-x+2 i x)$ is a solution of (B44). Equivalently, the real part $\exp (-x) \cos 2 x$ and the imaginary part $-\exp (-x) \sin 2 x$ of $\exp (-x-2 i x)$ are solutions of (B44). Hence, the general solution of (B44) can be expressed as

$$
u=e^{-x}(A \cos 2 x+B \sin 2 x)
$$

As a third example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i v}-\omega_{0}^{2} u=0 \tag{B45}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{4}-\omega_{0}^{2}
$$

Its roots are $s= \pm \sqrt{\omega_{0}}$ and $s= \pm i \sqrt{\omega_{0}}$. Hence, each of the functions

$$
e^{\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x} \quad e^{-\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x} \quad e^{i \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x} \quad e^{-i \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x}
$$

or

$$
e^{\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x} \quad e^{-\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x} \quad \cos \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x \quad \sin \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x
$$

is a solution of (B45). Therefore, the general solution of (B45) can be expressed as

$$
u=A \cos \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x+B \sin \sqrt{\omega_{0}} x+C e^{\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x}+D e^{-\sqrt{\omega_{0}} x}
$$

As a final example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime v}+2 u^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 u^{\prime \prime}+2 u^{\prime}+5 u=0 \tag{B46}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
s^{4}+2 s^{3}+6 s^{2}+2 s+5=\left(s^{2}+1\right)\left(s^{2}+2 s+5\right)
$$

Its roots are $s= \pm i,-1-2 i$, and $-1+2 i$. Hence, each of the functions

$$
e^{i x} \quad e^{-i x} \quad e^{-x-2 i x} \quad e^{-x+2 i x}
$$

or

$$
\cos x \quad \sin x \quad e^{-x} \cos 2 x \quad e^{-x} \sin 2 x
$$

is a solution of (B46). Therefore, the general solution of (B46) can be expressed as

$$
u=A \cos x+B \sin x+e^{-x}(C \cos 2 x+D \sin 2 x)
$$

## THE CASE OF EQUAL ROOTS

We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}-2 \alpha u^{\prime}+\alpha^{2} u=0 \tag{B47}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}-2 \alpha D+\alpha^{2}\right) u=(D-\alpha)^{2} u=0 \tag{B48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
(s-\alpha)^{2}
$$

which has the repeated root $\alpha$. Consequently, there is only one solution, namely $\exp (\alpha x)$, of the exponential form. Since (B47) is a second-order equation, we need to determine a second solution that is linearly independent from $\exp (\alpha x)$. To this end, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{\alpha x} v(x) \tag{B49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(e^{\alpha x} v\right) & =v D e^{\alpha x}+e^{\alpha x} D v=\alpha v e^{\alpha x}+e^{\alpha x} D v=e^{\alpha x}(D v+\alpha v) \\
& =e^{\alpha x}(D+\alpha) v \\
D^{2}\left(e^{\alpha x} v\right) & =D\left[D\left(e^{\alpha x} v\right)\right]=D\left[e^{\alpha x}(D+\alpha) v\right]=e^{\alpha x}(D+\alpha)^{2} v
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D)\left(e^{\alpha x} v\right)=e^{\alpha x} P(D+\alpha) v \tag{B50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, substituting (B49) into (B48) yields

$$
e^{\alpha x} D^{2} v=0 \quad \text { or } \quad D^{2} v=0
$$

whose solution is $v=c_{1}+c_{2} x$. Therefore, the general solution of (B47) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right) e^{\alpha x} \tag{B51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\exp (\alpha x)$ and $x \exp (\alpha x)$ are two linearly independent solutions of (B47).
As a second example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D-\alpha)^{3} u=0 \tag{B52}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose associated auxiliary polynomial is

$$
(s-\alpha)^{3}
$$

which has the root $s=\alpha$ with a multiplicity of three. Consequently, there is only one linearly independent solution of (B52) in the form of an exponential, namely, $\exp (\alpha x)$. To determine two other linearly independent solutions, we substitute (B49) into (B52), use (B50), and obtain

$$
(D-\alpha)^{3} u=(D-\alpha)^{3} e^{\alpha x} v(x)=e^{\alpha x} D^{3} v=0
$$

Hence,

$$
D^{3} v=0
$$

which has the general solution

$$
v=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}
$$

Consequently, the general solution of (B52) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}\right) e^{\alpha x} \tag{B53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (B53) that

$$
e^{\alpha x} \quad x e^{\alpha x} \quad x^{2} e^{\alpha x}
$$

are linearly independent solutions of (B52).
As a third example, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D-\alpha)^{m} u=0 \tag{B54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, upon using (B49) and (B50), becomes

$$
D^{m} v=0
$$

whose solution is

$$
v=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{m} x^{m-1}
$$

Hence,

$$
u=e^{\alpha x}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{m} x^{m-1}\right)
$$

As a fourth example, we consider the following general equation with two repeated roots:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D-\alpha_{1}\right)^{m}\left(D-\alpha_{2}\right)^{n} u=0 \tag{B55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (B55) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(D-\alpha_{1}\right)^{m}\left[\left(D-\alpha_{2}\right)^{n} u\right]=0
$$

Hence, (B55) is satisfied by any solution of the simpler problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D-\alpha_{2}\right)^{n} u=0 \tag{B56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the operators $\left(D-\alpha_{1}\right)^{m}$ and $\left(D-\alpha_{2}\right)^{n}$ are commutative, (B55) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(D-\alpha_{2}\right)^{n}\left[\left(D-\alpha_{1}\right)^{m} u\right]=0
$$

Hence, (B55) is satisfied by any solution of the simpler problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D-\alpha_{1}\right)^{m} u=0 \tag{B57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of (B55) is the sum of the general solutions of (B56) and (B57) containing ( $m+n$ ) arbitrary constants, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{\alpha_{1} x}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x+\cdots+c_{m} x^{m-1}\right)+e^{\alpha_{2} x}\left(b_{1}+b_{2} x+\cdots+b_{n} x^{n-1}\right) \tag{B58}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an application of (B58), we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{4}-8 D^{2}+16\right) u=0 \quad \text { or } \quad\left(D^{2}-4\right)^{2} u=0 \tag{B59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its associated auxiliary polynomial $\left(s^{2}-4\right)^{2}$ has the roots

$$
s=2 \text { (twice) } \quad s=-2 \text { (twice) }
$$

Thus, according to (B48), the general solution of (B59) is

$$
u=\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right) e^{2 x}+\left(b_{1}+b_{2} x\right) e^{-2 x}
$$

As a second application of (B58), we consider the following equation with complex roots:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{3} u=0 \tag{B60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated auxiliary polynomial

$$
\left(s^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(s^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{3}
$$

has the roots

$$
s=i \alpha_{1}(\text { twice }) \quad-i \alpha_{1}(\text { twice }) \quad i \alpha_{2}(\text { three times }) \quad-i \alpha_{2}(\text { three times })
$$

Hence, the general solution of ( B 60 ) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \left(a_{1}+a_{2} x\right) e^{i \alpha_{1} x}+\left(b_{1}+b_{2} x\right) e^{-i \alpha_{1} x} \\
& +\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}\right) e^{i \alpha_{1} x}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2} x+d_{3} x^{2}\right) e^{-i \alpha_{1} x}
\end{aligned}
$$

or in real form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \left(A_{1}+A_{2} x\right) \cos \alpha_{1} x+\left(B_{1}+B_{2} x\right) \sin \alpha_{1} x \\
& +\left(C_{1}+C_{2} x+C_{3} x^{2}\right) \cos \alpha_{2} x+\left(D_{1}+D_{2} x+D_{3} x^{2}\right) \sin \alpha_{2} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.4. Particular Solutions of Inhomogeneous Equations with Constant Coefficients

We determine particular solutions of equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D) u=f(x) \tag{B61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ consists of exponentials, circular functions, positive powers of $x$, and their products. Particular integrals corresponding to general functions $f(x)$ can be determined by using methods other than the symbolic method used here, such as the method of variation of parameters, examples of which are discussed in Chapters 4 through 11. We use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{P(D)} f(x) \tag{B62}
\end{equation*}
$$

to denote a particular solution of (B61).
THE CASE $f(x)=\exp (\alpha x)$
Since

$$
P(D) e^{\alpha x}=e^{\alpha x} P(\alpha)
$$

then provided that $P(\alpha) \neq 0$, the particular integral (B62) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{P(D)} e^{\alpha x}=\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{P(\alpha)} \tag{B63}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily verify that (B63) is a particular integral of (B61) because

$$
P(D) u=P(D)\left[\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{P(\alpha)}\right]=\frac{e^{\alpha x} P(\alpha)}{P(\alpha)}=e^{\alpha x}
$$

If $P(\alpha)=0$, then $D-\alpha$ must be a factor of $P(D)$. We assume that $\alpha$ is a root of $P(\alpha)$ with multiplicity $m$ so that $(D-\alpha)^{m}$ is a factor of $P(D)$. Hence, $P(D)=$ $(D-\alpha)^{m} Q(D)$ where $Q(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then, using the notation (B62), we write a particular integral of (B61) as

$$
u=\frac{1}{(D-\alpha)^{m} Q(D)} e^{\alpha x}=\frac{1}{(D-\alpha)^{m}} \cdot\left[\frac{1}{Q(D)} e^{\alpha x}\right]
$$

Since $Q(\alpha) \neq 0$,

$$
\frac{1}{Q(D)} e^{\alpha x}=\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{(D-\alpha)^{m}} \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)}=\frac{1}{Q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{(D-\alpha)^{m}} e^{\alpha x} \tag{B64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (B50) in (B64) with $v=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{D^{m}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / D$ stands for the inverse of $D$, that is the operator that integrates once with respect to $x$, whereas $1 / D^{m}$ integrates $m$ times with respect to $x$. Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{D^{m}} \cdot(1)=\frac{1}{D^{m-1}}(x)=\frac{x^{m}}{m!}
$$

Hence, a particular integral of (B61) when $P(D)=(D-\alpha)^{m} Q(D)$, where $Q(\alpha) \neq$ 0 , is

$$
u=\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)} \frac{x^{m}}{m!}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D-\alpha)^{m} Q(D) u & =(D-\alpha)^{m} Q(D)\left[\frac{e^{\alpha x} x^{m}}{Q(\alpha) m!}\right] \\
& =Q(D)\left\{(D-\alpha)^{m}\left[\frac{e^{\alpha x} x^{m}}{Q(\alpha) m!}\right]\right\} \\
& =Q(D)\left\{\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)} D^{m}\left[\frac{x^{m}}{m!}\right]\right\} \\
& =Q(D)\left\{\frac{e^{\alpha x}}{Q(\alpha)}\right\}=e^{\alpha x}
\end{aligned}
$$

THE CASE $f(x)=\cos \alpha x$
Since

$$
D^{2}(\cos \alpha x)=-\alpha^{2} \cos \alpha x \quad D^{4}(\cos \alpha x)=\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2} \cos \alpha x
$$

then,

$$
Q\left(D^{2}\right) \cos \alpha x=Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) \cos \alpha x
$$

This suggests that we may determine a particular integral by replacing $D^{2}$ with $-\alpha^{2}$ whenever it occurs. Thus, a particular integral of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(D^{2}\right) u=\cos \alpha x \tag{B66}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{Q\left(D^{2}\right)} \cos \alpha x=\frac{\cos \alpha x}{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)} \tag{B67}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) \neq 0$. This is so because

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(D^{2}\right) u & =Q\left(D^{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\cos \alpha x}{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)}\right\}=\frac{1}{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)} Q\left(D^{2}\right)\{\cos \alpha x\} \\
& =\frac{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) \cos \alpha x}{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)}=\cos \alpha x
\end{aligned}
$$

An important special case of (B66) and (B67) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\cos \omega t  \tag{B68}\\
u=\frac{\cos \omega t}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}} \quad \omega \neq \omega_{0} \tag{B69}
\end{gather*}
$$

When $Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)=0$, the preceding procedure needs to be modified. To this end, we note that

$$
\cos \alpha x=\operatorname{Real}\left(e^{i \alpha x}\right)
$$

so that (B66) can be rewritten as

$$
Q\left(D^{2}\right)(\operatorname{Real} u)=\operatorname{Real}\left(e^{i \alpha x}\right)
$$

Hence, a particular solution of (B66) can be determined by determining a particular solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(D^{2}\right) u=e^{i \alpha x} \tag{B70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then taking its real part. A particular solution of (B70) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{Q\left(D^{2}\right)} e^{i \alpha x} \tag{B71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)=0$,

$$
Q(D)=(D+i \alpha)^{m}(D-i \alpha)^{m} F\left(D^{2}\right)
$$

where $F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) \neq 0$. Thus, (B71) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\frac{1}{(D+i \alpha)^{m}(D-i \alpha)^{m} F\left(D^{2}\right)} e^{i \alpha x} \\
& =\frac{1}{(D-i \alpha)^{m}}\left\{\frac{1}{(D+i \alpha)^{m} F\left(D^{2}\right)} e^{i \alpha x}\right\} \tag{B72}
\end{align*}
$$

where we separated the part of the operator that makes the denominator vanish. Performing the operation within the brackets in (B72), we have

$$
u=\frac{1}{(D-i \alpha)^{m}}\left\{\frac{e^{i \alpha x}}{(2 i \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)}\right\}=\frac{1}{(2 i \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{(D-i \alpha)^{m}}\left\{e^{i \alpha x}\right\}
$$

which, upon using (B50) with $v=1$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{e^{i \alpha x}}{(2 i \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{D^{m}} \cdot\{1\}=\frac{x^{m} e^{i\left(\alpha x-\frac{1}{2} m \pi\right)}}{(2 \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) m!} \tag{B73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the real part of (B73) yields the following particular solution of (B66):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{x^{m}}{(2 \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) m!} \cos \left(\alpha x-\frac{1}{2} m \pi\right) \tag{B74}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important special case of (B66) and (B74) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\left(D^{2}+\omega_{0}^{2}\right) u=\cos \omega_{0} t  \tag{B75}\\
u=\frac{t}{2 \omega_{0}} \cos \left(\omega_{0} t-\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)=\frac{1}{2 \omega_{0}} t \sin \omega_{0} t \tag{B76}
\end{gather*}
$$

The case of $f(x)=\sin \alpha x$ can be treated in a similar fashion. Thus, a particular solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(D^{2}\right) u=\sin \alpha x \tag{B77}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{\sin \alpha x}{Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)} \quad Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{B78}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $Q\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)=0$, we can determine a particular solution as in the case $f(x)=$ $\cos \alpha x$, except that we take the imaginary rather than the real part of (B73). Thus, a particular solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)^{m} F\left(D^{2}\right) u=\sin \alpha x \tag{B79}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given be

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{x^{m}}{(2 \alpha)^{m} F\left(-\alpha^{2}\right) m!} \sin \left(\alpha x-\frac{1}{2} m \pi\right) \tag{B80}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important special case of (B79) and (B80) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}+\omega_{0}^{2} u=\left(D^{2}+\omega_{0}^{2}\right) u=\sin \omega_{0} t  \tag{B81}\\
& u=\frac{t}{2 \omega_{0}} \sin \left(\omega_{0} t-\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \omega_{0}} t \cos \omega_{0} t \tag{B82}
\end{align*}
$$

When $P(D)$ is a polynomial in $D$ and $D^{2}$, the preceding procedures need to be modified. Instead of the general case, we consider the special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}+2 D+3\right) u=\cos \alpha x \tag{B83}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular solution of (B83) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{D^{2}+2 D+3} \cos \alpha x \tag{B84}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now replace $D^{2}$ with $-\alpha^{2}$ in (B84) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2 D+3-\alpha^{2}} \cos \alpha x \tag{B85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we multiply both the numerator and denominator of the operator in (B85) with an operator that makes the denominator the difference between two squares; one of them involves $D^{2}$. Thus, we multiply the numerator and denominator in (B85) with the operator $2 D-\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{2 D-\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right)}{\left(2 D+3-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(2 D-3+\alpha^{2}\right)} \cos \alpha x=\frac{2 D-3+\alpha^{2}}{4 D^{2}-\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos \alpha x \\
& =\left(2 D-3+\alpha^{2}\right) \frac{1}{4 D^{2}-\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} \cos \alpha x \\
& =\left(2 D-3+\alpha^{2}\right) \frac{\cos \alpha x}{-4 \alpha^{2}-\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 \alpha \sin \alpha x+\left(3-\alpha^{2}\right) \cos \alpha x}{\alpha^{4}-2 \alpha^{2}+9}
\end{aligned}
$$

THE CASE $f(x)=x^{m}$, WHERE $m$ IS POSITIVE
We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D) u=x^{m} \tag{B86}
\end{equation*}
$$

a particular solution of which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{P(D)} x^{m} \tag{B87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we expand $1 / P(D)$ in a Laurent series in $D$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \frac{1}{P(D)} x^{m}=\frac{1}{D^{k}}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} D+a_{2} D^{2}+\cdots+a_{k+m} D^{k+m}+\cdots\right) x^{m} \\
= & \left.\frac{a_{0}}{D^{k}}+\frac{a_{1}}{D^{k-1}}+\cdots+a_{m+k} D^{m}+\cdots\right) x^{m} \\
= & \frac{a_{0} x^{m+k}}{(m+k)(m+k-1) \cdots(m+1)} \\
& +\frac{a_{1} x^{m+k-1}}{(m+k-1)(m+k-2) \cdots(m+1)} \\
& +\cdots+m!a_{m+k}
\end{aligned}
$$

As an example, we consider

$$
D\left(D^{2}+3 D+2\right) u=x^{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u= & \frac{1}{D\left(D^{2}+3 D+2\right)} x^{2}=\frac{1}{2 D\left(1+\frac{3}{2} D+\frac{1}{2} D^{2}\right)} x^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 D}\left[1-\left(\frac{3}{2} D+\frac{1}{2} D^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{2} D+\frac{1}{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{3}{2} D+\frac{1}{2} D^{2}\right)^{3}+\cdots\right] x^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 D}\left(1-\frac{3}{2} D+\frac{7}{4} D^{2}-\frac{15}{8} D^{3}+\cdots\right) x^{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2 D}-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{7}{8} D-\frac{15}{16} D^{2}+\cdots\right) x^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{6} x^{3}-\frac{3}{4} x^{2}+\frac{7}{4} x-\frac{15}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that all the terms in the series beyond $D^{2}$ produce zero when they operate on $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$. Hence, they were not included in the expansion. Moreover, the constant term -15/8 is not needed because it can be absorbed into the homogeneous solution.

## GENERAL CASE

We consider

$$
\left(D^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right) u=x^{2} \cos 2 \alpha x
$$

Since $P\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)=0$, we determine one of its particular solutions as the real part of a particular solution of

$$
\left(D^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right) u=x^{2} e^{21 a x}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{1}{D^{2}+4 \alpha^{2}} x^{2} e^{2 i \alpha x}=\frac{1}{(D-2 i \alpha)(D+2 i \alpha)} x^{2} e^{2 i \alpha x} \\
& =e^{2 i \alpha x} \frac{1}{D(D+4 i \alpha)} x^{2} \\
& =\frac{e^{2 i \alpha x}}{4 i \alpha}\left(\frac{1}{D}-\frac{1}{4 i \alpha}-\frac{D}{16 \alpha^{2}}+\frac{D^{2}}{64 i \alpha^{3}}+\cdots\right) x^{2} \\
& =\frac{e^{2 i \alpha x}}{4 i \alpha}\left(\frac{1}{3} x^{3}-\frac{x^{2}}{4 i \alpha}-\frac{x}{8 \alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{32 i \alpha^{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{1}{4 \alpha} \operatorname{Real}\left[e^{2 f \alpha x}\left(-\frac{1}{3} i x^{3}+\frac{x^{2}}{4 \alpha}+\frac{i x}{8 \alpha^{2}}-\frac{1}{32 \alpha^{3}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16 \alpha^{2}}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{8 \alpha^{2}}\right) \cos 2 \alpha x+\frac{1}{12 \alpha}\left(x^{3}-\frac{3 x}{8 \alpha^{2}}\right) \sin 2 \alpha x
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Index

Abramowitz, M., 54, 102, 501
Accuracy of asymptotic series, 21-22
Accuracy of perturbation solution, 368, 369, 381, 383
Acoustic waves:
in ducts carrying compressible flow, 445
in ducts with sinusoidal walls, 388, 416426
in lined ducts with varying cross sections, 458-460
Adjoint:
boundary conditions, 440-441, 449
column vector, 448
for acoustic equations, 446-447
of an algebraic system of equations, 392
of Fredholm's integral equation, 455
of fourth-order equation, 433, 442-443
matrix, 392
operator, 406-412, 439
of partial-differential equation, 459,461
of second-order differential equation, 403
of second-order differential system, 402-404
self, operator, 407
of system of first-order equations, 448
Adjustment of frequency, 118, 197, 202, 207
Airplane, 198
Airy equation, 372, 376, 378, 384
Airy functions, 372, 375, 381
first kind, 96-99, 105
integral representation of, 96, 373
relation to Bessel functions, 381, 384
second kind, 106
Algebra, 238
Algebraic equations, 28-50
cubic, $39-43$
higher-order, 43-45
quadratic, 28-39
solvability conditions for, 389-394
transcendental, 45-50
Alternative theorem, see Fredholm's alternative theorem
Analytic function, 62, 63, 81, 90, 99, 107, $326,329,343$
definition of, 88
not, 177
Annular:
duct, 445
plate, 388, 436
Aperiodic solutions, 242
Artificial parameter, 161
Ascent contour, 90, 92
Associated, homogeneous equation, 486, 487
see also Auxiliary polynomial
Astronomers, 129
Astronomy, 113
Asymmetries, 415,428
Asymptotic expansion, 22-23
of Airy function, 96-99
of Bessel functions, 23, 94-96, 105, 350355, 358
definition of, 22
divergent, 21, 23
elementary operations on, 24, 34
for equations with large parameter, 362
of integrals, 5 1-106
in terms of a parameter, 28
uniform, 24
uniqueness of, 22
see also Asymptotic series
Asymptotic matching principle, see Matching
Asymptotic method, 173
Asymptotic sequence, 22
in fractional powers, 31, 35, 41
in inverse powers, 37, 38, 44
logarithms in, 22
Asymptotic series, 18-22
accuracy of, 23-24
vs. convergent series, 23, 24, 355
definition of, 20,21
error in, 21
failure of, 362
see also Asymptotic expansion
Asymptotic sign, 21, 67, 346, 350
Apparent singularity, 357
Attenuation, 445
Augmented matrix, 391
Autonomous system, 196, 197, 201, 206
Auxiliary polynomial, 488-494
Averaging, method of, 108, 131, 132, 134, 148, 157, 158, 160, 191, 213-215
for Duffing equation, 129-131, 209-213
exercises involving, 254-256
generalized, 146, 169-173, 175, 176
for general nonlinear equation, 182-184, 187
Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky, 173-175
for linear oscillator, 144-146
for Mathieu equation, 253-254
for multifrequency excitations, 226-230
for Rayleigh equation, 155-157
shortcomings of, 168-169
vs. strained parameters, 243
for systems with quadratic nonlinearities, 168-169

Bending of response curve, 202, 208
Bessel equation:
modified, 358
of order $n, 427,359$
of order one, 337, 358
of order zero, 340
in standard form 361
Bessel functions:
asymptotic expansions of, 105,355 , 359, 382
integral representation of, 94, 353, 358, 359
modified, 358
of order $n, 428$
of order $1 / 2,383$
of order $1 / 3,381$
of order unity, 337-340, 358
of order zero, 23, 94-96, 340-344, 350-355
zeros of, 45-50
Bilinear, 408, 409, 440
Binomial theorem, 10, 11, 18, 29, 31, 34, $35,36,46,53,65,109,137,148$, 161, 164, 167, 475
Blended, see Matching
Bogoliubov, 501
see also Krylov-Bogoliubov method; Krylov-
Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky method technique
Bookkeeping device, 161
Boundary conditions:
adjoint, 409-410
disjoint, 448
general, 401, 406-412, 450-451
interfacial, 388, 452-454
loss of, 259, 271
mixed or nonseparable, 401
transfer of, 418, 426
Boundary layer, 261
equations, 9
higher approximations of, 279-284
interior, 292-296, 314, 318-320
location, 271-277, 313-320
nested, 304-307
nonlinear, 307-320
problem, 257-324
problem, with two, s, 296-303
by WKB method, 387
Boundary operator, 408-411
Boundary-value problem, see Boundary layer; Eigenvalue problem; Solvability conditions
Bounded solutions of Mathieu equation, 242
Branch:
for outer expansion, 313
singularity, 95
Brillouin, 364
Calculus, operational, 136
Canonical:
boundary conditions, 315
form, 238
representation, 409, 440
Cauchy-Riemann equations, 89-91
Cauchy's theorem, 81, 90, 94
Celestial mechanicians, 129

Center, 114
mass, 4, 5
Century, 113
Characteristic exponent, 240, 242, 243, 249
Circular:
flow past, body, 468
membrane, 426, 460
Clad rod, 452
Clockwise, 114
Closed trajectory, 114-117
Coefficients:
method of undetermined, 136, 224
slowly varying, 369-370
Col, 91
Cole, 313, 315
Combination, linear, 482
Combination resonance, 231, 232
for acoustic waves in ducts, 422
for multifrequency excitations, 219
for parametrically excited gyroscopic systems, 399
treated by averaging, 226-230
treated by multiple scales, 219-226
Commutative operator, 493
Compatibility, condition, 388
see Solvability conditions
Complementary function, 486
Complex notation, 126, 143
Composite expansion, 227-279
for equation with constant coefficients, 284
for equation with variable coefficients, 286, 289
for multiple-deck problem, 307
for nonlinear problem, 313
for problem with two boundary layers, 303
for turning-point problem, 292, 295, 296
Composite material, 452
Computer, 22, 29
finite word length, 24, 355
Concomitant, 408, 440
Conditions, see Boundary conditions;
Secular term; Solvability conditions
Connect, see Matching
Connection formula, 373-375
Conservative systems, 107, 134
Consistency, condition, 388
see also Solvability conditions
Consistent equations, 389, 390
Constant-coefficient equations:
homogeneous, 487-494
inhomogeneous, 494-500
Constant-level, 92
Constant-phase:
contour, 94, 97
path, 92
Continuous, piecewise, 177
Contour, 83-101, 354
steepest descent, 92, 93, 99
tracing of, 98
Contraction transformation, 307, 309
Convergence, 20
vs. asymptotic, 22
of asymptotic series, 18-22
nonuniform, 262
radius of, 327, 329
see also Ratio test
Coordinates, natural, 22
Correction, 113
Coupling, nonlinear, 233, 394
of torsional modes, 452
Cramer's rule, 224, 391
Crutching device, 161
Cumulative, 257
Curve:
closed, 81
integral, 114-116
level, 91-93
steepest, 92, 93
see also Frequency-response
Cycle, see Limit
Damper, 2, 135
Damping, 134-191
negative, 147
ordering of, 205
Dashpot, 134
Debye, 93
Deck, multiple, 304-307
triple, 304, 323
Deform, of contour of integration, 82, 93, 94, 97, 100
Degeneracy, 415, 428, 444
removal of, 417, 432, 445
Degenerate:
definition of, 415
fourth-order eigenvalue problem, 388, 442,444-445
kernel, 456
least, 277, 372
necond-order elgenvalue problem, 414. 418
in vibration of near circular membrane, 428-431, 460-462
Denominator, 193
Dependent, linearly, 482-484
Derivative:
directional, 90
expansion method, see Multiple scales
first, missing, 360-361, 365
Descent, see Steepest descent
Determinant, Wronskian, 483-486
Detuning parameter, 35, 200, 206, 220, 222, 250, 399, 422
for acoustic waves in duct, 422
for combination resonance, 220, 222
for Mathieu equation, 250
for parametric excitation of gyroscopic systems, 399
for primary resonance, 206
for subharmonic resonance, 195
for superharmoic resonance, 200
Differentiable, 89
Differential equation:
definition of, 480
general solution of linear, 484 *
Dimensional analysis, 1-10
for Duffing equation, 108
for forced Duffing equation, 190
for linear oscillator, 134
for Mathieu equation, 234
for self-excited oscillator, 147
for system with quadratic nonlinearities, 160
Dirac delta function, 158
Discontinuous, 36, 261
Distinguished limit, 277, 285, 288, 290, 294, 297, 300, 310, 314, 315, 320, 323, 372
more than one, 304-307
Divisor, see Small
Divergence, 346, 352
illustration of, 21, 22
improvement of, 22
see also Ratio test
Domain, of validity, 265, 277
finite, 268
infinite, 307
inner, 277-279
outer, 277-279
see also Overlapping domains
Duct, hent transfer $\operatorname{In}, \mathbf{3 8 1}, \mathbf{3 8 6}, 388$, 406, 458
Duffing equation, 160, 180, 184
exact solution, 113-118
forced oscillation of, 190-215
jump phenomenon for, 202-203, 208-209
phase plane for, 115
straightforward expansion for, 109-113
treated by averaging, 129-131
treated by Lindstedt-Poincare method, 118-121
treated by multiple scales, 122-129
treated by renormalization, 121-122
variation of parameters for, 127-129
Dummy:
summation index, 330
variable of integration, 367
Earth, 1, 6
Edge layer, 257
see also Boundary layer
Eigenvalue:
of matrix, 238, 239
problems using WKB approximation, 366-369
problems with turning points, 379386
Eigenvalue problem:
for boundary-layer stability, 435
degenerate second-order, 414-418
for problem with a regular singular
point, 426-431
fourth-order, 441-447
simple second-order, 412-414
Eigenvector, of matrix, 238
Elastic waves, 418
Electrical applications, 257
Electromagnetic waves, 418
Electron, 1
Elementary function, 376
Ellipses, 5, 28
Elliptic integral:
first kind, 53, 117
sccond kind, 101

Energy, 114
Equations with large parameter, 360-387
eigenvalue problems, 366-369
eigenvalue problems with turning
points, 379.383
Lanyer tranaformation, 375-379
Liouville-Green transformation, 364-366
slowly varying coefficients, 369-370
turning-point problems, 370-375
WKB approximation, 361-364
see also Derivative, first; Standard form
Equilibrium position, 107, 159, 175, 176
Error integral, 55
EST, 260
Euler:
equation, 331-333, 335, 339, 340
transformation, 22,133
Exact solution:
for algebraic equations, 31, 34
for Duffing equation, 113-118
for linear oscillator, 136, 137
for simple boundary-value problem, 259-260
Excitation:
external, 190, 191, 197, 202
ordering of, 205
parametric, 234
see also Multifrequency excitations
Expansions, 10-12
breakdown, 113
error in form, 41
nonuniform, 24, 113
of integrands, 52-56
overlap, 265
pedestrian, 113
steps in determining, 28-31
see also Asymptotic expansion;
Inner expansion;
Inner inner expansion; Intermediate
expansion, Outer expansion
Existence theorem, 481, 484
Exponential, form of expansion, 362
Factor, integrating, 51, 310
Factorial function, 56
Floquet:
form, see Normal
theory, 234, 236-243, 247-249
Flow:
Compressible, 445
laminar, 381
past plate, 8
past wavy wall, 468
turbulent, 386
see also Acoustic waves
Flow, viscous, past a plate, 8-10, 283
Fluid mechanics, 257
Forced oscillations:
multifrequency, 216-233
single frequency, 190-215
Fourier, series, 110, 178, 181, 183, 428, 429, 460
transform, 51,63
of trigonometric functions, 475-478
Fourier integral, 88, 90
generalized, 79
by integration by parts, 63
leading contribution to, 79-80
transformation to Laplace integral, 81, 83
Fractional powers, 31
Fractions, partial, 153
Fredholm's alternative theorem:
for integral equation, 456
for Sturm-Liouville problem, 406
Fredholm's integral equation, 454
French, 113
Frequency:
natural, 2, 160
nonlinear, 108, 118, 162, 180
of two mass centers, 5
Frequency-response equation, 198
for primary resonance, 208-209
for subharmonic resonance, 198200
for superharmonic resonance, 202203
Frobenius form, 333-334, 341, 347
Frobenius solution, 333-344
Fundamental:
existence theorem, 481, 483
set of solutions, 484
Gamma function, 74, 75, 86, 87
asymptotic expansion of, 105
definition of, 70-72
Gauge functions, 12-18, 29
Geometric series, 19
Gradient, 90
Green:

Liouville-, transformation, 361, 364-366, 376-377
see also Identity
Gyroscopic systems, 394-400
nonlinear, 394-397
parametrically excited, 397-400
Heat transfer, 381, 386
Hermitian matrix, 392
Hills, 92
Homogeneous equation:
definition of, 480
properties of, 482-486
solutions of, with constant coefficients, 487-494
Hour arm, 122
Hyperbola, 97
Identity:
Green's, 408, 412, 416, 439, 441, 459, 460
Lagrange's, 408
trigonometric, 472-479
Illegitimate, 261
Incompatible, 424
equations, 396
Inconsistencies, 388, 402, 424
Independence, linear, 482-484
Index, 331
Indices:
differing by integer, 336-340
differing by noninteger, 333-335
equal, 333, 340-342
Indicial equation, 332, 334
Inertia force, 3
Inflection point, 74
Inhomogeneous equations, 388-471
associated homogeneous equation, 486, 487
definition of, 480
properties of, 486-487
solutions of, with constant coefficients, 494-500
Inltial conditions, eatiefaction of, 353
treatment of, 111-113
Inner expansion, 266, 268, 277
for equation with variable coefficients, 285-286, 290-291, 294
for nonlincar problem, 310-311, 314-316
for problem with two boundary layers, 297-302
for simple boundary-value problem, 263, 280, 281
for turning-point problem, 371-372
two, s, 304-307
Inner inner expansion, 264, 266, 267
Inner product, 393
Inner variable, 268
nonlinear, 277
selection of, 271-276, 285, 288, 290
Instability, see Transition curves
Integrability:
condition, 388
see also Solvability conditions
Integral:
asymptotic expansion of, 51-106, 354, 359
curve, 114
equation, 454-457
of motion, 114
powers, 31
representation of Airy functions, 373
representation of solutions of differential equations, 51-52, 353, 358
see also Fourier integral ; Laplace integral
Integrands, 118
expansion of, 52-56
Integration, numerical, 315, 368, 381, 383
Integration by parts, 56-65, 80, 312
applied to Airy function, 106, 373
failure of, $64,65,68,79,94,96$
Interacting modes, 423, 426
Interaction, 169
viscous-inviscid, 305
Intermediate expansion, 264, 267, 268
for simple boundary-value problem, 282
variable, 268
Intermolecular distance, 1
lrregular singular point, 328, 329
at infinity, 344, 355
solutions near, 344, 355
Iteration, 368, 369, 381, 383
Jordan form, 238
Sump phenomenon, 202, 203, 208, 209

Kernel, 456
Kramers, 364
Krylov-Bogoliubov method, 130
Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropoisky technique, $160,169,173-175$

Lagrange, see Identity
Langer, 257, 284
Langer transformation, 257, 361, 371, 375-379
Laplace integral, 81, 83, 84, 86-88, 90 , 95, 354
generalized, 64, 79,98
by integration by parts, 61-63
Laplace's method, 18, 65-78
applied to Airy function, 106, 373
comparison of, with stationary phase, 90
Laplace transform, 51, 62, 373
Laurent series, 327, 498
Legendre polynomial, 106
Level curve, 91-93
l'Hospital's rule, 14-16, 58
Limit:
cycle, 149-151
definite, 277
distinguished, 277
not interchangeable, 262
Lindstedt-Poincaré technique, 108, 122, $125,131,132,134,148,160$, 162, 167, 172, 176
applied to Duffing equation, 118-121
applied to linear oscillator, 139-142
applied to system with quadratic nonlinearities, 164-165
failure of, $141,142,152,155$
Linear, algebra, 238
combination, 482.
equations, 480-500
equations with large parameter, 360387
equations with variable coefficients, 325-359
independence, 482-484
operator, 481
Linear oscillator, 134-146, 184
exact solution, 136-139
straightforward expansion, ${ }^{\prime}$ 135-136
treaded by averaging, 144-146
treated by Lindstedt-Poincaré technique, 139-142
treated by multiple scales, 142-144
Lined ducts, 458
Liouville:
-Green transformation, 361, 364-366, 376-377
problem, 361
Sutrm-, 406
Load, radial, 6, 7
Magnified scale, 257, 262
moderately, 263
more, 263
Main, resonance, see Primary
Matched asymptotic expansions, method of, 257, 279
applied to equations with variable coefficients, 284-296
applied to simple boundary-value problem, 270-279
basic idea underlying, 265, 270
comparison with multiple scales, 278 , 279
objective of, 267
Matching:
basic idea, 265-268
comparison of intermediate and straightforward, 282
intermediate, 268, 282
multiple decks, 306, 307
principle, 266, 267
see also Van Dyke's matching principle
Matching of inner and outer expansions,
equations with variable coefficients, 286, 288-289, 291-292, 294-295
multiple deck problem, 306-307
nonlinear problem, 311-312, 316-319
problem with two boundary layers, 298-302
simple boundary-value problem, 281-283
turning-point problems, 372-375
Mathieu equation, 234-256
straightforward expansion for, 235-236
treated by averaging, 253-254
treated by multiple scales, 249-253
trested by atruined purmmetors, 243-247
treated by Whittaker's method, 247.249
Matrix:
determinant of coefficient, 415,417
nonsingular, 408, 440
sub, 410,440

## 514

 INDEXMembrane, 388, 406, 460
vibration of, 426-431
Meromorphic function, 89
Minute arm, 122
Mitropolaky; ree Krylov-Bonoliubov-mothod: Krylov-Bogollubov-Mitropoliky technlque
Mixed boundary conditions, 401
Mixed-secular term; see Secular term
Mode:
acoustic, 420, 422
interacting s, 423, 426
torsional, 452
in vibration of membrane, 428
Modeling, mathematical, 1
Modulation, of amplitude and phase, 196, 397, 400
Mook, 397, 400
Moon, 6
Mountain, 91
Multifrequency excitations, 216-233
straightforward expansion for, 216-219
treated by averaging, 226-230
treated by multiple scales, 219-226
Multiple deck, 304-307
Multiple scales, mothod of, 108, 131, $132,134,146,148,156-158,160$, $169,172,173,175,176,184,191$, $211,212,213-215,388,434$
application to nonlinear partial-differential equations, 279
applied to acoustic waves, 422-426
applied to boundary-layer problems, 257, 268-270
applied to Duffing equation, 122-127
applied to general nonlinear systems, 181-182, 187
applied to linear oscillator, 142-144
applied to Mathieu equation, 249-253
applied to nonlincar gyroscopic system, 394-397
applied to parametrically excited system, 397-400
applied to primary resonances, 205-208
applied to Rayleigh equation, 152-155
applied to secondary resonances, 193-205
applied to system with quadratic nonlinearities, 166-168
comparion with matched asymptotic expansions, 278, 279
excercises, 254-256
vs. strained parameters, 243
suited for boundary-layer problems, 265

Natural coordinates, 22
Navier, 279
Navier-Stokes equations, 8, 9, 279
Nayfeh, 397, 400
Newton-Raphson technique, 368, 369, 381, 383
Nonanalytic functions, 177, 185-189
Nonautonomous sytem, 196
Nondimensionalization, 1-10
Nonelementary functions, 376
Nonlinear, general weakly, systems, straightforward expansion for, 177-179
treated by averaging, 182-184
treated by multiple scales, 181, 182
treated by renormalization, 179-180
Nonlinear oscillations, 269
Nonseparable boundary conditions, 401
Nonsingular transformation, 409
Nonuniform:
convergence, 262
expansion, 33, 108, 113
Nonuniformity 34, 120, 134
for Duffing equation, 113,118
in expansion, 24
for linear oscillator, 135-1 39
manifestation of, 388
for Rayleigh equation, 149
region of, 26,33
for simple eigenvalue-problem, 258 262
Normal:
form, 240-243, 247
solution, 344,348
Numerical integration, 315, 368, 369, 381, 383

Operation, illegitimate, 261
not justified, 35
Operational calculus, 136
Operator, 481
adjoint, 439
commutative, 493
fourth-order, 438
polynomial, 487, 488
Orbit, 1, 129

Order:
of differential equation, 480
of magnitude, 1
symbols, 17-18
of term, 29, 205
Ordinary point, 326, 328, 329
infinity, 342-343
solutions near, 329-331
Orr-Sommerfeld equation, 435
Oscillations, rapid, 79, 80
Outer expansion, 266, 268, 277
for equations with variable coefficients, 284-285, 287-288, 290, 293
for nonlinear problem, 309,313
for problem with two boundary layers, 297
for simple boundary-value probem, 261, 279, 280
for triple-deck problem, 304
Outer variable, 268
as guide for determining inner expansion, 310
Overlapping domains, 265-268, 271, 277
Parabola, 132
Parameter, 13, 127
dimensionless, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9
in Duffing equation, 108
multiplies highest derivative, 257-324
perturbation, 28
see also Equations with large parameter;
Strained parameter; Variation of parameter
Parametric excitation, 234
of gyroscopic system, 397-400
of two-degree-of-freedom system, 256
see also Mathieu equation
Pass, mountain, 91
Path, steepest, 97
Pedestrian expansion, 113,136
Period:
of Duffing equation, 117, 118, 120 , 121
nonlinear, 118
of two mass centers, 5
Periodic coefficients, see Mathieu equation; Parametric excitation
Periodic motion, 114-116, 141, 149
for general nonlinear systems, 180
for Mathieu equation, 240
for primary resonance, 207
for subharmonic resonance, 197
for superharmonic resonance, 202
see also Limit, cyle
Perturbation:
parameter, $3,4,6,7,9,10,28$
singular, 36
special method of, 108, 129
Perturbed equation, 28
Phase, plane:
constant-, 90-92, 93, 97
for Duffing equation, 114-116
for Rayleigh equation, 149, 150
stationary, 79, 80
method of, 79.88
Plate:
flow past, 8-10
vibrations of, 6, 7, 388, 436-438
Poincare, 20
see also Lindstedt-Poincaré technique
Pole, 327-329
Polynomial:
auxiliary, 488-494
operator, 488-489
Primary resonance, 218
definition of, 193
treated by averaging, 212-213
treated by multiple scales, 205-209
Product, inner, 393
Programmer, skill of, 24, 355
Propellers, 200
Quantum mechanics, 257, 379
Raphson, 368, 369, 381, 383
Rational fractions, 22
Ratio test, 10, 19, 20, 53, 55, 60, 61, $65,66,138,139,327,331,342$, 346, 353
Rayleigh equation, 147, 150, 180 , 184
forced, 213-214
sec also Self excited oscillators
Recurrence relations, 330, 334, 341
Reduced equation, 28, 30, 33, 109, 271
Reduced mass, 6
Redundant, 389, 390

Regular singular point, 328, 329, 406, 430
infinity is, 343
solutions near, 331-344
Renormalization, method of, 108, 122, 125, 131, 132, 148, 157, 158, 160, 165, 167, 172
applied to Duffing equation, 121-122
applied to general nonlinear systems, 179-180
applied to Rayleigh equation, 151-152
applied to system with quadratic nonlinearities, 162-164
failure of, 141, 152, 155
Repeated root, 491-494
Representative point, motion of, 114, 117
Resonance, phenomena, 123, 215
simultaneous, 222, 231, 232
see also Combination resonance;
Primary resonance; Subharmonic
resonance; Superharmonic resonance
Resonant, values, 191, 215, 216
frequencies, 193
Restoring force, 3, 107, 114, 134, 159
Reynolds number, 9
Ridges, 91
Riemann , 89-91, 93
Rigidity, of plate, 6
Roots:
complex conjugate, 489-491
equal, 491-494
Rudder, 200
Saddle, 91
Saddle point, 91, 92, 96, 97, 99, 100, 114 hod, see Steepest descent
sat...
Scales, 122, 123, 173
combination, 262, 268
effect of, on expansion, 262-265
fast, 257
magnified, 257, 262
stretched, 257, 262
strong dependence on, 264
see also Stretching transformation
Scaling of dependent variable, 314
Second arm, 122
Secondary, resonance, 193-205, 209-212
definition of, 193
see also Combination resonance; Subharmonic resonance; Superharmonic resonance
Secular term, 113
compounded at higher order, 136
not leading to nonuniformity, 268
elimination of, 126, 140, 141, 143, 144, 163-165, 175, 182, 388
in forced Duffing equation, 193
in solution of Duffing equation, 113, 120-122, 124, 215
in solution of general nonlinear systems, 179
in solution of Mathieu equation, 236
in solution of Rayleigh equation, 149, 153
in solution of systems with quadratic nonlinearities, 162
Self-adjoint, 414, 416, 424, 462
algebraic system, 457
equation with regular singular point, 429, 430
fourth-order differential equation, 433-434, 443
integral equation, 456
making a second-order equation, 405
matrix, 392
operator, 407, 439
partial-differential problem, 460,461
problem with interfacial boundary conditions, 454
second-order differential system, 404, 410
system of first-order equations, 449
for vibration of plate, 436-438
Self-excited oscillators, 147-158
straightforward expansion for, 148-150
treated by averaging, 155-157
treated by multiple scales, 152-155
treated by renormalization, 151, 152
Separation of variables, 114,116,153,171, 186, 284, 287, 306, 315, 360, 365, 378
for acoustic waves in duct, 419-421, 423
for vibration of membranes, 427
Separatrices, 114
Series, see Asymptotic series; Geometric series; Taylor series

Shock layer, 257, 314, 319
Siécle, 113
Similar matrices, 238
Singular, perturbation problem, 36, 262. 266
transformation at turning point, 376
Singularity, 89, 91
apparent, 351
branch, 95
classification of, 328, 329
essential, 13
in expansion, 24
at infinity, 342-344
isolated, 327
Singular point, 326
infinity is, 343
irregular, 328
regular, 328
Sinusoidal:
interface, 452
walls, 418-426
Skin layer, 257
see also Boundary layer
Small-divisor, 388
for acoustic waves, $422^{\circ}$
conversion to secular term, 195, 424
for forced oscillations of Duffing equation, 193
leading to combination resonance, 218 , 219, 399, 422
leading to primary resonance, 205, 211
leading to subharmonic resonance, 195
leading to superharmonic resonance, 200
for Mathieu equation, 236
for multifrequency excitations, 216, 218, 219
for parametrically excited gyroscopic systems, 399
Solid mechnaics, 257
Solvability conditions, 269, 388-471
for algebraic equations, 389-394
for differential system of equations, 445-447
for differential systems with interfacial boundary conditions, 452-454
example motivating, 401402
for fourth-order differential system, 432-438
for general boundary conditions, 406-412
tor general differential systems of first-order equations, 447-452
for general fourth-order differential system, 438-441
for integral equations, 454-457
for partial-differential equations, 458462
for second-order differential systems, 401-412
sufficient, 456
Sound waves, 406
see also Acoutic waves
Spacecraft, 6
Spaceship, 4, 5
Special method of perturbation, 108, 129
Spot, flat, 91
Spring, 2, 3, 134, 135, 159, 160
Stability:
of boundary layer, 388, 469-470
of nonparallel flows, 434
see also Transition, curves
Standard form, equations in, 361
Stationary phase, method of, 79-88
applied to A iry integral, 106, 373
applied to Bessel function, 354
comparison of, with Laplace's method, 90
Stationary point, $64,78,79,80,83,84$, $85,87,90,114,354$
contribution to leading term, 87
value, 197, 202, 207
Steady-state solution, $141,197,198,202$, 221
Steepest, contour, 92, 98, 99
descent, method of, 88-101, 373
path, 92
Stegun, 54, 102
Stokes, 80, 84, 275, 279
see also Stationary phase
Stokes lines and surfaces, 257
Stokes-Oseen flow, 10
Straightforward expansion, 134, 137
for acoustic waves in duct, 418-422
for circular function, 137-139
for Duffing equation, 109-113
for exponential function, 137, 138
for forced Duffing equation, 191-193
for general nonlinear systems, 177 179
for linear oscillator, $135-136,138$
for Mathicu equation, 235-236, 243
for multifrequency excitations, 216-219
for Rayleigh equation, 148-150
reason for breakdown, 118, 138, 139 . 149
for simple boundary-value problem, 258
for systems with quadratic nonlinearitles, 160-1 62
Strained parameters, method of, 234, 242, 436
applied to degenerate second-order eigenvalue problem, 414-418
applied to fourth-order eigenvalue problem, 442-445
applied to Mathieu equation, 243-247
applied to simple second-order eigenvalue problem, 412-414
applied to vibrations of membrane, 426431
Straining, mild, 257
Streamfunction, 434
Stretched variable, 257, 262, 271
see also Inner variable
Stretching, transformation, 257, 262, 268, 274, 290, 294, 297, 300, 304, 305, 314, 371
proper, 277
see also Inner variable
Sturm-Liouville problem, 406
Subharmonic resonance, 195-200, 218, 219
definition of, 198
Subnormal solution, 344, 348-350
Superharmonic resonance, 200-203, 211, 218
definition of, 202
Superposition, principle of, $110,111,166$, $178,402,482,485$
Switching from one expansion to another, 277
Symbolic method, 494-500
Symbols, order, 17-18
Symmetric:
kernel, 456
matrix, 392, 457
Symmetry, 415, 428

## Table:

3-1, 54
4-1, 117
4-2, 118

14-1, 368
14-2, 369
14-3, 381
14-4, 383
Taylor cerien, 11, 12,52,54, 55, 74, 75, $76,78,81,84,85,98,107,121$. $151,163,326-327,329,331,343$
for cosine function, 137-139
for exponential function, 137, 138
to transfer boundary conditions, 418-419,426
Tensor notation, 457
Torsional modes, 452
Trajectories, 114-116
Transcendental equation, 45-50
Transfer of boundary conditions, 418-419, 426
Transformation:
of integral equation to algebraic equations, 457
invert, 409
nonsingular, 377, 409, 440, 450
regularity of, 379
see also Liouville; Stretching
Transforms, 51
Transient response, 141, 144, 146, 148, 157
Transition, curves, 243-254
periodic shapes, 242, 243
points, $257,364,370$
values, 240, 251
Transpose, 392, 448, 450
Trigonometric identities, 472-479
Triple deck, 304, 323
Turning-point problems, 361
definition of, 364,370
eigenvalue problems, 379-386
of order $n, 377$
simple, 378-379
treated by matched asymptotic expansions, 289-296, 371-375
two, 381
Systems with quadratic nonlinearities, 159-176, 216, 231
forced, 213
straighforward expansion for, 160162
treated by averaging 168-169
treated by generalized method of averaging 169-172
treated by Krylov-BogoliubovMitropolsky technique, 173-175
treated by Lindstedt-Poincaré technique, 164-165
treated by multiple scales, 166-168
treated by renormalization, 162-164
see also Multifrequency excitations
Unbounded motions, 226, 249, 251
of Mathieu equation, 240, 241
Uniformazion, 122
Unique, 480, 484
of Mathieu equation, 240, 241
Unstable motions, 203, 208, 231, 240, 243

Valleys, 91-93
van der Pol equation, 148, 157
see also Self-excited oscillators
van der Pol method, 130
van Dyke, 22, 282, 283
van Dyke's matching principle, 282-283
failure of, 283
Variation of parameters, 108, 136, 325326, 494
for Duffing equation, 127-129
for general nonlinear equation, 182-183
for hard excitation of Duffing equations, 209-211
for inhomogeneous linear equations, 325-326
for linear oscillator, 144-145
for Mathieu equation, 253-254
for multifrequency excitations, 226-229
for particular solutions, 136
for Rayleigh equation, 155-156
for soft excitation of Duffing equation, 212-213
for systems with quadratic nonlinearities, 168
Vector solution, 325
Watch, 122, 123
Watson's lemma, 77, 95, 98, 101
statement of, 67,68
Waveguide, 418
Wavenumber, 420, 422
Wave propagation, 452
see also Acoustic waves
Wentzel, 364
Whittaker's method, 243, 247-249, 253
exercises involving, 254, 255
limitations of, 249
Wings, 200
WKB, 257, 284, 370, 386, 387
approximation, 361-364, 372
breakdown of approximation, 364, 376-377
using, to solve eigenvalue problem, 366-369
WKBJ, method, 257
Wronskian, 236, 239, 483-486

